

Children of time: the geological recency of intelligence and its implications for SETI

Giovanni Mussini 回

Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge, Downing St., Cambridge CB2 3EQ, UK Email: gm726@cam.ac.uk

Received: 22 April 2023; Revised: 08 September 2023; Accepted: 03 October 2023

Keywords: animal intelligence, convergent evolution, Drake equation, fossil record, SETI, Silurian hypothesis

Abstract

Of all species on Earth, only one – *Homo sapiens* – has developed a technological civilization. As a consequence, estimates of the number of similar civilizations beyond Earth often treat the emergence of human-like intelligence or 'sophonce' as an evolutionary unicum: a contingent event unlikely to repeat itself even in biospheres harbouring complex brains, tool use, socially transmitted behaviours and high general intelligence. Here, attention is drawn to the unexpected recency and temporal clustering of these evolutionary preconditions to sophonce, which are shown to be confined to the last $\leq 10^2$ million years. I argue that this pattern can be explained by the exponential biotic diversification dynamics suggested by the fossil record, which translated into a nonlinearly expanding range of cognitive and behavioural outcomes over the course of Earth's history. As a result, the probability of sophonce arising out of a buildup of its enabling preconditions has been escalating throughout the Phanerozoic. The implications for the Silurian hypothesis and the search for extraterrestrial intelligence (SETI) are discussed. I conclude that the transition from animal-grade multicellularity to sophonce is likely not a rate-limiting step in the evolution of extraterrestrial technological intelligences, and that while *H. sapiens* is probably the first sophont to evolve on Earth, on macroevolutionary grounds it is unlikely to be the last.

Contents

Introduction	1
The evolutionary recency of CCE exaptations	3
Primates	4
Cetaceans	4
Proboscideans	5
Avian intelligences	7
Cephalopod intelligence: A temporal outlier?	8
Bridging the gap: Does hominization supply a rate-limiting step to N?	10
The Silurian hypothesis: A palaeontological test	11
Explaining the Cenozoic cognitive 'explosion'	13
'Permissive environment' explanations: Windows of opportunity as a limiting factor	13
The 'open world' explanation: Time as a limiting factor.	14
CE drivers and model choice	17
SETI and the future of terrestrial intelligence	18
Conclusion	19

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Introduction

The Drake equation (Drake, 1961, 1965) offers a useful roadmap to lay out limiting factors to the frequency of observable extraterrestrial intelligences. In Drake's probabilistic argument, the number of technological civilizations detectable by radioastronomy (N) is a product of the following: the average rate of star formation in the galaxy (R^*), the fraction of stars with a planetary system (f_p), the average number of habitable planets per star (n_e), the fraction of these on which life eventually arises (f_1), the fraction of such biospheres in which intelligent lifeforms able to develop a technological civilization (henceforth 'sophonts', after Wright *et al.*, 2018) will evolve (f_i), the fraction of such communications.

Each variable in Drake's equation can be decomposed virtually *ad infinitum* into a series of further terms. Factored into f_1 are the probabilities of classical 'major evolutionary transitions' (Szathmáry and Smith, 1995), including eukaryogenesis and complex multicellularity or exobiological analogues thereof (Levin *et al.*, 2019). As shown by the millions of non-sophont multicellular eukaryotes on Earth (Mora *et al.*, 2011; Larsen *et al.*, 2017), these major evolutionary steps are necessary but insufficient preconditions for technological civilization to arise (Levin *et al.*, 2019). For *Homo sapiens* to evolve into a civilization-building species, additional 'minor' or proximate transitions were required. Among them was the transition from an animal-grade organization, combining complex multicellularity and heterotrophic habits (Butterfield, 2011; Knoll, 2011; Cavalier-Smith, 2017), to sophonce *sensu* Wright *et al.* (2018). This proximate transition can be factored into Drake's equation as f_s : the fraction of biospheres where animal-grade organisms give rise to $N \ge 1$ sophont species. f_s will be the focus of the present paper.

Whereas the astrophysical terms of Drake's equation $(R^*, f_p \text{ and } n_e)$ have all been constrained observationally (Frank and Sullivan, 2016), the history and properties of life on Earth provide the only available starting points to estimate the value of the 'biotic' terms, including f_s . In this respect, a sample size of 1 biosphere is suboptimal, but far from uninformative (Simpson, 2015). The timing and frequency of abiogenesis, major evolutionary transitions and other evolutionary events in Earth's history have all been analysed to extrapolate their cosmic probabilities and identify rate-limiting 'critical steps' in extraterrestrial technological intelligence (ETI) evolution (Watson, 2008; Spiegel and Turner, 2012; Kipping, 2020; Snyder-Beattie *et al.*, 2021).

Evolutionary analyses of intelligence's likelihood must inevitably factor in observer selection effects – the correlations between the observation of given properties and the existence of the observer in the first place (Bostrom, 2013). Given selection effects, any observer assessing the frequency of intelligence in the universe must necessarily spawn from a biosphere where all preconditions to sophonce were met at least once – that is, in the observer's own lineage. However, for the observer to exist, these preconditions need not have been met multiple times independently, hampering the assessment of their likelihood. In this light, the study of evolutionary histories beyond the observer's own lineage (e.g. Conway Morris, 2003; Martinez, 2014; Roth, 2015) offers a precious independent source of evidence to infer which preconditions to ETI are most and least likely to obtain (Powell, 2020).

Human sophonce rests on the unique 'ratchet' of open-ended cumulative cultural evolution (CCE), by which stepwise improvements in cultural products are retained and elaborated upon across generations (Tennie *et al.*, 2009; Powell, 2020). This trait distinguishes humans from other species known to produce socially transmitted technologies (Tennie *et al.*, 2009), none of which qualifies as sophont *sensu* Wright *et al.* (2018). Its uniqueness is often taken to imply a conspiracy of evolutionary contingencies unlikely to be repeated in Earth's future or in extraterrestrial biospheres – 'even those that evolve complex brains, sociality, and intelligence broadly conceived' (Powell, 2020). This scenario corresponds to extremely low values for f_s and, all else being equal, *N*: to produce technological signatures observable over interstellar distances (e.g. Drake, 1961, 1965; Ćirković, 2006; Bradbury *et al.*, 2011; Beatty, 2022; Haqq-Misra *et al.*, 2022a, 2022b) CCE stands out as a minimal prerequisite (Powell, 2020).

Importantly, however, human CCE stands on a cumulated set of necessary evolutionary preconditions or 'exaptations' (Gould and Vrba, 1982). Minimally, these include high domain-general intelligence (Chiappe and MacDonald, 2005), sociality (Ward and Webster, 2016), tool use (Van Lawick-Goodall, 1971) and culture, defined as socially transmitted group-level behaviour (Laland and Galef, 2009), together with any putative cognitive or behavioural unicum of *H. sapiens*. While CCE itself has appeared only once on Earth, at least some of its enabling exaptations have evolved repeatedly, and often in combination, in different lineages (e.g. Ćirković, 2018a).

Here, the likelihood of an extremely low f_s value is assessed by considering not only the phylogenetic spread of CCE exaptations but also their timing of origin relative to the evolutionary events bracketing f_s – the appearance of animal-grade organisms and that of human sophonce itself. First, palaeontological proxies and phylogenetic comparisons will be used to map the timing of the evolution of CCE preconditions in intelligent, social, tool-using animals, including primates, cetaceans, proboscideans, corvids, parrots and cephalopods. Next, the plausibility of the 'Silurian hypothesis' – the proposal that humans are not the first civilization-building species to evolve on Earth (Schmidt and Frank, 2019) – will be assessed through the lens of the fossil record, strengthening the case for the geological recency of CCE preconditions. The merits of 'long-fuse' (Bogonovich, 2011) and step-based models of cognitive evolution will then be discussed in light of this phenomenon. Based on intelligence's timing and phylogenetic spread and the tempo of cognitive evolution in *H. sapiens*' own lineage, the following hypotheses will be laid out:

- On Phanerozoic Earth, the first-order control on the evolution of intelligence has been the supply rate of evolutionary novelty and not the availability of permissive global geobiological conditions.
- 2. The transition from animal-grade multicellularity to sophonce is not a rate-limiting factor to N.
- 3. Contrary to the 'Silurian hypothesis' (Schmidt and Frank, 2019), *H. sapiens* is most likely the first sophont to evolve on Earth.
- 4. All else being equal, in biospheres harbouring animal-grade multicellularity the temporal frequency and permanence of sophonce can be expected to increase nonlinearly as a function of time.

The evolutionary recency of CCE exaptations

CCE preconditions including flexible tool use (Boire *et al.*, 2002; Overington *et al.*, 2009; Shumaker *et al.*, 2011; Heldstab *et al.*, 2016), sociality (Dunbar, 2009; Shultz, and Dunbar, 2010), innovativeness (Sol *et al.*, 2022) and general problem-solving and learning abilities (Jerison, 1985; Reader and Laland, 2002; Rushton, and Ankney, 2009; Benson-Amram *et al.*, 2016) all positively correlate with measures of relative brain size across phylogenetically disparate taxa, suggesting that these indicators represent imperfect but informative proxies for 'intelligence' (Smaers *et al.*, 2021). In particular, the encephalization quotient (EQ), the ratio between observed and predicted brain mass for a given body size (Jerison, 1973), provides a useful comparative measure that is widely applicable across vertebrates. EQ can be defined mathematically as $EQ = E_a/E_e$, where E_a is the actual brain mass for a given taxon and E_e is its expected value based on nonlinear regression on brain mass scores across the taxonomic group of reference (e.g. mammals; Jerison, 1973). EQ can be adjusted to account for the effects of phylogenetic non-independence, yielding a 'phylogenetic EQ' score (PEQ; Ni *et al.*, 2019).

By combining EQ proxies with complementary data from the neuroanatomy of living and fossil species (e.g. Knoll and Kawabe, 2020) and comparative cognition, the non-uniformity of animal intelligence through geological time has been established at multiple taxonomic scales. Long-term macroevolutionary increases in mean and maximum encephalization, neural complexity and the size of brain areas devoted to higher cognition have been convincingly documented in birds (e.g. Ksepka *et al.*, 2020; Stacho *et al.*, 2020), mammals (e.g. Jerison, 1973, 1994; Smaers *et al.*, 2018, 2021; Bertrand *et al.*, 2022) and vertebrates as a whole (e.g. Russell, 1983; Northcutt, 2002; Rospars, 2010). Likewise, both phylogenetic bracketing and palaeontological proxies for cognitive abilities indicate that the appearance of CCE exaptations in vertebrates is a geologically recent phenomenon, largely postdating the Eocene epoch (56–33.9 million years ago – Mya). This pattern holds true for those lineages conventionally thought to comprise the most 'intelligent', socially complex and technologically capable nonhumans, including primates, cetaceans, proboscideans, corvids and parrots.

Primates

Endocasts of stem-group primates, which first appear in the fossil record in the Palaeocene (~66 Mya; Wilson Mantilla *et al.*, 2021), show limited neocortical development and relative brain sizes almost universally lower than those of any living prosimians (i.e. strepsirrhines and tarsiers), with some falling below the mammalian EQ average. Together, these proxies (Gingerich and Gunnell, 2005; Silcox *et al.*, 2009; Harrington *et al.*, 2016) suggest a lack of complex sociality and high domain-general intelligence. Even among crown-group primates, the convergent evolution of CCE exaptations (e.g. Ottoni and Izar, 2008) is circumscribed to anthropoids – the clade comprising platyrrhines ('New World' monkeys) and catarrhines ('Old World' monkeys, including humans).

Both Old and New World monkeys comprise multiple lineages of highly social, cognitively sophisticated tool users. A vast literature attests to the social intelligence (e.g. de Waal, 1982; Tomasello and Call, 1994; Tomasello, 2022), flexible problem-solving (e.g. Emery and Clayton, 2004; Schmitt *et al.*, 2012), culture (e.g. Whiten *et al.*, 1999; Van Schaik *et al.*, 2003; Schofield *et al.*, 2018) and technological capabilities (e.g. Boesch and Boesch, 1990; Van Schaik *et al.*, 1996; Malaivijitnond *et al.*, 2007; Carvalho *et al.*, 2009) of catarrhines, including apes. In recent decades, the same traits have increasingly been documented in platyrrhines, with particular attention devoted to the problem-solving skills (Fragaszy and Cummins-Sebree, 2005) and tool-use traditions of capuchin monkeys (Ottoni and Izar, 2008).

Since their >36 million years (Myr) old Eocene split (Bond *et al.*, 2015), platyrrhines and catarrhines attained high encephalization levels independently, as revealed by comparisons among living and fossil anthropoids. Ancestral states reconstructions based on fossil endocasts of stem-group platyrrhines and catarrhines suggest that a PEQ of about 1 – comparable to those of the non-tool-using, solitary prosimian tarsiers – represents the ancestral condition for both lineages (Ni *et al.*, 2019); in contrast, PEQs > 3 occur in both living platyrrhines and catarrhines, with most apes falling in the 3– 4 range (Ni *et al.*, 2019).

Less emphasized in the astrobiological literature is the fact that encephalization and cerebral complexification are also recurring themes *within* both the catarrhine and platyrrhine clades (Reader *et al.*, 2011). Phylogenetically informed comparisons of fossil endocasts suggest that encephalization, an accompanying shrinkage of olfactory bulbs and the emergence of the convoluted neocortex associated with higher cognitive abilities such as tool use and sociality occurred independently in the two catarrhine subgroups – cercopithecoids and hominoids (Gonzales *et al.*, 2015) – within the last 17–18 Myr. Overall, the evidence from comparative cognition and neuroanatomy indicates that high general intelligence almost certainly evolved convergently at least three times in catarrhines from the Miocene onwards: in baboons, macaques and apes (Reader *et al.*, 2011). Likewise, PEQs in the 3–4 range evolved independently in the social, highly encephalized spider monkeys and tool-using capuchins (Wildman *et al.*, 2009; Ni *et al.*, 2019) after the origins of the platyrrhine crown-group around 20.8–27.0 Mya (Beck *et al.*, 2023). This strongly suggests that anthropoids combined high general intelligence, culturally transmitted technologies and complex sociality no earlier than the latest Oligocene.

Cetaceans

Cetaceans have been found to match or outperform anthropoids in mnemonical and metacognitive problem-solving and assemble some of the most complex societies of all nonhuman animals (Marino, 2017; Connor *et al.*, 2022). Social learning in cetaceans has precipitated the emergence of cultures at multiple scales of social organization, with some centred on tool use (Noad *et al.*, 2000; Rendell and Whitehead, 2003; Whitehead and Rendell, 2004; Helweg *et al.*, 2005; Krützen *et al.*, 2005; Garland *et al.*, 2011, 2022; Allen *et al.*, 2013; Wild *et al.*, 2020; Ramos *et al.*, 2021). In some species, such as orcas (*Orcinus orca*), cultural niches may be sufficiently entrenched to drive the kind of gene-culture 'coevolution' traditionally seen as a hallmark of hominin history (Foote

et al., 2016). However, beyond their basic eutherian groundplan the cytoarchitectures of cetacean and primate brains are fundamentally distinct, clearly reflecting a long Cenozoic history of independent evolution (Marino, 2002, 2017).

The earliest cetaceans evolved from archaic ungulates akin to the Eocene (~48 Myr old) *Indohyus*. This animal had a plesiomorphic mammalian brain with a small and simply folded neocortex (Orliac and Thewiseen, 2021). Accordingly, the EQ of the LCA of cetaceans and their living sister-group – hippos – has been estimated at 0.465, well below the mammalian average (Montgomery *et al.*, 2013). Endocasts of the first fully aquatic cetaceans, the Palaeogene (~53 to ~30 Myr ago) Archaeoceti, show very limited change in EQ relative to this ancestral baseline (Montgomery *et al.*, 2013; Marx *et al.*, 2016; Marino, 2022).

