

# ABSTRACT THEORY OF PACKINGS AND COVERINGS. II

by S. ŚWIERCZKOWSKI  
(Received 4th February, 1959)

**1. Preliminaries and summary.** The present paper is closely related to a paper with the same title by A. M. Macbeath [3]. We use many notions which are defined there for a measure-space; nevertheless we define them once more because we consider the slightly different case of a measure-ring.

Let  $(S, \mu)$  be a measure-ring with unity such that the measure  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite (for definitions cf. [2]). We assume that there exists a countable group  $G$  of transformations which map  $S$  onto itself and which preserve the measure  $\mu$  and the operations  $\cup, -, \cap$  in  $S$ . We denote by  $gA$  the image of  $A \in S$  by  $g \in G$ . For any subset  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  we write  $\bigcup_{g \in \Gamma} gA$  instead of  $\bigcup gA$ .

We say that an element  $P$  belonging to  $S$  is a *packing* (more precisely, a  $G$ -packing) of an element  $A \in S$  if  $gP \subset A$  for every  $g \in G$  and the elements  $gP$  are disjoint for different  $g$ . We call an element  $C \in S$  a *covering* of  $A$  if  $A \subset GC$ . If an element  $F \in S$  is simultaneously a packing and a covering of  $A$ , then  $F$  is called a *fundamental domain* for  $A$ . If, in particular,  $A$  is the ring unity  $1$ , then we call  $P$  (or  $C$ ) a packing (or covering) of  $S$ , and  $F$  a fundamental domain for  $S$ . In Theorem 1 we give a condition on  $(S, \mu)$  and  $G$  which is equivalent to the existence of a fundamental domain  $F$  for  $S$ .

If  $P$  and  $C$  are a packing and a covering of an element  $A \in S$ , then  $\mu P \leq \mu C$ . This result is stated in [3] (Theorem 1) for the ring  $S$  of all measurable subsets of a measure-space  $(X, S, \mu)$  and for  $A = X$ . However, the proof which is given there is more general and it can be applied to a measure-ring  $(S, \mu)$  and to an arbitrary  $A \in S$ . We shall use this result in several parts of our proof, referring to it as to the theorem about packings and coverings.

Let  $p$  be the upper bound of all measures  $\mu P$ , where  $P$  are packings of  $S$ , and let  $c$  be the lower bound of measures  $\mu C$ , where  $C$  are coverings of  $S$ . These numbers exist since the zero element  $0 \in S$  is a packing and the ring unity  $1$  is a covering of  $S$ . By the theorem about packings and coverings we have  $p \leq c$ . In Theorem 2 we give a condition on  $(S, \mu)$  and  $G$  which is equivalent to  $p = c$ .

The corollaries contain results which are analogous to Theorems 1 and 2 but concern the ring of measurable sets of a measure-space. We construct also examples which show that these theorems fail to be true if the measure is not  $\sigma$ -finite.

**2. Results.** Let  $e \in G$  be the identity transformation. We denote by  $(\pi)$ ,  $(\rho)$  and  $(\delta)$  the following properties:

$(\pi)$  If  $A \in S$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $A \neq 0$  and  $g \neq e$ , then there exists a  $B \subset A$  such that  $B \neq 0$  and  $B \cap gB = 0$ .

$(\rho)$  If  $A \in S$  has arbitrarily small coverings, then  $A = 0$ .

$(\delta)$  If for some  $A \in S$  and a certain  $g \in G$ ,  $g \neq e$  we have  $B \cap gB \neq 0$  for every  $B \subset A$ ,  $B \neq 0$ , then  $A$  has arbitrarily small coverings.

**THEOREM 1.** There exists a fundamental domain  $F$  for  $S$  if and only if both  $(\pi)$  and  $(\rho)$  hold.

**THEOREM 2.**  $p = c$  is equivalent to  $(\delta)$ .

We shall verify in § 6 that the  $\sigma$ -finiteness of  $\mu$  is in both theorems an indispensable assumption. Let us consider now a measure-space  $(X, \mathbf{S}, \mu)$ , where the measure  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and complete (see [2]). Let  $G$  be a countable group of transformations of  $X$  onto itself which preserve measurability and measure. We denote by  $(\pi_0), (\pi'_0), (\rho_0), (\delta_0)$  the properties :

$(\pi_0)$  If  $A \in \mathbf{S}, g \in G, \mu A > 0$  and  $g \neq e$ , then there exists a  $B \subset A$  such that  $\mu B > 0$  and  $B \cap gB = \phi$  ( $\phi$  is the empty set).

$(\pi'_0)$  No  $g \neq e$  has fixed points (i.e.  $gx \neq x$  for  $x \in X$ ).

$(\rho_0)$  If  $A \in \mathbf{S}$  has arbitrarily small coverings, then  $\mu A = 0$ .

