

Exceptional Sets in Hartogs Domains

Piotr Kot

Abstract. Assume that Ω is a Hartogs domain in \mathbb{C}^{1+n} , defined as $\Omega = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{1+n} : |z| < \mu(w), w \in H\}$, where H is an open set in \mathbb{C}^n and μ is a continuous function with positive values in H such that $-\ln \mu$ is a strongly plurisubharmonic function in H . Let $\Omega_w = \Omega \cap (\mathbb{C} \times \{w\})$. For a given set E contained in H of the type G_δ we construct a holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that

$$E = \left\{ w \in \mathbb{C}^n : \int_{\Omega_w} |f(\cdot, w)|^2 d\mathcal{Q}^2 = \infty \right\}.$$

1 Preface

Assume that Ω is a Hartogs domain in \mathbb{C}^{1+n} defined as

$$\Omega = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{1+n} : |z| < \mu(w), w \in H\},$$

where H is an open set in \mathbb{C}^n and μ is a continuous function with positive values in H such that $-\ln \mu$ is a strongly plurisubharmonic function in H . Let

$$\Omega_w = \Omega \cap (\mathbb{C} \times \{w\}).$$

We denote

$$E(\Omega, f) = \left\{ w \in \mathbb{C}^n : \int_{\Omega_w} |f(\cdot, w)|^2 d\mathcal{Q}^2 = \infty \right\}$$

the exceptional set for a holomorphic function f ($f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$). By \mathcal{Q}^2 we denote the 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Behaviour of the holomorphic functions on the slices has been investigated in different cases by many authors: [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. In particular a construction of holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ with a given closed or open exceptional set has been shown in the paper [3]. In this paper the author raises the question whether it is possible to create a holomorphic function with a given exceptional set of the type G_δ . In our paper we answer this question.

Theorem 2.4 *Let E be a subset of the type G_δ in H . Then there exists a holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that $E(\Omega, f) = E$.*

Observe that this theorem solves completely the problem of description of exceptional sets because if E is an exceptional set for a holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, then¹ E is a set of the type G_δ .

Received by the editors November 25, 2003; revised April 23, 2004.

AMS subject classification: 30B30.

Keywords: boundary behaviour of holomorphic functions, exceptional sets.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 2005.

¹Let $r \in (0, 1)$. The function $u_r(w) := \int_{|z| < r\mu(w)} |f(z, w)|^2 d\mathcal{Q}^2(z)$ is continuous on H . Therefore $u(w) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u_r(w)$ is a lower semi-continuous function on H . Now $E(\Omega, f) = u^{-1}(\infty)$, and therefore $E(\Omega, f)$ is a set of the type G_δ .

2 Exceptional Sets in Hartogs Domains

In the proof of Lemma 2.1 we use the idea based on [3, Theorem 1].

Lemma 2.1 *Assume that T, D are compact sets in H such that $D \cap T = \emptyset$. Let us set a natural number k and a real number $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists a natural number m and a function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that*

- (1) $f(z, w) = \sum_{j=k}^m f_j(w)z^j$ where $f_j \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ and $\sqrt[j]{|f_j(w)|}\mu(w) \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ for $w \in T \cup D$,
- (2) $\int_{\Omega_w} |f(\cdot, w)|^2 d\Omega^2 \geq 1$ for $w \in T$,
- (3) $|f(z, w)| \leq \varepsilon$ for $(z, w) \in \Omega$ and $w \in D$.

Proof There exists a continuous function μ_T positive such that $\mu_T \geq \mu$, $-\ln \mu_T$ is a function strongly plurisubharmonic in H and $\mu_T(w) = \mu(w)$ iff $w \in T$. Let

$$\delta = \max \left\{ \sqrt{\sup_{w \in D} \frac{\mu(w)}{\mu_T(w)}}, \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon}} \right\}.$$

Obviously $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

On the basis [8, Theorem 9, p. 214] there exist holomorphic functions $h_i \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$ and natural numbers a_i for $i = 1, \dots, s$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \ln \frac{1}{\mu_T(w)} \leq \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{a_i} \ln |h_i(w)| : i = 1, \dots, s \right\} \leq \ln \frac{1}{\delta \mu_T(w)}$$

for $w \in T \cup D$. Select natural numbers b_i with the following properties:

- * $k < a_i b_i < a_{i+1} b_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, s - 1$,
- * $\sqrt{a_i b_i} \delta^{a_i b_i} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \inf_{w \in D} \mu(w)$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$,
- * $a_i b_i \leq \pi(1 + \varepsilon)^{a_i b_i - 2} \inf_{w \in D} \mu^2(w)$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$.