In contrast, the mid-late Cenozoic witnessed two major encephalization pulses in cetaceans, accompanied by significant cortical expansion and restructuring (Marino *et al.*, 2004; Montgomery *et al.*, 2013; Marino, 2022). The first occurred with the Oligocene (~35 Myr ago) emergence of the Neoceti, comprising present-day baleen (Mysticeti) and toothed whales (Odontoceti). The Oligocene saw a marked upward shift in encephalization relative to the archaeocete baseline: the estimated EQ of the neocete LCA (~1.383) is well within the modern toothed whale range (Montgomery *et al.*, 2013). Odontocetes experienced a further encephalization pulse at the base of the delphinoid clade, which originated around 15–20 Mya (Fig. 1). Delphinoids comprise the most cognitively sophisticated cetaceans, with neocortex folding and encephalization levels in dolphins (EQs ~4–5) surpassing those of all nonhuman primates (Marino *et al.*, 2004; Montgomery *et al.*, 2013; Marino, 2017; Smaers *et al.*, 2021). In some delphinoid lineages, the pace of encephalization within the last 10 Myr outstripped that of hominins (Montgomery *et al.*, 2013), showing that human brain enlargement is not unique in its recent accelerando (Püschel *et al.*, 2021).

Proboscideans

Proboscideans offer further evidence for mammalian intelligence being phylogenetically disparate, ecologically unconstrained and geologically recent. While elephant toolmaking in the wild is restricted to 'fly swats' manufactured by breaking off branches (Hart *et al.*, 2001; Bates *et al.*, 2008), captive elephants can exploit a range of unfamiliar tools using their remarkably versatile manipulatory trunk (Shoshani, 1997) and possibly solve physical cognition tasks by insight (Foerder *et al.*, 2011). Further, elephants display exceptionally strong mnemonical (McComb *et al.*, 2001, 2014; Byrne *et al.*, 2009) and numerical skills among nonhuman animals (Irie *et al.*, 2019) and manifest social learning within complex fission-fusion societies (Lee and Moss, 1999; Byrne *et al.*, 2008, 2009). These capacities rest on large brains with significant cytoarchitectural differences from those of primates or cetaceans, from which they split as far back as the late Cretaceous (Hart *et al.*, 2008) and that share with elephants the basic cellular architecture and macrostructure common to all placental mammals (Bertrand *et al.*, 2022).

The earliest fossil proboscideans, exemplified by the Eocene (\sim 35 Myr ago) *Moeritherium*, had an EQ of about 0.2 – a value approaching that of sirenians, the proboscidean sister-group (O'Shea and Reep, 1990; Upham *et al.*, 2019). From this baseline well below the modern mammalian average, brain enlargement in proboscideans was matched by increases in body mass until the Oligocene, around 30 Myr, when sustained stepwise encephalization began (Benoit *et al.*, 2019). While relative brain sizes subsequently decreased in some extinct proboscidean clades, an EQ similar to that of living elephants (and an order of magnitude higher than that of *Moeritherium*) probably characterized their ~8 Myr LCA with mammoths (Benoit *et al.*, 2019). Large brains might also have evolved convergently during the Miocene in a basal lineage that split from elephants over 30 Myr ago, the Deinotheriidae; however, detailed endocasts for this group are lacking (Benoit *et al.*, 2019; Baleka *et al.*, 2022).

As in primates and cetaceans, proboscideans attained their maximum known encephalization values late in their history, in the Pleistocene (~0.5 Myr old) Sicilian dwarf elephant *Palaeoloxodon falconeri*.



Figure 1. The temporal and phylogenetic distribution of maximal EQ values and likely CCE exaptations in (from top to bottom) catarrhines, platyrrhines, cetaceans, proboscideans, psittaciforms, corvids, decapods and octopods. Tree bifurcations indicate approximate divergence dates between the lineages marked by icons on the right. Tieplots show the presence of exaptations to sophonce in their respective lineages; for each lineage, maximum EQ values (Jerison, 1973) are represented by shading (legend in the top-left corner). In non-vertebrates to which EQ measures are inapplicable, branches are marked by N/A. Data from Ni et al. (2019); Montgomery et al. (2013); Benoit et al. (2019); Ksepka et al. (2020); Prum et al. (2015); Whalen and Landman (2022).

Its EQ of around 4 was anomalous for proboscideans and roughly on par with that of dolphins (Larramendi and Palombo, 2015; Lyras, 2018; Benoit *et al.*, 2019). The degree to which the oversized brain of *P. falconeri* was tied to a minimal size threshold for brain functioning (Palombo and Giovinazzo, 2005; Larramendi and Palombo, 2015) is unclear since encephalization is by no means a universal correlate of island dwarfism (Lyras, 2018). Tantalizingly, *P. falconeri* matched humans and surpassed other elephants in its longevity and delay of sexual maturity, accommodating a long growth phase (Köhler *et al.*, 2021). As in humans (Gould, 1985), this extended maturation phase was complemented by a paedomorphic adult morphology, with a globular and proportionally enlarged braincase (Larramendi and Palombo, 2015). Given its cerebral architecture, delayed maturation and the possibility of island settings being particularly conducive to tool use (Hansell and Ruxton, 2008; Haslam, 2013), the recently extinct *P. falconeri* might have possessed a range of CCE exaptations unmatched among proboscideans.

Avian intelligences

Like mammals (Bertrand *et al.*, 2022), birds underwent rapid and convergent encephalization during the Cenozoic while greatly expanding their EQ range (Ksepka *et al.*, 2020). The earliest Mesozoic birds, such as the 150 Myr old *Archaeopteryx*, overlapped with their non-avian theropod ancestors in relative brain size (Balanoff *et al.*, 2013). The same holds true for members of the grade comprising ratites, fowl, grebes and pigeons – all of which diverged between the late-Cretaceous and the earliest Palaeogene around 66 Mya (Field *et al.*, 2020; Ksepka *et al.*, 2020).

Soon after the Cretaceous-Palaeogene boundary (66 Mya), a significant shift towards larger brains and smaller bodies took place at the base of the vast evolutionary radiation spawning the rest of living birds, the Neoaves (Claramunt and Cracraft, 2015; Ksepka *et al.*, 2020). Against the neoavian baseline, high encephalization evolved multiple times independently during the Cenozoic (Ksepka *et al.*, 2020). Paleoneurology and comparative neuroanatomy also suggest convergent Cenozoic increases in the size of the avian neocortex homologue known as *wulst* (Stacho *et al.*, 2020), which is involved in higher mental functions including tool use (Milner and Walsh, 2009; Tambussi *et al.*, 2015; Walsh and Knoll, 2018) but was likely absent in at least some Mesozoic stem-group birds (Beyrand *et al.*, 2019). In particular, dramatic *wulst* enlargements occurred in the parrot (Psittaciformes) and corvid (Corvidae) lineages (Walsh and Knoll, 2018), which also comprise the most encephalized of birds. Parrots and corvids reached EQs on par with those of apes (Emery and Clayton, 2004) convergently and by distinct routes: whereas parrots reduced their body sizes relative to the brain, corvid brain enlargement outpaced a parallel increase in body size, as in the case of hominin encephalization (Ksepka *et al.*, 2020).

The fossil record of corvids is fragmentary, hampering the tracking of their brain evolution. Nonetheless, the inferred timing of corvid origins based on molecular data (Jønsson *et al.*, 2016) broadly matches the age of their earliest fossil remains. These are attributed to the middle Miocene (~15 Myr ago) corvids *Miocorvus* (Milne-Edwards, 1869; Gál and Kessler, 2006) and *Miocitta* (Brodkorb, 1972), both known mainly from fragmentary limb bones. The fossils of later middle Miocene corvids, such as *Miopica*, are similarly scant (Курочкин and Соболев, 2004). However, all belong to small birds that may have been behaviourally, phenotypically and cognitively (Ksepka *et al.*, 2020) closer to other living passerines than most extant corvids. Fossils from the Pliocene onwards belong instead to morphologically and possibly cognitively modern forms (e.g. Kessler, 2013; Pavia, 2020; Nunez-Lahuerta *et al.*, 2021).

Besides primates, corvids are arguably the most plausible living precursors to sophonce. These birds display high social intelligence (Clayton *et al.*, 2007; Keefner, 2016), numerosity (Nieder, 2018), cooperative hunting and problem-solving (Seed *et al.*, 2008; Yosef and Yosef, 2010) and, tentatively, future-planning abilities (Raby *et al.*, 2007). Their physical and social cognition skills broadly match those of apes (e.g. Bird and Emery, 2009; von Bayern *et al.*, 2018; Pika *et al.*, 2020), with tentative experimental support for causal reasoning and insight (Bird and Emery, 2009; Taylor *et al.*, 2009, 2012).

A corvid, the New Caledonian crow (*Corvus moneduloides*), also possesses some of the most remarkable toolmaking traditions among animals (Hunt and Gray, 2007; Holzhaider *et al.*, 2010). In the wild, New Caledonian crows famously manufacture 'fishing probes' out of hooked twigs, fern branches, or *Pandanus* leaves to forage (Hunt, 1996; Hunt and Gray, 2004). New Caledonian crow toolmaking is selective (Chappell and Kacelnik, 2002), flexible (Knaebe *et al.*, 2017) and culturally transmitted (Holzhaider *et al.*, 2010). Uniquely among birds, its geographical variants hint at a degree of CCE, with stepwise modifications of an ancestral design that cannot be explained by ecological variability alone (Hunt and Gray, 2003) despite seemingly lacking the open-endedness of human CCE. This impressive toolmaking repertoire, the product of dexterous beaks that like hominin hands may have 'coevolved' with tools (Matsui *et al.*, 2016), shows that primate-like appendages are not a prerequisite for complex technology.

This hypothesis is corroborated by parrot tool use, which rivals that of corvids in complexity. Goffin's cockatoos (*Cacatua goffiniana*) manufacture wedge-like, piercing and extractive tools deployed sequentially as part of a functional set. This procedurally taxing form of tool use was

previously thought to be restricted to primates (O'Hara *et al.*, 2021). In another creative instance of tool use, the omnivorous Kea (*Nestor notabilis*) of New Zealand, which shows puzzle-solving skills at least on par with those of New Caledonian crows (Auersperg *et al.*, 2011), can use sticks to deactivate baited traps (Goodman *et al.*, 2018). Complementary evidence for complex cognition in parrots comes from studies on numerosity, memory and categorization skills (Pepperberg, 2006), as well as cooperative problem-solving (Schwing *et al.*, 2016) and possibly culture (Klump *et al.*, 2021).

Given the phylogenetic spread of CCE exaptations in parrots, their origins probably trace back to the 40–30 Myr old LCA of Keas and all other living parrots (Prum *et al.*, 2015; Provost *et al.*, 2018; Selvatti *et al.*, 2022). Unfortunately, the avian fossil record is too sparse and fragmentary to track brain evolution in parrots from an Eocene passerine-like baseline (Mourer-Chauviré, 1992; Mayr and Göhlich, 2004; Worthy *et al.*, 2011; Mayr, 2015). Nonetheless, it corroborates an early-mid Cenozoic origin for their crown-group (Waterhouse, 2006).

Cephalopod intelligence: A temporal outlier?

The phylogenetic chasm separating cephalopod molluscs and vertebrates makes complex cognition in coleoids – the clade including octopods (octopi and their close relatives) and decapods (squids and cuttlefish) – a uniquely informative case study in convergent evolution (Moroz, 2009; Vitti, 2013; Roth, 2015). The LCA of coleoids and vertebrates lacked the shared organizational features of the tripartite avian and mammalian brains (Vitti, 2013). In fact, it plausibly lacked a brain altogether (Hejnol and Martindale, 2008), considerably weakening the case for attributing the recurrent evolution of animal intelligence to shared neuroanatomical underpinnings (Lineweaver, 2009).

Coleoids are widely considered the most intelligent living invertebrates (Moroz, 2009; Vitti, 2013; Roth, 2015; Amodio *et al.*, 2019, 2020). Cuttlefish possess a sense of numerosity (Yang and Chiao, 2016) and can delay gratification to reap higher-quality future rewards, a putative invertebrate unicum (Schnell *et al.*, 2021). Octopi have been shown to solve puzzles by 'insight' (Richter *et al.*, 2016), possess strong memory-based navigation (Mather and O'Dor, 1991) and physical intelligence (Fiorito *et al.*, 1990; Borrelli *et al.*, 2020) and recognize both real and virtual objects (Kawashima *et al.*, 2020). Some may engage in social learning (Amodio and Fiorito, 2013). More broadly, sociality is widespread in coleoids. In decapods, it manifests along a continuum from lifelong gregariousness in squid to episodic shoaling in cuttlefish (Drerup and Cooke, 2021). While octopi have instead often been portrayed as quintessentially solitary, this is not the case for all species. For instance, gloomy octopi (*Octopus tetricus*) tolerate high densities of sedentary conspecifics, with whom they engage in multi-faceted social interactions (Scheel *et al.*, 2017).

Coleoids also display multiple variants of tool use. Several species use jets from their siphon to repeal other animals or flush away objects (Mann and Patterson, 2013), including during play (Mather and Anderson, 1999). To this form of 'liquid' tool use, octopi add the selection and transport of objects to modify their dens (Mather, 1994) and the launch of debris to fend off conspecifics (Godfrey-Smith *et al.*, 2021). Remarkably, at least one species (*Amphioctopus marginatus*) crafts composite tools in the form of portable shelters and shows possible anticipatory behaviours by transporting them for future deployment (Finn *et al.*, 2009).

In light of their Precambrian LCA with birds and mammals, the intelligence of cephalopods has been implied to be similarly ancient and taken as an argument for the improbability of sophonce arising even in lineages possessing multiple CCE preconditions over extended timespans (Lineweaver, 2009, p. 10). If so, cephalopods would stand out as major outliers in an evolutionary landscape where intelligence is largely a Cenozoic phenomenon. However, palaeontology and comparative cognition do not support this notion.

The cerebral organization of ancestral Cambrian shelled cephalopods (Kröger *et al.*, 2011) is likely mirrored by that of *Nautilus*, as shown by outgroup comparisons (Sasaki *et al.*, 2010). The *Nautilus* brain consists of a circumesophageal ring with little internal differentiation (Shigeno *et al.*, 2008). In contrast, coleoids display the most complex nervous systems known in invertebrates (Darmaillacq *et al.*, 2011)

2014): both decapods and octopods possess elaborate, multilobed brains, adding hierarchical organization and a profusion of interconnections to their molluscan groundplan (Grasso and Basil, 2009).

The roots of coleoid cerebral complexity probably lie in the loss of their ancestral molluscan shell, which may have fostered cognitive evolution by upping predatory pressure and facilitating the exploitation of challenging, variable benthic habitats (Amodio *et al.*, 2019). In accord with this hypothesis, predatory pressure from fishes and other marine vertebrates has often been invoked as an ancient driver of coleoid cognitive evolution (Packard, 1972). These 'shell loss' scenarios hint at more recent origins of coleoid intelligence than may otherwise be assumed. Shell reduction and loss is a recurring theme in the post-Devonian (<360 Ma; Whalen and Landman, 2022) history of coleoids: in accord with Packard's (1972) scenario, escalating taxonomic and ecological diversification in fishes – particularly during the mid-late Mesozoic – was accompanied by independent losses or shrinkages of mineralized skeletons in both vampyropods and decapods (Dera *et al.*, 2016; Whalen and Landman, 2022). For instance, squids probably internalized and reduced their skeletons in offshore, deepwater Mesozoic habitats; in all likelihood, their sophisticated physical intelligence and body patterning abilities evolved independently of those of other cephalopods amid a later Cenozoic re-invasion of shelf settings (Arkhipkin *et al.*, 2012; Amodio *et al.*, 2019).

