

B_h -SETS OF REAL AND COMPLEX NUMBERS

MELVYN NATHANSON

ABSTRACT. Let $K = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C} . An n -element subset A of K is a B_h -set if every element of w has at most one representation as the sum of h not necessarily distinct elements of A . Associated to the B_h set $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ are the B_h -vectors $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ in K^n . This paper proves that "almost all" n -element subsets of K are B_h -sets in the sense that the set of all B_h -vectors is a dense open subset of K^n .

1. SUMSETS AND B_h -SETS

Let A be a nonempty subset of an additive abelian group or semigroup G . For every positive integer h , the h -fold *sumset* of A is the set of all sums of h not necessarily distinct elements of A :

$$hA = \underbrace{A + \dots + A}_{h \text{ summands}} = \{a'_1 + \dots + a'_h : a'_i \in A \text{ for all } i \in [1, h]\}.$$

For integers $h \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$, let

$$\mathcal{X}_{h,n} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = h \right\}.$$

For all $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{X}_{h,n}$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathcal{X}_{h,n}$, we have

$$(1) \quad \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_\infty = \max\{|x_i - y_i| : i = 1, \dots, n\} \leq h.$$

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in G^n$ be a vector with distinct coordinates. Associated to the vector \mathbf{a} is the subset $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ of G of cardinality n . For all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_{h,n}$ and $\mathbf{a} \in G^n$, we define the dot product

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_i.$$

Then $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a} \in G$. The h -fold sumset of A can be written in the form

$$hA = \{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_{h,n}\}.$$

The subset A of G is called a B_h -set if every element of G has at most one representation (up to permutation of the summands) as the sum of h not necessarily distinct elements of A . B_2 -sets are also called *Sidon sets*. The study of B_h -sets of integers is a classical topic in combinatorial additive number theory (cf. [1]–[19]).

Date: May 29, 2025.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11B05, 11B13, 11B30, 11B34, 11B75, 54E52.

Key words and phrases. Sidon set, B_h -set, open dense subsets, Baire's theorem, additive number theory, combinatorial number theory.

Supported in part by PSC-CUNY Research Award Program grant 66197-00 54.

This paper studies B_h -sets of real and complex numbers. Let $K = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C} . Associated to every vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K^n$ with distinct coordinates is the subset $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ of K of cardinality n . The vector \mathbf{a} is called a B_h -vector if its associated set A is a B_h -set. Let \mathcal{B}_h be the set of B_h -vectors. If $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{B}_h$, then $\sigma\mathbf{a} = (a_{\sigma(1)}, a_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}) \in \mathcal{B}_h$ for every permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

The object of this paper is to prove that “almost all” n -element subsets of K are B_h -sets in the sense that the set \mathcal{B}_h is an open and dense subset of K^n .

2. OPEN AND DENSE SUBSETS

Theorem 1. *Let $K = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C} . The set \mathcal{B}_h is an open subset of K^n .*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K^n$ be a B_h -vector. If $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}_{h,n}$ with $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$, then $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{a}$ and so

$$0 < \Delta = \min \{ \|(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{a}\|_\infty : (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{X}_{h,n}^2 \text{ and } \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y} \}.$$

We shall prove that, for all vectors $\mathbf{b} \in K^n$ with

$$0 < \|\mathbf{b}\|_\infty < \frac{\Delta}{h}$$

the vector

$$\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}$$

is a B_h -vector and so the set of B_h -vectors contains the open ball with center at \mathbf{a} and radius Δ/h .

Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}_{h,n}$ with $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$. If $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{c}$, then

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{y} \cdot (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b})$$

and

$$(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{a} = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{b}$$

Applying inequality (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &\leq \|(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{a}\|_\infty = \|(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{b}\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|_\infty \|\mathbf{b}\|_\infty \\ &< h \left(\frac{\Delta}{h} \right) = \Delta \end{aligned}$$

which is absurd. Therefore, $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$ implies $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{c}$ and so \mathbf{c} is a B_h -vector. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 1. *For all $\delta > 0$ there is a B_h -vector \mathbf{b} such that $\|\mathbf{b}\|_\infty < \delta$.*

Proof. If $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ is any B_h -vector in \mathbf{R}^n or \mathbf{C}^n with associated B_h -set $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$, then, for every $\lambda \neq 0$, the “contraction” $\lambda * W = \{\lambda w_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a B_h -set and the corresponding vector $\lambda\mathbf{w} = (\lambda w_1, \dots, \lambda w_n)$ is also a B_h -vector. Choosing $0 < \lambda < \delta/\|\mathbf{w}\|_\infty$ and $\mathbf{b} = \lambda\mathbf{w}$ gives

$$\|\mathbf{b}\|_\infty = \|\lambda\mathbf{w}\|_\infty = |\lambda| \|\mathbf{w}\|_\infty < \left(\frac{\delta}{\|\mathbf{w}\|_\infty} \right) \|\mathbf{w}\|_\infty = \delta.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2. *Let $K = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C} . The set \mathcal{B}_h is a dense subset of K^n .*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{a} \in K^n$ be a vector that is not a B_h -vector. We shall prove that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a B_h -vector $\mathbf{c} \in K^n$ such that $\|\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a}\|_\infty < \varepsilon$.