We define function f as

$$f(z, w) = \sum_{i=1}^s \sqrt{\frac{a_i b_i}{\pi}} h_i^{b_i}(w) z^{a_i b_i - 1} = \sum_{j=k}^m f_j(w) z^j,$$

where $m = a_s b_s - 1$, $f_{a_i b_i - 1} = \sqrt{\frac{a_i b_i}{\pi}} h_i^{b_i}$ and $f_j = 0$ when $j \notin \{a_1 b_1 - 1, \dots, a_s b_s - 1\}$. Due to (2.1) and the inequality $a_i b_i \leq \pi(1 + \varepsilon)^{a_i b_i - 2} \mu^2(w)$ for $w \in T \cup D$ we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{a_i b_i - 1}(w)| \mu^{a_i b_i - 1}(w) &= \sqrt{\frac{a_i b_i}{\pi}} h_i^{b_i}(w) \mu^{a_i b_i - 1}(w) \leq \sqrt{\frac{a_i b_i}{\pi}} \frac{\mu^{a_i b_i - 1}(w)}{\delta^{a_i b_i} \mu_T^{a_i b_i}(w)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{a_i b_i}{\pi}} \frac{1}{\delta^{a_i b_i} \mu(w)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{a_i b_i}{\pi}} \frac{(\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon})^{a_i b_i}}{\mu(w)} \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{a_i b_i - 1} \end{aligned}$$

for $w \in T \cup D$. From this we obtain the property (1).

If $w \in T$, we can estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_w} |f(\cdot, w)|^2 d\Omega^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{a_i b_i}{\pi} \int_{|z| < \mu(w)} |h_i(w)|^{2b_i} |z|^{2a_i b_i - 2} d\Omega^2(z) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^s |h_i(w)|^{2b_i} \mu(w)^{2a_i b_i} \\ &\geq \sup_{i=1, \dots, s} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_T(w)} \right)^{2a_i b_i} \mu(w)^{2a_i b_i} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

from which property (2) results. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z, w)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^s \sqrt{\frac{a_i b_i}{\pi}} |h_i(w)|^{b_i} |z|^{a_i b_i - 1} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^s \sqrt{a_i b_i} |h_i(w)|^{b_i} \mu(w)^{a_i b_i - 1} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^s \sqrt{a_i b_i} \left(\frac{1}{\delta \mu_T(w)} \right)^{a_i b_i} \mu(w)^{a_i b_i - 1} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^s \sqrt{a_i b_i} \left(\frac{\delta}{\mu(w)} \right)^{a_i b_i} \mu(w)^{a_i b_i - 1} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\varepsilon \inf_{x \in D} \mu(x)}{2^i \mu(w)} \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for $w \in D$, which is property (3). ■

Lemma 2.2 Assume that T, D are compact sets in H such that $D \cap T = \emptyset$. Let also K be a compact set in Ω . Let us set a natural number k and a real number $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists a natural number m and a function $f \in \mathbb{O}(\Omega)$ such that

- (1) $f(z, w) = \sum_{j=k}^m f_j(w) z^j$, where $f_j \in \mathbb{O}(H)$,
- (2) $\int_{\Omega_w} |f(\cdot, w)|^2 d\Omega^2 \geq 1$ for $w \in T$,
- (3) $|f(z, w)| \leq \varepsilon$ for $(z, w) \in K$ or $w \in D$.

Proof Increasing a set K we can assume that

$$K = \{(z\lambda, w) : (z, w) \in K, w \in H, |\lambda| = 1\}.$$

Let $K_\delta := \{w \in \Omega : \inf_{z \in K} \|z - w\| \leq \delta\}$. Let us select number δ so small that K_δ is a compact subset of Ω .

There exists a sequence of compact sets D_i such that $D = D_1 \subset \dots \subset D_i \subset D_{i+1} \subset \dots \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D_i = H \setminus T$. On the basis of Lemma 2.1 there exist functions h_i and natural numbers a_i, b_i such that

- (1) $k \leq a_i < b_i < a_{i+1}$,
- (2) $h_i(z, w) = \sum_{j=a_i}^{b_i} h_{i,j}(w)z^j$, where $h_{i,j} \in \mathbb{O}(H)$ and

$$\sqrt[j]{|h_{i,j}(w)|\mu(w)} \leq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i} \quad \text{for } w \in T \cup D_i,$$

- (3) $\int_{\Omega_w} |h_i(\cdot, w)|^2 d\Omega^2 \geq 1$ for $w \in T$,
- (4) $|h_i(z, w)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}$ for $w \in D_i$.