If the colonization of complex shallow-water and seafloor niches was an important driver of coleoid cognitive evolution (Amodio *et al.*, 2019, 2020), this link may be most apparent in the camouflage skills and physical intelligence of the largely benthic incirrate octopi (e.g. *Octopus*; Amodio *et al.*, 2019), which possess the most elaborate multilobed brains of all cephalopods (Grasso and Basil, 2009). Similarly, benthic habits probably fostered the evolution of the elaborate camouflage and signalling repertoire of cuttlefish (Josef and Shashar, 2014). The shallow-water benthos was most likely colonized independently, and recently, by the ancestors of *Octopus* and *Sepia*: the bodyplans of early vampyropods (Fuchs *et al.*, 2007; Whalen and Landman, 2022) and stem-group decapods such as belemnites (Rowe *et al.*, 2022; Whalen and Landman, 2022) suggest a nektopelagic habit in the coleoid LCA. Since the morphology and plausible lifestyle of the earliest known Mesozoic octopi were reminiscent of free-swimming cirrates (Fuchs and Schweigert, 2018; Fuchs *et al.*, 2020) the late-Mesozoic (López-Córdova *et al.*, 2022; Whalen and Landman, 2022) LCA of living Octopoda was probably not benthic, either (Amodio *et al.*, 2019; 2020).

Independent evidence from neuroanatomy and comparative cognition also suggests that the intelligence of octopi and decapods arose partly by parallel elaborations of a simpler ancestral blueprint. MRI scans of the vampire squid *Vampyroteuthis infernalis*, the basalmost living member of the octopod lineage (Vampyropoda), have revealed a relatively small, smooth (lissencephalous) brain (Rowe *et al.*, 2022). In contrast, several lineages of coastal, partly social octopi possess cerebral lobes with complex surface convolutions. This neuroanatomical condition (gyrencephaly) is also associated with sociality and behavioural complexity in vertebrates (Rowe *et al.*, 2022).

Lissencephaly in vampire squids may hypothetically be a consequence of their derived bathypelagic lifestyle (Rowe *et al.*, 2022). However, currently available evidence suggests that it is more likely to be an ancestral condition. *Vampyroteuthis* has a cerebrotype intermediate between decapods and octopods (Maddock and Young, 1987) and is generally considered the most plesiomorphic extant vampyropod (Whalen and Landman, 2022). Accordingly, the lissencephaly of vampire squids is shared with decapods (Chung *et al.*, 2022). These observations suggest that the complex cerebral gyres and subdivided lobes of gyrencephalous octopi are not ancestral coleoid traits but rather relatively recent cognitive specializations that probably evolved multiple times independently within octopods themselves (Chung *et al.*, 2022).

Overall, palaeontology and comparative cognition paint a picture of the coleoid LCA as an animal with an intelligence well above the molluscan baseline, but falling short of the remarkable cognitive performances of modern octopi and cuttlefish. In all likelihood, these were partly a product of the escalatory ecological dynamics of Mesozoic oceans, one that in some lineages was later elaborated upon to negotiate shallow-water and benthic niches during the Cenozoic. *Octopus*-grade brains were almost certainly not a Precambrian invention: while octopi may have split from primates nearly 600 Mya

(Lineweaver, 2009), octopus intelligence – and octopi themselves – are late-Phanerozoic novelties. As such, they offer no argument for a stagnant cognitive landscape in which sophonce is a primate fluke. What cephalopods do offer is evidence that the evolutionary paths to complex cognition are manifold: of all factors traditionally proposed to drive the evolution of mammalian and avian intelligence, only one – variable, complex niches – is shared with coleoids (Vitti, 2013).

Bridging the gap: Does hominization supply a rate-limiting step to N?

Despite the phylogenetic spread of CCE exaptations, it may be proposed that it is the transition between a social, tool-using, cognitively sophisticated animal baseline and sophonce that supplies a rate-limiting step to N. This hypothesis is consistent with CCE being an evolutionary 'singularity' on present-day Earth. However, it arguably clashes with the tempo and mode of hominin cognitive evolution.

Hominins split from the chimpanzee lineage less than 10 Myr ago, in the late Miocene (Langergraber *et al.*, 2012). Their subsequent history was tied to technology, cultural transmission and niche construction to a degree unmatched in other primates and indeed across animals (Sterelny, 2007). The creation of this hominin 'socio-cognitive niche' or 'hominization' (Stopa, 1973; Whiten and Erdal, 2012) was accompanied by unparalleled encephalization and cerebral reorganization relative to the great ape baseline (Falk, 2012; Alatorre Warren *et al.*, 2019; Ni *et al.*, 2019; Melchionna *et al.*, 2020).

Nonetheless, the proposed drivers of the hominin cognitive escalation, including group size and complexity, toolmaking, meat-eating, food processing and terrestriality find at least partial analogues in other primates (Wynn and McGrew, 1989; Stanford, 1995, 2001; Dunbar, 2003; Visalberghi *et al.*, 2005; Ottoni and Izar, 2008; McGrew *et al.*, 2019; Dunbar and Shultz, 2021) and non-primates (Connor, 2007; Foote *et al.*, 2016; Matsui *et al.*, 2016). New World monkeys offer a case in point. While probably less cognitively demanding than early Palaeolithic stone knapping (Lombard *et al.*, 2019), lithic tool use by *Cebus* likewise entails terrestriality, extractive foraging, social learning and bipedal transport in savanna-like environments. In this respect, it echoes the earliest lithic industries of Pliocene Africa (Ottoni and Izar, 2008), which might themselves have been the product of multiple ecologically distinct hominin lineages (Plummer *et al.*, 2023). Moreover, putative Pliocene cutting tools have been found to be virtually indistinguishable from the byproducts of stone percussion by monkeys, suggesting that the lithic technologies of early *Homo* evolved by readily co-opting the products of a simple, phylogenetically widespread behaviour (Proffitt *et al.*, 2023).

After the Pliocene, parallel increases in brain size trends emerged across archaic *Homo* populations on different continents, both intra- and interspecifically (Rightmire, 2004; Shultz *et al.*, 2012). Pleistocene brain expansion culminated in the evolution of endocast volumes above 1400 cm³ in the two most encephalized species on Earth: modern humans (*H. sapiens*) and the extinct Neanderthals (*H. neanderthalensis*), which split from each other before 430 Ka (Arsuaga *et al.*, 2014). In accord with neuroanatomical findings, emerging archaeological data shows that Neanderthals shared a significant portion of the *sapiens* behavioural and techno-cultural repertoires. These hominins mastered multistep composite manufacture (Sykes, 2015; Hardy *et al.*, 2020), specialized bone processing (Soressi *et al.*, 2013) and fire use (Allué *et al.*, 2022), with some evidence for cumulative culture (Langley *et al.*, 2008). Like modern humans, Neanderthals also produced ornaments (Zilhão *et al.*, 2010; Radovčić *et al.*, 2015) and possible decorative motifs (Leder *et al.*, 2021).

Whether Neanderthals would have been capable of developing a technological civilization, and could thus be considered 'sophont' *sensu* Wright *et al.* (2018), is unknown. What is increasingly apparent, though, is that their technical and cultural output was not fundamentally dissimilar to that of *H. sapiens* prior to its late-Pleistocene dispersal outside Africa. For instance, whereas simple nonfigurative symbols were probably produced by both Neanderthals and early African *sapiens* (Henshilwood *et al.*, 2018; Leder *et al.*, 2021), it was only around 40 000 years ago – after the 'Out of Africa' dispersal of our species – that representational art spread around the globe (Roebroeks and Soressi, 2016; Aubert *et al.*, 2018; Brumm *et al.*, 2021).

Together with language (Berwick and Chomsky, 2016) and religious behaviour (Bloch, 2008), representational art is unknown outside of 'behaviourally modern' *H. sapiens* (Aubert *et al.*, 2018; Botha, 2020). Mastery of these domains certainly appears to be a human singularity. No matter how stark and consequential, though, the cognitive gulf between the Neanderthal-*sapiens* LCA and modern humans took vanishingly little time to bridge $(10^4-10^5 \text{ years}; \text{ e.g. Arsuaga et al., 2014})$ on a geological time-scale. In this respect, the transition to 'behavioural modernity' was not at all commensurate to major evolutionary transitions spaced hundreds of millions to billions (10^8-10^9) of years apart (Levin *et al.*, 2019) and stands out as an unlikely candidate among possible rate-limiting steps to N. The same holds true if the timeframe is widened to the full 5–10 Myr-long transition between the earliest hominins, which most likely possessed a spectrum of CCE exaptations matched by modern primates (Whiten *et al.*, 1999; Visalberghi *et al.*, 2005; Ottoni and Izar, 2008) and *H. sapiens* (Langergraber *et al.*, 2012).

The Silurian hypothesis: A palaeontological test

The proliferation of CCE exaptations within the last 10^2 Myr of Earth's history, and the early appearance of sophonce in their wake, raises the question of whether this time window is exceptionally favourable to the emergence of technological intelligence, or else finds analogues deeper in geological time. This question is directly relevant to the Silurian hypothesis – the notion that humans were not the first species on Earth to build a technological civilization (Schmidt and Frank, 2019). As Schmidt and Frank (2019) note, the fossil record could provide evidence for or against the existence of 'antecedents' – species that may '*lead* downstream to the evolution of later civilization-building species' within a given timeframe.

As highlighted by Frank (2018), the fossil record famously captures only a small percentage of all species that ever existed (Darwin, 1859). However, it is generally adequate for tracking diversification patterns and lineage histories at the family level or above (e.g. Benton, 1995, 2009; Benton and Simms, 1995; Benton *et al.*, 2000; Tarver *et al.*, 2007; Kalmar and Currie, 2010; Sahney and Benton, 2017). In fact, for many fossil groups taxonomic richness is already approaching an asymptote as sampling increases (Benton, 2009). The quality of the fossil record at higher taxonomic levels is also not irreparably compromised as older strata are sampled, providing a surprisingly accurate window onto Phanerozoic evolution (Benton *et al.*, 2000; Sahney and Benton, 2017) and the means to test the Silurian hypothesis indirectly.

Hundreds of thousands of fossil species are known (Valentine, 1970; Alroy, 2002). Arguably, though, the number of plausible antecedents to sophonce outside the stem-groups of Cenozoic intelligences (e.g. fossil hominins or proboscideans) is virtually null. Unless the evidence for correlations between morphology and intelligence is rejected, this claim cannot be dismissed as a case of survivorship bias. In principle, it would have been possible for an indefinitely large number of extinct fossil lineages to act as starting points for evolutionary trajectories to sophonce. No proposed 'antecedent' (Schmidt and Frank, 2019), however, shows a buildup of the necessary exaptations.

The prototypical speculative sophont spawned by a fossil lineage is Russell and Séguin's (1982) 'dinosauroid'. This creature was imagined as a future descendant of troodontids, a group of small birdlike theropods possessing the highest relative brain size among dinosaurs. Troodontid EQs were roughly on par with those of ostriches, cassowaries and chicken (Balanoff *et al.*, 2013; Ksepka *et al.*, 2020). If comparative cognition is any guide, this points to cognitive skills incompatible with civilization-building. None of this indicates that dinosaurian sophonce is impossible in principle. However, troodontid encephalization and cerebral complexity were on par with those of archaic Cretaceous birds and the attainment of corvid- or parrot-grade intelligence in the avian lineage took a further tens of millions of years (Balanoff *et al.*, 2013; Ksepka *et al.*, 2020). The same applies to dinosauroid sophonce in Russell and Séguin's (1982) alternate timeline. While late-Cretaceous troodontids may have had grasping arms and large brains relative to the reptilian baseline (Varricchio *et al.*, 2021), their short temporal range before the end-Mesozoic mass extinction and lack of any sustained encephalization or brain reorganization trends within this timeframe (Ksepka *et al.*, 2020) suggest that no hidden transition to sophonce lurks in their fossil record. In this respect, troodontids are unremarkable compared to fossil ratites or fowl, which have never been proposed as plausible antecedents to sophonce.

The evolution of CCE preconditions in dinosaurs did eventually occur, but it was a Cenozoic phenomenon restricted to specific avian clades – far postdating the origins of birdlike dinosaurs. As such, it was decoupled from the evolution of flight (Balanoff *et al.*, 2013, 2016), and by the same token there is no reason to suspect that extinct flying reptiles were singularly intelligent (Edinger, 1948). Accordingly, endocast data suggest that pterosaur EQs fell below those of all living birds, and their large brain size relative to the reptilian baseline is mostly accounted for by large optic and cerebellar lobes for sensorimotor control (Witmer *et al.*, 2003).

Similarly, the timing of cetacean encephalization suggests that there is nothing cognitively special about secondarily aquatic vertebrates per se (Marino *et al.*, 2007; Marino, 2022). Extinct Mesozoic marine reptiles, such as the dolphin-like ichthyosaurs, are extremely unlikely candidates for dolphin-like intelligence (Edinger, 1948). Like those of pterosaurs, ichthyosaur brains had enlarged optic and cerebellar lobes for navigating their three-dimensional realm but were reptilian in size, structure and organization (Marek *et al.*, 2015). More improbable still is the notion that ichthyosaurs provided the raw materials rather than the brainpower, for manifestations of Mesozoic sophonce. Triassic ichthyosaur skeletons disassembled and turned to artwork by a sophont 'Kraken' (McMenamin, 2012) would offer a 'smoking gun' for the Silurian hypothesis. However, this proposal at once ignores far more parsimonious alternatives (Hogler, 1992; Bottjer, 2002) and conflicts with the timing of coleoid origins and key adaptations as inferred from molecular phylogenetics and the fossil record (see 'Cephalopods').

Could proof of 'Silurians' lie with an extinct clade phylogenetically closer to humans? As a hypothetical example, Frank (2018) speculated that some early mammal species might have risen to civilization and remained undetected, during the Palaeocene. The mammalian fossil record suggests otherwise. All the available evidence indicates that encephalization and cerebral complexification in mammals followed and did not precede, their Cenozoic rise to ecological prominence. Mammalian lineages experienced rapid and widespread increases in body mass in the immediate aftermath of the end-Cretaceous mass extinction, in which their dinosaurian predators and competitors had been extirpated (Bertrand *et al.*, 2022). Convergent trends towards neocortical expansion, the enlargement of cerebellar regions tied to higher cognition and encephalization (Smaers *et al.*, 2018, 2021; Bertrand *et al.*, 2022) only began gaining traction about 10 Myr later (Bertrand *et al.*, 2022). Against this backdrop, any lineage of Palaeocene mammalian sophonts would stand out as an evolutionary fluke. None does. Mammals did spawn a sophont species, but this required tens of millions of years of cumulative cognitive evolution – not a sudden Palaeocene leap forward.

More broadly, cognitive complexification in synapsids, the group comprising mammals and their extinct relatives, is a late-Cenozoic phenomenon. On the grounds of both encephalization and brain structure, a case could be made for the late Permian (~255 Myr ago) *Kawingasaurus* being the most cognitively advanced non-mammalian synapsid. This small, fossorial creature evolved an analogue of the mammalian neocortex independently of mammal ancestors and had an EQ triple that of other non-mammalian synapsids (Laaß and Kaestner, 2017). However, *Kawingasaurus* was a cognitive exception in its time only. Its EQ of 0.52 would place it well below average among living mammals, and its neocortical analogue was incipient and primarily devoted to subterranean navigation (Laaß and Kaestner, 2017).

Other hypothetical foreshadows of sophonce in Permian synapsids are similarly unexceptional when set against crown-group mammals. For instance, remotely primate-like grasping appendages and arboreality manifest in the late Permian *Suminia*, an 'anomodont' relative of *Kawingasaurus* (Ivachnenko, 1994; Fröbisch and Reisz, 2009). However, the grasping appendages of *Suminia* were far more akin to the clinging feet of bats or birds than to dexterous primate hands (Fröbisch and Reisz, 2009). The *Suminia* braincase also displays none of the cognitive specializations of *Kawingasaurus* (Rybczynski, 2000), let alone any evidence for primate-like intelligence. Although Permian synapsids show sparse harbingers of the exaptations that were to foster mammalian sophonce, by all measures technological civilization was far out of their cognitive reach.

Amid this plethora of unlikely antecedents, the fact still stands: the modern biosphere offers several lineages co-expressing multiple preconditions to sophonce, virtually all of which emerged during the Cenozoic. In stark contrast, the fossil record offers no convincing 'antecedents' beyond the stem-groups of those same lineages. The recent and convergent origins of intelligence and other CCE preconditions, the quick appearance of sophonce in their wake and the lack of plausible analogues deeper in geological time add up to a Cenozoic cognitive 'explosion' (CE; Fig. 1). As for other evolutionary episodes in the history of life, possible explanations may be sought in both extrinsic, contingent environmental triggers or long-term, cumulative macroevolutionary trends.

