

## NEIGHBOURHOODS OF INDEPENDENT SETS FOR ( $a, b, k$ )-CRITICAL GRAPHS

SIZHONG ZHOU  and YANG XU

(Received 11 July 2007)

### Abstract

Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$ . Let  $a, b$  and  $k$  be nonnegative integers such that  $1 \leq a \leq b$ . A graph  $G$  is called an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph if after deleting any  $k$  vertices of  $G$  the remaining graph of  $G$  has an  $[a, b]$ -factor. We provide a sufficient condition for a graph to be  $(a, b, k)$ -critical that extends a well-known sufficient condition for the existence of a  $k$ -factor.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: 05C70.

*Keywords and phrases*: graph, minimum degree, neighbourhood,  $[a, b]$ -factor,  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider only finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Let  $G$  be a graph with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . For any  $x \in V(G)$ , the degree of  $x$  in  $G$  is denoted by  $d_G(x)$ . The minimum degree of  $G$  is denoted by  $\delta(G)$ . The neighbourhood  $N_G(x)$  of  $x$  is the set of all vertices in  $V(G)$  adjacent to  $x$ , and for  $X \subseteq V(G)$  we write  $N_G(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} N_G(x)$ . For disjoint subsets  $S$  and  $T$  of  $V(G)$ , we denote by  $e_G(S, T)$  the number of edges from  $S$  to  $T$ , by  $G[S]$  the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $S$ , and by  $G - S$  the subgraph obtained from  $G$  by deleting all the vertices in  $S$  together with the edges incident to vertices in  $S$ . A vertex set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is called independent if  $G[S]$  has no edges.

Let  $1 \leq a \leq b$  and  $k \geq 0$  be integers. A spanning subgraph  $F$  of  $G$  is called an  $[a, b]$ -factor if  $a \leq d_F(x) \leq b$  for each  $x \in V(G)$  (where of course  $d_F$  denotes the degree in  $F$ ). And if  $a = b = r$ , then an  $[a, b]$ -factor of  $G$  is called an  $r$ -factor of  $G$ . A graph  $G$  is called an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph if after deleting any  $k$  vertices of  $G$  the remaining graph of  $G$  has an  $[a, b]$ -factor. If  $G$  is an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph, then we also say that  $G$  is  $(a, b, k)$ -critical. If  $a = b = r$ , then an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph is simply called an  $(r, k)$ -critical graph. In particular, a  $(1, k)$ -critical graph is simply

---

This research was supported by Jiangsu Provincial Educational Department (07KJD110048).

© 2008 Australian Mathematical Society 0004-9727/08 \$A2.00 + 0.00

called a  $k$ -critical graph. Terminology and notation not given in this paper can be found in [1].

Favaron [2] studied the properties of  $k$ -critical graphs. Liu and Yu [6] gave the characterization of  $(r, k)$ -critical graphs. Li [3] gave two sufficient conditions for graphs to be  $(a, b, k)$ -critical. Li [4] showed a degree condition for graphs to be  $(a, b, k)$ -critical. Zhou [8–10] investigated  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graphs and obtained some sufficient conditions for graphs to be  $(a, b, k)$ -critical. Liu and Wang [5] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for graphs to be  $(a, b, k)$ -critical. In this paper, we obtain a new sufficient condition for graphs to be  $(a, b, k)$ -critical. The main result will be given in the following section.

The following result on  $k$ -factors is known.

**THEOREM 1** [7]. *Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer and  $G$  a graph of order  $n$  with  $n \geq 4k - 6$ . If  $k$  is odd, then  $n$  is even and  $G$  is connected. Let  $G$  satisfy*

$$|N_G(X)| \geq \frac{|X| + (k - 1)n - 1}{2k - 1},$$

for every nonempty independent subset  $X$  of  $V(G)$ , and

$$\delta(G) \geq \frac{k - 1}{2k - 1}(n + 2).$$

Then  $G$  has a  $k$ -factor.

## 2. Main results

In this section, we prove the following theorem on  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graphs, which is an extension of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $a, b$  and  $k$  be nonnegative integers with  $1 \leq a < b$ , and let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with  $n \geq ((a + b)(a + b - 2))/b + k$ . Suppose that*

$$|N_G(X)| > \frac{(a - 1)n + |X| + bk - 1}{a + b - 1}, \quad (1)$$

for every nonempty independent subset  $X$  of  $V(G)$ , and

$$\delta(G) > \frac{(a - 1)n + a + b + bk - 2}{a + b - 1}. \quad (2)$$

Then  $G$  is an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph.