$(\delta_0)$  If for some  $A \in \mathbf{S}$  and a certain  $g \in G, g \neq e$  we have  $\mu(B \cap gB) > 0$  for every  $B \subset A$  with  $\mu B > 0$ , then  $A$  has arbitrarily small coverings.

Applying Theorems 1 and 2 to the measure-ring defined by  $(X, \mathbf{S}, \mu)$ , we obtain the corollaries :

**COROLLARY 1.** *There exists a fundamental domain for  $(X, \mathbf{S}, \mu)$  if and only if  $(\pi_0), (\pi'_0), (\rho_0)$  hold simultaneously.*

**COROLLARY 2.**  *$p = c$  is equivalent to  $(\delta_0)$ .*

Let us consider a locally compact and  $\sigma$ -compact topological group  $H$ . We denote by  $\mu$  the Haar measure on  $H$  and by  $\mathbf{S}$  the ring of all  $\mu$ -measurable sets in  $H$ . Let  $G$  be a countable subgroup of  $H$ . The left translations by elements of  $G$  form a group of measure preserving transformations of the measure-space  $(H, \mathbf{S}, \mu)$ . Evidently  $(\pi_0)$  holds. Hence  $(\delta_0)$  is true and so  $p = c$ .

It follows from Corollary 1 that if  $G$  is discrete (in the topology induced by  $H$ ), then a fundamental domain exists. This is however a known result [1]. It follows also from Corollary 1 that if  $G$  is not discrete, then no fundamental domain exists. But this can be proved also directly. In fact, a fundamental domain  $F$  is of positive measure and we have  $F \cap gF = \phi$  for  $g \in G - \{e\}$ . Thus  $G - \{e\}$  cannot intersect every neighbourhood of  $e$  (see [4]).

**3. Two lemmas.** Let us call coverings of  $\mathbf{S}$  simply coverings and let us adopt the same convention for packings and fundamental domains.

**LEMMA 1.** *We assume that  $(\pi)$  holds. Then every covering  $C$  which is not a packing contains a covering  $C_0 \neq C$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $C \cap g^{-1}C \neq \emptyset$  for some  $g \neq e$ . Let  $A = C \cap g^{-1}C$  and let  $B \subset A$  satisfy  $(\pi)$ . Thus  $gB \subset gA \subset C$  and hence both  $B, gB$  are contained in  $C$ . Since they are disjoint it follows that  $C_0 = C - B$  is also a covering.

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $(\pi)$  and  $(\rho)$  hold and there exists a covering  $C$  with  $0 < \mu C < \infty$ , then a fundamental domain exists.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be the family of all coverings of finite measure. We observe that a partial order is defined in  $\mathbf{C}$  by the relation of inclusion. From Zorn's Lemma it follows that  $\mathbf{C}$  contains a maximal decreasing chain  $\mathbf{M}$ , i.e. an ordered subfamily  $\mathbf{M}$  of coverings such that no covering  $C_0 \in \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{M}$  is contained in all  $C \in \mathbf{M}$ . Let  $a = \inf_{C \in \mathbf{M}} \mu C$ . There exist coverings

$C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n, \dots \in \mathbf{M}$  such that  $a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu C_n$ . Put  $F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ . Thus  $\mu F = a$ . Let  $B = GF$ .

Since  $1 = GC_n$  for each  $n$  it follows that  $1 - B \subset G(C_n - F)$ . We obtain from  $\lim \mu(C_n - F) = 0$

that  $1 - B$  has arbitrarily small coverings. Hence, by  $(\rho)$ , we have  $B = 1$ ; i.e.  $F$  is a covering.

Let us verify that  $F \subset C$  holds for every  $C \in \mathbf{M}$ . Indeed, from  $F \cap C \neq F$  for some  $C \in \mathbf{M}$  follows  $\mu(F \cap C) < \mu F = a$ , and thus  $\mu \bigcap_{n=0}^m C_n < a$  for  $C_0 = C$  and sufficiently large  $m$ . This is a contradiction since  $\bigcap_{n=0}^m C_n \in \mathbf{M}$ . From  $F \subset C$  for every  $C \in \mathbf{M}$  we have that no covering  $C_0 \neq F$  is contained in  $F$ . Since  $F$  is a covering, we obtain, by Lemma 1, that  $F$  is also a packing and thus  $F$  is a fundamental domain.

**4. Proof of Theorem 1.** Suppose first that a fundamental domain  $F$  exists. We shall prove that both  $(\pi)$  and  $(\rho)$  hold. Assume that  $(\pi)$  is not true, i.e. that there exists an  $A \in \mathbf{S}$  and  $g \in G$  such that  $A \neq 0$ ,  $g \neq e$  and  $B \cap gB \neq 0$  whenever  $B \subset A$  and  $B \neq 0$ . From  $A \subset GF$  we have that, for some  $g_0 \in G$ , the set  $B = A \cap g_0 F$  is not empty. From  $B \subset A$  and  $B \neq 0$  it follows that  $B \cap gB \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction, since  $B \subset g_0 F$ ,  $gB \subset gg_0 F$  and  $g \neq e$ .