Explaining the Cenozoic cognitive 'explosion'

'Permissive environment' explanations: Windows of opportunity as a limiting factor

The onset of permissive physical conditions offers intuitively appealing explanations for key geobiological episodes. The Cambrian Explosion of animal disparity and biodiversity is often explained in terms of ocean oxygenation or other geochemical triggers (Zhang *et al.*, 2014). The rise of Carboniferous giant arthropods (Harrison *et al.*, 2010) and vertebrate flight (Dudley, 1998) has similarly been attributed to a jump in atmospheric O_2 and that of Cretaceous angiosperms to global warming or aridity (Zhang *et al.*, 2018). By the same token, mid-Cenozoic global cooling has been proposed as a trigger for mammalian and avian encephalization. Under this scenario, lower temperatures enhanced thermoregulation of larger, more energy-expensive brains in 'warm-blooded' vertebrates (Schwartzman and Middendorf, 2000).

However, the evidence for global temperatures imparting first-order control on cognitive evolution is weak at best. The evolution of intelligence and tool-use predispositions in crown-group parrots almost certainly preceded the end-Oligocene global cooling (Prum *et al.*, 2015; Ksepka *et al.*, 2020; Selvatti *et al.*, 2022) and occurred in tropical climates (Selvatti *et al.*, 2022). Primate and proboscidean encephalization similarly took place in subtropical to equatorial settings, suggesting that thermodynamic constraints did not play a significant limiting role in the process (Benoit *et al.*, 2019; Ni *et al.*, 2019). Accordingly, highly encephalized species are more common in warm climates (Russell and Billingham, 1981). Contra Schwartzman and Middendorf (2000), intelligence is also not restricted to endothermic, homeothermic vertebrates and its origins in coleoids may well predate terminal Oligocene cooling (López-Córdova *et al.*, 2022; Whalen and Landman, 2022). Other contingent effects of the Palaeogene-Neogene transition, such as aridification, faunal change or increased habitat variability (Benoit *et al.*, 2019; Smaers *et al.*, 2021), may figure among the drivers of encephalization in particular lineages, including primates and proboscideans. However, these environmental factors are neither geologically unprecedented nor universally pertinent across ecological and phylogenetic divides and as such they can only offer proximate, clade-specific explanations.

Alternative 'abiotic' explanations for Cenozoic cognitive evolution push back the rise of permissive factors to the ~66 Myr old end-Cretaceous mass extinction. Milner and Walsh (2009) proposed that the greater brain size and complexity of crown-group birds gave them an edge over their Mesozoic relatives in the aftermath of global ecological collapse at the Cretaceous-Palaeogene boundary. However, sustained increases in both avian and mammalian cerebral complexity and the origins of modern encephalization levels postdate the end-Cretaceous mass extinction by tens of millions of years (Ksepka *et al.*, 2020; Bertrand *et al.*, 2022).

More broadly, it is increasingly apparent that the drivers of intelligence in primates, cetaceans, elephants and birds, not to mention cephalopods, are as disparate as their bodyplans and lifestyles (e.g. Seed *et al.*, 2008; Sayol *et al.*, 2016; Benoit *et al.*, 2019; Amodio *et al.*, 2020). As such, they cannot be readily subsumed under a single, external causal trigger. The only common denominator may be a complex social or physical environment (Amodio *et al.*, 2020) – a backdrop at once

singularly vague and at least as old as the Phanerozoic itself (Plotnick *et al.*, 2010; Darroch *et al.*, 2018; Hsieh *et al.*, 2022).

The 'open world' explanation: Time as a limiting factor

Amid a lack of convincing, all-encompassing environmental 'triggers' for the CE, a simpler explanation may be offered by null hypotheses of evolutionary dynamics. The default option is that of a 'random walk' or 'diffusion' model (McShea, 1994; Marcot and McShea, 2007; Bogonovich, 2011; Rospars, 2013), in which evolving lineages explore a spectrum of values stochastically over time. In this framework, the selective pressures acting on cognitive evolution can be treated as a black box: that is, increases and decreases in intelligence can be framed as equally probable for any given lineage at any given time. Given a bounded lower limit for intelligence or a proxy of choice (e.g. EQ = 0 in the case of encephalization) and an unbounded upper limit, both mean and range (and hence maximal values) will tend to increase over time (Bogonovich, 2011). If a minimal intelligence baseline (or a minimum set of cumulated CCE exaptations) are required for sophonce to evolve, the number of lineages overshooting this requisite threshold will positively correlate with the time elapsed since the start of the 'walk'. The more lineages participate in the random walk, the more statistically robust this emerging trend will be.

In principle, diffusion may provide the most elegant and parsimonious explanation for the Phanerozoic increase in the number of intelligent lineages: no selective pressures or other extrinsic causal drivers are required. High intelligence simply becomes increasingly common as cognitive and behavioural possibilities are passively 'invaded' over time and – for any given rate of change – time is the only limiting factor to the 'filling up' of evolutionary possibilities. The widening ranges and accompanying increases in maximal values observed for the EQs of well-studied vertebrates, including primates, cetaceans and birds, qualitatively match the predictions of the diffusion hypothesis. However, the Phanerozoic increases in maximum encephalization and behavioural complexity across Metazoa are best described by exponential functions, deviating from diffusion-based predictions (Meyer, 1954; Russell, 1983; Rospars, 2010). Accordingly, quantitative models suggest that diffusion may be insufficient to account for the exponential rise of Phanerozoic intelligence (Bogonovich, 2011) as described by Russell (1983): a 'steepening' or compounding factor may be required.

A largely overlooked but closer correspondence with the Phanerozoic increase in maximal intelligence may be found in global species richness curves (Fig. 2). The increase in global biodiversity over the last 540 Myr has been proposed to conform to logistic (Sepkoski, 1984) rather than exponential (Benton, 1995) functions. Accordingly, the exponential biodiversification patterns emerging from fossil tabulations (Benton, 1995) have been interpreted as artefacts of palaeogeographical sampling biases (e.g. Close *et al.*, 2020a, 2020b). However, recent spatially explicit simulations suggest otherwise. Against the expectations of logistic models, regional diversity appears mostly governed by an exponential growth regime throughout the Phanerozoic (Cermeño *et al.*, 2022). Global deviations from exponential biodiversification may be largely due to episodic perturbations such as mass extinctions and the obliteration of biodiversity hotspots by plate tectonics rather than ecological saturation capping species numbers (Cermeño *et al.*, 2022). If a carrying capacity to Earth's species richness exists, it may be so high as to have had virtually no effect on global diversification rates since the origins of complex multicellularity. This open-endedness is reflected at finer spatial and temporal scales by the results of recent biological invasions and ecological experiments (Harmon and Harrison, 2015).

If exponential biodiversification is factored into a 'random walk' model, the number of participating lineages deviates from constancy. Instead, it increases nonlinearly over time – mirroring and potentially underlying, the escalation of Phanerozoic intelligence (Fig. 2). If so, the Cenozoic CE may need no special explanation. Instead, it may simply have emerged through cognitive 'diffusion' compounded by an exponential increase in the overall number of lineages alive at any given time – and, as a consequence, of the number of 'trials' available for diffusion to tap into intelligence at any given time. Given an exponentially diversifying biosphere, the frequency of cognitive or behavioural 'extremes'



Figure 2. Classic plots of biodiversity and encephalization values through geological time. (a) The diversification of complex life through the Phanerozoic, plotted as logarithm of family-level richness for all organisms, terrestrial and marine (maximum curve). Redrawn from Benton (1995). (b) The non-linear increase in maximum encephalization quotient (EQ) levels during the Phanerozoic. The 'living fossils' Branchiostoma, Petromyzon and Latimeria serve as proxies for the maximum level of encephalization at various intervals of the Palaeozoic, based on phylogenetic bracketing and resemblance to extinct taxa. Cenozoic data points (taxon names not shown) include Plesiadapis, Tetonius, Heptodon, Homacodon, Necrolemur, Leontinia, Argyrocetus, Homo abilis, H. erectus and H. sapiens. The regression line (dashed) follows the equation $\ln EQ = 0.0135 \times (531 - t) - 6.23$. Redrawn from Russell (1983). In both diagrams, the vertical dashed line marks the beginning of a sharp Cenozoic uptick in both diversity and maximum encephalization levels. Legend: C, Cambrian; O, Ordovician; S, Silurian; Crb, Carboniferous; P, Permian; Tr, Triassic; Jur, Jurassic; Cret, Cretaceous; Pg, Palaeogene; Ne, Neogene.

past a given threshold of interest will likewise increase nonlinearly. Under this model, higher biodiversity simply begets a wider envelope of biological outcomes and preconditions to sophonce are no exceptions. Vice versa, a special explanation for the CE would be required under a logistic biodiversification paradigm. Given null conditions of time invariance in the mean and distribution of intelligence and constant global species richness, the sampling biases imposed by a winnowed fossil record deeper in time (e.g. Close *et al.*, 2020a, 2020b) stand out as insufficient to explain the consistent clustering of intelligence proxies towards the lower extremes of their present-day distributions (Jerison, 1973, 1994; Russell, 1983; Rospars, 2010; Bogonovich, 2011; Smaers *et al.*, 2018, 2021; Ksepka *et al.*, 2020; Stacho *et al.*, 2020; Bertrand *et al.*, 2022).

Furthermore, against the predictions of logistic models, exponential biodiversification may be ecologically self-reinforcing. Adaptive radiations not only tend to show little evidence of slowdowns over time (Benton and Emerson, 2007; Harmon and Harrison, 2015) but may compound speciation rates themselves by precipitating finer ecological partitioning (Emerson and Kolm, 2005). More species create more variegated selective pressures to face and expand the range of opportunities for other taxa to 'diversify into' (Benton and Emerson, 2007). The rise of flowering plants – angiosperms – during the late Mesozoic and early Cenozoic is a case in point (Benton et al., 2022). The hypothesis that the boost in terrestrial primary productivity precipitated by the angiosperm radiation fostered the evolution of energetically expensive large brains, facilitating the evolution of complex cognition (Bogonovich, 2011), may be especially pertinent to land-dwelling mammals and birds. If so, angiosperms provide a prime example of how Phanerozoic biodiversification prepared the ground for its own further exploration of biological (and cognitive) possibilities. Like other manifestations of biological complexity (e.g. Cailleux, 1976; Ball, 1985), intelligence appears to have escalated by hitchhiking on such compounded diversification, in an 'open world' where no upper ceiling to its frequency or overall abundance exists. No causal driver unique to the CE may be required to explain this occurrence, which appears to be a robust emergent feature of Phanerozoic macroevolution.

A shared feature of economic, technological and cultural innovation as well as biology, the openended exploration and simultaneous creation of adjacent possibilities stands out as a universal hallmark of evolving systems (Kauffman, 2019). The self-sustaining and amplifying evolutionary dynamics emerging as a result are most likely not a fluke of Earthly biology (Cortês *et al.*, 2022). Crucially, though, Phanerozoic-style biospheres harbouring complex, animal-grade multicellularity will be bound to the open-ended creation of evolutionary and ecological novelty to a degree unmatched in purely microbial biospheres, as attested by the fossil record (Butterfield, 2007, 2011). The reasons for this are grounded in the physics of scale and motion.

The size and motility of animals enable them to mechanically engineer their environments, disrupting isotropy in time and space through bioturbation, fluid advection and selective resource harvesting (Butterfield, 2011, 2018; Budd and Jensen, 2017). Moreover, the macroscopic size of animals imposes a degree of biogeographical provinciality unsustainable in microbes, where chances for allopatric speciation are greatly diminished by long-range dispersal and astronomical population sizes (Norris, 2000; Butterfield, 2007). Pervasive ecosystem engineering and predation by animals further boost biotic heterogeneity by extending 'arms races' and other coevolutionary dynamics to macroscopic life and by structuring complex multi-tiered food webs (Butterfield, 2000, 2007, 2011). At the same time, the combinatorial ontogenetic potential of their differentiated cell and tissue types (Marshall, 2006; Butterfield, 2007) translates into a virtually open-ended range of behaviour and morphologies. Above all, animals are key arbiters of global biomass supplies and their partitioning. Since longevity and size tend to positively covary across organisms, an animal-dominated biosphere is one where standing biomass stocks are multiplied relative to a microbial world, with no extra primary productivity needed (Butterfield, 2007); and in both biological and nonbiological evolving systems, when supply rates of raw materials and energy are boosted opportunities for innovation and diversification increase (Vermeij, 1995).

Although their precise course will be modulated by contingencies, the histories of extraterrestrial biospheres harbouring animal-grade multicellularity can thus be expected to unfold along similar lines to Phanerozoic Earth (Butterfield, 2007) on account of first-principles physics and basic evolutionary rules. Arguments for contingency (Gould, 1990) fail to account for the consistent rebound of Phanerozoic exponential diversification in the face of unpredictable mass extinction events (Cermeño *et al.*, 2022): far from underpinning the rise of sophonce, such improbable geological contingencies temporarily perturbed an exploratory process bound to tap into it.

CE drivers and model choice

An 'open world' scenario of cognitive evolution in Phanerozoic-style biospheres has repercussions on the choice of models used to estimate ETI abundance. Evolutionary scenarios centred on geobiological contingencies, such as the chance onset of key innovations or permissive conditions, are most amenable to 'step modelling'. Under this framework the path to sophonce passes through a series of unlikely events, their probabilities kept low and constant over time (Bogonovich, 2011). That is, step-based models assume that once a step has taken place the next occurs at a constant average rate (e.g. Snyder-Beattie et al., 2021). A CE grounded in exponential evolution undermines this assumption. It points to the probability of sophonce emerging being highly nonuniform across the Phanerozoic, with a strong positive skew towards the present. Accordingly, the marked temporal clustering of animal intelligences and CCE preconditions contrasts with the dispersed timing of major evolutionary transitions, the traditional targets of step models (Hanson, 1998; Watson, 2008; Snyder-Beattie et al., 2021). Regardless of the applicability of step-based frameworks to major evolutionary transitions (e.g. Snyder-Beattie et al., 2021), this strongly suggests that 'long-fuse' alternatives based on continuous, incremental evolutionary models (Bogonovich, 2011) and entailing a gradual increase in the probability of sophonce arising may best describe the protracted, cumulative 'minor transition' from animalgrade multicellularity to sophonce. Sophonce may require a long time to evolve not because it depends on an astronomically unlikely roll of dice, but because its evolution is limited by the supply rate of evolutionary novelty and rests upon an incremental increase - an evolutionary 'buildup' - in probability.

A long fuse model may nonetheless be approximated by a step-based alternative in which the origins of CCE exaptations represent discrete 'minor steps' distinct from the origin of sophonce. In this framework where sophonce is 'deconstructed' into its enabling steps, the multiple origins of intelligence and other CCE preconditions from a tissue-grade metazoan baseline could be factored in, rather than lost in the black box of the singular origin of sophonce. Instead of being treated as a singular improbable step, the transition from complex multicellularity to sophonce could thus be decomposed into a sequence of cumulative (and arguably far less improbable) enabling events. This approach could help refine likelihood estimates for the evolution of ETI, potentially leading to an upward revision of N compared to recent works (e.g. Snyder-Beattie *et al.*, 2021). Such a revision would take into account that the appearance of social, cognitively sophisticated, tool-using lineages among animals required over 500 Myr (Dunn *et al.*, 2018, 2021) but occurred minimally 8 times within the last 10^2 – in coleoids, parrots, corvids, proboscideans, cetaceans, hominoids, cercopithecoids and New World monkeys. The final substep in the evolution of sophonce on Earth – the eventual appearance of CCE itself among these lineages – occurred only once (in hominoids), but required an order of magnitude less time (Langergraber *et al.*, 2012).