In Theorem 2, if  $k = 0$ , then we obtain the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers such that  $1 \leq a < b$ , and let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n$  with  $n \geq ((a + b)(a + b - 2))/b$ . Let  $G$  satisfy*

$$|N_G(X)| > \frac{(a - 1)n + |X| - 1}{a + b - 1},$$

for every nonempty independent subset  $X$  of  $V(G)$ , and

$$\delta(G) > \frac{(a-1)n + a + b - 2}{a + b - 1}.$$

Then  $G$  has an  $[a, b]$ -factor.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

In order to prove our main theorem, we depend heavily on the following lemma.

**LEMMA 4 [5].** Let  $a, b$  and  $k$  be nonnegative integers with  $a < b$ , and let  $G$  be a graph of order  $n \geq a + k + 1$ . Then  $G$  is an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph if and only if, for any  $S \subseteq V(G)$  with  $|S| \geq k$ ,

$$\delta_G(S, T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \geq bk,$$

where  $T = \{x \mid x \in V(G) \setminus S, d_{G-S}(x) \leq a - 1\}$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** In order to prove the theorem by contradiction, we assume that  $G$  is not an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph. Then, by Lemma 4, there exists a subset  $S$  of  $V(G)$  with  $|S| \geq k$  such that

$$\delta_G(S, T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \leq bk - 1, \quad (3)$$

where  $T = \{x \mid x \in V(G) \setminus S, d_{G-S}(x) \leq a - 1\}$ . We choose such subsets  $S$  and  $T$  so that  $|T|$  is as small as possible.

If  $T = \emptyset$ , then by (3),  $bk - 1 \geq \delta_G(S, T) = b|S| \geq bk$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $T \neq \emptyset$ . Let

$$h = \min\{d_{G-S}(x) \mid x \in T\}.$$

Obviously,

$$\delta(G) \leq h + |S|. \quad (4)$$

According to the definition of  $T$ ,

$$0 \leq h \leq a - 1.$$

We shall consider two cases according to the value of  $h$  and derive a contradiction in each case.

**CASE 1.**  $h = 0$ . Let  $Y = \{x \in T \mid d_{G-S}(x) = 0\}$ . Clearly,  $Y \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $Y$  is independent we obtain, by (1),

$$\frac{(a-1)n + |Y| + bk - 1}{a + b - 1} < |N_G(Y)| \leq |S|. \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, from (3) and  $|S| + |T| \leq n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} bk - 1 &\geq \delta_G(S, T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \\ &\geq b|S| + |T| - |Y| - a|T| \\ &= b|S| - (a - 1)|T| - |Y| \\ &\geq b|S| - (a - 1)(n - |S|) - |Y| \\ &= (a + b - 1)|S| - |Y| - (a - 1)n, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$|S| \leq \frac{(a - 1)n + |Y| + bk - 1}{a + b - 1}.$$

This contradicts (5).

**CASE 2.**  $1 \leq h \leq a - 1$ . According to (3) and  $|S| + |T| \leq n$  and  $a - h \geq 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} bk - 1 &\geq \delta_G(S, T) = b|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - a|T| \\ &\geq b|S| - (a - h)|T| \\ &\geq b|S| - (a - h)(n - |S|) \\ &= (a + b - h)|S| - (a - h)n, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$|S| \leq \frac{(a - h)n + bk - 1}{a + b - h}. \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, by (2), (4) and (6),

$$\frac{(a - 1)n + a + b + bk - 2}{a + b - 1} < \delta(G) \leq |S| + h \leq \frac{(a - h)n + bk - 1}{a + b - h} + h,$$

that is,

$$(a + b - h) \left( \frac{(a - 1)n + a + b + bk - 2}{a + b - 1} - h \right) - (a - h)n - bk + 1 < 0. \quad (7)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f(h) &= (a + b - h) \left( \frac{(a - 1)n + a + b + bk - 2}{a + b - 1} - h \right) \\ &\quad - (a - h)n - bk + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by  $1 \leq h \leq a - 1$  and  $n \geq (((a + b)(a + b - 2))/b) + k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(h) &= -\frac{(a-1)n + a + b + bk - 2}{a + b - 1} + h - a - b + h + n \\ &= 2h + \frac{bn - a - b - bk + 2}{a + b - 1} - a - b \\ &\geq 2 + \frac{bn - a - b - bk + 2}{a + b - 1} - a - b \\ &= \frac{bn - (a + b)(a + b - 2) - bk}{a + b - 1} \\ &\geq \frac{b(((a + b)(a + b - 2))/b) + k - (a + b)(a + b - 2) - bk}{a + b - 1} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain, using  $1 \leq h \leq a - 1$ ,

$$f(h) \geq f(1). \quad (8)$$

In view of (7) and (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &> f(h) \geq f(1) \\ &= (a + b - 1) \left( \frac{(a-1)n + a + b + bk - 2}{a + b - 1} - 1 \right) - (a-1)n - bk + 1 \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

