

## SOME IMBEDDING THEOREMS FOR SOBOLEV SPACES

R. A. ADAMS AND JOHN FOURNIER

**1. Introduction.** We shall be concerned throughout this paper with the Sobolev space  $W^{m,p}(G)$  and the existence and compactness (or lack of it) of its imbeddings (i.e. continuous inclusions) into various  $L^p$  spaces over  $G$ , where  $G$  is an open, not necessarily bounded subset of  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $E_n$ . For each positive integer  $m$  and each real  $p \geq 1$  the space  $W^{m,p}(G)$  consists of all  $u$  in  $L^p(G)$  whose distributional partial derivatives of all orders up to and including  $m$  are also in  $L^p(G)$ . With respect to the norm

$$(1.1) \quad \|u\|_{m,p,G} = \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \int_G |D^\alpha u(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p}$$

$W^{m,p}(G)$  is a Banach space. It has been shown by Meyers and Serrin [9] that the set of functions in  $C^m(G)$  which, together with their partial derivatives of orders up to and including  $m$ , are in  $L^p(G)$  forms a dense subspace of  $W^{m,p}(G)$ . Here, as usual,  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is an  $n$ -tuple of non-negative integers;  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ ;  $D^\alpha = D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n}$ , where  $D_j = \partial/\partial x_j$ . Consistent with (1.1),  $\|\cdot\|_{0,p,G}$  denotes the norm in  $L^p(G)$ .

The domain  $G$  is said to satisfy the cone condition if there exists a finite cone  $C$  (the intersection of an open ball in  $E_n$  centred at the origin, with a set of the form  $\{\lambda x : x \in B, \lambda > 0\}$  where  $B$  is an open ball not containing the origin) with the property that each  $x$  belonging to the boundary  $\partial G$  of  $G$  is the vertex of a finite cone  $C_x$  contained in  $G$  and congruent to  $C$ .

For any  $G$ , bounded or unbounded, we have the natural imbedding

$$(1.2) \quad W^{m,p}(G) \rightarrow L^p(G).$$

If  $G$  satisfies the cone condition there exist (the Sobolev imbedding theorem; e.g., see [7]) imbeddings of the form

$$(1.3) \quad W^{m,p}(G) \rightarrow L^q(G)$$

for  $p \leq q \leq np/(n - mp)$  if  $n > mp$ , or for  $p \leq q < \infty$  if  $n \leq mp$ , and, if  $G$  also has finite volume, for  $1 \leq q < p$  as well. If  $G$  is bounded and satisfies the cone condition a well-known theorem of Rellich and Kondrachov [8; 10] asserts that (1.3) is compact for all the above values of  $q$  excepting only  $q = np/(n - mp)$  if  $n > mp$ .

The compactness of these imbeddings is a useful tool (especially in the case  $p = 2$ ) for developing existence and spectral theory for partial differen-

---

Received October 13, 1970. This research was partially supported by the National Research Council of Canada under Operating Grants numbers A-3973 and A-4822.

tial operators on  $G$  (especially elliptic operators with boundary conditions of Neumann, third, or mixed type). The question therefore naturally arises (e.g., see the final remarks in [5]) as to whether the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem possesses extensions to unbounded domains  $G$ . For the subspace  $W_0^{m,p}(G)$ , defined as the closure in  $W^{m,p}(G)$  of the set of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in  $G$ , this question has been rather thoroughly investigated (e.g., see [1; 2; 3; 6]) and this study has resulted in the formulation in [3] of an analytic condition on (unbounded)  $G$  which is necessary and sufficient for the compactness of the imbedding

$$(1.4) \quad W_0^{m,p}(G) \rightarrow L^p(G).$$

It is clear that no imbedding of type (1.2), (1.3) or (1.4) for unbounded  $G$  can be compact if  $G$  contains infinitely many disjoint congruent balls, and, in particular, if it satisfies the cone condition. In [6], C. W. Clark notes that for the case  $m = 1$ ,  $p = 2$  and  $G$  contained in a cylinder of finite cross-section, that (1.2) cannot be compact if  $G$  has infinite volume. In sections 2 and 3 below we generalize this, showing, for any  $G$ , that (1.3) cannot be compact for any  $q \geq p$  unless  $G$  has finite volume and, in fact, unless the volume of  $G$  outside the ball of radius  $k$  with centre the origin tends to zero faster than any geometric sequence as  $k$  tends to infinity. We show also that if  $q < p$  then  $W^{m,p}(G) \subset L^q(G)$  if and only if  $G$  has finite volume. In this case the inclusion is, in fact, a compact imbedding.

Though necessary, the finiteness of the volume of  $G$  is not sufficient for the compactness of (1.3) for  $q \geq p$  (though it is sufficient for the compactness of (1.4); see [3]) as is shown by the following example.

