

# INEQUALITIES CONCERNING THE INVERSES OF POSITIVE DEFINITE MATRICES

by W. W. MUIR

(Received 4th October 1972)

## 0. Introduction

Much has been written on inequalities concerning positive definite matrices, but a new insight may be gained by examining inequalities from the standpoint of the inverse matrix. The standard inequality of Hölder can then be used in a more fruitful manner. This leads to some new results and a rediscovery of some known results.

## 1. Compound matrices

The following theory requires the use of the Binet-Cauchy theory of compound matrices which is described here.  $M$  is a given  $m \times n$  matrix and  $k$  is an integer less than the smaller of  $m$  and  $n$ .  $\alpha$  is a subset of  $k$  integers from the set  $(1, 2, \dots, m)$  and  $\beta$  is a subset of  $k$  integers from the set  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ . Suppose we delete all rows of  $M$  whose indices do not belong to  $\alpha$  and also all columns whose indices do not belong to  $\beta$ . The determinant of the remaining  $k \times k$  matrix is denoted by  $[M]_{\alpha\beta}$  or  $m_{\alpha\beta}$ . The matrix whose elements are  $m_{\alpha\beta}$  is denoted by  $M^{(k)}$ . The priority of the elements in rows or columns is in lexicographical order of the elements of either the set  $\alpha$  or the set  $\beta$  respectively.  $M^{(k)}$  therefore is a matrix of order  $m_{(k)} \times n_{(k)}$  where  $m_{(k)} = m!/(k!(m-k)!)$ . It can be proved (Aitken (1), p. 94) that

$$(MN)^{(k)} = M^{(k)}N^{(k)}. \quad (1.1)$$

## 2. The inverse log-convex property

In the text that follows it is assumed that  $A$  and  $B$  are positive definite real symmetric matrices each of order  $n \times n$  and  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are real non-negative numbers such that  $\lambda + \mu = 1$ .

Let  $f(M)$  be a scalar function of the elements of a matrix  $M$ . Then if

$$f((\lambda A + \mu B)^{-1}) \leq \{f(A^{-1})\}^\lambda \{f(B^{-1})\}^\mu \leq \lambda f(A^{-1}) + \mu f(B^{-1})$$

we say that the function  $f$  possesses the inverse logconvex property or ILC property for short. We note that if  $f(A^{-1})$  and  $f(B^{-1})$  are real non-negative numbers then the right-hand inequality follows (Bellman (2), p. 129).

## 3. A basic theorem

Let  $X$  be any real matrix and let  $g(M) = [X'MX]_{\alpha\alpha}$ . Then the function  $g$  has the ILC property.

Since  $A$  and  $B$  are positive definite real symmetric matrices of order  $n \times n$ , we can find a matrix  $P$  such that

$$P'AP = I, P'BP = F = \text{diag} (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n)$$

and

$$\gamma_i > 0 \text{ for all } i. \tag{3.1}$$

It follows that

$$(\lambda A + \mu B)^{-1} = P(\lambda I + \mu F)^{-1}P'.$$

We use also two inequalities:

(i) If  $x$  and  $y$  are real positive or zero numbers then (Bellman (3), p. 129),

$$\lambda x + \mu y \geq x^\lambda y^\mu. \tag{3.2}$$

(ii) If  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  are non-negative for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  then (Hölder's Inequality)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n u_i^\lambda v_i^\mu \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \right)^\lambda \left( \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \right)^\mu. \tag{3.3}$$

