

FINITE p -SOLUBLE GROUPS WITH IRREDUCIBLE MODULAR REPRESENTATIONS OF GIVEN DEGREES

by J. F. HUMPHREYS
(Received 30th April 1975)

Let G be a finite group, p be a prime and K be a field of characteristic p . Let

$$K(G) = B_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_r$$

be a decomposition of the group ring of G over K as a sum of indecomposable two-sided ideals. An irreducible $K(G)$ -module is said to be in the block B_i if it occurs as a composition factor of B_i . The block containing the trivial $K(G)$ -module is called the principal block of G .

Let I be a subset of the positive integers with $1 \in I$. We denote by $\mathfrak{X}(I)$ (or by \mathfrak{X} when I is fixed) the class of finite p -soluble groups G such that the dimension of every irreducible $K(G)$ -module is in I , and by $\mathfrak{X}_1(I)$ (or by \mathfrak{X}_1) the class of finite p -soluble groups G such that the dimension of each irreducible $K(G)$ -module in the principal block of G is in I . The object of this note is to investigate the relationship between $\mathfrak{X}(I)$ and $\mathfrak{X}_1(I)$, and to calculate these classes in some simple cases. Denoting by $O_p(G)$ the largest normal p -subgroup of a group G , and by $F_p(G)$ the largest normal subgroup of G which has a normal p -complement, we can now state our main result.

Theorem 1. *Let I be a subset of the positive integers with $1 \in I$. Let $\mathfrak{X}(I)$ and $\mathfrak{X}_1(I)$ be as defined above. Then*

- (i) $\mathfrak{X}(I) = \{G \mid G/O_p(G) \cong H/F_p(H) \text{ for some } H \in \mathfrak{X}_1(I)\}$ and
- (ii) $\mathfrak{X}_1(I) = \{G \mid G/F_p(G) \in \mathfrak{X}(I)\}$.

Proof. (i) Let T be an irreducible $K(G)$ -module and suppose $G/O_p(G) \cong H/F_p(H)$ with $H \in \mathfrak{X}_1$. By Lemma 1.2 of (2), the kernel of T contains $O_p(G)$ and so we may regard T as a $K(H)$ -module whose kernel contains $F_p(H)$. Lemma 2.3 of (2) now gives that T is in the principal block of $K(H)$. (In fact Lemma 2.3 of (2) is proved under the assumption that K is algebraically closed, but the techniques of (2) yield the general result quite easily). Thus $\dim T \in I$ and so $G \in \mathfrak{X}$.

Conversely, let $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ and define $M = G/O_p(G)$. Since every irreducible $K(M)$ -module may be regarded as an irreducible $K(G)$ -module, $M \in \mathfrak{X}$. By construction $O_p(M) = 1$, so by Satz VI.7.20 of (4) there is a faithful, completely reducible $K(M)$ -module B , say, over the field with p

elements. Let H be the semi-direct product MB . A standard argument as used in the proof of (4; VI.7.24), for example, shows that $F_p(H) = B$.

We have thus constructed a group H with

$$H/F_p(H) \cong MB/B \cong M = G/O_p(G).$$

By Lemma 2.3 of (2), each irreducible $K(H)$ -module in the principal blocks of H has $F_p(H)$ in its kernel, and so may be regarded as an irreducible $K(G)$ -module. Since $G \in \mathfrak{X}$, we have that $H \in \mathfrak{X}_1$.

(ii) By definition, a p -soluble group G is in \mathfrak{X}_1 if and only if the dimension of each irreducible $K(G)$ -module in the principal block of G is in I . In view of Lemma 2.3 of (2), this is equivalent to saying that the dimension of each irreducible $K(G)$ -module whose kernel contains $F_p(G)$ is in I . Thus $G \in \mathfrak{X}_1$ if and only if the dimension of each irreducible $K(G/F_p(G))$ -module is in I , that is if and only if $G/F_p(G)$ is in \mathfrak{X} .

