

## EXTREME POSITIVE CONTRACTIONS ON FINITE DIMENSIONAL $l^p$ -SPACES

RYSZARD GRZAŚLEWICZ

In this paper we give a characterization of the extreme positive contractions on finite dimensional  $l^p$ -spaces for  $1 < p < \infty$ . This is related to the characterization of the extreme doubly stochastic operators. In Section 2 we present the basic properties of the facial structure of the set of doubly stochastic  $n \times m$  matrices. In Section 3 we use these facts for description of the facial structure of the set of positive contractions on finite dimensional  $l^p$ -space. Next is considered stability of the positive part of the unit ball of operators (Section 5). In Section 7 we prove that extreme positive contractions on  $l_n^p$  are strongly exposed.

**1. Terminology and notation.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, m)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. As usual, we denote by  $L^p(m)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , the Banach lattice of all  $p$ -summable real-valued functions on  $X$  with standard norm and order. If  $X = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$   $n < \infty$ , and  $m$  is a counting measure we write  $l_n^p$  instead of  $L^p(m)$ . If  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $m$  is Lebesgue measure we write briefly  $L^p$ .  $L_+^p(m)$  denotes the cone of positive functions ( $f \geq 0$ ) in  $L^p(m)$ . The adjoint space  $[L^p(m)]'$  is identified with  $L^{p'}(m)$ , where  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ . For  $f \in L^p(m)$  we denote

$$f^{(p-1)}(x) = |f(x)|^{p-1} \operatorname{sign} f(x).$$

Let  $1 < r < \infty$  and let  $(Y, \mathcal{B}, n)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(L^p(m), L^r(n))$  the Banach space of all linear bounded operators from  $L^p(m)$  into  $L^r(n)$ . An operator  $T$  is said to be *positive* ( $T \geq 0$ ) if  $Tf \geq 0$  whenever  $f \geq 0$ . The set of all positive operators (contractions) is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_+(L^p(m), L^r(n))$  ( $\mathcal{P}$ ).

To every operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l_m^p, l_n^r)$  ( $m, n \leq \infty$ ) there corresponds a unique matrix  $(t_{ij})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, m$  with real entries, such that

$$(Tx)_i = \sum_{j=1}^m t_{ij} x_j.$$

Clearly the adjoint operator

$$T^* \in \mathcal{L}(l_n^{r'}, l_m^{p'})$$

---

Received December 14, 1982 and in revised form May 16, 1984.

with  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$  and  $1/r + 1/r' = 1$ , is determined in the same manner by the transposed matrix  $(t_{ji})$ .

If an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(m), L^r(n))$  attains its norm on  $f$  and  $\|T\| = \|f\| = 1$ , then from the strict convexity of  $L^p$ -spaces it follows that

$$T^*(Tf)^{(r-1)} = f^{(p-1)}.$$

We define the support of a positive operator  $T$ , denoted by  $\text{supp } T$ , as a maximal set  $A \subset X$  such that  $T1_{A^c} = 0$ . For a matrix  $(t_{ij})$  let

$$\text{supp}(t_{ij}) = \{j: \text{there exists } i_0 \text{ such that } t_{i_0j} \neq 0\}.$$

The support of an operator  $T$  will be identified with support of a matrix, which corresponds to  $T$ .

For  $T \in \mathcal{L}_+(L^p(m), L^r(n))$  we have

$$\text{supp } T \supset \text{supp } T^*g \quad \text{where } g \in L^r(n).$$

In particular, if  $g > 0$ , then

$$\text{supp } T = \text{supp } T^*g$$

(note that  $\text{supp } f = \{x \in X: f(x) \neq 0\}$ ,  $f \in L^p(m)$ , should be read modulo  $m$ -null sets). If  $T$  attains its norm at  $f$  then  $\text{supp } f \subset \text{supp } T$ .

Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(m), L^r(n))$ . Let  $T = \sum T_k$  be a decomposition of  $T$  into the operators  $T_k$  (i.e.,  $\text{supp } T_k$  disjoint and  $\text{supp } T_k^*$  disjoint). Then

$$\|T\| = \sup \|T_k\|.$$

Moreover,  $T \geq 0$  if and only if  $T_k \geq 0$  for all  $k$ . Furthermore  $T$  is an extreme positive contraction if and only if the  $T_n$ 's are extreme positive contractions.

Let  $(t_{ij}) = T$  be a matrix. By  $T^t$  we denote transposed matrix. We say that  $T$  is an elementary matrix if there are no nonzero matrices  $T_1, T_2$  such that  $T = T_1 + T_2$  and

$$\text{supp } T_1 \cap \text{supp } T_2 = \text{supp } T_1^t \cap \text{supp } T_2^t = \emptyset$$

(see [6]). If  $T$  is a finite matrix, then we can represent  $T$  as a finite sum of some elementary matrices  $T_k$ ,

$$T = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} T_k,$$

with  $\text{supp } T_k$  disjoint and  $\text{supp } T_k^t$  disjoint. In such case we will say that the matrix  $T$  can be decomposed into  $k_0$  elementary matrices.