Now suppose that  $(\rho)$  is false. We assume that  $A \neq 0$  has arbitrarily small coverings. It follows that the same is true for  $GA$ . Thus, by the theorem about packings and coverings, there exists no packing of  $GA$  except 0. Evidently  $A \cap g_0 F \neq 0$  for some  $g_0 \in G$ . Thus  $P = A \cap g_0 F$  is a packing of  $GA$  which is different from 0 and this is a contradiction.

Now let us suppose that  $(\pi)$  and  $(\rho)$  hold. We take a maximal set  $\Phi$  of non-zero elements  $A$  of finite measure such that all elements  $GA$  ( $A \in \Phi$ ) are disjoint. This set is countable since  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Thus  $\Phi = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots\}$ . Suppose that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} GA_n \neq 1$ . By the  $\sigma$ -finiteness of  $\mu$  the element  $B = 1 - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} GA_n$  contains an element  $D \neq 0$  of finite measure. We have  $GD \cap GA_n = 0$  for every  $n$  and this is a contradiction since  $\Phi$  is maximal. Hence  $1 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} GA_n$ . Since  $A_n$  is a covering of  $GA_n$ , it follows from Lemma 2 that there exists a fundamental domain  $F_n$  for each  $GA_n$ . Thus  $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  is a fundamental domain for  $\mathbf{S}$ .

**5. Proof of Theorem 2.** We assume first that  $(\delta)$  does not hold and we shall prove that then  $p \neq c$ . Let  $A \in \mathbf{S}$  and  $g \in G$ ,  $g \neq e$  be such that for  $B \subset A$  and  $B \neq 0$  we have  $B \cap gB \neq 0$ , but  $A$  does not have arbitrarily small coverings. It follows that the lower bound  $m$  of measures of coverings of  $GA$  is positive. Let us prove that every packing  $P$  of  $\mathbf{S}$  is disjoint from  $GA$ . Assume the contrary. Then  $g_1 A \cap g_2 P \neq 0$  for some  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  and thus  $B = A \cap g_1^{-1} g_2 P \neq 0$ . We have  $B \subset A$ ,  $B \neq 0$  and thus it follows from  $B \cap gB \neq 0$  that  $g_1^{-1} g_2 P \cap g g_1^{-1} g_2 P \neq 0$ . Therefore  $P$  cannot be a packing. We now define  $Q = 1 - GA$ . If  $C$  is an arbitrary covering of  $\mathbf{S}$ , then evidently  $M = C \cap GA$  is a covering of  $GA$  and  $N = C \cap Q$  is a covering of  $Q$ . Consequently  $\mu M \geq m$ . We have  $M \cup N = C$ ,  $M \cap N = 0$  and this implies  $\mu N \leq \mu C - m$ . Let  $P$  be a packing of  $\mathbf{S}$ . Since  $P$  is disjoint from  $GA$ ,  $P$  is a packing of  $Q$ . Thus  $\mu P \leq \mu N$ , by the theorem about packings and coverings, and we obtain  $\mu P \leq \mu C - m$ . Therefore  $p < c$  follows.

We assume now that  $(\delta)$  holds and we shall prove that  $p = c$ . If  $(\rho)$  holds, then  $(\pi)$  follows by  $(\delta)$ , and then  $p = c$  by Theorem 1. Suppose that  $(\rho)$  does not hold and take a maximal set  $\Omega$  of non-zero elements such that each  $A \in \Omega$  has arbitrarily small coverings and the elements  $GA$ , where  $A \in \Omega$ , are disjoint.  $\Omega$  is countable by the  $\sigma$ -finiteness of  $\mu$  and

thus also  $Q = \bigcup_{A \in \Omega} GA$  has arbitrarily small coverings. We shall now prove that there exists a fundamental domain for  $1 - Q$ . This follows from Theorem 1. Indeed,  $(\rho)$  holds for each  $A \subset 1 - Q$  by the construction of  $Q$  and it remains to verify that  $(\pi)$  holds also. But if  $(\pi)$  is false for some  $A \neq 0$  and  $g \neq e$ , then, by  $(\delta)$ ,  $A$  has arbitrarily small coverings, contradicting  $(\rho)$ . Let  $F$  be a fundamental domain for  $1 - Q$ . For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a covering  $D$  of  $Q$  with  $\mu D < \varepsilon$ . It follows that  $F \cup D$  is a covering and  $F$  a packing of  $S$ . Thus  $c \leq p$ . By the theorem about packings and coverings, we have  $p \leq c$  and therefore  $p = c$ .

