

## A MOORE STRONGLY RIGID SPACE

V. TZANNES

**ABSTRACT.** It is proved that for every Hausdorff space  $\mathbb{R}$  and for every Hausdorff (regular or Moore) space  $X$ , there exists a Hausdorff (regular or Moore, respectively) space  $S$  containing  $X$  as a closed subspace and having the following properties:

- 1a) Every continuous map of  $S$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  is constant.
- b) For every point  $x$  of  $S$  and every open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$ ,  $V \subseteq U$  such that every continuous map of  $V$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  is constant.
- 2) Every continuous map  $f$  of  $S$  into  $S$  ( $f \neq$  identity on  $S$ ) is constant.

In addition it is proved that the Fomin extension of the Moore space  $S$  has these properties.

The first example of a strongly rigid space was given by J. de Groot [2]. In [4, Remark 3.5.4] V. Kannan and M. Rajagopalan posed the question whether every Hausdorff space can be embedded in a Hausdorff strongly rigid space. (A space  $S$  is called *strongly rigid* if every continuous map  $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f \neq$  identity on  $S$ , is constant).

We solve this problem by proving that for every Hausdorff space  $\mathbb{R}$  and for every Hausdorff (or regular) space  $X$  there exists a Hausdorff (or regular) space  $S$  containing  $X$  as a closed subspace and having the following properties: 1) Every continuous map of  $S$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  is constant. 2) For every point  $x$  of  $S$  and every open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $x$ ,  $V \subseteq U$ , such that every continuous map of  $V$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  is constant. (Spaces having these properties are called in [3]  $\mathbb{R}$ -*monolithic* and *locally*  $\mathbb{R}$ -*monolithic*, respectively and by their construction are connected and locally connected). 3) The space  $S$  is strongly rigid.

The method of construction of these spaces is basically the same as in [3] which needs an auxiliary space  $T$  having two points  $a, b$  such that  $f(a) = f(b)$ , for every continuous map  $f$  of  $T$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus, using in place of space  $T$  the Moore space constructed in [1, Lemma 2] it follows that for every Hausdorff space  $\mathbb{R}$  and for every Moore space  $X$ , there exists a Moore space  $S$  containing  $X$  as a closed subspace and having properties (1), (2), (3). A direct consequence of this, is that the Fomin extension of the Moore space  $S$  has properties (1), (2), (3).

The terminology and the notation used here are the same as in [3], which is necessary background for the later results.

Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be a Hausdorff space and  $\aleph$  be a cardinal number such that  $\aleph > \max\{\psi^+(\mathbb{R}), \aleph_1\}$ . We construct the space  $T_1(\mathbb{R})$ , [3, Theorem 1] setting  $|T_{4n+2}| =$

---

Received by the editors May 2, 1988; revised: April 2, 1990.

AMS subject classification: 54G99, 54C05, 54D10, 54D15.

Key words and phrases: Embedding, Hausdorff, Regular, Moore, Fomin, Strongly rigid spaces.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1991.

$|T_{2q+1}^m| = \aleph^+$  and considering that for every point  $\alpha_{4t}^m$  a basis of open neighbourhoods are the sets of the form  $\{\alpha_{4t}^m\} \cup B$ , where the set  $B$  contains all but  $\aleph$  number of elements of the set  $T_{4t-1}^m \cup T_{4t+1}^m$ . We denote this space by  $T(\aleph^+)$ .

LEMMA 1. *The space  $T(\aleph^+)$  has the following properties:*

- (1) *It is regular totally disconnected and for every continuous map  $f$  of  $T(\aleph^+)$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(p^-) = f(p^+)$ .*
- (2) *If  $M$  is a subspace of  $T(\aleph^+)$  containing the points  $p^-, p^+$  and having cardinality  $< \aleph^+$ , then the points  $p^-, p^+$  are separated by disjoint open-and-closed subsets in  $M$ .*

PROOF. (1). That  $T(\aleph^+)$  is regular totally disconnected is easily proved. The proof that, for every continuous map  $f$  of  $T(\aleph^+)$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(p^-) = f(p^+)$  is similar to that of the corresponding property of  $T_1(\mathbb{R})$  (in [2]). It should be noticed that the proof in [3] is based on the fact that  $|T_{4n+2}| = |T_{2q+1}^m| = \max\{\psi^+(\mathbb{R}), \aleph_1\}$ , for then both sets  $A_{4t+1}^m = T_{4t+1}^m \setminus f^{-1}(f(\alpha_{4t}^m))$ ,  $A_{4t-1}^m = T_{4t-1}^m \setminus f^{-1}(f(\alpha_{4t}^m))$  have cardinality  $\leq \max\{\psi(\mathbb{R}), \aleph_0\}$ . In our case here, the cardinality of both sets  $A_{4t+1}^m, A_{4t-1}^m$  is  $\aleph$ , that is, the map  $f$  is constant on a neighbourhood of the point  $\alpha_{4t}^m$ .

