

AN ORDERED SHEAF REPRESENTATION OF SUBRESIDUATED LATTICES

WILLIAM H. CORNISH

Kennison's concept of an ordered sheaf is used to show that any member of the variety of subresiduated lattices is canonically isomorphic to the algebra of all ordered sections in a certain ordered sheaf, whose base is the Priestley space of the residuating sublattice.

0. Introduction

Recently in [5], Kennison introduced the notion of an ordered sheaf of finitary algebras and its associated algebra of ordered sections. In such a sheaf, the total space is Hausdorff, the base space is an ordered topological space, and a representation of an algebra as the ordered sections supplies a good generalization of the representation of an algebra as the algebra of all sections in a Hausdorff sheaf. Nevertheless, it would not seem to be an easy task to give a non-trivial ordered sheaf representation for each algebra in some variety. Here we show that such a representation is possible for the variety of subresiduated lattices; this variety was introduced by Epstein and Horn in their recent work [4] on modal logics.

1. Subresiduated lattices

The more intuitive definition of a *subresiduated lattice* is a pair (A, Q) , where Q is a bounded distributive lattice (with largest element

Received 20 December 1979.

1 and smallest 0) and Q is a sublattice of A containing 0, 1 such that for each $x, y \in A$ there is an element $p \in Q$ with the property that for all $q \in Q$, $x \wedge q \leq y$ if and only if $q \leq p$. This element p is denoted by $x \rightarrow y$. For $x \in A$, $x! = 1 \rightarrow x$ is the largest element of the *subresiduating sublattice* Q which is beneath x and Q is the sublattice $\{x \in A : x = x!\} = \{y! : y \in A\}$. Moreover, the following equations are identically satisfied:

$$(R1) \quad (x \wedge y) \rightarrow y = 1,$$

$$(R2) \quad x \rightarrow y \leq z \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y),$$

$$(R3) \quad x \wedge (x \rightarrow y) \leq y,$$

$$(R4) \quad z \rightarrow (x \wedge y) = (z \rightarrow x) \wedge (z \rightarrow y).$$

The concept of a subresiduated lattice was recently introduced by Epstein and Horn [4] to describe the Lindenbaum algebras of certain modal logics. In their paper [4, Theorem 1], they showed that an algebra $(A; \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ of type $(2, 2, 2, 0, 0)$ is a subresiduated lattice with $Q = \{x \in A; x = 1 \rightarrow x\}$ as the subresiduating sublattice if and only if $(A; \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ is a bounded distributive lattice and (R1)–(R4) hold identically. Thus, the class of subresiduated lattices will be considered as a variety R of algebras of type $(2, 2, 2, 0, 0)$. The subresiduating sublattice $\{x \in A; x = x!\}$ of an R -algebra A is denoted by $Q(A)$. The most familiar subclass of R is the class H of all *Heyting* (or relatively pseudocomplemented or, in the terminology of [6, Chapter 4], pseudo-Boolean) algebras, that is, bounded distributive lattices $(A; \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ such that for each $x, y \in A$, there is an element $x \rightarrow y \in A$ with the property that $x \wedge z \leq y$ ($z \in A$) if and only if $z \leq x \rightarrow y$. When \rightarrow is considered as a fundamental binary operation, H is nothing more than the subvariety of R which consists of all R -algebras A satisfying the identity $x = x!$ (that is $A = Q(A)$). Perhaps, it is also worth noting that a *finite* sublattice Q of a bounded distributive lattice $(A; \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$ subresiduates A , provided $0, 1 \in Q$; for $x, y \in A$, $x \rightarrow y$ is the supremum of all $q \in Q$ for which $x \wedge q \leq y$.

Let A be an R -algebra and F be a filter (dual ideal) of the sublattice $Q(A)$. Then, F induces an R -congruence on A which is given by $x \equiv y \pmod{F}$ ($x, y \in A$) if and only if $x \wedge f = y \wedge f$ for

some $f \in F$ if and only if $x \rightarrow y$, $y \rightarrow x \in F$ if and only if $(x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow x) \in F$.

The quotient R -algebra is denoted by A/F ; the congruence class of $x \in A$ is denoted $x/F \in A/F$. The above congruence is the unique R -congruence θ on A such that $F = \{q \in Q(A) : q \equiv 1(\theta)\}$. In fact, the map $F \rightarrow \text{mod } F$ is a lattice-isomorphism of the lattice of filters of $Q(A)$ onto the lattice of R -congruences of A ; the details are given in [4, Theorem 2].

