Stellar Zeeman Analyses: Effects of Multi-component Atmospheres

G.W. Marcy, G. Basri, J.A. Valenti

Astronomy Department, Univ. of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Abstract: We simulate the radiative transfer of Zeeman-broadened lines in an active star containing both quiet and flux-tube regions. A traditional one-atmosphere Zeeman analysis of these synthetic lines yields magnetic field measurements systematically inaccurate by up to 40%. However, two atmospheric components alone cannot reproduce the observed line strengths, luminosities or photometric constancy of active stars.

1. Introduction

Previous magnetic analyses of cool dwarfs (e.g., Saar, 1988; Marcy and Basri, 1989) involve fitting observed Zeeman-broadened line profiles with synthetic profiles computed by assuming that the stellar atmosphere has only one component, thus ignoring the different depth structures of flux-tubes, spots, and quiet regions (Mathys and Solanki, 1989).

To estimate the errors in the deduced magnetic field strength, B, and surface filling factor, f, caused by this oversimplification, we construct model stellar atmospheres consisting of two components, quiet and flux-tube, based on solar models. We then synthesize, in LTE, Zeeman-sensitive and insensitive profiles (λ 8468 and λ 7748) from this two-component atmosphere, and "analyze" them for Zeeman broadening using only a one-component quiet atmosphere.

2. Model stellar flux-tube atmospheres

We considered three models of the depth structure of magnetic flux tubes on active G2 stars, each based on solar models:

Tube A:	HSRA evacuated by 50% , $T(h)$ preserved, and Solanki (1986)
	solar flux tube used for $\log \tau_{5000} < -2$
Tube B:	Walton (1987) solar active region model (P1)
Starspot:	Maltby et al. (1986), Umbral Sunspot

For the three model atmospheres and the quiet HSRA, we calculated intensity profiles for two Fe I lines λ 8468 (Landé g = 2.5, $\chi_{ex} = 2.2$ eV) and for λ 7748 (Landé g = 1.1, $\chi_{ex} = 2.9$ eV). The gf values were taken from Blackwell *et al.* (1982). Line transfer is done by numerically solving the equation of transfer for each Stokes parameter in the model atmosphere, thus accounting for the Zeeman effect (Basri and Marcy, 1988). The important result is that the intensity profile shapes and equivalent widths that emerge from each atmosphere are significantly different, as shown in Figure 1.



Fig. 1. Theoretical profiles for λ 8468 from four model atmospheres assuming B = 0 G (but B = 2000 G for spot).

3. Two-component G-dwarf atmospheres

For each theoretical two-component atmosphere, we construct disk-integrated profiles for λ 8468 and λ 7748 by adding the calculated flux profiles (weighted by continuum intensity) from the flux-tube and quiet regions in the proportion 30%:70%. Thus, the three atmospheres are:

- 1. 30% Tube A (1250 G) and 70% HSRA
- 2. 30% Tube B (1250 G) and 70% HSRA
- 3. 30% Starspot (2000 G) and 70% HSRA

The resulting composite profiles for λ 8468 and λ 7748 represent profiles that would be observed if magnetic regions on active G2 stars had atmospheres similar to solar flux tubes. However, in practice Zeeman broadening is determined without knowledge of flux-tube atmospheres; it is usually assumed that the atmosphere is quiet everywhere. Accordingly, we now determine *B* and *f* that would be derived from our "observations" of a two-component atmosphere if only a one-component HSRA atmosphere were used in the analysis.

4. One-component analysis

Procedure:

1.Use HSRA (B = 0) to synthesize λ 7748 (Zeeman insensitive). Fit to "observed" two-component λ 7748. Free parameters are [Fe/H] and macroturbulent velocity. 2.Using HSRA, [Fe/H], and V_{mac} from step 1, synthesize λ 8468. Fit to "observed" two-component λ 8468. Free parameters are B and f.

3. Repeat step 1, but start with B found in step 2. Iterate.

The above procedure gives the values of B and f that would be obtained using a single quiet atmosphere to synthesize Zeeman-broadened profiles. Results are given in Table 1. The above procedure was repeated, but the cores of λ 8468 were linearly scaled before fitting with B and f, simulating the scaling procedure used by some investigators. Results are in the third section of Table 1.