Let  $K$  be a convex set. We say that a subset  $F$  of  $K$  is a *face* if

$$x + (1 - \alpha)y \in F \quad \text{with } x, y \in K, 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

implies  $x, y \in K$ . Note that  $\text{ext } F \subset \text{ext } K$ . For  $x \in K$  we define a face generated by  $x$  as follows

$$F_x = \{y \in K: \text{there exist } z \in K \text{ and } 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \text{ such that } x = \alpha y + (1 - \alpha)z\}.$$

We also define the dimension  $\dim_K x$  of the point  $x$  in  $K$ , as the affine dimension  $\dim F_x$  of  $F_x$ . The point  $x \in K$  is extreme if and only if  $\dim_K x = 0$ .

Throughout this paper we assume  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1 < r < \infty$ .

**2. Doubly stochastic matrices.** Doubly stochastic matrices have been extensively studied by a number of authors (see for example [12] and reference there). In this section we consider the facial structure of the convex set of doubly stochastic matrices. All these properties can be obtained by modifying the arguments presented in [2] (see also [4]). For the convenience of the reader we present below proofs of the basic properties which we use in the next sections.

Let  $\mu, \nu$  be finite measures on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , respectively, such that

$$\mu(\{1, 2, \dots, n\}) = \nu(\{1, 2, \dots, m\}).$$

Let  $(p_{ij})$  be a positive measure on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  with marginal distributions  $\mu, \nu$ . The matrix  $P = (p_{ij})$  is called *doubly stochastic* with respect to  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . We write  $P \in \mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)$ .

PROPERTY 1. Let  $P \in \mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)$  be an  $n \times m$  elementary matrix. And let

$$\text{supp } \mu = \{1, \dots, n\}, \text{supp } \nu = \{1, \dots, m\}.$$

Then

$$\dim_{\mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)} P = mn - m - n + 1 - z$$

where  $z$  denotes the number of null entries of the matrix  $P$ .

*Proof.* The set

$$D_p = \{P + R: P \pm R \in \mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)\}$$

is included in the face  $F_p$  generated by  $P$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)$  and

$$\dim_{\mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)} P = \dim D_p.$$

For  $R = (r_{ij})$  we have  $P \pm R \in \mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{v=1}^m r_{vj} = 0, \quad \sum_{v=1}^m r_{iv} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |r_{ij}| \leq p_{ij}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)} P &= \dim\{ (r_{ij}): \sum_{v=1}^m r_{iv} = \sum_{v=1}^m r_{vj} \\ &= (1 - \text{sign } p_{ij})r_{ij} = 0, \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\varphi_i(R) = \sum_{j=1}^n r_{ij},$$

$$\psi_j(R) = \sum_{i=1}^m r_{ij},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{ij}(R) = (1 - \text{sign } p_{ij})r_{ij}$$

be defined on the space of  $n \times m$  matrices  $R = (r_{ij})$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)} P &= \dim\{R: \varphi_i(R) = \psi_j(R) = \mathcal{H}_{ij}(R) = 0, \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\dim_{\mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)} P$  is equal to  $nm$  minus the number of linearly independent functionals in the set

$$\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n, \mathcal{H}_{11}, \dots, \mathcal{H}_{mn}\}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \psi_j,$$

the functional  $\varphi_1$  depends linearly on  $\varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_m, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$ . We denote

$$Z = \{ (i, j): p_{ij} = 0 \}.$$

Now it is sufficient to show that the functionals

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_i & (i = 2, \dots, m), \\ \psi_j & (j = 1, \dots, n), \\ \mathcal{H}_{ij} & (i, j) \in Z \end{cases}$$

are linearly independent. Suppose

$$\xi(R) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n r_{ij}(\alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij}(1 - \text{sign } p_{ij})) = 0,$$

(where  $\alpha_1 = 0$ ), for some  $\alpha_i, \beta_j, \gamma_{ij}$  in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Now we choose inductively a

sequence  $\{(i_k, j_k)\}_{k=1}^{n+m-1}$  where  $(i_k, j_k) \notin Z$ , satisfying:

- (a)  $i_1 = 1$  and  $(i_1, j_1) \notin Z$  (i.e.,  $p_{i_1 j_1} > 0$  and  $\gamma_{i_1 j_1} = 0$ )
- (b)  $\begin{cases} i_{k+1} \in \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \text{ and } j_{k+1} \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \\ \text{or} \\ i_{k+1} \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \text{ and } j_{k+1} \in \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}. \end{cases}$

We can construct the sequence  $\{(i_k, j_k)\}$ , since the matrix  $P$  is an elementary matrix. Note that

$$\text{card}\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} + \text{card}\{j_1, \dots, j_k\} = k + 1$$

( $k = 1, \dots, m + n - 1$ ), so

$$\{i_1, \dots, i_{n+m-1}\} = \{1, \dots, m\},$$

$$\{j_1, \dots, j_{n+m-1}\} = \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Let  $S^{ij} = (s_{kl})$  be the  $n \times m$  matrix such that

$$s_{kl} = \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}.$$

The conditions  $\xi(S^{ij}) = 0$  imply

$$\alpha_{i_k} + \beta_{j_k} = 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, n + m - 1).$$

Clearly  $\beta_{j_1} = 0$ , since  $i_1 = 1$  and  $\alpha_1 = 0$ . We obtain

$$\alpha_{i_2} = \beta_{j_2} = 0$$

since  $\alpha_{i_1} = \beta_{j_1} = 0$  and  $i_1 = i_2$  or  $j_1 = j_2$ . Continuing in this way, we obtain

$$\alpha_{i_1} = \dots = \alpha_{i_{n+m-1}} = \beta_{j_1} = \dots = \beta_{j_{n+m-1}} = 0.$$

Thus  $\gamma_{ij} = 0$  for all  $(i, j) \in Z$ , since

$$\mathcal{H}_{ij}(S^{kl}) = \delta_{ik} \delta_{jl}.$$

Therefore the functionals (\*) are linearly independent.