2. The ordered sheaf

A *sheaf of R -algebras* is a triple (E, π, X) such that the following properties are fulfilled:

- (1) E and X are topological spaces and $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ is a local homeomorphism from E onto X ;
- (2) for each $x \in X$, the stalk $E_x = \pi^{-1}(\{x\})$ is an R -algebra;
- (3) the functions $(a, b) \mapsto a \wedge b$, $(a, b) \mapsto a \vee b$, and $(a, b) \mapsto (a \rightarrow b)$ from the subspace

$$\{(a, b) \in E \times E : \pi(a) = \pi(b)\}$$

of $E \times E$ into E are continuous;

- (4) the functions 0 and 1 which assign to each $x \in X$, the zero 0_x and the unit 1_x of E_x respectively, are continuous.

A *section* σ of such a sheaf (E, π, X) is a continuous map $\sigma : X \rightarrow E$ such that $\pi\sigma(x) = x$ for each $x \in X$. Under pointwise defined operations, the set $\Gamma(X)$ of all sections forms an R -algebra with smallest element 0 and largest element 1 ; this is ensured by conditions (3) and (4). For a background on sheaves of finitary algebras of a given type, we refer to Davey [3]; see also, Cignoli [1] and Kennison [5].

The space E of a sheaf (E, π, X) is called the *total space* while the space X is called the *base space*. A sheaf is said to be *Hausdorff* if the total space E is a Hausdorff topological space. An important fact about sheaves is that the set $\{x \in X : \sigma(x) = \tau(x)\}$, where two sections

agree, is open. Hence, in a Hausdorff sheaf $\{x \in X : \sigma(x) = \tau(x)\}$ is *clopen* (closed and open).

By an ordered (topological) space, we mean a set X which is both a topological space and a partially ordered set. Such a space is said to be *order-disconnected* if whenever $x \not\leq y$, there is a clopen increasing subset U of X such that $x \in U$ and $y \in X \setminus U$; a subset U of a partially ordered set is *increasing* if whenever $x \leq y$ and $x \in U$ then $y \in U$.

Specializing Kennison's definition [5, Section 1] of an ordered sheaf of finitary algebras to R -algebras, we have: an *ordered sheaf of R -algebras* is a quadruple (E, π, X, e) such that

- (1) (E, π, X) is a Hausdorff sheaf of R -algebras and the base space X is an ordered topological space, and
- (2) for each pair $x, y \in X$ with $x \leq y$, there is a so-called *order map* $e(x, y) : E_x \rightarrow E_y$ which is an onto R -homomorphism and $e(x, x)$ equals the identity of E_x , $e(y, z)e(x, y) = e(x, z)$, when defined.

An *ordered section* σ of an ordered sheaf (E, π, X, e) is a section of the sheaf (E, π, X) such that $e(x, y)(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(y)$ whenever $x \leq y$. The set $\Gamma_0(X)$ of all ordered sections forms an R -subalgebra of the R -algebra $\Gamma(X)$. It should be noted that a Hausdorff sheaf (E, π, X) gives rise to an ordered sheaf (E, π, X, e) by defining the order on X to be the equality relation and the order maps $e(x, y)$ to be the corresponding identity functions, wherein $\Gamma_0(X) = \Gamma(X)$. Thus, the concept of an ordered sheaf extends the notion of a Hausdorff sheaf.

We are going to represent an R -algebra A as the algebra $\Gamma_0(X)$ in a certain ordered sheaf (E, π, X) ; we proceed to describe X and then E .

Let L be a bounded distributive lattice. Then $S(L)$ denotes the *Stone space* of L . As a set, $S(L)$ is the set of all prime filters of L and a base for its topology is $\{s(a) : a \in L\}$, where $s(a) = \{F \in S(L) : a \in F\}$; $S(L)$ is a so-called spectral space and the map $a \mapsto s(a)$ is a lattice-isomorphism of L onto the compact-open subsets of $S(L)$. We use $P(L)$ to denote the *Priestley space* of L . As

a set, $P(L) = S(L)$ and a base for its topology is

$$\{s(a) : a \in L\} \cup \{P(L) \setminus s(a) : a \in L\} ;$$

with $P(L)$ ordered by set-inclusion between its member prime filters, $P(L)$ is a compact order-disconnected ordered space and the map $a \mapsto s(a)$ is a lattice-isomorphism of L onto the clopen increasing subsets of $P(L)$. For more details and the connection with the dual of the category of bounded distributive lattices, the reader is urged to consult [2].

For a non-trivial (that is, $0 \neq 1$) R -algebra A , let $X(A)$ be the Priestley space $P(Q(A))$ and $E(A)$ be the disjoint union of the R -algebras $E(A)_F = A/F$, $F \in X(A)$. For any $x \in A$, let $\hat{x} : X(A) \rightarrow E(A)$ be the Gelfand transform of x , that is, \hat{x} is defined by $\hat{x}(F) = x/F \in E(A)_F = A/F$ for each prime filter $F \in X(A)$. Also define $\pi(A) : E(A) \rightarrow X(A)$ by $\pi(A)(t) = F$, if $t = a/F$ for some $a \in A$ and (unique) $F \in X(A)$.