**6. Rings with a non  $\sigma$ -finite measure.** We give first an example of a measure-ring  $(S, \mu)$  and a group  $G$  such that  $(\pi)$  and  $(\rho)$  hold but no fundamental domain exists. Let  $S$  be the ring of all these sets of real numbers which either are countable or have a countable complement. Let  $G$  be the group of translations by integers. The measure  $\mu$  of  $A \in S$  is defined to be the number of elements in  $A$  ( $\mu A$  is infinite if  $A$  is infinite). Then  $(\pi)$  and  $(\rho)$  hold. We observe that every packing of  $S$  is a countable set and every covering is not countable. Therefore no fundamental domain exists.

Now let us give an example where  $(\delta)$  holds and  $p \neq c$ . Let  $L$  be the ring of all Lebesgue-measurable sets of real numbers and let  $N \subset L$  be the ideal of all sets of measure 0. We denote by  $L^*$  the quotient ring  $L/N$ . Let  $T$  be an infinite non-countable set and let to each  $\tau \in T$  correspond a replica  $L_\tau^*$  of  $L^*$ . We consider the product  $S = \prod_{\tau \in T} L_\tau^*$ . For  $A \in S$  we denote by  $A_\tau$  the  $\tau$ -coordinate of  $A$  ( $A_\tau \in L_\tau^*$ ). Let  $m$  denote the Lebesgue measure in  $L^*$ . We define  $\mu$  on  $S$  by

$$\mu A = \sum_{\tau \in T} mA_\tau,$$

where the sum of a non-countable collection of positive numbers is defined to be infinite. For  $A, B \in S$  let  $C = A \cup B$  if  $C_\tau = A_\tau \cup B_\tau$  for every  $\tau$ . Similarly we define in  $S$  the operations  $-$  and  $\cap$ . Let  $G$  be the group of translations of elements of  $L$  by rational numbers. Thus for every  $A \in S$  and  $g \in G$  we can define  $g(A_\tau)$  for each  $\tau$ . Let us define  $gA$  by  $(gA)_\tau = g(A_\tau)$ . Consequently  $(\pi)$  is true and  $(\delta)$  follows. Let us observe that if  $P$  is a packing of  $S$ , then each  $P_\tau$  is a packing of  $L_\tau^*$  and thus  $P_\tau = 0$  by the theorem about packings and coverings. Hence  $0$  is the only packing of  $S$  and we have  $p = 0$ . We easily observe that if  $C$  is a covering of  $S$ , then  $\mu C = \infty$ . Therefore  $p \neq c$ .

**7. Proofs of the corollaries.** Let  $N$  be the ideal of all subsets of  $X$  which are of measure 0; these sets form an ideal since the measure  $\mu$  is complete. We consider the measure-ring  $(S^*, \mu)$ , where  $S^*$  is the quotient ring  $S/N$ . Let us denote by  $A^* \in S^*$  the image of  $A \in S$  by the natural mapping of  $S$  onto  $S^*$ .

We first prove Corollary 1. Suppose that  $(\pi_0)$ ,  $(\pi'_0)$  and  $(\rho_0)$  hold. Then  $(\pi)$  and  $(\rho)$  hold for  $S^*$ . Thus, by Theorem 1, there exists an  $F \in S$  such that  $F^*$  is a fundamental domain for  $S^*$ . It follows that

$$P = F - (G - \{e\})F$$

is a packing of  $S$  such that  $Q = X - GP \in N$ . Evidently  $Q$  is a union of sets  $Gx$  where  $x \in Q$ . Let  $D \subset Q$  be any set which contains exactly one element from each of these sets  $Gx$ . We have  $D \in N$ , and thus  $D$  is measurable. It follows from  $(\pi'_0)$  that  $D$  is a fundamental domain for  $Q$ . Consequently  $P \cup D$  is a fundamental domain for  $S$ .

Conversely, if  $F$  is a fundamental domain for  $\mathbf{S}$ , then evidently  $F^*$  is a fundamental domain for  $\mathbf{S}^*$  and the necessity of  $(\pi_0)$  and  $(\rho_0)$  follows. The necessity of  $(\pi'_0)$  is obvious.

To prove Corollary 2 it suffices to observe that to every packing of  $\mathbf{S}$  corresponds a packing of  $\mathbf{S}^*$  of the same measure and conversely, and that the same is true for coverings.

## REFERENCES

1. C. Chabauty, Limite d'ensembles et géométrie des nombres, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **78** (1950), 143–151.
2. P. R. Halmos, *Measure theory* (New York, 1951).
3. A. M. Macbeath, Abstract theory of packings and coverings I, *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.* **4** (1959), 92–95.
4. A. Weil, *L'intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications* (Paris, 1953), p. 50.

QUEEN'S COLLEGE  
DUNDEE