PROPERTY 2. Let  $P = (p_{ij}) \in \mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)$  be an  $n \times m$  matrix which can be decomposed into  $k_0$  elementary matrices. Then

$$\dim_{\mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)} P = nm + k_0 - n_0 - m_0 - z$$

where

$$z = \text{card}\{p_{ij} : p_{ij} = 0\},$$

$$n_0 = \text{card supp } \mu = \text{card supp } P,$$

$$m_0 = \text{card supp } \nu = \text{card supp } P^t.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$P = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} P_k$$

be a decomposition of  $P$  into elementary matrices  $P_k$ . We have

$$\dot{\cup} \text{supp } P_k = \text{supp } P \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\cup} \text{supp } P_k^t = \text{supp } P^t.$$

The matrices  $P_k$  are doubly stochastic with respect to

$$(\mu|_{\text{supp } P_k}, \nu|_{\text{supp } P_k^t}).$$

By Property 1 we have

$$\dim_{\mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)} [P_k - n_k m_k - n_k - m_k] = z'_k$$

where

$$n_k = \text{card supp } P_k, \quad m_k = \text{card supp } P_k^t \quad \text{and}$$

$$z'_k = \text{card}\{p_{ij}: j \in \text{supp } P_k, i \in \text{supp } P_k^t, p_{ij} = 0\}.$$

If  $R = (r_{ij}) \in \{S: P \pm S \in \mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)\}$ , then  $|r_{ij}| \leq p_{ij}$ . We have

$$R = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} R_k,$$

where

$$R_k = I_{\text{supp } P_k^t} R I_{\text{supp } P_k}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)} P &= \dim\{R: \sum P_k \pm R_k \in \mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \dim\{R_k: P_k \pm R_k \in \mathcal{D}(\mu|_{\text{supp } P_k}, \nu|_{\text{supp } P_k^t})\} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \dim_{\mathcal{D}(\mu|_{\text{supp } P_k}, \nu|_{\text{supp } P_k^t})} P_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} (n_k m_k - n_k - m_k + 1 - z'_k) \\ &= nm - z - n_0 - m_0 + k_0 \end{aligned}$$

because

$$z = nm - \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} (n_k m_k - z'_k), \quad \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} n_k = n_0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} m_k = m_0.$$

Birkhoff [1] has shown that in the case

$$\mu(\{i\}) = \nu(\{i\}) = 1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

the set of extreme doubly stochastic matrices coincides with the set of all permutation matrices. This result was generalized to the infinite case by Kendal [10] (see also [9], [14]). For arbitrary measure  $\mu, \nu$  such that

$$n = \text{card supp } \mu, \quad m = \text{card supp } \nu$$

a matrix  $(p_{ij}) \in \mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)$  is *extreme* if and only if for every  $k \times k$  submatrix  $T$  of the matrix  $p_{ij}$  the number of positive entries of  $T$  is less than  $2k$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots, \min(n, m)$  (see [11], Proposition 2).

With each matrix  $P = (p_{ij})$  we associate a graph  $G(P)$  as follows. Corresponding to row  $i$  we have a node  $x_i$  in  $G(P)$  and corresponding to column  $j$  we have a node  $y_j$  in  $G(P)$ . There is edge joining  $x_i$  and  $y_j$  if and only if  $p_{ij} > 0$ . Then a matrix  $P \in \mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)$  is extreme if and only if the connected components of  $G(P)$  are trees (see for example [3], Theorem 2.1). For generalization to the infinite dimensional case see [7].

Note that  $P$  is an elementary matrix if and only if the graph  $G(P)$  is connected. A matrix  $P$  can be decomposed into  $k_0$  elementary matrices if and only if the graph  $G(P)$  has  $k_0$  connected components.

Suppose that  $P \in \mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)$  can be decomposed into  $k_0$  elementary matrices. Then, by Property 2,  $P \in \text{ext } \mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)$  if and only if

$$k_0 + nm = n_0 + m_0 + z$$

where

$$z = \text{card}\{p_{ij}; p_{ij} = 0\}, \quad n_0 = \text{card supp } P, \quad m_0 = \text{card supp } P^t.$$

### 3. Extreme positive contractions in the finite dimensional case.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $1 < r \leq p < \infty$  and let  $f \in L^p_+(m)$ ,  $g \in L^r_+(n)$  be functions with  $\|f\|_p = \|g\|_r = 1$ . Then the set*

$$\mathcal{A}_{f,g} = \{T \in \mathcal{L}_+(L^p(m), L^r(n)): Tf = g, T^*g^{r-1} = f^{p-1}, \text{supp } T = \text{supp } f\}$$

*is a weak operator closed face in the positive part of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}(L^p(m), L^r(n))$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 1 in [6] we have  $\|T\| = 1$  if  $T \in \mathcal{A}_{f,g}$ . We claim that, if

$$T = \alpha T_1 + (1 - \alpha)T_2 \in \mathcal{A}_{f,g}$$

for some  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $T_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{f,g}$ . Indeed, since  $\|Tf\| = \|f\|$ , by the strict convexity of  $L^p$ -space we have  $T_1f = Tf = g$ . Similarly  $T_1^*g^{r-1} = f^{p-1}$ . Since  $T_1$  attains its norm on  $f$ , we have  $\text{supp } T_1 \supset \text{supp } f$ . Since  $T_1 \leq T/\alpha$ , we obtain

$$\text{supp } T_1 \subset \text{supp } T = \text{supp } f.$$

Therefore  $T_1 \in \mathcal{A}_{f,g}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{f,g}$  is a face.