We define

$$g(z, w) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} h_i(z, w) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j=a_i}^{b_i} h_{i,j}(w)z^j = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j(w)z^j.$$

Observe that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[j]{|a_j(w)|\mu(w)} = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[j]{|h_{i,j}(w)|\mu(w)} \leq 1$$

for $w \in H$. Therefore, due to Hadamard’s test, the function g is holomorphic on Ω . In particular

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{K_\delta} |h_i|^2 d\Omega^{2n+2} = \int_{K_\delta} |g|^2 d\Omega^{2n+2} < \infty.$$

It is obvious that there exists a constant $C_K > 0$ such that

$$|h_i(z, w)| \leq C_K \int_{K_\delta} |h_i|^2 d\Omega^{2n+2}$$

for $(z, w) \in K$. Due to (2.2) there exists $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int_{K_\delta} |h_{i_0}|^2 d\Omega^{2n+2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C_K}.$$

Observe that $|h_{i_0}(z, w)| \leq \varepsilon$ for $(z, w) \in K$. Therefore it is enough to set $f = h_{i_0}$. ■

Lemma 2.3 *If $E = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i \subset H$, where $\{U_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of open sets, then there exists a sequence of closed sets $\{T_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and closed sets $\{D_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in H such that $U_i = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} T_{i,j}$, $T_{i,j} \cap D_{i,j} = \emptyset$, $H \setminus U_i \subset D_{i,j}$ and $T_{i,j} \subset D_{i,k}$, when $|j - k| \geq 2$.*

Proof We denote:

$$T_{i,j} := \left\{ z \in U_i : \frac{1}{j+2} \leq \inf_{w \in \partial U_i} \|z - w\| \leq \frac{1}{j+1} \right\},$$

$$D_{i,j} := \left\{ z \in H : \frac{1}{(j+3)^2} \leq \inf_{w \in T_{i,j}} \|z - w\| \right\}.$$

It is a direct result of the definition that $H \setminus U_i \subset D_{i,j}$.

Observe that $\|z - w\| \geq \frac{1}{(j+3)^2}$ for $z \in T_{i,j}$ and $w \in T_{i,k}$ when $k - j \geq 2$. In fact suppose that $z \in T_{i,j}$, $w \in T_{i,k}$ and $\|z - w\| < \frac{1}{(j+3)^2}$. In this case there exists $u \in \partial U_i$ such that $\|u - w\| \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{j+3}$. We can estimate

$$\frac{1}{j+2} \leq \|u - z\| \leq \|u - w\| + \|w - z\| < \frac{1}{j+3} + \frac{1}{(j+3)^2} \leq \frac{1}{j+2},$$

which is impossible.

Let $k \geq j + 2$. If $x \in T_{i,j} \setminus D_{i,k}$, then there exists a point $y \in T_{i,k}$ such that $\|x - y\| < \frac{1}{(k+3)^2} \leq \frac{1}{(j+3)^2}$, which is impossible due to the first part of the proof.

Let $k \leq j - 2$. If $x \in T_{i,j} \setminus D_{i,k}$, then there exists $y \in T_{i,k}$ such that $\|x - y\| < \frac{1}{(k+3)^2}$, which is also impossible due to the first part of the proof. ■

Theorem 2.4 *Let E be a subset of the type G_δ in H . Then there exists a holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that $E(\Omega, f) = E$.*

Proof There exists a sequence of open sets $\{U_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$E = \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} U_j \subset \dots \subset U_{i+1} \subset U_i \subset \dots$$

We define an increasing sequence of compact sets K_i such that $K_i \subset V_{i+1} \subset K_{i+1} \subset \dots \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} K_i = \Omega$, where V_i denotes the interior of the set K_i . On the basis of Lemma 2.3 there exists a sequence of closed sets $\{T_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and closed sets $\{D_{i,j}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in H such that $U_i = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} T_{i,j}$, $T_{i,j} \cap D_{i,j} = \emptyset$, $H \setminus U_i \subset D_{i,j}$ and $T_{i,j} \subset D_{i,k}$, when $|j - k| \geq 2$. We define also a sequence of compact sets $\{S_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $S_i \subset S_{i+1} \subset \dots \subset \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} S_j = H$.

As $D_{i,j} \cap T_{i,j} = \emptyset$, therefore on the basis of Lemma 2.2 we can select functions $f_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ and natural numbers $a_{i,j}, b_{i,j}$ such that