*Example 1.* Let  $G$  be the union of infinitely many disjoint balls  $B_j$  of radius  $r_j$ . Define  $u_j$  on  $G$  by

$$u_j(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin B_j \\ (\text{vol. } B_j)^{-1/q} & \text{if } x \in B_j. \end{cases}$$

For  $q \geq p$ ,  $\{u_j\}$  is bounded in  $W^{m,p}(G)$  provided  $\{r_j\}$  is bounded. However  $\{u_j\}$  is not precompact in  $L^q(G)$  no matter how rapidly  $r_j$  tends to zero as  $j$  tends to infinity. Together with Theorem 2 below the method of this example can be used to show that (1.3) cannot be compact if  $G$  has infinitely many components.

In section 4 we establish (see Theorem 5) a sufficient condition for the compactness of (1.2) for suitably regular  $G$ . Theorem 5 is almost a converse of the necessary condition for compactness obtained in section 3. The method involves construction of nonstationary flows in  $G$  in terms of which the volume decay of  $G$  at infinity can be conveniently expressed. Theorem 5 generalizes the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem to many bounded domains (not satisfying the cone condition) as well as unbounded domains.

**2. Finite volume.** In this section we show that if there is a compact imbedding

$$(2.1) \quad W^{m,p}(G) \rightarrow L^q(G)$$

for some  $q$ , then  $G$  has finite volume. For  $q < p$  we show that there is not even an inclusion (2.1) unless  $G$  has finite volume.

Consider a tessellation of  $E_n$  by  $n$ -cubes of side  $h$ .  $K$  will always denote a cube in the tessellation under discussion.  $N(K)$ , called the neighbourhood of  $K$ , will be the cube of side  $3h$  concentric with  $K$  and having its faces parallel to those of  $K$ .  $F(K)$ , called the fringe of  $K$ , will be the shell  $N(K) \sim K$ . Let  $\mu$  denote  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure and let  $\lambda > 0$ .

*Definition.*  $K$  will be called  $\lambda$ -fat if

$$\mu(K \cap G) > \lambda\mu(F(K) \cap G).$$

If  $K$  is not  $\lambda$ -fat it will be called  $\lambda$ -thin.

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that there is a compact imbedding of the form (2.1) for some  $q \geq p$ . Then for each  $\lambda > 0$  every tessellation of  $E_n$  by cubes of fixed size contains only finitely many  $\lambda$ -fat cubes.*

*Proof.* Suppose for some  $\lambda > 0$  that we have a tessellation of  $E_n$  by cubes of side  $h$  containing an infinite sequence  $\{K_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $\lambda$ -fat cubes. Passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we can arrange that the neighbourhoods  $N(K_j)$  are disjoint. Clearly for each  $K$  there is a function  $\phi_K$  in  $C_0^\infty(N(K))$  with  $|\phi_K(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_K(x) &= 1, & \text{for all } x \in K, \\ |D^\alpha \phi_K(x)| &\leq M, & \text{for all } x \in E_n, 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M$  is a constant depending on  $n, m$ , and  $h$ , but not on  $K$ . Let  $\psi_j = c_j \cdot \phi_{K_j}$ , where the positive constant  $c_j$  is chosen so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |\psi_j(x)|^q dx &\geq (c_j)^q \int_{K_j \cap G} |\phi_{K_j}(x)|^q dx \\ &= (c_j)^q \mu(K_j \cap G) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_j\|_{m,p,G}^p &= (c_j)^p \sum_{0 < |\alpha| \leq m} \int_{N(K_j) \cap G} |D^\alpha \phi_{K_j}(x)|^p dx \\ &\leq \text{const. } (c_j)^p \mu(N(K_j) \cap G). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $K_j$  is  $\lambda$ -fat

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(N(K_j) \cap G) &= \mu(K_j \cap G) + \mu(F(K_j) \cap G) \\ &< \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \mu(K_j \cap G) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) (c_j)^{-q}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|\psi_j\|_{m,p,G}^p \leq \text{const.} (c_j)^{p-q}$ . Because  $q \geq p$  and  $c_j \geq h^{-n/q}$  for all  $j$  we have that  $\{\psi_j\}$  is bounded in  $W^{m,p}(G)$  and bounded away from zero in  $L^q(G)$ . Since the functions  $\psi_j$  have disjoint supports  $\{\psi_j\}$  cannot be precompact in  $L^q(G)$ . This contradicts the assumption that (2.1) is compact. Hence there can be no such sequence of  $\lambda$ -fat cubes. This completes the proof.