Now, let  $T$  be weak operator limit of a net  $T_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{f,g}$ . Obviously  $T \in \mathcal{P}$ . Since

$$\langle Tf, g^{r-1} \rangle = \lim \langle T_\alpha f, g^{r-1} \rangle = \langle g, g^{r-1} \rangle = 1$$

we have  $Tf = g$ . Similarly  $T^*g^{r-1} = f^{p-1}$ ,  $\text{supp } T \subset \text{supp } f$ . Thus  $T \in \mathcal{A}_{f,g}$ , so  $\mathcal{A}_{f,g}$  is closed in the weak operator topology.

Note that if  $T \in \mathcal{A}_{f,g}$  then  $\text{supp } T^* = \text{supp } g$ . Indeed,

$$\text{supp } T^* = \bigcup_{h \in L^p(m)} \text{supp } Th.$$

Since  $T1_{(\text{supp } f)^c} = 0$ , we have

$$\text{supp } Th \subset \text{supp } Tf = \text{supp } g.$$

Hence  $\text{supp } T^* = \text{supp } g$ . We can write

$$\mathcal{A}_{f,g} = \{T \in \mathcal{L}_+(L^p(m), L^r(n)) : Tf = g, T^*g^{r-1} = f^{p-1}, \text{supp } T^* = \text{supp } g\}.$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $1 < r \leq p < \infty$ , and let  $f \in L^p_+(m)$ ,  $g \in L^r_+(n)$  be such that  $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_{f,g}$  is affinely isomorphic to  $\mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)$  where  $d\mu = f^p dm$ ,  $d\nu = g^r dn$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $T \in \mathcal{A}_{f,g}$  we define an operator

$$P_T: L^\infty(\mu) \rightarrow L(\nu)$$

by

$$(1) \quad P_T h = \frac{T(hf)}{g}.$$

It is easy to see that  $P_T$  extends to an operator  $P_T \in \mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)$ . Conversely, if  $Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)$  then

$$Th = gQ\left(\frac{h}{f}\right)$$

defines an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}_+(L^p(m), L^r(n))$ . Moreover  $T \in \mathcal{A}_{f,g}$ . Indeed, since  $P$  acts on classes of functions modulo  $\mu$ -null sets,  $\text{supp } T \subset \text{supp } f$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int (T^*\nu)udm &= \int \nu T u dn \\ &= \int \nu g^{1-r} Q(u/f) d\nu \\ &= \int f^{p-1} Q^*(\nu g^{1-r}) u dm. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$T^*v = f^{p-1}Q(vg^{1-r}).$$

Now it is easy to see that  $T \in \mathcal{A}_{f,g}$ .

Therefore  $T \rightarrow P_T$  is an affine bijection from  $\mathcal{A}_{f,g}$  onto  $\mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)$ .

LEMMA 1. *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}_+(l_n^p, l_m^p)$  be an elementary operator. Then*

$$\dim \mathcal{P}T = \begin{cases} nm - n_0 - m_0 - z + 1 & \text{if } \|T\| = 1 \\ nm - z & \text{if } \|T\| < 1 \end{cases}$$

where

$$n_0 = \text{card supp } T, m_0 = \text{card supp } T^*, z = \text{card}\{t_{ij}: t_{ij} = 0\}$$

with  $T = (t_{ij})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\|T\| = 1$ . There exists a unique positive vector  $f = (f_j)$  of norm 1 such that  $T$  attains its norm on  $f$  and  $\text{supp } T = \text{supp } f$  (see Theorem 4 in [6]). The face generated by  $T$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  is included in  $\mathcal{A}_{f,Tf}$ . Hence the face generated by  $T$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  coincides with the face generated by  $T$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{f,Tf}$ . Since the face  $\mathcal{A}_{f,Tf}$  is affine isomorphic to  $\mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu)$ , where

$$\mu(\{j\}) = f_j^p, \quad \nu(\{i\}) = (Tf)_i^p$$

we have

$$\dim \mathcal{P}T = \dim_{\mathcal{D}(\mu,\nu)} P,$$

where  $P$  is defined by formula (1). Operators in  $\mathcal{D}(\mu, \nu) \subset \mathcal{L}(l_n^1, l_m^1)$  are identified with doubly stochastic matrices. Then to the operator  $T$  there corresponds a matrix  $(p_{ij})$  such that

$$p_{ij} = (Tf)_i^{p-1} t_{ij} f_j.$$

We have

$$\dim \mathcal{P}T = \dim_{\mathcal{D}(\mu,\nu)} (p_{ij})$$

and  $(p_{ij})$  is an elementary matrix, too (i.e.,  $k_0$  from Property 2 is equal to 1), so

$$\dim \mathcal{P}T = nm - n_0 - m_0 - z + 1.$$

Let  $\|T\| < 1$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{P}T &= \dim \text{lin}\{S: \|T \pm S\| < 1, T \pm S \geq 0\} \\ &= \dim \text{lin}\{S: T \pm S \geq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The condition  $T \pm S \geq 0$  is equivalent to  $|s_{ij}| \leq t_{ij}$  for all  $(i, j)$ . Thus  $s_{ij} = 0$  if  $t_{ij} = 0$ . Therefore

$$\dim \mathcal{P}T = mn - z.$$

As a consequence of Lemma 1 we obtain:

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $0 \neq T \in \mathcal{L}_+(l_n^p, l_m^p)$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$ , be an elementary operator. Then  $T$  is an extreme positive contraction if and only if*

$$\|T\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad nm + 1 = n_0 + m_0 + z,$$

where

$$n_0 = \text{card supp } T, \quad m_0 = \text{card supp } T^*, \quad z = \text{card}\{t_{ij}:t_{ij} = 0\}.$$

Let  $T = \sum T_k$ , where  $T_k$  are elementary operators. Then the operators  $T \in \text{ext } \mathcal{P}$  if and only if every  $T_k \in \text{ext } \mathcal{P}$ . Therefore the above theorem is a characterization of the extreme positive contractions in the finite dimensional case.

For an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L^p(m), L^r(n))$  we define

$$J(T) = \{ \text{supp } f: \|Tf\| = \|T\| \|f\| \}$$

(see [6]). Note that if  $p = r$ , one of  $L^p$  spaces is finite dimensional and  $T \in \text{ext } \mathcal{P}$ , then

$$\text{supp } T \in J(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp } T^* \in J(T^*).$$

*Remark.* Now we give the value of  $\dim_{\mathcal{P}} T$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}_+(l_n^p, l_m^p)$  be a contraction, which can be decomposed into  $k_0$  elementary operators,

$$T = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} T_k.$$

We may assume that  $\|T_k\| = 1$  for  $k = 1, \dots, k_s$  and  $\|T_k\| < 1$  for  $k = k_s + 1, \dots, k_0$ . Let

$$n_k = \text{card supp } T_k, \quad m_k = \text{card supp } T_k^*$$

and let

$$N = \sum_{k=1}^{k_s} n_k, \quad M = \sum_{k=1}^{k_s} m_k.$$

The numbers  $N$  and  $M$  are the cardinalities of the maximal elements of  $J(T)$  and  $J(T^*)$ , respectively. Then

$$\dim_{\mathcal{P}} T = nm + k_s - N - M - z,$$

where

$$z = \text{card}\{t_{ij}:t_{ij} = 0\}.$$

The above equality follows from the following equalities:

$$\dim \varphi T = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \dim \varphi T_k$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \varphi T_k &= nm - n_k - m_k + 1 - z_k & \text{for } k = 1, \dots, k_s \\ \dim \varphi T_k &= nm - z_k & \text{for } k = k_s + 1, \dots, k_0 \end{aligned}$$

$$z = nm - \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} mn - z_k$$

where  $z_k$  denote the number of null entries in the matrix corresponding to  $T_k$ .

*Example.* There exists an extreme positive contraction  $T$  such that  $T$  does not attain its norm on some vector. Let  $T \in L_+(\ell^2, \ell^2)$  be defined by

$$T(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} x_1, \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2), \frac{1}{2}(x_2 + x_3), \dots \right).$$

We define

$$\varphi(x) = \|x\|^2 - \|Tx\|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left[ (x_1 - x_2)^2 + (x_2 - x_3)^2 + \dots \right].$$

Obviously  $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ , hence  $\|T\| \leq 1$ . For every nonzero vector  $x \in \ell^2$  there exists an  $i$  such that  $x_i \neq x_{i+1}$ . Then  $\varphi(x) \neq 0$  i.e.,  $T$  does not attain its norm on any vector in  $\ell^2$ . We claim that  $T \in \text{ex } \mathcal{P}$ . Let  $R \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^2, \ell^2)$  be such that

$$T \pm R \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|T \pm R\| \leq 1.$$

It follows that

$$Rx = (r_{11}x_1, r_{21}x_1 + r_{22}x_2, r_{32}x_2 + r_{33}x_3, \dots).$$

Since

$$2\|Rx\|^2 + 2\|Tx\|^2 = \|(T + R)x\|^2 + \|(T - R)x\|^2 \leq 2\|x\|^2,$$

we obtain

$$(a) \quad \|Rx\|^2 \leq \varphi(x)$$

and analogously

$$(b) \quad \|R^*x\|^2 \leq \varphi^*(x)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(x) &= \|x\|^2 - \|T^*x\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ (\sqrt{2}x_1 - x_2)^2 + (x_2 - x_3)^2 + (x_3 - x_4)^2 + \dots \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We put

$$y^{(n)} = \left( \underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_n, 1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{2}{n}, \dots, 0, 0, \dots \right),$$

$$z^{(n)} = \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_n, 1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 - \frac{2}{n}, \dots, 0, 0, \dots \right)$$

for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . If  $x = y^{(n)}$  in (a) then we obtain

$$r_{11}^2 + (r_{21} + r_{22})^2 + \dots \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ (1 - 1)^2 + \dots \right] = \frac{1}{4n}.$$

Thus

$$r_{11} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad r_{k,k-1} = -r_{kk} \quad \text{for } k = 2, 3, \dots$$

Now we use the inequality (b). By a similar calculation for  $z^{(n)}$  we obtain

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} r_{11} = -r_{21} \quad \text{and} \quad r_{kk} = -r_{k+1,k} \quad \text{for } k = 2, 3, \dots$$

It follows that  $R = 0$ .