From the contradictions we deduce that  $G$  is an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**REMARK.** Let us show that the condition

$$|N_G(X)| > (((a-1)n + |X| + bk - 1)/(a + b - 1))$$

in Theorem 2 cannot be replaced by

$$|N_G(X)| \geq (((a-1)n + |X| + bk - 1)/(a + b - 1)).$$

Let  $b > a \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 0$  be three integers such that  $((a + b - 1)^2)/(a - 1)$  is an integer, and let  $n = (((a + b - 1)^2)/(a - 1)) + k$ . Clearly,  $n$  is an integer. Let

$$H = K_{a+b+k} \vee ((a + b)K_1 \cup (((a + b - 1)^2)/(a - 1) - 2(a + b))K_2).$$

Let  $X = V((a + b)K_1)$ . Obviously,

$$|N_H(X)| = (((a-1)n + |X| + bk - 1)/(a + b - 1))$$

and

$$\delta(H) = a + b + k > (((a - 1)n + a + b + bk - 2)/(a + b - 1)).$$

Let

$$S = V(K_{a+b+k}) \subseteq V(H)$$

and

$$T = V((a + b)K_1 \cup (((a + b - 1)^2)/(a - 1) - 2(a + b))K_2) \subseteq V(H);$$

then

$$|S| = a + b + k \geq k, \quad |T| = (((a + b - 1)^2)/(a - 1) - (a + b)).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_H(S, T) &= b|S| + d_{H-S}(T) - a|T| \\ &= b(a + b + k) + \frac{(a + b - 1)^2}{a - 1} - 2(a + b) \\ &\quad - a\left(\frac{(a + b - 1)^2}{a - 1} - (a + b)\right) \\ &= bk - 1 < bk. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4,  $H$  is not an  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graph. In the above sense, the condition

$$|N_G(X)| > (((a - 1)n + |X| + bk - 1)/(a + b - 1))$$

in Theorem 2 is the best possible.

## References

- [1] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph theory with applications* (Macmillan Press, London, 1976).
- [2] O. Favaron, 'On  $k$ -factor-critical graphs', *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **16**(1) (1996), 41–51.
- [3] J. Li, 'Sufficient conditions for graphs to be  $(a, b, n)$ -critical graphs', *Math. Appl.* **17**(3) (2004), 450–455 (in Chinese).
- [4] J. Li, 'A new degree condition for graph to have  $[a, b]$ -factor', *Discrete Math.* **290** (2005), 99–103.
- [5] G. Liu and J. Wang, ' $(a, b, k)$ -critical graphs', *Adv. Math.* **27**(6) (1998), 536–540 (in Chinese).
- [6] G. Liu and Q. Yu, ' $k$ -factors and extendability with prescribed components', *Congr. Numer.* **139**(1) (1999), 77–88.
- [7] D. R. Woodall, ' $k$ -factors and neighbourhoods of independent sets in graphs', *J. London Math. Soc.* **41**(2) (1990), 385–392.
- [8] S. Zhou, 'Some sufficient conditions for graphs to have  $(g, f)$ -factors', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **75** (2007), 447–452.

- [9] S. Zhou and J. Jiang, 'Notes on the binding numbers for  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graphs', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **76** (2007), 307–314.
- [10] S. Zhou and M. Zong, 'Some new sufficient conditions for graphs to be  $(a, b, k)$ -critical graphs', *Ars Combin.* to appear.

SIZHONG ZHOU, School of Mathematics and Physics,  
Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Mengxi Road 2, Zhenjiang,  
Jiangsu 212003, People's Republic of China  
e-mail: [zsz\\_cumt@163.com](mailto:zsz_cumt@163.com)

YANG XU, Department of Mathematics, Qingdao Agricultural University, Qingdao,  
Shandong 266109, People's Republic of China  
e-mail: [xuyang\\_825@126.com](mailto:xuyang_825@126.com)