Corollary 1. *With the notation of the theorem, $\mathfrak{X}(I)$ is a formation if and only if $\mathfrak{X}_1(I)$ is a saturated formation.*

Proof. If $\mathfrak{X}_1(I)$ is a saturated formation, Theorem 1(i) together with Hilfssatz VI.7.24 of (4) give that $\mathfrak{X}(I)$ is a formation.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathfrak{X}(I)$ is a formation. Theorem 1 (ii) implies that a group G is in $\mathfrak{X}_1(I)$ if and only if $G/F_p(G) \in \mathfrak{X}(I)$ and $G/F_q(G)$ is a p -soluble group for $q \neq p$. Thus $\mathfrak{X}(I)$ is a locally defined formation and so is saturated by the main theorem of Gaschütz (see (4; VI.7.5)).

Corollary 2. *With the notation of the theorem,*

- (i) *for $G \in \mathfrak{X}_1(I)$ and N any normal subgroup of G , $G/N \in \mathfrak{X}_1(I)$,*
- (ii) *$G \in \mathfrak{X}_1(I)$ if and only if $G/\phi(G) \in \mathfrak{X}_1(I)$.*

Proof. Let $H \in \mathfrak{X}(I)$ and L be a normal subgroup of H . Since each irreducible $K(H/L)$ -module is an irreducible $K(H)$ -module, $H/L \in \mathfrak{X}(I)$. Thus $\mathfrak{X}(I)$ is closed under epimorphic images. The corollary can now be deduced from a proof of the theorem of Gaschütz referred to above.

In view of Corollaries 1 and 2, it is natural to ask if, given I , every finite p -soluble group has $\mathfrak{X}_1(I)$ -projectors. This is not the case, as is shown by the following.

Example 1. Let N_1 be elementary of order 9, and Q_1 be a subgroup of $GL(2, 3)$ isomorphic to the quaternion group of order 8. Let G_1 be the semi-direct product of N_1 by Q_1 with the natural action of Q_1 on N_1 . Let $G_2 \cong G_1$ be the semi-direct product of $N_2 (\cong N_1)$ by $Q_2 (\cong Q_1)$ and let $G = G_1 \times G_2$.

Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3 and $I = \{1, 2\}$. Then $G_i \in \mathfrak{X}(I)$ for $i = 1, 2$ but $G \notin \mathfrak{X}(I)$ since there is a 4 dimensional irreducible $K(G)$ -module. Also G has just one block (since $O_3(G) = 1$), so

$G \notin \mathfrak{X}_1(I)$. However $G/N_i \in \mathfrak{X}_1(I)$ ($i = 1, 2$) since

$$G/N_1 \cong G_2 \times Q_1 \cong G/N_2.$$

Suppose that G has an $\mathfrak{X}_1(I)$ -projector F . Then $G = FN_1$ and so $F \cap N_1$ is a normal subgroup of G , giving that $F \cap N_1 = 1$ by the minimality of N_1 . Hence a Sylow 3-subgroup N of F has order 9 and N is normalized by F and by N_1 since $N_1 \times N_2$, the unique Sylow 3-subgroup of G , is abelian. Hence N is a normal subgroup of G . Since the non-identity elements of N_i are permuted transitively by the elements of Q_i ($i = 1, 2$), it follows easily that N_1 and N_2 are the only two minimal normal subgroups of G . Thus $N = N_2$ and $FN_2 = F \neq G$. This shows that G has no $\mathfrak{X}_1(I)$ -projectors.

As our first application of Theorem 1, we consider the situation where k is the field with p elements, n is a positive integer and I_n is the set of positive integers which divide n .

Theorem 2. *Let n be a positive integer and G be a group of order coprime to n . Then $G \in \mathfrak{X}(I_n)$ if and only if $G/O_p(G)$ is abelian of exponent dividing $p^n - 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $G \in \mathfrak{X}(I_n)$ and V is an irreducible $k(G)$ -module with $N = \ker V$. By (4: VI.8.1), G/N is cyclic of order dividing $p^n - 1$. Since the intersection of the kernels of the irreducible $k(G)$ -modules is $O_p(G)$, we have that $G/O_p(G)$ is abelian of exponent dividing $p^n - 1$.

Conversely, suppose that $G/O_p(G)$ is abelian of exponent dividing $p^n - 1$ and that V is an irreducible $k(G)$ -module. It follows by (4: II.3.10) that the dimension of V divides n .

Recall that for any p -soluble group G , the arithmetic p -rank of G is defined to be the lowest common multiple of the k -dimensions of the p -chief factors of G .