*Remark 1.* This method also shows that if there is a continuous imbedding of the form (2.1) for some  $q > p$  then for any  $\lambda > 0$  and any tessellation of  $E_n$  by cubes of fixed side there is  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\mu(K \cap G) \geq \epsilon$  for all  $\lambda$ -fat cubes  $K$ . For suppose to the contrary that there is a sequence  $\{K_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $\lambda$ -fat cubes with  $\mu(K_j \cap G) \rightarrow 0$  as  $j$  tends to infinity. If  $c_j$  is defined as in the above proof we have  $c_j \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\|\psi_j\|_{m,p,G} \rightarrow 0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . But  $\{\psi_j\}$  is bounded away from zero in  $L^q(G)$ , contradicting the continuity of (2.1).

- It follows that if there is such a continuous imbedding then either
- (a) there is a tessellation of  $E_n$  by cubes of fixed side and an  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $\mu(K \cap G) \geq \epsilon$  for infinitely many cubes  $K$  in the tessellation, or
  - (b) for every  $\lambda > 0$  and every tessellation of  $E_n$  by cubes of fixed side there are only finitely many  $\lambda$ -fat cubes.

In case (a) the volume of  $G$  is infinite; indeed  $\mu\{x \in G : N \leq |x| \leq N + 1\}$  does not tend to zero as  $N$  tends to infinity. In case (b), as we shall see in theorems 2 and 4,  $G$  has finite volume and  $\mu\{x \in G : N \leq |x| \leq N + 1\}$  tends more rapidly to zero as  $N$  tends to infinity than any geometric progression. Clearly many domains fall between these cases and for such domains there is no continuous imbedding of the form (2.1) for any  $q > p$ .

If an unbounded domain satisfies the cone condition then the Sobolev imbedding theorem provides imbeddings of the form (2.1) for some values of  $q > p$ . Such domains come under case (a). We have no examples of unbounded domains falling under case (b) for which there is an imbedding of the form (2.1) for some  $q > p$ , but our methods do not rule out this possibility.

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that there is a compact imbedding of the form (2.1) for some  $q \geq p$ . Then  $G$  has finite volume.*

*Proof.* Tessellate  $E_n$  by cubes of side 1 and let  $\lambda = [2(3^n - 1)]^{-1}$ . Let  $P$  be the union of the finitely many  $\lambda$ -fat cubes in the tessellation. Clearly  $\mu(P \cap G) < \infty$ . Let  $K$  be a  $\lambda$ -thin cube. Let  $K_1$  be a cube in  $F(K)$  for which  $\mu(K_1 \cap G)$  is maximal. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(K \cap G) &\leq \lambda \mu(F(K) \cap G) \\ &\leq \lambda(3^n - 1) \mu(K_1 \cap G) = \frac{1}{2} \mu(K_1 \cap G) \end{aligned}$$

because  $F(K)$  contains only  $3^n - 1$  cubes. If  $K_1$  is also  $\lambda$ -thin, select  $K_2 \subset F(K_1)$  with  $\mu(K_1 \cap G) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mu(K_2 \cap G)$ .

Suppose that an infinite chain  $\{K, K_1, K_2, \dots\}$  of  $\lambda$ -thin cubes can be constructed in the above manner. Then for each  $j$

$$\mu(K \cap G) \leq \frac{1}{2^j} \mu(K_j \cap G) \leq \frac{1}{2^j}$$

so that  $\mu(K \cap G) = 0$ . Let  $P_\infty$  denote the union of the cubes  $K$  for which such an infinite chain starting at  $K$  can be constructed. Then  $\mu(P_\infty \cap G) = 0$ .

Let  $P_j$  denote the union of the cubes  $K$  for which some such chain ends on the  $j$ th step (i.e.,  $K_j$  is  $\lambda$ -fat). For each  $K \subset P_j$  select a particular chain of length  $j$  starting at  $K$  and ending at some  $\lambda$ -fat  $K_j$ . For how many  $K$  in  $P_j$  can a particular  $\lambda$ -fat cube  $K'$  occur as the end  $K_j$ ? Any such  $K$  must lie in the cube of side  $2j + 1$  centred on  $K'$ . Hence there are at most  $(2j + 1)^n$  such cubes. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(P_j \cap G) &= \sum_{K \subset P_j} \mu(K \cap G) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^j} \sum_{K \subset P_j} \mu(K_j \cap G) \\ &\leq \frac{(2j + 1)^n}{2^j} \sum_{K' \subset P} \mu(K' \cap G) \\ &= \frac{(2j + 1)^n}{2^j} \mu(P \cap G). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \mu(P_j \cap G) < \infty$ . Since  $E_n = P \cup P_\infty \cup P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \dots$  we have  $\mu(G) < \infty$ . This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose  $W^{m,p}(G) \subset L^q(G)$  for some  $q < p$ . Then  $G$  has finite volume. In particular  $G$  has finite volume if there is a (continuous) imbedding of type (2.1) for some  $q < p$ .*