For any $x, y \in A$, $\{F \in X(A) : \hat{x}(F) = \hat{y}(F)\}$ is an open subset of $X(A)$. Indeed, suppose $\hat{x}(F) = \hat{y}(F)$. Then $x \equiv y \pmod{F}$ and so $(x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow x) \in F$. Then $s((x \rightarrow y) \wedge (y \rightarrow x))$ is a clopen (increasing) neighbourhood of F contained within the given set. It follows from general considerations ([3, Lemma 2.1]) that if $E(A)$ is endowed with the finest topology making the Gelfand transforms continuous (that is $E(A)$ is given the topology whose base for the opens is $\{\hat{x}(s(a)) : x \in A, a \in Q(A)\}$) then $(E(A), \pi(A), X(A))$ is a sheaf of R -algebras.

For $F \leq G$ (that is $F \subseteq G$) in $X(A)$, define

$$e(A)(F, G) : E(A)_F \rightarrow E(A)_G$$

to be the well-defined R -epimorphism $e(A)(F, G)(a/F) = a/G$ for each $a/F \in A/F$ with $a \in A$.

We now come to our theorem.

THEOREM. *Let A be a non-trivial R -algebra. Then $(E(A), \pi(A), X(A), e(A))$ is an ordered sheaf of R -algebras and the map $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ is an R -isomorphism of A onto the algebra $\Gamma_0(X(A))$ of all ordered sections.*

Proof. To show that we have an ordered sheaf, it suffices to prove the Hausdorffness of $E(A)$. Suppose $m, n \in E(A)$ with $m \neq n$. Then $m = \hat{a}(F)$ and $n = \hat{b}(G)$ for suitable $a, b \in A$ and $F, G \in X(A)$. Now $X(A)$ is order-disconnected, so if $F \neq G$, say $F \not\leq G$, there is a clopen increasing neighbourhood $U (= s(q)$ for some $q \in Q(A)$) such that $F \in U$ and $G \in X \setminus U$. Thus $\hat{a}(U)$ and $\hat{b}(X \setminus U)$ are open in $E(A)$, $m \in \hat{a}(U)$, $n \in \hat{b}(X \setminus U)$ and $\hat{a}(U) \cap \hat{b}(X \setminus U) = \emptyset$; that is, if $F \neq G$ then m and n are separated in $E(A)$ by open neighbourhoods. The complication arises when m and n are in the same stalk, that is, $F = G$. In this case, $m \neq n$ means $\hat{a}(F) = \hat{b}(F)$, that is,

$F \in \{G \in X(A) : (a \rightarrow b) \wedge (b \rightarrow a) \not\leq G\} = X(A) \setminus s\{(a \rightarrow b) \wedge (b \rightarrow a)\} = T$ (say), which is open in $X(A)$. Then $\hat{a}(T)$ and $\hat{b}(T)$ are disjoint open neighbourhoods of m and n , as required.

The definitions ensure that each \hat{a} ($a \in A$) is in $\Gamma_0(X(A))$ and that the map $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ is an R -homomorphism. If $a, b \in A$ and $a \neq b$, say $a \not\leq b$, then $a \rightarrow b \neq 1$ and so there is a prime filter H of $Q(A)$ such that $a \rightarrow b \notin H$. Then $\hat{a}(H) \neq \hat{b}(H)$ and so the homomorphism is one-to-one.

It remains to prove that the homomorphism is onto. Let $\sigma \in \Gamma_0(X(A))$ be fixed. For $F \in X(A)$, $\sigma(F) \in E(A)_F = A/F$ and so there exists $x_F \in A$ such that $\sigma(F) = \hat{x}_F(F)$. Now $\{G \in X(A) : \sigma(G) = \hat{x}_F(G)\}$ is clopen as $E(A)$ is Hausdorff. Moreover, it is increasing. Indeed, it is easy to establish that the set where two ordered sections agree in an ordered sheaf is both clopen and increasing! Hence, there exists $b_F \in Q(A)$ such that $\{G \in X(A) : \sigma(G) = \hat{x}_F(G)\} = s(b_F)$. Now $\{s(b_F) : F \in X(A)\}$ is an open cover of compact $X(A) = P(Q(A))$ (it is even an open cover of compact $S(Q(A))$) so there exist integers $i = 1, \dots, n$ for which $s(b_1) \cup \dots \cup s(b_n) = X(A)$ and $\sigma(G) = \hat{x}_i(G)$ for all $G \in s(b_i)$, where b_i and x_i are simplified notations for b_{F_i} and x_{F_i} , respectively.