From a frequentist standpoint, the repeated origins of tool-using social intelligences suggest that their evolution among metazoans is not exceedingly unlikely in the Phanerozoic biosphere. The long timescale required for their emergence does not invalidate this argument: instead, it underscores the cumulative nature of cognitive evolution in the Phanerozoic world, in which time and the supply of evolutionary novelties – and not the onset of permissive geobiological conditions – are the key limiting factors. The transition from social, tool-using intelligence to sophonce was instead a 'singularity', but one that occurred extremely rapidly on a geological timescale and well in advance of complex life's ultimate demise (Cavosie *et al.*, 2005; Franck *et al.*, 2006). While anthropic self-selection bias and the low probabilities of major evolutionary transitions (Snyder-Beattie *et al.*, 2021) may explain why sophonce appeared late in Earth's habitable timeline (at ~4300 out of ~6000 Myr; Cavosie *et al.*, 2005; Franck *et al.*, 2006), they may not account as readily for its much earlier origin relative to the estimated total lifespans of animal-grade multicellularity (after ~580 out of ~1780 Myr; Franck *et al.*, 2006; Pu *et al.*, 2016) or social, tool-using animal intelligences (after ~100 Myr or less out of a potential ~1300; Franck *et al.*, 2006). Whether animal intelligence and human sophonce differ in 'degree' (Darwin, 1871) or 'kind' (Wallace, 1869), this suggests that the crossing of the

'behaviourally modern' (Sterelny, 2011) human Rubicon was almost certainly not commensurate to eukaryogenesis, the evolution of complex multicellularity, or even abiogenesis (Snyder-Beattie *et al.*, 2021) in its improbability.

SETI and the future of terrestrial intelligence

Taken together, the recurrent evolution of animal intelligences and the early apparition of sophonce in its wake suggest that f_s is likely not a significant limiting factor to the number of detectable ETIs. This opens the door to a universe in which biospheres shaped by animal-grade organisms will likely spawn sophonce on a timescale commensurate with the duration of the Phanerozoic.

All else being equal, under an 'open world' scenario an f_s value approaching 1 also implies that the temporal density or 'permanence' of sophonce should rise nonlinearly as biospheres age. Barring a major reversal of Phanerozoic biodiversification dynamics or strong negative (e.g. competitive) feedbacks, on macroevolutionary grounds the average number of antecedents to sophonce (Frank, 2018) available at any given time is set to increase in a similarly exponential fashion. Therefore, the likelihood of sophonce emerging in any given interval will also tend to escalate over time. Given a constant average lifetime for each sophont species, it follows that the 'interregna' between one and the next will tend to become shorter and sparser as a function of biosphere age. In contrast, instances of temporal overlap will tend to increase in frequency. The same general tendency towards a higher temporal permanence of sophonce would emerge naturally even in a simple (i.e. non-compounded) diffusion-based scenario (e.g. Bogonovich, 2011, fig. 2): in an exponentially diversifying biosphere it is merely accelerated.

In both simple and compounded diffusion scenarios, the unlikelihood of 'Silurians' is thus mirrored by a nonlinearly increasing probability of sophonts in the planet's deep future. Against this backdrop, on purely macroevolutionary grounds humans are unlikely to be the last sophonts to arise on Earth. EQs on par or above those of the earliest hominins, and a comparable range of CCE preconditions, occur in living cetaceans (Marino, 2017), corvids and parrots (Emery and Clayton, 2004; Ksepka *et al.*, 2020), apes and monkeys (e.g. Ni *et al.*, 2019). If the history of the human lineage is any guide, in principle all could attain sophonce within the next 10^1 Myr. If the timeframe is expanded by an order of magnitude to 10^2 Myr, the potential ancestors of sophont species multiply dramatically: complex brains and EQs well above those of any known 10^2 Myr old vertebrate are widespread in dozens of extant lineages (e.g. Ksepka *et al.*, 2020; Bertrand *et al.*, 2022). This 10^2 Myr window would still be shorter than the estimated remaining lifespan of complex multicellularity by one order of magnitude (Franck *et al.*, 2006). Against arguments for contingency (Gould, 1990; see Ćirković, 2007), *H. sapiens* may hypothetically sit at the cusp of a new phase in Earth history, in which sophonce becomes a frequent and recurrent feature of the biosphere.

Under such a scenario where $f_s \approx 1$, it is the evolutionary transitions upstream of the origins of animal-grade multicellularity that would impose the strictest limits on ETI frequency. Eukaryogenesis, abiogenesis and sexual reproduction are all plausible bottlenecks or 'hard steps' (Levin *et al.*, 2019; Snyder-Beattie *et al.*, 2021). Nonetheless, Bayesian estimates of ETI's likelihood based on step models (Snyder-Beattie *et al.*, 2021) indicate that increasing biosphere lifetimes to match those offered by long-lived red dwarf systems yields high probabilities of achieving these major evolutionary transitions. If $f_s \approx 1$ and the stellar environments of red dwarfs are not inherently hostile to complex life (Gale and Wandel, 2017), the ubiquity of sophonts may thus be virtually guaranteed. If they are not (e.g. Barnes *et al.*, 2013), even under $f_s \approx 1$ we may indeed, to a first approximation, be alone. Under both scenarios, focusing search for extraterrestrial intelligence (SETI) efforts on ancient red dwarf systems may offer one of the most useful tests of ETI's likelihood. If habitable planets several times older than Earth are devoid of ETIs, sophonce could indeed be an evolutionary fluke. If they are not, a solution to the Fermi paradox (Ćirković, 2018b) would be at hand. In either case, the information payoff could arguably be greater than for any other stellar environment.

An 'open world' scenario of cognitive evolution is also pertinent to more exploratory research programmes in the SETI. If $f_s \approx 1$, the discovery of any animal-grade organism outside Earth would imply that sophonce should be widespread in the galaxy. 'Martian trilobites' (Conway Morris, 2018) or Europan macrofauna (Chyba and Phillips, 2001) would dramatically raise the success chances of high-impact, high-risk efforts including space archaeology in the solar system (Villarroel *et al.*, 2022) or the search for non-terrestrial artefacts within Earth's atmosphere (Siraj *et al.*, 2022). Should these fail, a stronger focus on possible roadblocks to ETI detection *after* the origination of sophonce would be justified. If sophonce is readily evolvable, but nowhere to be seen, the Fermi Paradox stands out as all the more puzzling (Conway Morris, 2011, 2018).

Conclusion

When questioned about the existence of ETI, Drake (2006) expressed an optimistic take based on Earth's fossil record, portraying the evolution of humanlike minds as a virtually inevitable outcome of natural selection. The history of intelligence on Earth suggests that Drake was right, but for the wrong reasons. The cognitive preconditions to sophonce may be far from universally adaptive, and yet they arose frequently and foreseeably as the exponential diversification of Phanerozoic life expanded the gamut of possibilities. Treating the transition from animal-grade multicellularity to sophonce as a discrete 'singularity' overlooks its incremental nature and the fact that most of its unlikely substeps have indeed aligned on the same evolutionary lineage multiple times. The origins of complex brains, sociality, culture and technology are all among them. If sophonce is exceptionally difficult to evolve from a metazoan-grade baseline, it is the origin of any putative human unicum that must supply the rate-limiting factor to its frequency. In the context of a sample size of 1, this possibility should not be dismissed altogether. However, the pace and timing of human evolution against the backdrop of the multiple origins of animal intelligence suggests that it is an unlikely one. Earth's history suggests instead that the transition between animal-grade multicellularity and sophonce is not a ratelimiting step in the emergence of technological civilization and that the frequency of sophonce may be constrained primarily by earlier evolutionary 'bottlenecks'. If biospheres exist around long-lived red dwarf stars, where sufficient time is available for these bottlenecks to be overcome, sophonce may be virtually ubiquitous. Whether this is the case or not, the lifespan of Earth's carbon cycle still allows for no less than 800 and up to 1200 Myr of complex multicellularity. Our own sophont lineage arose from a widespread cognitive and behavioural baseline in less than 10. If intelligence is a 'child of time' (Tchaikovsky, 2018), plenty is left for surprises.

Acknowledgements. I thank William Bezodis, Nick J. Butterfield, Simon Conway Morris and Althea Sovani for the discussions that stimulated this work and acknowledge support from a NERC C-CLEAR DTP studentship [RG96579].

Competing interests. None.

References

- Alatorre Warren JL, Ponce de León MS, Hopkins WD and Zollikofer CP (2019) Evidence for independent brain and neurocranial reorganization during hominin evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **116**, 22115–22121.
- Allen J, Weinrich M, Hoppitt W and Rendell L (2013) Network-based diffusion analysis reveals cultural transmission of lobtail feeding in humpback whales. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **340**, 485–488.
- Allué E, Mallol C, Aldeias V, Burguet-Coca A, Cabanes D, Carrancho Á and Vaquero M (2022) Fire among Neanderthals. In Romagnoli F, Rivals F and Benazzi S (eds). *Updating Neanderthals*. London: Academic Press, pp. 227–249.
- Alroy J (2002) How many named species are valid? Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 99, 3706–3711.
- Amodio P and Fiorito G (2013) Observational and other types of learning in Octopus. In Menzel R and Benjamin PR (eds). Invertebrate Learning and Memory. London: Academic Press, pp. 293–302.

Amodio P, Boeckle M, Schnell AK, Ostojic L, Fiorito G, Clayton NS (2019) Shell loss in cephalopods: trigger for, or by-product of, the evolution of intelligence? A reply to Mollo et al. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **34**, 690–692.

Amodio P, Shigeno S and Ostojić L (2020) Evolution of intelligence in cephalopods. eLS 1, 77-84.

Arkhipkin AI, Bizikov VA and Fuchs D (2012) Vestigial phragmocone in the gladius points to a deepwater origin of squid (Mollusca: Cephalopoda). Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 61, 109–122.

Arsuaga JL, Martínez I, Arnold LJ, Aranburu A, Gracia-Téllez A, Sharp WD and Carbonell E (2014) Neandertal roots: cranial and chronological evidence from Sima de los Huesos. Science (New York, N.Y) 344, 1358–1363.

- Aubert M, Brumm A and Huntley J (2018) Early dates for 'Neanderthal cave art' may be wrong. *Journal of Human Evolution* **125**, 215–217.
- Auersperg AM, Von Bayern AM, Gajdon GK, Huber L and Kacelnik A (2011) Flexibility in problem solving and tool use of kea and New Caledonian crows in a multi access box paradigm. *PLoS One* 6, e20231.
- Balanoff AM, Bever GS, Rowe TB and Norell MA (2013) Evolutionary origins of the avian brain. Nature 501, 93-96.
- Balanoff AM, Smaers JB and Turner AH (2016) Brain modularity across the theropod-bird transition: testing the influence of flight on neuroanatomical variation. *Journal of Anatomy* **229**, 204–214.
- Baleka S, Varela L, Tambusso P, Paijmans J, Mothé D, Stafford Jr T and Hofreiter M (2022) Revisiting proboscidean phylogeny and evolution through total evidence and palaeogenetic analyses including Notiomastodon ancient DNA. *Iscience* 25, 103559.
- Ball JA (1985) Universal aspects of biological evolution. In Papagiannis MD (ed). Symposium-International Astronomical Union, vol. 112. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 251–254.
- Barnes R, Mullins K, Goldblatt C, Meadows VS, Kasting JF and Heller R (2013) Tidal Venuses: triggering a climate catastrophe via tidal heating. Astrobiology 13, 225–250.
- Bates LA, Poole JH and Byrne RW (2008) Elephant cognition. Current Biology 18, R544-R546.
- Beatty TG (2022) The detectability of nightside city lights on exoplanets. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **513**, 2652–2662.
- Beck RM, de Vries D, Janiak MC, Goodhead IB and Boubli JP (2023) Total evidence phylogeny of platyrrhine primates and a comparison of undated and tip-dating approaches. *Journal of Human Evolution* 174, 103293.
- Benoit J, Legendre LJ, Tabuce R, Obada T, Mararescul V and Manger P (2019) Brain evolution in proboscidea (Mammalia, Afrotheria) across the Cenozoic. *Scientific Reports* **9**, 1–8.
- Benson-Amram S, Dantzer B, Stricker G, Swanson EM and Holekamp KE (2016) Brain size predicts problem-solving ability in mammalian carnivores. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113, 2532–2537.
- Benton M (2009) The completeness of the fossil record. Significance 6, 117-121.
- Benton MJ (1995) Diversification and extinction in the history of life. Science (New York, N.Y.) 268, 52-58.
- Benton MJ and Emerson BC (2007) How did life become so diverse? The dynamics of diversification according to the fossil record and molecular phylogenetics. *Palaeontology* **50**, 23–40.
- Benton MJ and Simms MJ (1995) Testing the marine and continental fossil records. Geology 23, 601-604.
- Benton MJ, Wills MA and Hitchin R (2000) Quality of the fossil record through time. Nature 403, 534-537.
- Benton MJ, Wilf P and Sauquet H (2022) The Angiosperm Terrestrial Revolution and the origins of modern biodiversity. *New Phytologist* 233, 2017–2035.
- Bertrand OC, Shelley SL, Williamson TE, Wible JR, Chester SG, Flynn JJ and Brusatte SL (2022) Brawn before brains in placental mammals after the end-Cretaceous extinction. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **376**, 80–85.
- Berwick RC and Chomsky N (2016) Why Only Us: Language and Evolution. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Beyrand V, Voeten DF, Bureš S, Fernandez V, Janáček J, Jirák D, Rauhut OW and Tafforeau P (2019) Multiphase progenetic development shaped the brain of flying archosaurs. Scientific Reports 9, 10807.
- Bird CD and Emery NJ (2009) Insightful problem solving and creative tool modification by captive nontool-using rooks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106, 10370–10375.
- Bloch M (2008) Why religion is nothing special but is central. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **363**, 2055–2061.
- Boesch C and Boesch H (1990) Tool use and tool making in wild chimpanzees. Folia Primatologica 54, 86-99.
- Bogonovich M (2011) Intelligence's likelihood and evolutionary time frame. *International Journal of Astrobiology* **10**, 113–122. Boire D, Nicolakakis N and Lefebvre L (2002) Tools and brains in birds. *Behaviour* **139**, 939–973.
- Bond M, Tejedor MF, Campbell KE, Chornogubsky L, Novo N and Goin F (2015) Eocene primates of South America and the African origins of New World monkeys. *Nature* 520, 538–541.
- Borrelli L, Chiandetti C and Fiorito G (2020) A standardized battery of tests to measure *Octopus vulgaris*' behavioural performance. *Invertebrate Neuroscience* **20**, 1–15.
- Bostrom N (2013) Anthropic Bias: Observation Selection Effects in Science and Philosophy. New York: Routledge.
- Botha R (2020) Neanderthal Language: Demystifying the Linguistic Powers of our Extinct Cousins. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bottjer DJ (2002) Berlin-Ichthyosaur: preserving some of the Earth's largest marine vertebrates. In Bottjer DJ, Etter W, Hagadorn JW and Tang CM (eds), Exceptional Fossil Preservation: A Unique View on the Evolution of Marine Life, pp. 243–250.
- Bradbury RJ, Ćirković MM and Dvorsky G (2011) Dysonian approach to SETI: a fruitful middle ground? *Journal of the British Interplanetary Society* **64**, 156.
- Brodkorb P (1972) Neogene fossil jays from the Great Plains. The Condor 74, 347-349.
- Brumm A, Oktaviana AA, Burhan B, Hakim B, Lebe R, Zhao JX and Aubert M (2021) Oldest cave art found in Sulawesi. *Science Advances* 7, eabd4648.
- Budd GE and Jensen S (2017) The origin of the animals and a 'Savannah' hypothesis for early bilaterian evolution. *Biological Reviews* 92, 446–473.
- Butterfield NJ (2000) 9. Ecology and evolution of Cambrian plankton. In Zhuravlev A and Riding R (eds). *The Ecology of the Cambrian Radiation*. New York: Columbia University Press, pp. 200–216.

Butterfield NJ (2007) Macroevolution and macroecology through deep time. Palaeontology 50, 41-55.