An analogous example in  $L^2[0, 1]$  is obtained by letting

$$(Tf)(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} f(t) & t \in [0, 1/2) \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} f(2t - 1) + \frac{1}{2} f(t) & t \in [1/2, 1). \end{cases}$$

The operator  $T$  is an extreme positive contraction on  $L^2[0, 1]$  which does not attain its norm on any unit vector. Some additional information about extreme positive contraction on  $l^p$  can be found in [8].

**4. Extreme positive contractions in  $\mathcal{L}(l_n^p, l_m^r)$ .**

LEMMA 2. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}_+(l_n^p, l_m^r)$  where  $1 < r < p < \infty$  and  $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$ . Then

$$\dim_{\varphi} T = \begin{cases} nm + k_0 - n_0 - m_0 - z & \text{if } \|T\| = 1 \\ nm - z & \text{if } \|T\| < 1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$n_0 = \text{card supp } T, \quad m_0 = \text{card supp } T^*, \quad z = \text{card}\{t_{ij}: t_{ij} = 0\},$$

$k_0$  is the number of elementary operators into which the operator  $T$  can be decomposed.

*Proof.* Let  $\|T\| = 1$ . Then there exists a unique vector  $f = (f_j) \geq 0$  such that  $\|f\| = 1$ ,  $T$  attains its norm at  $f$  and  $\text{supp } T = \text{supp } f$ . Let us put

$$p_{ij} = (Tf)_i^{r-1} t_{ij} f_j.$$

By an argument analogous to the one in the proof of Lemma 1 we have

$$\dim \mathcal{P}T = \dim \mathcal{D}_{(\mu, \nu)}(p_{ij})$$

where  $\mu, \nu$  are measures such that

$$\mu(\{j\}) = f_j^p, \nu(\{i\}) = (Tf)_i^r$$

and we use Property 2.

If  $\|T\| < 1$  it is easy to see that  $\dim T = nm - z$ .

**COROLLARY.** Let  $1 < r < p < \infty$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $0 \neq T \in \mathcal{L}_+(l_n^p, l_m^r)$ . Then  $T \in \text{ex } \mathcal{P}$  if and only if

$$\|T\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad mn + k_0 = n_0 + m_0 + z,$$

where

$$n_0 = \text{card supp } T, m_0 = \text{card supp } T^*, z = \text{card}\{t_{ij}: t_{ij} = 0\}$$

and  $k_0$  denote the number of elementary operators into which  $T$  can be decomposed.

**5. Skeletons in the set of positive contractions.** The  $k$ -skeleton of a convex set  $Q$  is the set of all points  $x \in Q$  such that  $\dim_Q x \leq k$ . A convex compact set  $Q$  in Euclidean space is called *stable* if all the  $k$ -skeletons of  $Q$  are closed (see [13]). The set of extreme points is the 0-skeleton.

*Example.* Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l_2^p, l_2^p)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , be defined by

$$T(x_1, x_2) = \left( ax_1 + bx_2, \frac{1}{2} x_2 \right).$$

For every  $0 \leq a \leq 1$  there exists  $b \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\|T\| = 1$ . Let  $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < 1$  with  $\lim a_n = 1$  and  $0 \leq b_n \leq 1$  be such that  $\|T_n\|_p = 1$ , where

$$T_n = \begin{bmatrix} a_n & b_n \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Fix  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  be such that  $T_n$  attains its norm on  $(\alpha, \beta) \in l_2^p$  and  $\|(\alpha, \beta)\|_p = 1$ . We have

$$1 = \|T_{k+1}\|_p^p \geq (a_{k+1}\alpha + b_{k+1}\beta)^p + \left(\frac{1}{2}\beta\right)^p$$

$$= [(a_k\alpha + b_k\beta) + (a_{k+1} - a_k)\alpha + (b_{k+1} - b_k)\beta]^p + \left(\frac{1}{2}\beta\right)^p.$$

Hence  $b_{k+1} \leq b_k$ . Since  $b_n \geq 0$ , there exists  $\lim b_n = b_0$ . Moreover  $b_0 = 0$  since otherwise we would have

$$1 = \lim \|T_n\| = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 1 & b_0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \right\| > 1.$$

The operators  $T_n$  are extreme positive contractions (Theorem 3) and

$$\lim T_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

is not extreme.

Using the above example it is not hard to see that the set of extreme positive contractions in  $\mathcal{L}(l_n^p, l_m^p)(n, m \geq 2, 1 < p < \infty)$  is not a closed set. Therefore the set of positive contractions in  $\mathcal{L}(l_n^p, l_m^p)(n, m \geq 2, 1 < p < \infty)$  is not stable.

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $1 < r < p < \infty$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$ . The set of all positive contractions in  $\mathcal{L}(l_n^p, l_m^r)$  is stable.*

*Proof.* We need to prove that for every positive contraction  $T$  there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for every  $S \in \mathcal{P}$  the condition  $\|S - T\| < \epsilon$  implies  $\dim_{\mathcal{P}} S \geq \dim_{\mathcal{P}} T$  (see [13], Theorem 2.3).