With the given notation, $\hat{x}_i(G) = \hat{x}_j(G)$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $G \in s(b_i) \cap s(b_j) = s(b_i \wedge b_j)$, that is, $x_i \equiv x_j \pmod{G}$ for all

prime filters G of $Q(A)$ such that $b_i \wedge b_j \in G$. Thus, for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $x_i \wedge b_i \wedge b_j = x_j \wedge b_i \wedge b_j$. Otherwise, there are subscripts r and s such that $x_r \wedge b_r \wedge b_s \neq x_s \wedge b_r \wedge b_s$, say $x_r \wedge b_r \wedge b_s \not\leq x_s \wedge b_r \wedge b_s$ so that $b_r \wedge b_s \not\leq x_r \rightarrow x_s$ in $Q(A)$. But then we must have a prime filter H of distributive $Q(A)$ such that $b_r \wedge b_s \in H$ and $x_r \rightarrow x_s \notin H$. As $x_r \equiv x_s \pmod{H}$, $x_r \rightarrow x_s \in H$ and we have the desired contradiction.

Let $x = (x_1 \wedge b_1) \vee \dots \vee (x_n \wedge b_n)$. Because of the preceding paragraph, $x \wedge b_i = x_i \wedge b_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. In other words, $\hat{x}(G) = \hat{x}_i(G)$ for all $G \in X(A)$ such that $b_i \in G$, that is, $G \in s(b_i)$.

It now follows that $\sigma(G) = \hat{x}(G)$ for all $G \in X(A)$, that is, $\sigma = \hat{x}$ and the Gelfand transform does map A onto $\Gamma_0(X(A))$. //

The assignment of the ordered sheaf of the above theorem to each non-trivial R -algebra can be expanded to yield a functor from the category R into the appropriate category of ordered sheaves and their morphisms. We will not pursue the details here; ordered sheaf morphisms are defined in [5, p. 39].

An important subclass of R consists of those R -algebras A in which each element q of the subresiduating sublattice $Q(A)$ has a complement p within $Q(A)$, or equivalently $q \vee q^* = 1$. Here x^* denotes the element $x \rightarrow 0$ of $Q(A)$, for any $x \in A$. This class is the class of R_5 -algebras of [4]; R_5 is the subvariety of R which consists of all algebras satisfying (R1)-(R4) and the additional identity:

$$(R5) \quad x! \vee x^* \vee (x! \vee x^*)^* = 1 .$$

For details, see [4, Lemma 10]. For any R_5 -algebra A , $Q(A)$ is a Boolean lattice and so the base space $X(A)$ is totally unordered and the order maps $e(A)$ reduce to identity functions. Moreover, for each $F \in X(A)$, $Q(A/F)$ is the two-element chain. In other words, the stalks of the total space $E(A)$ consist of those subdirectly irreducible R_5 -algebras (special R_5 -algebras) which are R -homomorphic images of A (cf. [4, Definition 14, Lemma 16, Corollary 17]). In this way the ordered

sheaf representation can be used to characterize R_5 -algebras. It can also be used to characterize the so-called B -algebras and P -algebras of [4]. However, in these cases it is not necessary to proceed from the ordered sheaf representation. In [1, Theorem 3.6], Cignoli gave a characterization and sheaf representation of P -algebras, as a special class of distributive lattices.

In conclusion, it should be mentioned that the representation of R_5 -algebras, and hence B -algebras and P -algebras, can be obtained from a general representation theorem of Davey [3, Theorem 4-5], wherein the base space is the Stone space of a Boolean lattice of factor congruences of a finitary algebra.

References

- [1] Roberto Cignoli, "The lattice of global sections of sheaves of chains over Boolean spaces", *Algebra Universalis* 8 (1978), 357-373.
- [2] William H. Cornish, "On H. Priestley's dual of the category of bounded distributive lattices", *Mat. Vesnik* 12 (27) (1975), 329-332.
- [3] Brian A. Davey, "Sheaf spaces and sheaves of universal algebras", *Math. Z.* 134 (1975), 275-290.
- [4] George Epstein and Alfred Horn, "Logics which are characterized by subresiduated lattices", *Z. Math. Logik Grundlag. Math.* 22 (1976), 199-210.
- [5] John F. Kennison, "Integral domain type representations in sheaves and other topoi", *Math. Z.* 151 (1976), 35-56.
- [6] Helena Rasiowa and Roman Sikorski, *The mathematics of metamathematics*, Second edition revised (Monografie Matematyczne 41. PWN, Warszawa, 1968).

School of Mathematical Sciences,
 Flinders University of South Australia,
 Bedford Park 5042,
 South Australia, Australia.