From (1.1) and (3.1) it follows that

$$(P')^{(k)}A^{(k)}P^{(k)} = I \text{ and } P'^{(k)}B^{(k)}P^{(k)} = F^{(k)},$$

where for instance if  $k = 3$ , from the matrix  $F^{(k)}$  we obtain

$$f_{11} = \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3, f_{22} = \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_4, \dots, \text{etc.}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} [X'(\lambda A + \mu B)^{-1}X]_{\alpha\alpha} &= [X'P(\lambda I + \mu F)^{-1}P'X]_{\alpha\alpha} \\ &= [U'(\lambda I + \mu F)^{-1}U]_{\alpha\alpha} \text{ (where } U = P'X) \\ &= \sum_{\beta} \sum_{\gamma} u'_{\alpha\beta} [(\lambda I + \mu F)^{-1}]_{\beta\gamma} u_{\gamma\alpha} \\ &= \sum_{\beta} u'_{\alpha\beta} [(\lambda I + \mu F)^{-1}]_{\beta\beta} u_{\beta\alpha} \text{ (as } \lambda I + \mu F \text{ is diagonal)} \\ &= \sum_{\beta} \left\{ \frac{u_{\beta\alpha}^2}{(\lambda + \mu\gamma_i)(\lambda + \mu\gamma_j)\dots(\lambda + \mu\gamma_l)} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and  $\beta$  represents the subset  $(i, j, \dots, l)$  from the numbers  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ . From (3.2) therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [X'(\lambda A + \mu B)^{-1}X]_{\alpha\alpha} &\leq \sum_{\beta} \left\{ \frac{u_{\beta\alpha}^2}{(\gamma_i\gamma_j\dots\gamma_l)^\mu} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\beta} u_{\beta\alpha}^{2\lambda} \left\{ \frac{u_{\beta\alpha}^2}{f_{\beta\beta}} \right\}^\mu \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{\beta} u_{\beta\alpha}^2 \right\}^\lambda \left\{ \sum_{\beta} \frac{u_{\beta\alpha}^2}{f_{\beta\beta}} \right\}^\mu \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

by Hölder's inequality.

By choosing appropriate values of  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  in (3.4) we obtain

$$[X'A^{-1}X]_{\alpha\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} u_{\beta\alpha}^2; [X'B^{-1}X]_{\alpha\alpha} = \sum_{\beta} \left(\frac{u_{\beta\alpha}^2}{f_{\beta\beta}}\right).$$

Hence (3.5) gives

$$[X'(\lambda A + \mu B)^{-1}X]_{\alpha\alpha} \leq \{[X'A^{-1}X]_{\alpha\alpha}\}^\lambda \{[X'B^{-1}X]_{\alpha\alpha}\}^\mu$$

and the result is proved.

**4. Deductions from the basic theorem**

(a) It follows that  $g(M) = [M]_{\alpha\alpha}$  is a matrix function that has the ILC property: (4.1)

in particular if  $k = 1$  then

$$[(\lambda A + \mu B)^{-1}]_{ii} \leq \{[A^{-1}]_{ii}\}^\lambda \{[B^{-1}]_{ii}\}^\mu,$$

which is given as Bergström's Inequality in Bellman (2, p. 131). Also if  $k = n$  we obtain

$$|\lambda A + \mu B| \geq |A|^\lambda |B|^\mu,$$

(Bellman (2), p. 128).

(b) Let  $\phi_k(M) = \sum_{\alpha} m_{\alpha\alpha}$ . Thus  $\phi_k(M)$  is the sum of the products of the eigenvalues of  $M$  taken  $k$  at a time.

Then  $\phi_k$  is a function with the ILC property.

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_k((\lambda A + \mu B)^{-1}) &= \sum_{\alpha} [(\lambda A + \mu B)^{-1}]_{\alpha\alpha} \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha} \{[A^{-1}]_{\alpha\alpha}\}^\lambda \{[B^{-1}]_{\alpha\alpha}\}^\mu && \text{(from (4.1))} \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} [A^{-1}]_{\alpha\alpha} \right\}^\lambda \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} [B^{-1}]_{\alpha\alpha} \right\}^\mu && \text{(from (3.3))} \\ &= \{\phi_k(A^{-1})\}^\lambda \{\phi_k(B^{-1})\}^\mu. && (4.2) \end{aligned}$$

If  $k = 1$  then (4.2) yields

$$\text{tr} \{(\lambda A + \mu B)^{-1}\} \leq \{\text{tr} (A^{-1})\}^\lambda \{\text{tr} (B^{-1})\}^\mu,$$

where tr stands for trace. Hence the trace of a matrix possesses the ILC property.

(c) Let  $M$  be a positive definite symmetric matrix and let the eigenvalues be

$$l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n, \text{ where } l_1 \geq l_2 \geq \dots, \geq l_n > 0.$$

Let  $L_k(M) = l_1 l_2 \dots l_k$ .

Then  $L_k$  is a function that follows the ILC property. (4.3)

The proof from the basic theorem is omitted since this result has already been given in equivalent form in Bellman (2, p. 130).

### 5. Certain types of matrices $A$ and $B$

Suppose we partition  $A$  as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $A_{11}$  is of order  $p \times p$  say. Let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & -A_{12} \\ -A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $\lambda = \mu = \frac{1}{2}$  and hence

$$\lambda A + \mu B = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

$A$ ,  $B$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are defined as above from now on in this paper.