Corollary 3. *Let n be a positive integer and G be a group of order coprime to n . Then G has arithmetic p -rank dividing n if and only if $G \in \mathfrak{X}_1(I_n)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and (4: VI.8.3).

For our second application, denote by \bar{Q} the algebraic closure of the field Q of rational numbers. Choose a fixed extension ν_p of the p -adic exponential valuation of Q to \bar{Q} . Let R denote the local ring of ν_p in \bar{Q} , P denote the corresponding prime ideal, and $K = R/P$. Let I_p be the set of positive integers coprime to p . We then have

Lemma 2. *Each factor group and each normal subgroup of a group in $\mathfrak{X}(I_p)$ is also in $\mathfrak{X}(I_p)$.*

Proof. Let $G \in \mathfrak{X}(I_p)$ and suppose N is a normal subgroup of G . By Mackey's subgroup theorem (4: V.16.9), an irreducible $K(N)$ -module T occurs as a component of $(T^G)_N$. However each composition factor of T^G

has dimension coprime to p and so by Clifford's theorem (4: V.17.3), the irreducible components of $(T^G)_N$ have dimension coprime to p .

The result for factor groups is trivial.

Theorem 3. *A p -soluble group G is in $\mathfrak{X}(I_p)$ if and only if G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup.*

Proof. Suppose G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup N . Then each irreducible $K(G)$ -module may be regarded as an irreducible $K(G/N)$ -module and so has dimension coprime to p .

We prove the converse implication by induction on $|G|$. Let N be a maximal normal subgroup of G , so that $M \in \mathfrak{X}$ by Lemma 2. By induction, M has a normal Sylow p -subgroup N . If p is coprime to $|G:M|$, N is a normal Sylow p -subgroup of G . Thus by the maximality of N , we may suppose that $|G:M| = p$. If $N \neq 1$, induction applied to G/N gives the result, so we may suppose that $|M|$ is coprime to p .

Since G has a normal p -complement and abelian Sylow p -subgroups, we can apply a result of Richen (5), to deduce that the restriction of the character of every irreducible $\bar{Q}(G)$ -module to the p -regular conjugacy classes of G is a Brauer irreducible character of G . Thus each irreducible $\bar{Q}(G)$ -module has dimension coprime to p and so, by a result of Fong (1: 3D), G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup as required.

Corollary 4. *A p -soluble G is in $\mathfrak{X}_1(I_p)$ if and only if G has p -length 1.*

Proof. By Theorem 1 and Theorem 3.

Remark. Theorem 3 is a modular analogue of Lemma 3D of (1) and Corollary 4 is an analogue of Theorem 3E of (1).

As a final application, we consider the case where I is a finite set.

Theorem 4. *There exists an integer valued function $f(p, m, n)$ so that for any finite p -soluble group G whose Sylow p -subgroups have order at most p^m with the property that each irreducible $K(G)$ -module in the principal block of G has dimension at most n , there exists a normal subgroup N of G of index at most $f(p, m, n)$ such that N' has a normal p -complement.*

Proof. By Theorem 1 and the theorem of (3).

Example 2. Let $G = S_5$, the symmetric group on 5 symbols, so that $F_3(G) = 1$. Let K be algebraically closed of characteristic 3 and I be the set of integers coprime to 3. Since G has two irreducible $K(G)$ -modules in its principal block, of degrees 1 and 4, $G \in \mathfrak{X}_1$. However $G \notin \mathfrak{X}$ since there is an irreducible $K(G)$ -module of dimension 6. This example shows that neither (i) nor (ii) of Theorem 1 holds for arbitrary finite groups.

REFERENCES

- (1) P. FONG, On the characters of p -soluble groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98** (1961), 263–284.
- (2) P. FONG and W. GASCHÜTZ, A note on modular representations of solvable groups, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **208** (1961), 73–78.
- (3) J. F. HUMPHREYS, Groups with modular irreducible representations of bounded degree, *J. London Math. Soc.* **5** (1972), 233–234.
- (4) B. HUPPERT, *Endliche Gruppen I*. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967).
- (5) F. RICHEN, Decomposition numbers of p -solvable groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (1970), 100–104.

THE UNIVERSITY
LIVERPOOL