We partition the set of pairs of distinct vectors in $\mathcal{X}_{h,n}$ into two disjoint finite sets as follows:

$$\mathcal{U} = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{X}_{h,n}^2 : \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y} \text{ and } \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{a}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{V} = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{X}_{h,n}^2 : \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y} \text{ and } \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{a}\}.$$

The set \mathcal{V} is nonempty because \mathbf{a} is not a B_h -vector. The set \mathcal{U} is nonempty because $n \geq 2$ and $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{U}$ with $\mathbf{x} = (h, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (0, h, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then

$$0 < \Delta = \min \{\|(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{a}\|_\infty : (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

By Lemma 1, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a B_h -vector \mathbf{b} in K^n such that

$$\|\mathbf{b}\|_\infty < \min \left(\varepsilon, \frac{\Delta}{h} \right).$$

Applying inequality (1), for all pairs $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{X}_{h,n}^2$, we have

$$\|(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{b}\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_\infty \|\mathbf{b}\|_\infty < h \left(\frac{\Delta}{h} \right) = \Delta.$$

The vector

$$\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}$$

satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a}\|_\infty = \|\mathbf{b}\|_\infty < \varepsilon.$$

We shall prove that \mathbf{c} is a B_h -vector. Equivalently, we shall prove that $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{c}$ for all pairs $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{X}_{h,n}^2$ with $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$.

If $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{U}$, then $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{a}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{c}\|_\infty &= \|(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{a}) + (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{b})\|_\infty \\ &\geq \|(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{a}\|_\infty - \|(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{b}\|_\infty \\ &> \Delta - \Delta = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{V}$, then $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{a}$. Because $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$ and \mathbf{b} is a B_h -vector, we have $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{b}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{c}\|_\infty &= \|(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{a}) + (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{b})\|_\infty \\ &= \|\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{b}\|_\infty \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Combining Theorems 1 and 2 gives the following result.

Theorem 3. Let $K = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C} . The set \mathcal{B}_h is a dense open subset of K^n .

Theorem 4. Let \mathcal{B}_h be the set of B_h -vectors in K^n . The set

$$\mathcal{B}_\infty = \bigcap_{h=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_h$$

is a dense subset of K^n .

Proof. This is simply an application of Baire's theorem in functional analysis. \square

3. $B_h[g]$ -SETS

Let g and h be positive integers. The subset A of an additive abelian semigroup G is called a $B_h[g]$ -set if every element of G has at most g representations as the sum of h not necessarily distinct elements of A . The $B_2[1]$ -sets are the B_2 -sets. If A is a $B_h[g]$ -set, then A is also a $B_h[g']$ -set for all $g' \geq g$. In particular, every B_h -set is a $B_h[g]$ -set.

Let $K = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C} . The vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in K^n$ is a $B_h[g]$ -vector if the set $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ is an n -element $B_h[g]$ -set in K . Every B_h -vector is a $B_h[g]$ -vector. Because the set of B_h -vectors is dense in K^n , it follows that the set of $B_h[g]$ -vectors is also dense in K^n .

Problem. *Is the set of $B_h[g]$ -vectors also open in K^n ?*

REFERENCES

- [1] R. C. Bose and S. Chowla, *Theorems in the additive theory of numbers*, Comment. Math. Helv. **37** (1962/63), 141–147.
- [2] Y. C. Cheng, *Greedy Sidon sets for linear forms*, J. Number Theory **266** (2025), 225–248.
- [3] J. Cilleruelo, I. Ruzsa, and C. Vinuesa, *Generalized Sidon sets*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 5, 2786–2807.
- [4] J. Cilleruelo, I. Z. Ruzsa, and C. Trujillo, *Upper and lower bounds for finite $B_h[g]$ sequences*, J. Number Theory **97** (2002), no. 1, 26–34.
- [5] J. A. Dias da Silva and M. B. Nathanson, *Maximal Sidon sets and matroids*, Discrete Math. **309** (2009), no. 13, 4489–4494.
- [6] P. Erdős and P. Turán, *On a problem of Sidon in additive number theory, and on some related problems*, J. London Math. Soc. **16** (1941), 212–215.
- [7] M. N. Kolountzakis, *The density of $B_h[g]$ sequences and the minimum of dense cosine sums*, J. Number Theory **56** (1996), no. 1, 4–11.
- [8] G. Martin and K. O'Bryant, *Constructions of generalized Sidon sets*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **113** (2006), no. 4, 591–607.
- [9] M. B. Nathanson, *On the ubiquity of Sidon sets*, Number Theory (New York, 2003), Springer, New York, 2004, pp. 263–272.
- [10] ———, *Sidon sets and perturbations*, Combinatorial and Additive Number Theory IV, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 347, Springer, Cham, 2021, pp. 401–408.
- [11] ———, *The Bose-Chowla argument for Sidon sets*, J. Number Theory **238** (2022), 133–146.
- [12] ———, *An inverse problem for finite Sidon sets*, Combinatorial and Additive Number Theory V, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 395, Springer, Cham, 2022, pp. 277–285. MR 4539832
- [13] ———, *Sidon sets for linear forms*, J. Number Theory **239** (2022), 207–227.
- [14] ———, *The third positive element in a greedy B_h -set*, Palestine J. Math. **14** (2025), 213–216.
- [15] ———, *\mathbf{Q} -independence and the construction of B_h -sets of integers and lattice points*, arXiv:2502.13039.
- [16] M. B. Nathanson and K. O'Bryant, *The fourth positive element in the greedy B_h -set*, J. Integer Seq. **27** (2024), Article 24.7.3, pages 1–10.
- [17] K. O'Bryant, *A complete annotated bibliography of work related to Sidon sequences*, Electron. J. Combin. **DS11** (2004), 39.
- [18] ———, *Constructing thick B_h -sets*, J. Integer Seq. **27** (2024), no. 1, Paper No. 24.1.2, 17.
- [19] I. Z. Ruzsa, *Solving a linear equation in a set of integers. I*, Acta Arith. **65** (1993), no. 3, 259–282.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LEHMAN COLLEGE (CUNY), BRONX, NY 10468
E-mail address: melvyn.nathanson@lehman.cuny.edu