Let  $D = A^{-1}$  and let  $D$  be partitioned similarly to  $A$  with

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} \\ D_{21} & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then it is easy to prove that

$$B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11} & -D_{12} \\ -D_{21} & D_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.1)$$

and that the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $B$  are the same.

The determinant of a principal submatrix of  $A$  is the same as the determinant of the corresponding principal submatrix of  $B$  and the same applies to their inverses. In our previous notation therefore we obtain

$$[A^{-1}]_{\alpha\alpha} = [B^{-1}]_{\alpha\alpha}. \quad (5.2)$$

Hence from (4.1)

$$[(\lambda A + \mu B)^{-1}]_{\alpha\alpha} \leq [A^{-1}]_{\alpha\alpha}^{\lambda} [B^{-1}]_{\alpha\alpha}^{\mu}$$

and inserting the appropriate values of  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  and letting  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, p$  we obtain

$$|A_{11}^{-1}| \leq |D_{11}| \quad (5.3)$$

i.e.  $|D_{11}| \geq 1/|A_{11}|$  (De Bruijn (3), page 28.)

### 6. Further inequalities concerning products of largest eigenvalues

Following the definition  $L_k$  of the product of largest eigenvalues and using (4.3) and (5.1) we obtain

$$L_k(A^{-1}) \geq L_k \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus  $L_k(A^{-1}) \geq L_k(A_{11}^{-1})$ , or, if we take  $r$  of the eigenvalues from  $A_{11}^{-1}$  and the rest from  $A_{22}^{-1}$ , we obtain

$$L_k(A^{-1}) \geq L_r(A_{11}^{-1}) \cdot L_{k-r}(A_{22}^{-1}). \quad (6.1)$$

Suppose the eigenvalues of  $A$  are  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \alpha_3 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_n > 0$ ; then it follows from (6.1) that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_n} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_{n-1}} \dots \frac{1}{\alpha_{n-k+1}} \geq \frac{1}{a_{11}} \frac{1}{a_{22}} \dots \frac{1}{a_{kk}}$$

or  $\alpha_n \alpha_{n-1} \dots \alpha_{n-k+1} \leq a_{11} a_{22} \dots a_{kk}$ . (See Bellman (2), page 134.)

**7. Further inequalities concerning sums of products of eigenvalues**

As  $\phi_k(A)$  is the sum of principal minors of order  $k$  and using the definitions of  $A$  and  $B$  given in Section 5 and the relationship (5.2) we see that

$$\phi_k(A^{-1}) = \phi_k(B^{-1}).$$

Hence from (4.2)

$$\phi_k(A^{-1}) \geq \phi_k \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \tag{7.1}$$

$$= \phi_k(A_{11}^{-1}) + \phi_{k-1}(A_{11}^{-1})\phi_1(A_{22}^{-1}) + \phi_{k-2}(A_{11}^{-1})\phi_2(A_{22}^{-1}) + \dots + \phi_1(A_{11}^{-1})\phi_{k-1}(A_{22}^{-1}) + \phi_k(A_{22}^{-1})$$

or in short, if  $\phi_0(A) = 1$ , then

$$\phi_k(A^{-1}) \geq \sum_{r=0}^k \phi_r(A_{11}^{-1})\phi_{k-r}(A_{22}^{-1}).$$

If  $k = n$  then from (7.1)

$$|A| \leq |A_{11}| |A_{22}| \tag{7.2}$$

the well-known Hadamard-Fischer theorem.

If  $k = 1$ , from (7.1) it follows that

$$\text{tr}(A^{-1}) \geq \text{tr}(A_{11}^{-1}) + \text{tr}(A_{22}^{-1}),$$

a sort of dual to the Hadamard-Fischer theorem.

Also we see from (5.3) and (7.2) that

$$\phi_k(A^{-1}) = \sum_{\alpha} [A^{-1}]_{\alpha\alpha} \geq \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{[A]_{\alpha\alpha}} \geq \sum \frac{1}{a_{11} a_{22} \dots a_{kk}}.$$

REFERENCES

(1) A. C. AITKEN, *Determinants and Matrices* (Oliver and Boyd, 1964).  
 (2) R. BELLMAN, *Introduction to Matrix Analysis*, 2nd Edition (McGraw-Hill, 1970).  
 (3) N. G. DE BRUIJN, Inequalities concerning minors and eigenvalues, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* 3 (1956), 18-35.