*Proof.* Again tessellate  $E_n$  by cubes of unit side and let  $\lambda = [2(3^n - 1)]^{-1}$ . Let  $P$  be the union of the  $\lambda$ -fat cubes in the tessellation. We claim that  $\mu(P \cap G) < \infty$ . If not, there is a sequence  $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of  $\lambda$ -fat cubes with  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(K_i \cap G) = \infty$ . We want the neighbourhoods  $N(K_i)$  to be disjoint. This can be arranged as follows. Let  $L$  be the lattice of centres of cubes in the tessellation. Break up  $L$  into  $3^n$  disjoint sublattices  $\{L_j\}_{j=1}^{3^n}$  with each  $L_j$  having period 3 in every coordinate direction. For each  $j$  let  $T_j$  be the set of cubes in the tessellation with centres in  $L_j$ . For some  $j$  we have clearly

$$\sum_{\lambda\text{-fat } K \in T_j} \mu(K \cap G) = \infty.$$

Let  $\{K_i\}$  be an enumeration of the  $\lambda$ -fat cubes in  $T_j$ . Then  $\{K_i\}$  has the desired properties.

Choose an integer  $i_1$  so that

$$2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{i_1} \mu(K_i \cap G) < 4.$$

Recall the functions  $\phi_K$  used in the proof of Theorem 1, and let

$$\psi_1 = 2^{-1/p} \sum_{i=1}^{i_1} \phi_{K_i}.$$

Because the sets  $N(K_i)$  are disjoint,

$$\int_G |\psi_1(x)|^p dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{i_1} \int_G |\phi_{K_i}(x)|^p dx.$$

Because  $K_i$  is  $\lambda$ -fat,

$$\int_G |\phi_{K_i}(x)|^p dx \leq \mu(N(K_i) \cap G) < \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \mu(K_i \cap G).$$

Thus

$$\int_G |\psi_1(x)|^p dx < \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{i_1} \mu(K_i \cap G) < 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right).$$

Similarly, for  $|\alpha| \leq m$

$$\int_G |D^\alpha \psi_1(x)|^p dx < 2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) M^p.$$

On the other hand

$$\int_G |\psi_1(x)|^q dx \geq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{q/p} \sum_{i=1}^{i_1} \mu(K_i \cap G) \geq 2^{1-q/p}.$$

Now choose  $i_2$  so that

$$2^2 \leq \sum_{i=i_1+1}^{i_2} \mu(K_i \cap G) < 2^3$$

and let

$$\psi_2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2/p} (2^2)^{-1/p} \sum_{i=i_1+1}^{i_2} \phi_{K_i}.$$

As above, we have for  $|\alpha| \leq m$

$$\int_G |D^\alpha \psi_2(x)|^p dx < \frac{2M^p(1 + 1/\lambda)}{2^2},$$

and also

$$\int_G |\psi_2(x)|^q dx \geq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2q/p} 2^{2(1-q/p)}.$$

Proceeding in this fashion we obtain a sequence  $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $C^\infty$  functions with disjoint supports such that for  $|\alpha| \leq m$

$$\int_G |D^\alpha \psi_j(x)|^p dx < \frac{2M^p(1 + 1/\lambda)}{j^2}$$

and

$$\int_G |\psi_j(x)|^q dx \geq \left(\frac{1}{j}\right)^{2q/p} 2^{j(1-q/p)}.$$

Then  $\psi = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \psi_j \in W^{m,p}(G)$  but  $\psi \notin L^q(G)$ .

This contradicts our assumption that  $W^{m,p}(G) \subset L^q(G)$ . Therefore  $\mu(P \cap G) < \infty$ , and, by the argument of Theorem 2,  $\mu(G) < \infty$ . This completes the proof.

Of course if  $\mu(G) < \infty$  then for all  $q < p$ , there is a continuous imbedding of the form (2.1). Moreover the usual proof of the compactness theorem for the case of bounded  $G$  uses only the property  $\mu(G) < \infty$ . So for  $q < p$  we have the circle of implications:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(G) < \infty &\Rightarrow (2.1) \text{ is compact} \Rightarrow (2.1) \text{ is continuous} \\ &\Rightarrow (2.1) \text{ exists} \Rightarrow \mu(G) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

**3. Rapid decay.** Suppose that there is a compact imbedding of the form (2.1) for some  $q \geq p$ . By Theorem 2,  $G$  has finite volume. In this section we show that  $\mu\{x \in G : |x| \geq R\}$  tends very rapidly to zero as  $R$  tends to infinity.

