

study method then talks about antidepressant use in general—but their findings once more specify TCA use only. One wonders whether the psychiatrists were asked about TCA use or about the use of antidepressants in general; if the former was the case then it might explain the relatively rare reports of use of drugs other than the TCAs, even in adolescents.

Also, although I realise there must be a long “lead time” before publication, it seems strange for an article to quote from a *British National Formulary* which has been out of date for more than a year—and to state, in July 1992, that fluvoxamine and fluoxetine are “the latest 5-HT re-uptake inhibitors”!

T. H. LEIGH

St Mary's Hospital
London W2 1NY

Reply

DEAR SIRs

We are concerned that the terminology concerning antidepressants employed in our paper led to some perplexity on Dr Leigh's part. In our prescription survey we sharply differentiated between the tricyclic (or related) antidepressants and other agents which may also be used in given conditions which are indications for the tricyclics (lithium, carbamazepine, MAOIs, etc). Upon reflection it may have been more correct to use the term “monoamine re-uptake inhibitor” (MARI) in preference to “tricyclic antidepressant”; however, we believe that the latter term is still a widely used generic term for this admittedly increasingly heterogeneous group of drugs. Whether they are “antidepressants” or “antidepressives” is quite another matter! None of the 248 respondents to the survey indicated similar problems with our terminology, therefore, for the purpose of interpreting our results the term antidepressant is synonymous with term tricyclic “or related” agent unless stated otherwise.

Regarding our description of fluoxetine and fluvoxamine as “the latest 5-HT re-uptake inhibitors”, we acknowledge that they may well be rather old news to most clinicians yet in the gentle, careful groves of British child psychiatry they are indeed new and possibly rather threatening commodities. Remember, we discovered that those old work horses amitriptyline and imipramine are still far and away the most popular “tricyclic” antidepressants prescribed by the specialty.

D. BRAMBLE
S. DUNKLEY

Clinical Sciences Building
Leicester Royal Infirmary
Leicester LE2 7LX

St Charles Centre

DEAR SIRs

We are writing to correct some errors of fact in the letter from T.D. Scannell (*Psychiatric Bulletin*, 1992, 16, 509). This letter makes a number of comments about St Charles Youth Treatment Centre, now St Charles Centre, part of the Youth Treatment Service (YTS), which merit a reply.

The letter suggests that management are intending to employ more psychologists. This is not the case: the complement for psychologists at St Charles numbers two (as it does at the Glenthorne Centre in Birmingham, also part of the YTS). With regard to the role of psychiatrists, there are arrangements in hand for formalising service contracts that will benefit both Centres by having psychiatrists who will participate in the work of multidisciplinary teams. The role of psychiatrists, like their professional colleagues, will be to contribute to clinical work, research, and training within the YTS. This is a long way from the monitoring of medication noted in your correspondent's letter, and will ensure the highest quality of service for the young people entrusted to the care of the YTS.

With regard to clinical autonomy, it is recognised within the YTS that clinicians have their professional codes of conduct and must act in the best interest of their client. It is not the policy of the YTS to seek to compromise clinical responsibility in any way.

There has not been a political directive that has changed the ethos at the St Charles Centre. Further, despite your correspondent's comments, the ethos at St Charles is not behavioural. (In any case, we would wish to resist the stereotype that behavioural practice has an emphasis on care and control: good behavioural practice is both psychotherapeutic and growth-enabling.)

A. MACKIN
C.R. HOLLIN

Youth Treatment Service
St Charles Centre
Brentwood, Essex
CM14 4SJ

Doctors in management

DEAR SIRs

There is no doubt that management education should form part of the training of every clinician, as stated by Gadd & England (*Psychiatric Bulletin*, August 1992, 16, 484–485).

Doctors have been encouraged to be directly involved with the NHS management process (Griffiths Report, 1983). This report generated the clinical directorate concept for unit management. Exposure to management development aims at