Let  $\|T\| < 1$  and

$$\epsilon = \min(1 - \|T\|, \{t_{ij}: t_{ij} \neq 0\}).$$

If  $\|S - T\| < \epsilon$  then

$$\|S\| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |s_{ij} - t_{ij}| < \epsilon.$$

The numbers of the null entries of  $S = (s_{ij})$  are less than or equal to the number of the null entries of  $T = (t_{ij})$ .

By Lemma 2 we obtain  $\dim_{\mathcal{P}} S \geq \dim_{\mathcal{P}} T$ .

Let  $\|T\| = 1$ . We put

$$\epsilon = \min\{t_{ij}: t_{ij} \neq 0\}.$$

If  $\|S - T\| < \epsilon$  then null entries of matrix  $(s_{ij})$  can be only on that place on which are null entries of matrix  $(t_{ij})$ . If  $\|S\| < 1$  then  $\dim_{\mathcal{P}} S \geq \dim_{\mathcal{P}} T$  (Lemma 2).

Now suppose that  $\|S\| = 1$ . The number  $d = nm + k_0 - n_0 - m_0 - z$  from Property 2 denote  $\dim_{\mathcal{P}}$  of operators  $T$  and  $S$ . Consider now the value of  $d$ , when we change one of the null entries in an  $n \times m$  matrix to a non zero entry. Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be fixed  $n \times m$  matrix. We put

$$n_0 = \text{card supp } A, \quad m_0 = \text{card supp } A^t, \quad z = \text{card}\{a_{ij}: a_{ij} = 0\}.$$

$k_0$  denotes the number of elementary matrices  $A_k$  into which matrix  $A$  can be decomposed,

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} A_k.$$

Let  $(i, j)$  be the index of a null entry which we change to a non zero entry. We consider the following five cases:

1<sup>0</sup>.  $i \in \text{supp } A_k, j \in \text{supp } A_k^t$ . Then  $n_0, m_0, k_0$  do not change.  $z$  decreases by one. Hence  $d$  increases.

2<sup>0</sup>.  $i \in \text{supp } A_{k_1}, j \in \text{supp } A_{k_2}^t, k_1 \neq k_2$ . Then  $n_0, m_0$  do not change.  $k_0$  decreases by one, since in place of two elementary matrices  $A_{k_1}, A_{k_2}$  there appears one elementary matrix. Hence  $d$  does not change.

3<sup>0</sup>.  $i \in \text{supp } A_k, j \in (\text{supp } A^t)^c$ . Then  $n_0, k_0$  do not change.  $m_0$  increases by one. Hence  $d$  does not change.

4<sup>0</sup>.  $i \in (\text{supp } A)^c, j \in \text{supp } A_k^t$ . Then  $m_0, k_0$  do not change.  $n_0$  increases by one. Hence  $d$  does not change.

5<sup>0</sup>.  $i \in (\text{supp } A)^c, j \in (\text{supp } A^t)^c$ . Then  $m_0, n_0, k_0$  increase by one, since there appears a new elementary matrix which possesses only this non zero entry. Hence  $d$  does not change.

Therefore we obtain  $\dim \varphi S \geq \dim \varphi T$ .

Note that the unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}(l_n^p, l_n^p), n \geq 2$ , is stable if  $p = 2$  and is not stable if  $p \neq 2, 1 < p < \infty$  (see [5]).

**6. The case of  $l^1$ - and  $l^\infty$ -spaces.** Assume that  $1 < p < \infty$ . For a matrix  $T$  we put

$$\sigma(T) = \sum_{i,j} \text{sign}|t_{ij}| = mn - z.$$

It is not hard to see that the following equalities hold:

If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l_n^1, l_m^1)$ , then

$$\dim \varphi T = \sigma(T) - N,$$

where

$$N = \text{card}\{j: \sum_{i=1}^m t_{ij} = 1\}.$$

If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l_n^1, l_m^p)$ , then

$$\dim \varphi T = \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{i=1}^m \text{sign } t_{ij}$$

where

$$J = \left\{ j: \sum_{i=1}^m t_{ij}^p = 1 \right\}.$$

If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l_n^1, l_m^\infty)$ , then

$$\dim_{\varphi} T = \text{card}\{ (i, j): t_{ij} \in (0, 1) \}.$$

If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l_n^p, l_m^1)$ , then

$$\dim_{\varphi} T = \begin{cases} \sigma(T) - n_0 & \text{if } \|T\| = 1 \\ \sigma(T) & \text{if } \|T\| < 1 \end{cases}$$

where  $n_0 = \text{card supp } T$ . Note that  $\|T\| = \|T^*1\|_p$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l_n^p, l_m^\infty)$ , then

$$\dim_{\varphi} T = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i \in I_2} \text{sign } t_{ij}$$

where

$$I_2 = \left\{ i: \sum_{j=1}^n t_{ij}^{p'} < 1 \right\}.$$

If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l_n^\infty, l_m^1)$ , then

$$\dim_{\varphi} T = \begin{cases} \sigma(T) & \text{if } \|T\| = \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} < 1 \\ \sigma(T) - 1 & \text{if } \|T\| = \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} = 1. \end{cases}$$

If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l_n^\infty, l_m^p)$ , then

$$\dim_{\varphi} T = \begin{cases} \sigma(T) & \text{if } \|T\| < 1 \\ \sigma(T) - m_0 & \text{if } \|T\| = 1 \end{cases}$$

where  $m_0 = \text{card supp } T^t$ . Note that

$$\|T\| = \|T1\|_p = \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^n t_{ij} \right)^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(l_n^\infty, l_m^\infty)$ , then

$$\dim_{\varphi} T = \sigma(T) - M,$$

where

$$M = \text{card} \left\{ i: \sum_{j=1}^n t_{ij} = 1 \right\}.$$

*Remark.* Using the above equalities and arguments similar to that which we use in Section 5 it is not difficult to check that the set of positive contractions is stable for all cases which we consider above.