First we extend the notions of neighbourhood and fringe introduced in the previous section. Fix a tessellation of  $E_n$  and let  $Q$  be a union of cubes  $K$  in the tessellation. Define

$$N(Q) = \bigcup_{K \subset Q} N(K),$$

$$F(Q) = N(Q) \sim Q.$$

Given  $\delta > 0$ , let  $\lambda = \delta[3^n(1 + \delta)]^{-1}$ . Suppose that all the cubes  $K$  in  $Q$  are  $\lambda$ -thin. As  $K$  runs through the cubes inside  $Q$  the sets  $F(K)$  are contained in  $N(Q)$  and cover  $N(Q)$  at most  $3^n$  times. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(Q \cap G) &= \sum_{K \subset Q} \mu(K \cap G) \\ &\leq \lambda \sum_{K \subset Q} \mu(F(K) \cap G) \\ &\leq 3^n \lambda \mu(N(Q) \cap G) \\ &= 3^n \lambda [\mu(Q \cap G) + \mu(F(Q) \cap G)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu(G) < \infty$  we can transpose and get

$$\mu(Q \cap G) \leq \frac{3^n \lambda}{1 - 3^n \lambda} \mu(F(Q) \cap G) = \delta \mu(F(Q) \cap G).$$

For any set  $S \subset E_n$  let  $Q$  be the union of the cubes  $K$  of our tessellation whose interiors intersect  $S$  and define  $F(S) = F(Q)$ . If  $S$  is at a positive distance from the finitely many  $\lambda$ -fat cubes, then all of the cubes in  $Q$  are  $\lambda$ -thin and

$$(3.1) \quad \mu(S \cap G) \leq \mu(Q \cap G) \leq \delta \mu(F(S) \cap G).$$

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose that there is a compact imbedding of the form (2.1) for some  $q \geq p$ . For each  $r \geq 0$  let  $G_r = \{x \in G : |x| > r\}$  and let  $S_r$  be the surface  $\{x \in G : |x| = r\}$ . Let  $A_r$  denote the  $n - 1$  dimensional surface area of  $S_r$ . Then*

(a) *given  $\epsilon, \delta > 0$  there exists an  $R$  so that for  $r \geq R$ ,*

$$\mu(G_r) \leq \delta \mu\{x \in G : r - \epsilon \leq |x| \leq r\},$$

(b) if  $A_r$  is positive and ultimately non-increasing as  $r$  tends to infinity, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $A_{r+\epsilon}/A_r$  tends to zero as  $r$  tends to infinity.

*Proof.* Given  $\epsilon > 0$  tessellate  $E_n$  by cubes of side  $h = \epsilon/2\sqrt{n}$ . Then any cube  $K$  whose interior intersects  $G_r$  is contained in  $G_{r-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}$  and

$$F(G_r) \subset \{x \in G : r - \epsilon \leq |x| \leq r\}.$$

Given  $\delta > 0$ , define  $\lambda$  as above and take  $R$  large enough that the finitely many  $\lambda$ -fat cubes are all contained in the ball of radius  $R - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$  centred at the origin. Then for  $r \geq R$  all the cubes  $K$  whose interiors intersect  $G_r$  are  $\lambda$ -thin, and (a) follows from (3.1).

For (b) choose  $R_0$  so that  $A_r$  is non-increasing in  $[R_0, \infty)$ . Fix  $\epsilon', \delta > 0$  and let  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon'$ . Let  $R$  be as in (a). If  $r \geq \max\{R_0 + \epsilon', R\}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{r+\epsilon'} &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{r+\epsilon}^{r+2\epsilon} A_s ds \leq \frac{\mu(G_{r+\epsilon})}{\epsilon} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{\epsilon} \mu\{x \in G : r \leq |x| \leq r + \epsilon\} \\ &= \frac{\delta}{\epsilon} \int_r^{r+\epsilon} A_s ds \leq \delta A_r. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon'$  and  $\delta$  are arbitrary, (b) follows. This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY.** *If there is a compact imbedding of the form (2.1) for some  $q \geq p$  then for all  $k$*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} e^{kr} \mu(G_r) = 0.$$

*Proof.* From (a), we have that  $\mu(G_{r+1}) \leq \delta\mu(G_r)$  for given  $\delta > 0$  and all sufficiently large  $r$ . Thus  $\mu(G_r)$  tends to zero more rapidly than  $e^{-kr}$  for any  $k$ .

*Remark 2.* The argument used in the proof of (a) works for any norm  $\rho$  on  $E_n$ . For (b), we need in addition to have that  $A_r$  is well defined and that

$$\mu\{x \in G : r \leq \rho(x) \leq r + \epsilon\} = \int_r^{r+\epsilon} A_s ds.$$

This is true, for instance, when  $\rho(x) = \max |x_i|$ .

*Remark 3.* For the proof of (b), it is sufficient that  $A_r$  have an equivalent, positive, non-increasing majorant. That is, there should exist a positive, non-increasing function  $f$  and a constant  $M > 0$  so that for all sufficiently large  $r$ ,  $A_r \leq f(r) \leq MA_r$ . Indeed, if there is such a majorant then (a) and (b) are equivalent.