- Butterfield NJ (2011) Animals and the invention of the Phanerozoic Earth system. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 26, 81-87.
- Butterfield NJ (2018) Oxygen, animals and aquatic bioturbation: an updated account. Geobiology 16, 3–16.
- Byrne R, Lee PC, Njiraini N, Poole JH, Sayialel K, Sayialel S and Moss CJ (2008) Do elephants show empathy? Journal of Consciousness Studies 15, 204–225.
- Byrne RW, Bates LA and Moss CJ (2009) Elephant cognition in primate perspective. *Comparative Cognition and Behavior Reviews* 4, 65–79.
- Cailleux A (1976) Géologie Générale, Terre, Lune, Planets. Masson, Paris, Fides, Montréal: Géologie générale.
- Carvalho S, Biro D, McGrew WC and Matsuzawa T (2009) Tool-composite reuse in wild chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes): archaeologically invisible steps in the technological evolution of early hominins? *Animal Cognition* 12, 103–114.
- Cavalier-Smith T (2017) Origin of animal multicellularity: precursors, causes, consequences the choanoflagellate/sponge transition, neurogenesis and the Cambrian explosion. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **372**, 20150476.
- Cavosie AJ, Valley JW and Wilde SA (2005) Magmatic δ18O in 4400–3900 Ma detrital zircons: a record of the alteration and recycling of crust in the Early Archean. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 235, 663–681.
- Cermeño P, García-Comas C, Pohl A, Williams S, Benton MJ, Chaudhary C and Vallina SM (2022) Post-extinction recovery of the Phanerozoic oceans and biodiversity hotspots. *Nature* 607, 507–511.
- Chappell J and Kacelnik A (2002) Tool selectivity in a non-primate, the New Caledonian crow (*Corvus moneduloides*). Animal Cognition 5, 71–78.
- Chiappe D and MacDonald K (2005) The evolution of domain-general mechanisms in intelligence and learning. The Journal of General Psychology 132, 5–40.
- Chung WS, Kurniawan ND and Marshall NJ (2022) Comparative brain structure and visual processing in octopus from different habitats. *Current Biology* **32**, 97–110.
- Chyba CF and Phillips CB (2001) Possible ecosystems and the search for life on Europa. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 98, 801–804.
- Cirković MM (2006) Macro-engineering in the galactic context: a new agenda for astrobiology. *Macro-Engineering: A Challenge for the Future* 54, 281–300.
- Cirković MM (2007) Evolutionary catastrophes and the Goldilocks problem. International Journal of Astrobiology 6, 325–329.
- Ćirković MM (2018a) Woodpeckers and diamonds: some aspects of evolutionary convergence in astrobiology. Astrobiology 18, 491–502.
- Cirković MM (2018b) The Great Silence: The Science and Philosophy of Fermi's Paradox. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Claramunt S and Cracraft J (2015) A new time tree reveals Earth history's imprint on the evolution of modern birds. *Science Advances* **1**, e1501005.
- Clayton NS, Dally JM and Emery NJ (2007) Social cognition by food-caching corvids: the western scrub-jay as a natural psychologist. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 362, 507–522.
- Close RA, Benson RB, Alroy J, Carrano MT, Cleary TJ, Dunne EM and Butler RJ (2020a) The apparent exponential radiation of Phanerozoic land vertebrates is an artefact of spatial sampling biases. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 287, 20200372.
- Close RA, Benson RB, Saupe EE, Clapham ME and Butler RJ (2020b) The spatial structure of Phanerozoic marine animal diversity. Science (New York, N.Y.) 368, 420–424.
- Connor RC (2007) Dolphin social intelligence: complex alliance relationships in bottlenose dolphins and a consideration of selective environments for extreme brain size evolution in mammals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **362**, 587–602.
- Connor RC, Krützen M, Allen SJ, Sherwin WB and King SL (2022) Strategic intergroup alliances increase access to a contested resource in male bottlenose dolphins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **119**, e2121723119.
- Conway Morris S (2003) Life's Solution: Inevitable Humans in a Lonely Universe. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Conway Morris S (2011) Predicting what extra-terrestrials will be like: and preparing for the worst. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 369, 555–571.
- Conway Morris S (2018) Three explanations for extraterrestrials: sensible, unlikely, mad. *International Journal of Astrobiology* **17**, 287–293.
- Cortês M, Kauffman SA, Liddle AR and Smolin L (2022) *Biocosmology: towards the birth of a new science*. arXiv preprint arXiv:220409378.
- Darmaillacq AS, Dickel L and Mather J (eds) (2014) Cephalopod Cognition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Darroch SA, Laflamme M and Wagner PJ (2018) High ecological complexity in benthic Ediacaran communities. Nature ecology and evolution 2, 1541–1547.
- Darwin C (1859) On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or, The Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life. London: John Murray.
- Darwin C (1871) The Descent of Man and Selection in Relation to Sex. London: John Murray.
- de Waal F (1982) Chimpanzee Politics. London: Jonathan Cape.
- Dera G, Toumoulin A and De Baets K (2016) Diversity and morphological evolution of Jurassic belemnites from South Germany. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 457, 80–97.

- Drake F (1961) Discussion at Space Science Board-National Academy of Sciences Conference on Extraterrestrial Intelligent Life, Green Bank, West Virginia, USA.
- Drake F (1965) The radio search for intelligent extraterrestrial life. In Mamikunian G and Briggs MH (eds), *Current Aspects of Exobiology*. Oxford: Pergamon, pp. 323–345.
- Drake F (2006) On-line Debate Astrobiology Magazine. Available at http://wwwastrobionet/news/article239html
- Drerup C and Cooke GM (2021) Shoaling behaviour in the European cuttlefish Sepia officinalis. Ethology 127, 1101–1108.
- Dudley R (1998) Atmospheric oxygen, giant Paleozoic insects and the evolution of aerial locomotor performance. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* **201**, 1043–1050.
- Dunbar RI (2003) The social brain: mind, language and society in evolutionary perspective. *Annual Review of Anthropology* **32**, 163–181.
- Dunbar RI (2009) The social brain hypothesis and its implications for social evolution. Annals of Human Biology 36, 562-572.
- Dunbar RI and Shultz S (2021) Social complexity and the fractal structure of group size in primate social evolution. *Biological Reviews* **96**, 1889–1906.

Dunn FS, Liu AG and Donoghue PC (2018) Ediacaran developmental biology. Biological Reviews 93, 914-932.

- Dunn FS, Liu AG, Grazhdankin DV, Vixseboxse P, Flannery-Sutherland J, Green E and Donoghue PC (2021) The developmental biology of Charnia and the eumetazoan affinity of the Ediacaran rangeomorphs. *Science Advances* **7**, eabe0291.
- Edinger T (1948) Paleoneurology versus comparative brain anatomy. Stereotactic and Functional Neurosurgery 9, 5-24.

Emerson BC and Kolm N (2005) Species diversity can drive speciation. Nature 434, 1015-1017.

- Emery NJ and Clayton NS (2004) The mentality of crows: convergent evolution of intelligence in corvids and apes. *Science* (*New York, N.Y.*) **306**, 1903–1907.
- Falk D (2012) Hominin paleoneurology: where are we now? Progress in Brain Research 195, 255-272.
- Field DJ, Benito J, Chen A, Jagt JW and Ksepka DT (2020) Late Cretaceous neornithine from Europe illuminates the origins of crown birds. *Nature* **579**, 397–401.
- Finn JK, Tregenza T and Norman MD (2009) Defensive tool use in a coconut-carrying octopus. *Current Biology* **19**, R1069–R1070.
- Fiorito G, von Planta C and Scotto P (1990) Problem solving ability of Octopus vulgaris Lamarck (Mollusca, Cephalopoda). Behavioral and Neural Biology 53, 217–230.
- Foerder P, Galloway M, Barthel T, Moore III DE and Reiss D (2011) Insightful problem solving in an Asian elephant. *PLoS One* **6**, e23251.
- Foote AD, Vijay N, Ávila-Arcos MC, Baird RW, Durban JW, Fumagalli M and Wolf JB (2016) Genome-culture coevolution promotes rapid divergence of killer whale ecotypes. *Nature Communications* 7, 1–12.
- Fragaszy DM and Cummins-Sebree SE (2005) Relational spatial reasoning by a nonhuman: the example of capuchin monkeys. Behavioral and Cognitive Neuroscience Reviews 4, 282–306.
- Franck S, Bounama C and Von Bloh W (2006) Causes and timing of future biosphere extinctions. *Biogeosciences (Online)* **3**, 85–92.
- Frank A (2018) Was there a civilization on Earth before humans? The Atlantic. Available at https://www.theatlantic.com/science/ archive/2018/04/are-we-earths-only-civilization/557180/ (accessed 15/02/2023).
- Frank A and Sullivan III WT (2016) A new empirical constraint on the prevalence of technological species in the universe. *Astrobiology* **16**, 359–362.
- Fröbisch J and Reisz RR (2009) The Late Permian herbivore Suminia and the early evolution of arboreality in terrestrial vertebrate ecosystems. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 276, 3611–3618.
- Fuchs D and Schweigert G (2018) First Middle–Late Jurassic gladius vestiges provide new evidence on the detailed origin of incirrate and cirrate octopuses, Coleoidea. PalZ 92, 203–217.
- Fuchs D, Klinghammer A and Keupp H (2007) Taxonomy, morphology and phylogeny of plesioteuthidid coleoids from the Upper Jurassic (Tithonian) Plattenkalks of Solnhofen. *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie-Abhandlungen* 245, 239–252.
- Fuchs D, Iba Y, Heyng A, Iijima M, Klug C, Larson NL and Schweigert G (2020) The Muensterelloidea: phylogeny and character evolution of Mesozoic stem octopods. *Papers in Palaeontology* 6, 31–92.
- Gal E and Kessler E (2006) Songbird remains from the Miocene (Middle Sarmatian) site Credința (Dobrogea, South-East Romania). In Csiki Z (ed). Volume Dedicated to Dan Grigorescu on His 65th Birthday. Bucharest: University of Bucharest Printing House, pp. 117–125.
- Gale J and Wandel A (2017) The potential of planets orbiting red dwarf stars to support oxygenic photosynthesis and complex life. *International Journal of Astrobiology* **16**, 1–9.
- Garland EC, Goldizen AW, Rekdahl ML, Constantine R, Garrigue C, Hauser ND and Noad MJ (2011) Dynamic horizontal cultural transmission of humpback whale song at the ocean basin scale. *Current Biology* **21**, 687–691.
- Garland EC, Garrigue C and Noad MJ (2022) When does cultural evolution become cumulative culture? A case study of humpback whale song. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* **377**, 20200313.
- Gingerich PD and Gunnell GF (2005) Brain of Plesiadapis cookei (Mammalia, Proprimates): surface morphology and encephalization compared to those of Primates and Dermoptera.
- Godfrey-Smith P, Scheel D, Chancellor S, Linquist S and Lawrence M (2021) In the line of fire: debris throwing by wild octopuses. bioRxiv.

- Gonzales LA, Benefit BR, McCrossin ML and Spoor F (2015) Cerebral complexity preceded enlarged brain size and reduced olfactory bulbs in Old World monkeys. *Nature Communications* **6**, 1–9.
- Goodman M, Hayward T and Hunt GR (2018) Habitual tool use innovated by free-living New Zealand kea. *Scientific Reports* 8, 1–12.
- Gould SJ (1985) Ontogeny and Phylogeny. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Gould SJ (1990) Wonderful Life: The Burgess Shale and the Nature of History. New York: WW Norton and Company.
- Gould SJ and Vrba ES (1982) Exaptation a missing term in the science of form. Paleobiology 8, 4–15.
- Grasso FW and Basil JA (2009) The evolution of flexible behavioral repertoires in cephalopod molluscs. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* **74**, 231–245.
- Hansell M and Ruxton GD (2008) Setting tool use within the context of animal construction behaviour. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 23, 73–78.
- Hanson R (1998) Must early life be easy? The rhythm of major evolutionary transitions. Unpublished manuscript, September, 23, 168–189.
- Haqq-Misra J, Fauchez TJ, Schwieterman EW and Kopparapu R (2022a) Disruption of a planetary nitrogen cycle as evidence of extraterrestrial agriculture. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* 929, L28.
- Haqq-Misra J, Kopparapu R, Fauchez TJ, Frank A, Wright JT and Lingam M (2022b) Detectability of chlorofluorocarbons in the atmospheres of habitable M-dwarf planets. *The Planetary Science Journal* 3, 60.
- Hardy BL, Moncel MH, Kerfant C, Lebon M, Bellot-Gurlet L and Mélard N (2020) Direct evidence of Neanderthal fibre technology and its cognitive and behavioral implications. *Scientific Reports* 10, 1–9.
- Harmon LJ and Harrison S (2015) Species diversity is dynamic and unbounded at local and continental scales. *The American Naturalist* 185, 584–593.
- Harrington AR, Silcox MT, Yapuncich GS, Boyer DM and Bloch JI (2016) First virtual endocasts of adapiform primates. *Journal of Human Evolution* **99**, 52–78.
- Harrison JF, Kaiser A and VandenBrooks JM (2010) Atmospheric oxygen level and the evolution of insect body size. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 277, 1937–1946.
- Hart BL, Hart LA, McCoy M and Sarath CR (2001) Cognitive behaviour in Asian elephants: use and modification of branches for fly switching. *Animal Behaviour* 62, 839–847.
- Hart BL, Hart LA and Pinter-Wollman N (2008) Large brains and cognition: where do elephants fit in? Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews 32, 86–98.
- Haslam M (2013) 'Captivity bias' in animal tool use and its implications for the evolution of hominin technology. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 368, 20120421.
- Hejnol A and Martindale MQ (2008) Acoel development supports a simple planula-like urbilaterian. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 363, 1493–1501.
- Heldstab SA, Kosonen ZK, Koski SE, Burkart JM, van Schaik CP and Isler K (2016) Manipulation complexity in primates coevolved with brain size and terrestriality. *Scientific Reports* 6, 1–9.
- Helweg DA, Eriksen N, Tougaard J and Miller LA (2005) Cultural change in the songs of humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae) from Tonga. Behaviour 142, 305–328.
- Henshilwood CS, d'Errico F, Van Niekerk KL, Dayet L, Queffelec A and Pollarolo L (2018) An abstract drawing from the 73,000-year-old levels at Blombos Cave, South Africa. *Nature* **562**, 115–118.
- Hogler JA (1992) Taphonomy and paleoecology of Shonisaurus popularis (Reptilia: Ichthyosauria). Palaios 7, 108–117.
- Holzhaider JC, Hunt GR and Gray RD (2010) Social learning in New Caledonian crows. *Learning and Behavior* 38, 206–219.
 Hsieh S, Plotnick RE and Bush AM (2022) The Phanerozoic aftermath of the Cambrian information revolution: sensory and cognitive complexity in marine faunas. *Paleobiology* 48, 397–419.
- Hunt GR (1996) Manufacture and use of hook-tools by New Caledonian crows. Nature 379, 249-251.
- Hunt GR and Gray RD (2003) Diversification and cumulative evolution in New Caledonian crow tool manufacture. *Proceedings* of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences 270, 867–874.
- Hunt GR and Gray RD (2004) Direct observations of pandanus-tool manufacture and use by a New Caledonian crow (Corvus moneduloides). Animal Cognition 7, 114–120.
- Hunt GR and Gray RD (2007) Parallel tool industries in New Caledonian crows. Biology Letters 3, 173-175.
- Irie N, Hiraiwa-Hasegawa M and Kutsukake N (2019) Unique numerical competence of Asian elephants on the relative numerosity judgment task. *Journal of Ethology* 37, 111–115.
- Ivachnenko MF (1994) A new Late Permian dromasaurian (Anomodontia) from Eastern Europe. *Paleontological Journal* **28**, 96–103.
- Jerison HJ (1973) Evolution of the brain and intelligence. Current Anthropology 16, 403-426.
- Jerison HJ (1985) Animal intelligence as encephalization. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* B. Biological Sciences **308**, 21–35.
- Jerison HJ (1994) Evolution of the brain. In Zaidel DW (ed). Neuropsychology. New York: Academic Press, pp. 53-82.
- Jønsson KA, Fabre PH, Kennedy JD, Holt BG, Borregaard MK, Rahbek C and Fjeldså J (2016) A supermatrix phylogeny of corvoid passerine birds (Aves: Corvides). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **94**, 87–94.
- Josef N and Shashar N (2014) Camouflage in benthic cephalopods: what does it teach us. In Darmaillacq AS, Dickel L and Mather J (eds). *Cephalopod Cognition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 177–196.