**7. Strongly exposed points.** A point  $x_0$  in a convex set  $K$  is called *exposed* if there exists a linear functional  $\xi$  such that  $\xi(x_0) > \xi(x)$  for all  $x \in K \setminus \{x_0\}$ . An exposed point  $x_0 \in K$  is called *strongly exposed* if for any sequence  $x_n \in K$  the condition  $\xi(x_n) \rightarrow \xi(x_0)$  implies  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $1 < r \leq p < \infty$ . Each extreme positive contraction in  $\mathcal{L}(l_n^p, l_m^r)$  is strongly exposed.*

*Proof.* In a compact convex set each exposed point is strongly exposed. Therefore we need to show that each extreme operator is exposed.

Let  $0 \neq T = (t_{ij}) \in \mathcal{L}(l_n^p, l_m^r)$  be an extreme positive contraction. Then there exists a vector  $f = (f_i) \geq 0$  such that  $\|f\| = 1$ ,  $T$  attains its norm at  $f$  and  $\text{supp } T = \text{supp } f$ . We define a functional  $\xi$  by

$$\xi(S) = \sum_{i=1}^m (Tf)_i^{(r-1)} (Sf)_i - \sum_{i,j} s_{ij} (1 - \text{sign } t_{ij}),$$

$S = (s_{ij}) \in \mathcal{L}(l_n^p, l_m^r)$ . Suppose that  $S$  is a positive contraction. Then, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\xi(S) \leq \|Tf^{(r-1)}\|_r \|Sf\|_r - \sum_{i,j} s_{ij} (1 - \text{sign } t_{ij}) \leq 1,$$

and

$$\xi(T) = \|Tf^{(r-1)}\|_r \|Tf\|_r = 1.$$

Now suppose that  $\xi(S) = 1$  for some positive contraction  $S$ . Then  $s_{ij} = 0$  if  $t_{ij} = 0$ , and

$$\sum_i (Tf)_i^{(r-1)} (Sf)_i = \|Tf^{(r-1)}\|_r \|Sf\|_r = 1.$$

Therefore the zero entries of  $(s_{ij})$  and  $(t_{ij})$  coincide and  $Tf = Sf$ . Because the graph of an extreme doubly stochastic matrix determines this matrix (see [3], Theorem 2.1), and positive contractions are related to a doubly stochastic matrix (cf. proof of Theorem 2). We obtain  $S = T$ , i.e.  $T$  is exposed by  $\xi$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. G. D. Birkhoff, *Tres observaciones sobre el álgebra lineal*, Univ. Nac. Tucuman Rev. Ser. A. 5 (1946), 147-151.
2. R. A. Brualdi and P. M. Gibson, *Convex polyhedra of doubly stochastic matrices. I. Applications of the permanent function*, J. Combinatorial Theory 22 (1977), 194-230.
3. R. A. Brualdi, *Combinatorial properties of symmetric non-negative matrices*, Colloquio Internazionale sulle Teorie Combinatorie (Roma, 3-15 settembre 1973), Roma, Accademia Nazionale Dei Lincei (1976), 99-120.

4. P. M. Gibson, *Faces of faces of transportation polytopes*, Proceedings of the Seventh Southeastern Conference On Combinatorics, Graph Theory, And Computing, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, February 9-12 (1976), 323-333.
5. R. Grzaślewicz, *Extreme operators on 2-dimensional  $l^p$ -spaces*, Colloq. Math. 44 (1980), 309-315.
6. ——— *Isometric domain of positive operators on  $L^p$ -spaces*, to appear in Colloq. Math.
7. ——— *On extreme infinite doubly stochastic matrices*, submitted.
8. ——— *Approximation theorems for positive operators in  $l^p$* , submitted.
9. J. R. Isbel, *Infinite doubly stochastic matrices*, Can. Math. Bull. 5 (1962), 1-4.
10. M. G. Kendall, *On infinite doubly stochastic matrices and Birkhoff's Problem 111*, J. London Math. Soc. 35 (1960), 81-84.
11. J. Lindenstrauss, *A remark on extreme doubly stochastic measures*, Amer. Math. Monthly 72 (1965), 379-382.
12. L. Mirsky, *Result and problems in the theory of doubly stochastic matrices*, Z. Wahr. v. Geb. 1 (1963), 319-334.
13. S. Papadopoulou, *On the geometry of stable compact convex sets*, Math. Ann. 229 (1977), 193-200.
14. P. Révész, *A probabilistic solution of problem 111 of G. Birkhoff*, Acta Math. Acad. S. Hougaricae 13 (1962), 187-198.

*Technical University of Wrocław,  
Wrocław, Poland*