It is easier to determine whether a domain satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 4 than it is to determine whether it satisfies those of Theorem 1. We now show, however, that Theorem 1 is sharper than Theorem 4.



*Example 2.* (A horn) Let  $f \in C^1([0, \infty))$  be positive and non-increasing, with bounded derivative  $f'$ . Let  $G$  be the horn-shaped domain in  $E_3$  given by  $G = \{(r, \theta, z) : z > 0, r < f(z)\}$  where  $(r, \theta, z)$  are cylindrical polar coordinates. Let  $\rho$  be the supremum norm on  $E_3$ , i.e.,

$$\rho(x, y, z) = \max(|x|, |y|, |z|).$$

Then for all sufficiently large  $s$ ,  $A_s = \pi[f(s)]^2$ . Clearly  $G$  satisfies conclusion (b) of Theorem 4 if and only if

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s + \epsilon)}{f(s)} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > 0.$$

The monotonicity of  $f$  implies that conclusion (a) of Theorem 4 also holds if and only if (3.2) does.

We shall see later that, for domains of this type, the natural imbedding

$$(3.3) \quad W^{m,p}(G) \rightarrow L^p(G)$$

is compact. (In fact the techniques of [4] can be used to show this.)

*Example 3.* (A bihorn) Let  $f$  be as in example 2 above, satisfying (3.2) and also  $f'(0) = 0$ . Choose a positive, non-increasing function  $g \in C^1([0, \infty))$  satisfying

- (a)  $g(0) = f(0)/\sqrt{2}, g'(0) = 0,$
- (b)  $g(s) \leq f(s)$  for all  $s \geq 0,$
- (c)  $g$  is constant on infinitely many disjoint intervals of unit length.

Let  $h = \sqrt{f^2 - g^2}$ . Consider the domain

$$G = \{(r, \theta, z) : r < g(z) \text{ if } z \geq 0, \quad r < h(-z) \text{ if } z < 0\}.$$

Once again we have that  $A_s = \pi[f(s)]^2$  for all sufficiently large  $s$ , and that  $G$  satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 4.

Tessellate  $E_n$  by cubes of side  $\frac{1}{4}$  with faces parallel to the coordinate planes, one of the cubes being centred at the origin. There are infinitely many  $\lambda$ -fat cubes with centres on the positive  $z$ -axis, for  $\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ . By Theorem 1 the natural imbedding (3.3) is not compact.

Theorem 4 fails to reveal this fact because its conclusions are global conditions and the compactness of (3.3) seems to depend on the local properties of  $G$ .

**4. Flows.** In this section we prove that the natural imbedding

$$(4.1) \quad W^{m,p}(G) \rightarrow L^p(G)$$

is compact for a class of domains which includes the horn of example 2 (when (3.2) is satisfied). We need a sequence  $\{H_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$  of subdomains of  $G$  for which the imbedding is known to be compact, and we require the volume of  $G$  to decay very rapidly in each branch of  $G_N$ , the complement of  $H_N$  in  $G$ . Another way to state this second property is that the volume should increase rapidly as we flow towards the origin through  $G_N$ .

*Definition.* By a flow on  $G$  we mean a  $C^1$  map  $\phi : U \rightarrow G$ , where  $U$  is an open set in  $G \times E_1$  containing  $G \times \{0\}$ , and where  $\phi(x, 0) = x$  for all  $x$  in  $G$ .

For fixed  $x$  in  $G$  the curve  $t \mapsto \phi(x, t)$  is called a streamline of the flow. For fixed  $t$  the map  $\phi_t : x \mapsto \phi(x, t)$  sends a subset of  $G$  into  $G$ . We shall be concerned with the Jacobian  $\det \phi_t'(x)$  of this map (where  $\phi_t'$  denotes the Frechet derivative). Sometimes it is required of a flow that  $\phi_{s+t} = \phi_s \circ \phi_t$ , but we do not need this property and so do not assume it.

*Example 4.* Take  $G$  to be the horn of example 2 with (3.2) satisfied. Define

$$\phi(r, \theta, z, t) = \left( r \frac{f(z-t)}{f(z)}, \theta, z-t \right) \text{ for } t < z.$$

The flow is toward the plane  $z = 0$  and the streamlines diverge as the domain widens. This indicates that  $\phi_t$  is a local magnification for  $t > 0$ . Indeed

$$\det \phi_t'(r, \theta, z) = \left( \frac{f(z-t)}{f(z)} \right)^2 \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty.$$

In this case the magnification is just  $A_{z-t}/A_z$  because the speed of the flow in the  $z$  direction is constant. Thus the local properties of the flow reflect the global behaviour of the volume and cross-sectional area of  $G$ .