Comments:

 $1 \sim 50\%$ errors in B and f are made in a one-component analysis.

2.Model B (pure tube model) forces low Fe/H, due to line weakening.

3.Model A (evacuated plage) is milder: one-component analysis yields smaller errors.

4. Scaling cores slightly improves the performance of one-component analysis.

5. Errors in B and f are anti-correlated: Bf has smaller fractional error.

Table 1.	Parameters	required f	to achieve	best	fit to	observations	using a o	ne-component
atmosphe	ere							

Input 2–Comp.			1–Comp. Fit			Scaled 1-Comp.			
Model	В	f	B	f	$\sigma_{\rm fit}$	В	f	σ_{fit}	[Fe/H]
Tube A	1250	30%	1500	27%	0.77%	1250	36%	0.31%	-0.04
Tube B	1250	30%	1900	16%	0.48%	1500	20%	0.22%	-0.38
Starspot	2000	30%	2500	12%	0.39%	1000	9%	0.39%	0.00

5. Two-component K-dwarf atmospheres

Crude two-component atmospheres have also been constructed for a hypothetical K2 dwarf, by scaling the solar flux-tube atmospheres. A Zeeman analysis of the calculated two-component profiles was done using only a quiet K2 dwarf atmosphere. Results are similar to those for G2 dwarf: We find significant errors in B and f, (~50%) that are anti-correlated. The K-dwarf tube B model (30% tube B + 70% quiet) again yields very weak profiles, and in this case was not be fit by profiles from a quiet K2 atmosphere.

Input 2–Comp.			1–Comp. Fit			Scaled 1–Comp.			
Model	В	f	В	f	σ_{fit}	В	f	$\sigma_{\rm fit}$	[Fe/H]
Tube A	1250	30%	1000	47%	0.48%	1000	53%	0.49%	0.00
Tube B	1250	30%	No fit attempted						
Starspot	2000	30%	2500	9%	0.52%	2000	12%	0.41%	-0.06

Table 2. Parameters of best-fit Zeeman profile using quiet K atmosphere only

6. Conclusions

- 1. Systematic errors in B and f occur when line profiles from two-component stellar atmospheres are Zeeman-analyzed using one atmosphere.
- 2. Errors in B and f are anti-correlated; error in Bf is less than 20%
- 3. Filling factors tend to be underestimated using a one-component atmosphere.
- 4. Two components are not enough to explain active stars.
 - a. Including flux-tubes in a quiet atmosphere yields lines weaker than observed.
 - b. Including flux-tubes also predicts active stars *bluer* than inactive stars not seen.
 - c. Luminosity would not be preserved, since tubes are twice as bright .
 - d. If flux-tubes are non-uniformly placed over surface, expect optical brightness variations of $\sim 1/2$ mag not seen; observed mod. ~ 0.02 mag
- 5. Active stars must have a third, cool atmospheric component that is spatially associated with flux-tubes to resolve issue 4.

References

Basri, G., Marcy, G.W.: 1988, Astrophys. J. 330, 274

- Blackwell, D.E., Petford, A.D., Simmons, G.J.: 1982, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 210, 595
- Maltby, P., Avrett, E.H., Carlsson, M., Kjeldseth-Moe, O., Kurucz, R.L., Loeser, R.: 1986, Astrophys. J. 306, 284
- Marcy, G.W., Basri, G.: 1989, Astrophys. J. 345, 480
- Mathys, G., Solanki, S.K.: 1989, Astron. Astrophys. 208, 189

Saar, S.: 1988, Astrophys. J. 324, 441

Solanki, S.K.: 1986, Astron. Astrophys. 168, 311

Solanki, S.K., 1987, in The Role of Fine-Scale Magnetic fields on the Structure of the Solar Atmosphere, ed. E.-H. Schroter, M. Vazquez, and A.A. Wyller (Cambridge University Press), p. 67

Walton, S.R., 1987, Astrophys. J. 312, 909