- (1) $a_{i,j} < b_{i,j}$ and $^2 [a_{i,j}, b_{i,j}] \cap [a_{k,l}, b_{k,l}] = \emptyset$ for $(i, j) \neq (k, l)$,
- (2) $f_{i,j}(z, w) = \sum_{m=a_{i,j}}^{b_{i,j}} h_{i,j,m}(w)z^m$, where $h_{i,j,m} \in \mathcal{O}(H)$,
- (3) $\int_{\Omega_w} |f_{i,j}(\cdot, w)|^2 d\Omega^2 \geq 1$ for $w \in T_{i,j} \cap S_{i+j}$,
- (4) $|f_{i,j}(z, w)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2^{i+j}}$ when $(z, w) \in K_{i+j}$ or $w \in D_{i,j} \cap S_{i+j}$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_w} |f_{i,j}(\cdot, w)|^2 d\Omega^2 &= \sum_{m=a_{i,j}}^{b_{i,j}} \int_{\Omega_w} |h_{i,j,m}(w)|^2 |z|^{2m} d\Omega^2(z) \\ &= \sum_{m=a_{i,j}}^{b_{i,j}} 2\pi |h_{i,j,m}(w)|^2 \frac{\mu(w)^{2m+2}}{2m+2} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

²We denote $[a, b] := \{t : a \leq t \leq b\}$.

for $w \in H$. We define

$$f = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} f_{i,j}.$$

Due to the property (4) function f is holomorphic on Ω . If $w \in E$, then

$$\sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}: w \in T_{i,j} \cap S_{i+j}} 1 = \infty.$$

Therefore using properties (1)–(3), we can estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_w} |f(\cdot, w)|^2 d\mathcal{Q}^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_w} |f_{i,j}(\cdot, w)|^2 d\mathcal{Q}^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}: w \in T_{i,j} \cap S_{i+j}} \int_{\Omega_w} |f_{i,j}(\cdot, w)|^2 d\mathcal{Q}^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}: w \in T_{i,j} \cap S_{i+j}} 1 = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If $w \in H \setminus E$, then there exists $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w \in S_{i+j}$ for $i + j > i_0$ and $w \in H \setminus U_i \subset D_{i,j}$ for $i > i_0$. Moreover there exist natural numbers k_i for $i = 1, \dots, i_0$ such that $w \in D_{i,j}$ for $|j - k_i| \geq 2$. Denote

$$A := \{(i, j) : i \leq i_0, |j - k_i| \leq 1 \text{ or } i + j \leq i_0\}.$$

Observe that $\#A < \infty$. Moreover if $(i, j) \notin A$ then $w \in D_{i,j} \cap S_{i+j}$. We can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \leq i_0, j \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Omega_w} |f_{i,j}(\cdot, w)|^2 d\mathcal{Q}^2 &\leq \sum_{(i,j) \in A} \int_{\Omega_w} |f_{i,j}(\cdot, w)|^2 d\mathcal{Q}^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{(i,j) \notin A} \int_{\Omega_w} |f_{i,j}(\cdot, w)|^2 d\mathcal{Q}^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{(i,j) \in A} \sum_{m=a_{i,j}}^{b_{i,j}} 2\pi |h_{i,j,m}(w)|^2 \frac{\mu^{2m+2}(w)}{2m+2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Omega_w} \frac{1}{2^{i+j}} d\mathcal{Q}^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, on the basis of properties (1),(2), and (4) we obtain the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_w} |f(\cdot, w)|^2 d\Omega^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega_w} |f_{i,j}(\cdot, w)|^2 d\Omega^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i \leq i_0, j \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Omega_w} |f_{i,j}(\cdot, w)|^2 d\Omega^2 + \sum_{i > i_0, j \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Omega_w} \frac{1}{2^{i+j}} d\Omega^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i \leq i_0, j \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Omega_w} |f_{i,j}(\cdot, w)|^2 d\Omega^2 + 2\pi\mu(w)^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $E(\Omega, f) = E$. ■

References

- [1] P. Jakóbczak, *The exceptional sets for functions from the Bergman space*. Portugal. Math. (1) **50**(1993), 115–128.
- [2] ———, *The exceptional sets in the circles for functions from the Bergman space*. Proc. International Symposium Classical Analysis, Kazimierz, (1993), 49–57.
- [3] ———, *The exceptional sets for holomorphic functions in Hartogs domains*. Complex Variables (Aachen) **32**(1997), 89–97.
- [4] ———, *Description of exceptional sets in the circles for functions from the Bergman space*. Czechoslovak Math. J. **47**(1997), 633–649.
- [5] ———, *Preimages of exceptional sets by biholomorphic mappings*. Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena **48**(1998), 79–85.
- [6] ———, *Complete Pluripolar Sets and Exceptional Sets for Functions from the Bergman Space*. Complex Variables **42**(2000), 17–23.
- [7] W. Rudin, *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of \mathbb{C}^n* . Springer, New York, 1980.
- [8] N. Sibony, *Prolongement des fonctions holomorphes bornées et métrique du Carathéodory*. Invent. Math. **29**(1975), 205–230.

Politechnika Krakowska
Instytut Matematyki
ul. Warszawska 24
31-155 Kraków
Poland
email: pkot@usk.pk.edu.pl