For  $N = 1, 2, \dots$  let  $H_N = \{(r, \theta, z) \in G : 0 < z < N + 1\}$ . The natural imbeddings  $W^{m,p}(H_N) \rightarrow L^p(H_N)$  are known to be compact because these sets are bounded and satisfy the cone condition. This compactness together with the above properties of the flow are sufficient to force the compactness of (4.1) for our horn.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $G$  be an open set in  $E_n$  for which*

(a) *there is a sequence  $\{H_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$  of open subsets of  $G$  such that for all  $N$  the imbedding  $W^{1,p}(H_N) \rightarrow L^p(H_N)$  is compact;*

(b) *there is a flow  $\phi : U \rightarrow G$  such that if  $G_N = G \sim H_N$  then*

(i)  $G_N \times [0, 1] \in U$  for each  $N$ ,

(ii)  $\phi_t$  is one-to-one for all  $t$ ,

(iii)  $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi(x, t) \right| \leq M$  for all  $(x, t)$  in  $U$ ;

(c) *the functions  $d_N(t) = \sup_{x \in G_N} |\det \phi_t'(x)|^{-1}$  satisfy*

(i)  $d_N(1) \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , and,

(ii)  $\int_0^1 d_N(t) dt \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Then the imbedding (4.1) is compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi \in C^1(G)$ . We want to estimate  $\int_{G_N} |\psi(x)| dx$ . For each  $x$  in  $G_N$  we have

$$\psi(x) = \psi(\phi_1(x)) - \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\phi_t(x)) dt.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G_N} |\psi(\phi_1(x))| dx &\leq d_N(1) \int_{G_N} |\psi(\phi_1(x))| |\det \phi_1'(x)| dx \\ &\leq d_N(1) \int_{\phi_1(G_N)} |\psi(y)| dy \\ &\leq d_N(1) \int_G |\psi(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G_N} \left| \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(\phi_t(x)) dt \right| dx &\leq \int_{G_N} dx \int_0^1 |\nabla \psi(\phi_t(x))| \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi_t(x) \right| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 dt \int_{G_N} |\nabla \psi(\phi_t(x))| M dx \\ &\leq M \int_0^1 d_N(t) dt \int_{G_N} |\nabla \psi(\phi_t(x))| |\det \phi_t'(x)| dx \\ &\leq M \left\{ \int_0^1 d_N(t) dt \right\} \left\{ \int_G |\nabla \psi(y)| dy \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\delta_N = \max(d_N(1), M \int_0^1 d_N(t) dt)$  we have

$$\int_{G_N} |\psi(x)| dx \leq \delta_N \int_G \{|\psi(x)| + |\nabla \psi(x)|\} dx \leq \delta_N \|\psi\|_{1,1,G}$$

and  $\delta_N \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now suppose that  $u$  is real-valued and belongs to  $C^1(G) \cap W^{1,1}(G)$ . The distributional partial derivatives of  $|u|^p$  are

$$D_j(|u|^p) = p \cdot |u|^{p-1} \cdot (\text{sgn } u) \cdot D_j u.$$

By Hölder’s inequality

$$\int_G |D_j(|u(x)|^p)| dx \leq p \|D_j u\|_{0,p,G} \|u\|^{p-1}_{0,p,G} \leq p \|u\|^p_{1,p,G}.$$

Therefore  $|u|^p \in W^{1,1}(G)$  and by the theorem of Meyers and Serrin [9] there is a sequence  $\{\psi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of functions in  $C^1(G) \cap W^{1,1}(G)$  so that  $\psi_j \rightarrow |u|^p$  in  $W^{1,1}(G)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G_N} |u(x)|^p dx &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{G_N} \psi_j(x) dx \\ &\leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \delta_N \|\psi_j\|_{1,1,G} \\ &= \delta_N \| |u|^p \|_{1,1,G} \\ &\leq \text{const. } \delta_N \|u\|^p_{1,p,G}. \end{aligned}$$

For complex-valued  $u$  in  $C^1(G) \cap W^{1,p}(G)$  we can apply the above argument to the real and imaginary parts of  $u$  to obtain the Poincaré inequality

$$(4.2) \quad \int_{G_N} |u(x)|^p dx \leq \text{const. } \delta_N \|u\|_{1,p,G}^p.$$

Since  $C^1(G) \cap W^{1,p}(G)$  is dense in  $W^{1,p}(G)$ , inequality (4.2) holds for all  $u$  in  $W^{1,p}(G)$ .

Finally, let  $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be bounded in  $W^{1,p}(G)$ . To show that  $\{u_j\}$  is precompact in  $L^p(G)$  it suffices, by a diagonalization argument, to prove that

- (i) the sequence  $\{u_j|_{H_N}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  is precompact in  $L^p(H_N)$ , for all  $N$ , and
- (ii) for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $N$  such that  $\|u_j\|_{0,p,G_N} < \epsilon$  for all  $j$ .