- Kalmar A and Currie DJ (2010) The completeness of the continental fossil record and its impact on patterns of diversification. *Paleobiology* **36**, 51–60.
- Kauffman SA (2019) A World Beyond Physics: The Emergence and Evolution of Life. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Kawashima S, Takei K, Yoshikawa S, Yasumuro H and Ikeda Y (2020) Tropical octopus Abdopus aculeatus can learn to recognize real and virtual symbolic objects. The Biological Bulletin 238, 12–24.
- Keefner A (2016) Corvids infer the mental states of conspecifics. Biology and Philosophy 31, 267-281.
- Kessler E (2013) Neogene songbirds (Aves, Passeriformes) from Hungary. Hantkeniana 8, 37-149.
- Kipping D (2020) An objective Bayesian analysis of life's early start and our late arrival. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117, 11995–12003.
- Klump BC, Martin JM, Wild S, Hörsch JK, Major RE and Aplin LM (2021) Innovation and geographic spread of a complex foraging culture in an urban parrot. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 373, 456–460.
- Knaebe B, Taylor AH, Elliffe DM and Gray RD (2017) New Caledonian crows show behavioural flexibility when manufacturing their tools. *Behaviour* 154, 65–91.
- Knoll AH (2011) The multiple origins of complex multicellularity. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences 39, 217–239.
- Knoll F and Kawabe S (2020) Avian palaeoneurology: reflections on the eve of its 200th anniversary. *Journal of Anatomy* 236, 965–979.
- Köhler M, Herridge V, Nacarino-Meneses C, Fortuny J, Moncunill-Solé B, Rosso A and Moyà-Solà S (2021) Palaeohistology reveals a slow pace of life for the dwarfed Sicilian elephant. *Scientific Reports* **11**, 1–17.
- Kröger B, Vinther J and Fuchs D (2011) Cephalopod origin and evolution: a congruent picture emerging from fossils, development and molecules: extant cephalopods are younger than previously realised and were under major selection to become agile, shell-less predators. *Bioessays* 33, 602–613.
- Krützen M, Mann J, Heithaus MR, Connor RC, Bejder L and Sherwin WB (2005) Cultural transmission of tool use in bottlenose dolphins. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 102, 8939–8943.
- Ksepka DT, Balanoff AM, Smith NA, Bever GS, Bhullar BAS, Bourdon E and Smaers JB (2020) Tempo and pattern of avian brain size evolution. *Current Biology* 30, 2026–2036.
- Курочкин E and Соболев Д (2004) Miopica paradoxa gen. et sp. п. новые род и вид миоценовых сорок.
- Laaß M and Kaestner A (2017) Evidence for convergent evolution of a neocortex-like structure in a late Permian therapsid. Journal of Morphology 278, 1033–1057.
- Laland K and Galef B (2009) The Question of Animal Culture. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Langergraber KE, Prüfer K, Rowney C, Boesch C, Crockford C, Fawcett K and Vigilant L (2012) Generation times in wild chimpanzees and gorillas suggest earlier divergence times in great ape and human evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences 109, 15716–15721.
- Langley MC, Clarkson C and Ulm S (2008) Behavioural complexity in Eurasian Neanderthal populations: a chronological examination of the archaeological evidence. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 18, 289–307.
- Larramendi A and Palombo MR (2015) Body size, biology and encephalization quotient of Palaeoloxodon ex gr P. Falconeri from Spinagallo Cave (Hyblean plateau, Sicily). Hystrix 26, 102–109.
- Larsen BB, Miller EC, Rhodes MK and Wiens JJ (2017) Inordinate fondness multiplied and redistributed: the number of species on earth and the new pie of life. *The Quarterly Review of Biology* 92, 229–265.
- Leder D, Hermann R, Hüls M, Russo G, Hoelzmann P, Nielbock R and Terberger T (2021) A 51,000-year-old engraved bone reveals Neanderthals' capacity for symbolic behaviour. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* **5**, 1273–1282.
- Lee PC and Moss CJ (1999) The social context for learning and behavioural development among wild African elephants. In Box HO and Gibson KR (eds). *Mammalian Social Learning: Comparative and Ecological Perspectives*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 102–125.
- Levin SR, Scott TW, Cooper HS and West SA (2019) Darwin's aliens. International Journal of Astrobiology 18, 1-9.
- Lineweaver CH (2009) Paleontological tests: human-like intelligence is not a convergent feature of evolution. In Seckbach J and Walsh M (eds). From Fossils to Astrobiology. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 353–368.
- Lombard M, Högberg A and Haidle MN (2019) Cognition: from Capuchin rock pounding to Lomekwian flake production. Cambridge Archaeological Journal 29, 201–231.
- López-Córdova DA, Avaria-Llautureo J, Ulloa PM, Braid HE, Revell LJ, Fuchs D and Ibáñez CM (2022) Mesozoic origin of coleoid cephalopods and their abrupt shifts of diversification patterns. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 166, 107331.

Lyras GA (2018) Brain changes during phyletic dwarfing in elephants and hippos. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* **92**, 167–181. Maddock L and Young JZ (1987) Quantitative differences among the brains of cephalopods. *Journal of Zoology* **212**, 739–767.

- Malaivijitnond S, Lekprayoon C, Tandavanittj N, Panha S, Cheewatham C and Hamada Y (2007) Stone-tool usage by Thai longtailed macaques (*Macaca fascicularis*). American Journal of Primatology: Official Journal of the American Society of Primatologists 69, 227–233.
- Mann J and Patterson EM (2013) Tool use by aquatic animals. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 368, 20120424.
- Marcot JD and McShea DW (2007) Increasing hierarchical complexity throughout the history of life: phylogenetic tests of trend mechanisms. Paleobiology 33, 182–200.
- Marek RD, Moon BC, Williams M and Benton MJ (2015) The skull and endocranium of a Lower Jurassic ichthyosaur based on digital reconstructions. *Palaeontology* 58, 723–742.

- Marino L (2002) Convergence of complex cognitive abilities in cetaceans and primates. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* **59**, 21–32.
- Marino L (2017) Cetacean cognition. In Kalof L (ed). The Oxford Handbook of Animal Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 227–239.
- Marino L (2022) Cetacean Brain, Cognition and Social Complexity Ethology and Behavioral Ecology of Marine Mammals: The Evolving Human Factor. Cham, Switzerland: Springer Nature, pp. 113–148.
- Marino L, McShea DW and Uhen MD (2004) Origin and evolution of large brains in toothed whales. The Anatomical Record Part A: Discoveries in Molecular, Cellular and Evolutionary Biology: An Official Publication of the American Association of Anatomists 281, 1247–1255.
- Marino L, Connor RC, Fordyce RE, Herman LM, Hof PR, Lefebvre L and Whitehead H (2007) Cetaceans have complex brains for complex cognition. *PLoS Biology* 5, e139.
- Marshall CR (2006) Explaining the Cambrian "explosion" of animals. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 34, 355–384.
- Martinez CLF (2014) SETI in the light of cosmic convergent evolution. Acta Astronautica 104, 341-349.
- Marx FG, Lambert O and Uhen MD. (2016) Major steps in the evolution of cetaceans. In Marx FG, Lambert O and Uhen MD (eds). *Cetacean Paleobiology*. New York: Wiley, pp. 157–197.
- Mather JA (1994) 'Home' choice and modification by juvenile Octopus vulgaris (Mollusca: Cephalopoda): specialized intelligence and tool use? Journal of Zoology 233, 359–368.
- Mather JA and Anderson RC (1999) Exploration, play and habituation in octopuses (Octopus dofleini). Journal of Comparative Psychology 113, 333.
- Mather JA and O'Dor RK (1991) Foraging strategies and predation risk shape the natural history of juvenile Octopus vulgaris. Bulletin of Marine Science 49, 256–269.
- Matsui H, Hunt GR, Oberhofer K, Ogihara N, McGowan KJ, Mithraratne K and Izawa EI (2016) Adaptive bill morphology for enhanced tool manipulation in New Caledonian crows. Scientific Reports 6, 1–11.
- Mayr G (2015) A reassessment of Eocene parrotlike fossils indicates a previously undetected radiation of zygodactyl stem group representatives of passerines (Passeriformes). Zoologica Scripta 44, 587–602.
- Mayr G and Göhlich UB (2004) A new parrot from the Miocene of Germany, with comments on the variation of hypotarsus morphology in some Psittaciformes. *Belgian Journal of Zoology* 134, 47–54.
- McComb K, Moss C, Durant SM, Baker L and Sayialel S (2001) Matriarchs as repositories of social knowledge in African elephants. Science (New York, N.Y.) 292, 491–494.
- McComb K, Shannon G, Sayialel KN and Moss C (2014) Elephants can determine ethnicity, gender and age from acoustic cues in human voices. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111, 5433–5438.
- McGrew WC, Falótico T, Gumert MD and Ottoni EB (2019) A simian view of the Oldowan: reconstructing the evolutionary origins of human technology. In Overmann KA and Coolidge FL (eds). Squeezing Minds From Stones. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 13–41.
- McMenamin M (2012) Evidence for a Triassic kraken: unusual arrangement of bones at Ichthyosaur State Park in Nevada. 21st Century Science and Technology 24, 55–58.
- McShea DW (1994) Mechanisms of large-scale evolutionary trends. Evolution 48, 1747–1763.

Melchionna M, Mondanaro A, Serio C, Castiglione S, Di Febbraro M, Rook L and Raia P (2020) Macroevolutionary trends of brain mass, in Primates. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 129, 14–25.

- Meyer F (1954) Problématique de l'évolution Presses Universitaires de France, Paris.
- Milne-Edwards A (1869) Recherches anatomiques et paléontologiques pour servir à l'histoire des oiseaux fossiles de la France, II–627 Atlas II: pls, 97–200.
- Milner AC and Walsh SA (2009) Avian brain evolution: new data from Palaeogene birds (Lower Eocene) from England. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 155, 198–219.
- Montgomery SH, Geisler JH, McGowen MR, Fox C, Marino L and Gatesy J (2013) The evolutionary history of cetacean brain and body size. *Evolution* 67, 3339–3353.
- Mora C, Tittensor DP, Adl S, Simpson AG and Worm B (2011) How many species are there on Earth and in the ocean? *PLoS Biology* 9, e1001127.
- Moroz LL (2009) On the independent origins of complex brains and neurons. Brain, Behavior and Evolution 74, 177-190.
- Mourer-Chauviré C (1992) Une nouvelle famille de perroquets (Aves, Psittaciformes) dans l'Éocène supérieur des Phosphorites du Quercy, France. Geobios 25, 169–177.
- Ni X, Flynn JJ, Wyss AR and Zhang C (2019) Cranial endocast of a stem platyrrhine primate and ancestral brain conditions in anthropoids. *Science Advances* 5, eaav7913.
- Nieder A (2018) Evolution of cognitive and neural solutions enabling numerosity judgements: lessons from primates and corvids. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **373**, 20160514.
- Noad MJ, Cato DH, Bryden MM, Jenner MN and Jenner KCS (2000) Cultural revolution in whale songs. *Nature* 408, 537–537.
 Norris RD (2000) Pelagic species diversity, biogeography, and evolution. In Erwin DH and Wings SL (eds), *Paleobiology*, 26 (Supplement to No. 4, Deep Time, Paleobiology's Perspective), 236–258.

Northcutt RG (2002) Understanding vertebrate brain evolution. Integrative and Comparative Biology 42, 743-756.

- Nunez-Lahuerta C, Galán J, Cuenca-Bescos G and Huguet R (2021) Birds from Sima Del Elefante, Atapuerca, Spain: palaeoecological implications in the oldest human bearing levels of the Iberian Peninsula. *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia* **127**, 453–484.
- O'Hara M, Mioduszewska B, Mundry R, Haryoko T, Rachmatika R, Prawiradilaga DM and Auersperg AM (2021) Wild Goffin's cockatoos flexibly manufacture and use tool sets. *Current Biology* 31, 4512–4520.
- Orliac MJ and Thewissen JGM (2021) The endocranial cast of Indohyus (Artiodactyla, Raoellidae): the origin of the cetacean brain. *Journal of Mammalian Evolution* 28, 831–843.
- O'Shea TJ and Reep RL (1990) Encephalization quotients and life-history traits in the Sirenia. *Journal of mammalogy* **71**, 534–543.
- Ottoni EB and Izar P (2008) Capuchin monkey tool use: overview and implications. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News and Reviews: Issues, News and Reviews* 17, 171–178.
- Overington SE, Morand-Ferron J, Boogert NJ and Lefebvre L (2009) Technical innovations drive the relationship between innovativeness and residual brain size in birds. *Animal Behaviour* 78, 1001–1010.
- Packard A (1972) Cephalopods and fish: the limits of convergence. Biological Reviews 47, 241-307.
- Palombo MR and Giovinazzo C (2005) Elephas falconeri from Spinagallo Cave (south-eastern Sicily, Hyblean Plateau, Siracusa): a preliminary report on brain to body weight comparison. In Proceedings of the International Symposium "Insular Vertebrate Evolution: The Palaeontological Approach": September, 16–19 Mallorca. Societat d'Història Natural de les Balears, pp. 255–264.
- Pavia M (2020) Palaeoenvironmental reconstruction of the Cradle of Humankind during the Plio-Pleistocene transition, inferred from the analysis of fossil birds from Member 2 of the hominin-bearing site of Kromdraai (Gauteng, South Africa). *Quaternary Science Reviews* 248, 106532.
- Pepperberg IM (2006) Cognitive and communicative abilities of Grey parrots. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 100, 77-86.
- Pika S, Sima MJ, Blum CR, Herrmann E and Mundry R (2020) Ravens parallel great apes in physical and social cognitive skills. *Scientific Reports* 10, 1–19.
- Plotnick RE, Dornbos SQ and Chen J (2010) Information landscapes and sensory ecology of the Cambrian Radiation. Paleobiology 36, 303–317.
- Plummer TW, Oliver JS, Finestone EM, Ditchfield PW, Bishop LC, Blumenthal SA, Lemorini C, Caricola I, Bailey SE, Herries AIR, Parkinson JA, Whitfield E, Hertel F, Kinyanjui RN, Vincent TH, Li Y, Louys J, Frost SR, Braun DR, Reeves JS, Early EDG, Onyango B, Lamela-Lopez R, Forrest FL, He H, Lane TP, Frouin M, Nomade S, Wilson EP, Bartilol SK, Rotich NK and Potts R (2023) Expanded geographic distribution and dietary strategies of the earliest Oldowan hominins and Paranthropus. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 379, 561–566.
- Powell R (2020) Copernicanism and its biological discontents. Quarterly Reviews of Biology 95, 59-64.
- Proffitt T, Reeves JS, Braun DR, Malaivijitnond S and Luncz LV (2023) Wild macaques challenge the origin of intentional tool production. *Science Advances* 9, eade8159.
- Provost KL, Joseph L and Smith BT (2018) Resolving a phylogenetic hypothesis for parrots: implications from systematics to conservation. *Emu-Austral Ornithology* 118, 7–21.
- Prum RO, Berv JS, Dornburg A, Field DJ, Townsend JP, Lemmon EM and Lemmon AR (2015) A comprehensive phylogeny of birds (Aves) using targeted next-generation DNA sequencing. *Nature* 526, 569–573.
- Pu JP, Bowring SA, Ramezani J, Myrow P, Raub TD, Landing E and Macdonald FA (2016) Dodging snowballs: geochronology of the Gaskiers glaciation and the first appearance of the Ediacaran biota. *Geology* 44, 955–958.
- Püschel HP, Bertrand OC, O'reilly JE, Bobe R and Püschel TA (2021) Divergence-time estimates for hominins provide insight into encephalization and body mass trends in human evolution. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* 5, 808–819.
- Raby CR, Alexis DM, Dickinson A and Clayton NS (2007) Planning for the future by western scrub-jays. Nature 445, 919–921.
- Radovčić D, Sršen AO, Radovčić J and Frayer DW (2015) Evidence for Neandertal jewelry: modified white-tailed eagle claws at Krapina. PLoS One 10, e0119802.
- Ramos EA, Santoya L, Verde J, Walker Z, Castelblanco-Martínez N, Kiszka JJ and Rieucau G (2021) Lords of the Rings: mud ring feeding by bottlenose dolphins in a Caribbean estuary revealed from sea, air and space. *Marine Mammal Science* 1, 364–373.
- Reader SM and Laland KN (2002) Social intelligence, innovation and enhanced brain size in primates. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 99, 4436–4441.
- Reader SM, Hager Y and Laland KN (2011) The evolution of primate general and cultural intelligence. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **366**, 1017–1027.
- Rendell LE and Whitehead H (2003) Vocal clans in sperm whales (*Physeter macrocephalus*). Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B: Biological Sciences 270, 225–231.
- Richter JN, Hochner B and Kuba MJ (2016) Pull or push? Octopuses solve a puzzle problem. PLoS One 11, e0152048.
- Rightmire GP (2004) Brain size and encephalization in early to Mid-Pleistocene Homo. American Journal of Physical Anthropology: The Official Publication of the American Association of Physical Anthropologists **124**, 109–123.
- Roebroeks W and Soressi M (2016) Neandertals revised. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 113, 6372–6379.
- Rospars JP (2010) Terrestrial biological evolution and its implication for SETI. Acta Astronautica 67, 1361–1365.
- Rospars JP (2013) Trends in the evolution of life, brains and intelligence. International Journal of Astrobiology 12, 186-207.

