

K.W. EVERITT

- 5 Bearman, P.W. and Zdravkovich, M.M. *JFM*, Vol. 89, pp 33-47, 1978
- 6 Buresti, G. and Lanciotti, A. *Aeronautical Quarterly*, Vol. 30, pp 305-313, 1980
- 7 Everitt, K.W. Vech. Aero Res. Group Rep. 81/3 Dept. of Eng., University of Warwick, 1981
- 8 Good, M.C. and Joubert, P.N. *JFM*, Vol. 31, pp 547-582, 1968
- 9 Castro, I.P. and Fackrell, J.E. *J. Ind. Aero.*, Vol. 3, pp 1-20, 1978
- 10 Vickery, B.J. *JFM*, Vol. 25, pp 481-494, 1966
- 11 Kavur, C., M.Sc. Project Report, Aero. Dept, Imperial College, University of London, 1971
- 12 Gartshore, I.S., Lanville, A. and Parkinson, G.V. Paper presented at 4th Int. Conf. Wind Effects on Bluff Bodies, Heathrow, 1975
- 13 Pui and Gartshore, I.S. *JFM*, Vol. 91, pp 111-113, 1979
- 14 Kiya, M., Tamura, H. and Ane, M. *JFM*, Vol. 101, pp 721-736, 1981

CORRIGENDUM

The Effect of Disturbed Turbulence Structure on the Preston-Tube Method of Measuring Wall Shear Stress

by

S. Kiske, V. Vasanta Ram and K. Pfarr

November 1981 - pp 354-367

P 356, Fig. 1. Figures for captions Fig. 1a and Fig. 1c have been interchanged.

P 358, Fig. 2. The axes should read

$$\frac{\tau_w h_f^2}{\rho v^2} \text{ instead of } \frac{\tau_w h_f^2}{\rho v^2} \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{(\Delta p)_f h_f^2}{\rho v^2} \text{ instead of } \frac{\Delta p h_f^2}{\rho v^2}$$

P 362, Fig. 5. The Preston-tube diameter for the measured data indicated by Δ is 0.8 mm, not 1.3 mm.