But (i) is true by assumption (a) of the theorem, and (ii) follows from the Poincaré inequality and the fact that  $\delta_N \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus the imbedding  $W^{1,p}(G) \rightarrow L^p(G)$  is compact. For  $m > 1$  the imbedding  $W^{m,p}(G) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(G)$  is continuous and so the composition  $W^{m,p}(G) \rightarrow L^p(G)$  is compact. This completes the proof.

*Remark 4.* We note that in example 4

$$d_N(t) = \sup_{s \geq N+1} \left\{ \frac{f(s-t)}{f(s)} \right\}^{-2} \leq 1 \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

Also

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} d_N(t) = 0 \text{ if } t > 0.$$

By dominated convergence

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 d_N(t) dt = 0.$$

The assumption that  $f'$  is bounded guarantees that the speed

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi(x, t) \right|$$

is bounded. The other hypotheses of Theorem 5 are easily verified, so that the imbedding (4.1) is compact.

*Remark 5.* It is easy to imagine more general domains to which Theorem 5 applies, although it may be difficult to specify a suitable flow. There appears to be such a flow, for instance, in a connected domain with infinitely many horn-like branches, as long as the volume decays rapidly enough in each branch. Indeed, for unbounded domains in which the volume decays monotonically in each branch, Theorem 5 is essentially the converse to Theorem 4. That is, we can apply the argument of Theorem 4 separately to each branch and show that, if the natural imbedding (4.1) is compact, then for all  $\epsilon > 0$

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_{r+\epsilon}}{A_r} = 0,$$

where  $A_r$  is the cross-sectional area of the branch. If the domain is sufficiently regular it will carry a flow, such that, as in example 4, the magnification in each branch will imitate the behaviour of  $A_{r-i}/A_r$ . Since  $A_r$  is monotonic and (4.3) holds, Theorem 5 applies and the natural imbedding is compact.

*Remark 6.* Theorem 5 can also be applied to bounded domains. Consider, for example, a domain like the horn of example 4 except that it is centred on a bounded spiral rather than the  $z$ -axis. Such a domain carries a flow much like the one in example 4, and by Theorem 5 the natural imbedding (4.1) is compact. The usual compactness theorem does not apply to this domain, however, because it does not satisfy the cone condition.

As another example consider a bounded domain which satisfies the cone condition except in neighbourhoods of one point where it has a cusp. Imagine a flow out of this cusp and let  $H_N$  consist of all points in the domain distant at least  $1/N$  from the tip of the cusp. Again Theorem 5 can be used to show that the natural imbedding is compact.

*Remark 7.* The proof of Theorem 5 can be modified to work with weaker hypotheses than (b) and (c). There are domains which appear not to satisfy (b) and (c) but for which some modified argument works. For instance, there are horns for which the natural imbedding is compact although  $f$  fails to be monotonic or even to have an equivalent non-increasing majorant. Most of the changes are fairly obvious, however, and we omit the details.

*Remark 8.* Finally, are there compact imbeddings of the sort  $W^{m,p}(G) \rightarrow L^q(G)$  for  $G$  unbounded and  $q > p$ ? By Theorem 2 such a domain would have to have finite volume and could not satisfy the cone condition. It does not even appear to be known whether there are any such domains for which there is a continuous imbedding of the above sort with  $q > p$ . If, however, such a continuous imbedding exists, then a standard interpolation argument shows that the imbedding  $W^{m,p}(G) \rightarrow L^r(G)$  is compact for all  $r < q$ .

## REFERENCES

1. R. A. Adams, *The Rellich-Kondrachov theorem for unbounded domains*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **29** (1968), 390–394.
2. ——— *Compact imbedding theorems for quasibounded domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (1970), 445–459.
3. ——— *Capacity and compact imbeddings*, J. Math. Mech. **19** (1970), 923–929.
4. R. A. Adams and J. Fournier, *A compact imbedding theorem for functions without compact support* (to appear in Can. Math. Bull.).
5. R. D. Adams, N. Aronszajn, and K. T. Smith, *Theory of Bessel potentials, Part II*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **17** (1967), 1–135.
6. C. W. Clark, *An embedding theorem for function spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **19** (1966), 243–251.
7. E. Gagliardo, *Proprietà di alcune classi di funzioni in più variabili*, Ricerche Mat. **7** (1958), 102–137.

8. V. I. Kondrachov, *Certain properties of functions in the spaces  $L^p$* , Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 48 (1955), 563–566.
9. N. G. Meyers and J. Serrin,  $H = W$ , Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 51 (1964), 1055–1056.
10. F. Rellich, *Ein Satz über mittlere Konvergenz*, Göttingen Nachr. (1930), 30–35.

*University of British Columbia,  
Vancouver, British Columbia*