- Roth G (2015) Convergent evolution of complex brains and high intelligence. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **370**, 20150049.
- Rowe AJ, Kruta I, Landman NH, Villier L, Fernandez V and Rouget I (2022) Exceptional soft-tissue preservation of Jurassic Vampyronassa rhodanica provides new insights on the evolution and palaeoecology of vampyroteuthids. Scientific Reports 12, 1–9.
- Rushton JP and Ankney CD (2009) Whole brain size and general mental ability: a review. *International Journal of Neuroscience* **119**, 692–732.
- Russell DA (1983) Exponential evolution: implications for intelligent extraterrestrial life. Advances in Space Research 3, 95–103.
- Russell DA and Billingham J (1981) Speculations on the evolution of intelligence in multicellular organisms. In Billingham J (ed). Life in the Universe, vol. 1. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, p. 259.
- Russell DA and Séguin R (1982) Reconstruction of the small Cretaceous theropod *Stenonychosaurus inequalis* and a hypothetical dinosauroid. *Syllogeus* **37**, 1–43.
- Rybczynski N (2000) Cranial anatomy and phylogenetic position of *Suminia getmanovi*, a basal anomodont (Amniota: Therapsida) from the Late Permian of Eastern Europe. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* **130**, 329–373.
- Sahney S and Benton MJ (2017) The impact of the Pull of the Recent on the fossil record of tetrapods. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* 18, 7–23.
- Sasaki T, Shigeno S, Tanabe K, Shigeta Y and Hirano H. (2010) Anatomy of living Nautilus: reevaluation of primitiveness and comparison with Coleoidea. In Tanabe K, Shigeta Y, Sasaki T and Hirano H (eds). *Cephalopods – Present and Past*. Tokyo: Tokai University Press, pp. 35–66.
- Sayol F, Maspons J, Lapiedra O, Iwaniuk AN, Székely T and Sol D (2016) Environmental variation and the evolution of large brains in birds. *Nature Communications* 7, 1–8.
- Scheel D, Chancellor S, Hing M, Lawrence M, Linquist S and Godfrey-Smith P (2017) A second site occupied by Octopus tetricus at high densities, with notes on their ecology and behavior. Marine and Freshwater Behaviour and Physiology 50, 285– 291.
- Schmidt GA and Frank A (2019) The Silurian hypothesis: would it be possible to detect an industrial civilization in the geological record? *International Journal of Astrobiology* 18, 142–150.
- Schmitt V, Pankau B and Fischer J (2012) Old world monkeys compare to apes in the primate cognition test battery. *PLoS One* 7, e32024.
- Schnell AK, Boeckle M, Rivera M, Clayton NS and Hanlon RT (2021) Cuttlefish exert self-control in a delay of gratification task. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 288, 20203161.
- Schofield DP, McGrew WC, Takahashi A and Hirata S (2018) Cumulative culture in nonhumans: overlooked findings from Japanese monkeys? *Primates* **59**, 113–122.
- Schwartzman D and Middendorf G (2000) Biospheric cooling and the emergence of intelligence. Bioastronomy 99, 213.
- Schwing R, Jocteur E, Wein A, Noë R and Massen JJ (2016) Kea cooperate better with sharing affiliates. *Animal Cognition* **19**, 1093–1102.
- Seed AM, Clayton NS and Emery NJ (2008) Cooperative problem solving in rooks (Corvus frugilegus). Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 275, 1421–1429.
- Selvatti AP, Galvão A, Mayr G, Miyaki CY and Russo CADM (2022) Southern hemisphere tectonics in the Cenozoic shaped the pantropical distribution of parrots and passerines. *Journal of Biogeography*, 49, 1753–1766.
- Sepkoski JJ (1984) A kinetic model of Phanerozoic taxonomic diversity III Post-Paleozoic families and mass extinctions. *Paleobiology* **10**, 246–267.
- Shigeno S, Sasaki T, Moritaki T, Kasugai T, Vecchione M and Agata K (2008) Evolution of the cephalopod head complex by assembly of multiple molluscan body parts: evidence from Nautilus embryonic development. *Journal of Morphology* 269, 1–17.
- Shoshani JH (1997) What can make a four-ton mammal a most sensitive beast? Natural History 106, 36-44.
- Shultz S and Dunbar R (2010) Encephalization is not a universal macroevolutionary phenomenon in mammals but is associated with sociality. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**, 21582–21586.
- Shultz S, Nelson E and Dunbar RI (2012) Hominin cognitive evolution: identifying patterns and processes in the fossil and archaeological record. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **367**, 2130–2140.
- Shumaker RW, Walkup KR and Beck BB (2011) Animal Tool Behavior: The Use and Manufacture of Tools by Animals. Baltimore: JHU Press.
- Silcox MT, Dalmyn CK and Bloch JI (2009) Virtual endocast of *Ignacius graybullianus* (Paromomyidae, Primates) and brain evolution in early primates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**, 10987–10992.
- Simpson F (2015) The size distribution of inhabited planets. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society: Letters* **456**, L59–L63.
- Siraj A, Loeb A and Gallaudet T (2022) An ocean expedition by the Galileo project to retrieve fragments of the first large interstellar meteor. CNEOS 2014-01-08 arXiv preprint arXiv:220800092.
- Smaers JB, Turner AH, Gómez-Robles A and Sherwood CC (2018) A cerebellar substrate for cognition evolved multiple times independently in mammals. *Elife* 7, e35696.
- Smaers JB, Rothman RS, Hudson DR, Balanoff AM, Beatty B, Dechmann DK and Safi K (2021) The evolution of mammalian brain size. *Science Advances* 7, eabe2101.

- Snyder-Beattie AE, Sandberg A, Drexler KE and Bonsall MB (2021) The timing of evolutionary transitions suggests intelligent life is rare. Astrobiology 21, 265–278.
- Sol D, Olkowicz S, Sayol F, Kocourek M, Zhang Y, Marhounová L and Němec P (2022) Neuron numbers link innovativeness with both absolute and relative brain size in birds. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* 6, 1381–1389.
- Soressi M, McPherron SP, Lenoir M, Dogandžić T, Goldberg P, Jacobs Z and Texier JP (2013) Neandertals made the first specialized bone tools in Europe. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110, 14186–14190.
- Spiegel DS and Turner EL (2012) Bayesian analysis of the astrobiological implications of life's early emergence on Earth. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109, 395–400.
- Stacho M, Herold C, Rook N, Wagner H, Axer M, Amunts K and Güntürkün O (2020) A cortex-like canonical circuit in the avian forebrain. Science (New York, N.Y.) 369, eabc5534.

Stanford CB (1995) Chimpanzee hunting behavior and human evolution. American Scientist 83, 256-261.

Stanford CB (2001) The Hunting Apes: Meat Eating and the Origins of Human Behavior. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

- Sterelny K (2007) Social intelligence, human intelligence and niche construction. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **362**, 719–730.
- Sterelny K (2011) From hominins to humans: how sapiens became behaviourally modern. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 366, 809–822.
- Stopa R (1973) Hominization. Journal of Human Evolution 2, 371-378.
- Sykes RW (2015) To see a world in a hafted tool: birch pitch composite technology, cognition and memory. In Coward F, Hosfield R and Pope M (eds). Settlement, Society and Cognition in Human Evolution: Landscapes in the Mind. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 117–137.
- Szathmáry E and Smith JM (1995) The major evolutionary transitions. Nature 374, 227–232.
- Tambussi CP, Degrange FJ and Ksepka DT (2015) Endocranial anatomy of Antarctic Eocene stem penguins: implications for sensory system evolution in Sphenisciformes (Aves). Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 35, e981635.
- Tarver JE, Braddy SJ and Benton MJ (2007) The effects of sampling bias on Palaeozoic faunas and implications for macroevolutionary studies. *Palaeontology* 50, 177–184.
- Taylor AH, Hunt GR, Medina FS and Gray RD (2009) Do New Caledonian crows solve physical problems through causal reasoning? Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 276, 247–254.
- Taylor AH, Miller R and Gray RD (2012) New Caledonian crows reason about hidden causal agents. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109, 16389–16391.
- Tchaikovsky A (2018) Children of Time. New York, NY: Orbit.
- Tennie C, Call J and Tomasello M (2009) Ratcheting up the ratchet: on the evolution of cumulative culture. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 364, 2405–2415.
- Tomasello M (2022) Social cognition and metacognition in great apes: a theory. Animal Cognition 26, 25–35.
- Tomasello M and Call J (1994) Social cognition of monkeys and apes. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 37, 273-305.
- Upham NS, Esselstyn JA and Jetz W (2019) Inferring the mammal tree: species-level sets of phylogenies for questions in ecology, evolution and conservation. *PLoS Biology* **17**, e3000494.
- Valentine JW (1970) How many marine invertebrate fossil species? A new approximation. Journal of Paleontology 44, 410-415.
- Van Lawick-Goodall J (1971) Tool-using in primates and other vertebrates. In Lehrman DS, Hinde RA and Shaw E (eds). Advances in the Study of Behavior, vol. 3. New York: Academic Press, pp. 195–249.
- Van Schaik CP, Fox EA and Sitompul AF (1996) Manufacture and use of tools in wild Sumatran orangutans. *Naturwissenschaften* 83, 186–188.
- Van Schaik CP, Ancrenaz M, Borgen G, Galdikas B, Knott CD, Singleton I and Merrill M (2003) Orangutan cultures and the evolution of material culture. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 299, 102–105.
- Varricchio DJ, Hogan JD and Freimuth WJ (2021) Revisiting Russell's troodontid: autecology, physiology and speculative tool use. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 58, 796–811.
- Vermeij GJ (1995) Economics, volcanoes, and Phanerozoic revolutions. Paleobiology 21, 125–152.
- Villarroel B, Mattsson L, Guergouri H, Solano E, Geier S, Dom ON and Ward MJ (2022) A glint in the eye: Photographic plate archive searches for non-terrestrial artefacts. *Acta Astronautica* 194, 106–113.
- Visalberghi E, Fragaszy DM, Izar P, Ottoni EB, Lee PC and Antonio CDA (2005) Terrestriality and tool use. Science (New York, N.Y.) 308, 951–953.
- Vitti JJ (2013) Cephalopod cognition in an evolutionary context: implications for ethology. Biosemiotics 6, 393-401.
- von Bayern AMP, Danel S, Auersperg AMI, Mioduszewska B and Kacelnik A (2018) Compound tool construction by New Caledonian crows. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 1–8.
- Wallace AR (1869) Sir Charles Lyell on geological climates and the origin of species. Quarterly Review 126, 359-394.
- Walsh SA and Knoll F (2018) The evolution of avian intelligence and sensory capabilities: the fossil evidence. In *Digital Endocasts*. Tokyo: Springer, pp. 59–69.
- Ward A and Webster M (2016) Sociality: The Behaviour of Group-Living Animals. Berlin: Springer, pp. 1-8.
- Waterhouse DM (2006) Parrots in a nutshell: the fossil record of Psittaciformes (Aves). Historical Biology 18, 227-238.
- Watson AJ (2008) Implications of an anthropic model of evolution for emergence of complex life and intelligence. *Astrobiology* **8**, 175–185.

- Whalen CD and Landman NH (2022) Fossil coleoid cephalopod from the Mississippian Bear Gulch Lagerstätte sheds light on early vampyropod evolution. *Nature Communications* **13**, 1107.
- Whitehead H and Rendell L (2004) Movements, habitat use and feeding success of cultural clans of South Pacific sperm whales. Journal of Animal Ecology 73, 190–196.
- Whiten A and Erdal D (2012) The human socio-cognitive niche and its evolutionary origins. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 367, 2119–2129.
- Whiten A, Goodall J, McGrew WC, Nishida T, Reynolds V, Sugiyama Y and Boesch C (1999) Cultures in chimpanzees. *Nature* 399, 682–685.
- Wild S, Hoppitt WJ, Allen SJ and Krützen M (2020) Integrating genetic, environmental and social networks to reveal transmission pathways of a dolphin foraging innovation. *Current Biology* 30, 3024–3030.
- Wildman DE, Jameson NM, Opazo JC and Soojin VY (2009) A fully resolved genus level phylogeny of neotropical primates (Platyrrhini). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 53, 694–702.
- Wilson Mantilla GP, Chester SG, Clemens WA, Moore JR, Sprain CJ, Hovatter BT and Renne PR (2021) Earliest *Palaeocene* purgatoriids and the initial radiation of stem primates. *Royal Society Open Science* **8**, 210050.
- Witmer LM, Chatterjee S, Franzosa J and Rowe T (2003) Neuroanatomy of flying reptiles and implications for flight, posture and behaviour. *Nature* **425**, 950–953.
- Worthy TH, Tennyson AJ and Scofield RP (2011) An early Miocene diversity of parrots (Aves, Strigopidae, Nestorinae) from New Zealand. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 31, 1102–1116.
- Wright JT, Sheikh S, Almár I, Denning K, Dick S and Tarter J (2018) Recommendations from the ad hoc committee on SETI nomenclature. arXiv preprint arXiv:180906857.
- Wynn T and McGrew WC (1989) An ape's view of the Oldowan. Man 24, 383-398.
- Yang TI and Chiao CC (2016) Number sense and state-dependent valuation in cuttlefish. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 283, 20161379.
- Yosef R and Yosef N (2010) Cooperative hunting in brown-necked raven (Corvus rufficollis) on Egyptian mastigure (Uromastyx aegyptius). Journal of Ethology 28, 385–388.
- Zhang X, Shu D, Han J, Zhang Z, Liu J and Fu D (2014) Triggers for the Cambrian explosion: hypotheses and problems. Gondwana Research 25, 896–909.
- Zhang, M, Dai, S, Du, B, Ji, L and Hu, S (2018) Mid-Cretaceous hothouse climate and the expansion of early angiosperms. Acta Geologica Sinica-English Edition, 92, 2004–2025.
- Zilhão J, Angelucci DE, Badal-García E, d'Errico F, Daniel F, Dayet L and Zapata J (2010) Symbolic use of marine shells and mineral pigments by Iberian Neandertals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107, 1023–1028.