(2) Let  $M \subseteq T(\aleph^+)$ ,  $|M| < \aleph^+$ ,  $p^-, p^+ \in M$  and let  $U(n, p^+)$  be an open neighbourhood of  $p^+$  in  $T(\aleph^+)$ . Then

$$U(n, p^+) = \bigcup_{k>4n+2} T_k \cup \bigcup_{k>4n+2} T_k^m \cup \{\alpha_k^m : k > 4n + 2, m = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{p^+\}.$$

But then the points  $\alpha_{4n}^m$  of  $U(n, p^+)$  (if they belong to  $M$ ) are isolated in  $M$ , because  $|M| \leq \aleph$  and every open neighbourhood of  $\alpha_{4n}^m$  consists of all but  $\aleph$  number of elements of the set  $T_{4n+1} \cup T_{4n-1}$ . Hence  $\overline{U(n, p^+)} \cap M = U(n, p^+) \cap M$  and therefore the points  $p^-, p^+$  are separated by open-and-closed subsets in  $M$ .

We now apply Theorem 2, [3], setting  $X = T(\aleph^+)$ ,  $T_1(\mathbb{R}) = T(\aleph^+)$  and  $a = p^+$  and we construct the space  $I(T(\aleph^+))$  which in the sequel will be denoted by  $C(p^+, \aleph^+)$ .

LEMMA 2. *The space  $C(p^+, \aleph^+)$  has the following properties:*

- (1) *It is regular  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic and locally  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic only at the point  $p^+$ .*
- (2) *The cardinality of every open set is  $\aleph^+$ .*
- (3)  $\psi(C(p^+, \aleph^+)) = \aleph^+$ .
- (4) *There is no non-trivial connected (hence  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic) subspace of  $C(p^+, \aleph^+)$  containing the point  $p^+$  and having cardinality  $< \aleph^+$ .*

PROOF. (1) That it is regular  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic and locally  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic at the point  $p^+$ , is proved as in [3, Lemma 2 and Theorem 1]. Since the subspace  $C(p^+, \aleph^+) \setminus \{p^+\}$  is totally disconnected [3, Theorem 2], it follows that  $C(p^+, \aleph^+)$  is locally  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic only at the point  $p^+$ .

(2) and (3) are obvious by the construction of  $C(p^+, \aleph^+)$  and by the fact that  $|T(\aleph^+)| = \aleph^+$ .

(4) Let  $M, |M| < \aleph^+$  be a non-trivial connected subspace of  $C(p^+, \aleph^+)$  containing the point  $p^+$ .

By Lemma 1, (2) and the definition of topology on  $C(p^+, \aleph^+)$  [3, §4] it follows that for the set  $O(U(n, p^+), H, G)$ , (which is an open neighbourhood of  $p^+$  in  $C(p^+, \aleph^+)$ ), it holds that  $O(U(n, p^+), H, G) \cap M = O(U(n, p^+), H, G) \cap M$ , which implies that  $M$  is not connected hence not  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic since every  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic is obviously connected.

**THEOREM.** *For every Hausdorff space  $\mathbb{R}$  and for every Hausdorff (or regular) space  $X$ , there exists a Hausdorff (or regular, respectively)  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic, locally  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic, strongly rigid space  $S$  containing  $X$  as a closed subspace.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be a Hausdorff space,  $X$  be a Hausdorff (or regular) space and  $I_0$  an index set for which  $|I_0| = |X|$ . Let  $A_0$  be a set of cardinal numbers such that

- (a)  $|A_0| = |X|$ ,
- (b) For every  $\aleph_{0i} \in A_0, i \in I_0, \aleph_{0i}^+ \notin A_0$ ,
- (c) For every  $i \in I_0, \aleph_{0i}^+ > \max\{\psi^+(X), \psi^+(\mathbb{R}), \aleph_1\}$ .

We construct for every  $\aleph_{0i} \in A_0, i \in I_0$ , the spaces  $T(\aleph_{0i}^+)$  and then the corresponding spaces  $C(p_{0i}^+, \aleph_{0i}^+)$ . We attach the spaces  $\{C(p_{0i}^+, \aleph_{0i}^+)\}_{i \in I_0}$  to the space  $X = X_0$  as follows:

First we set

$$C = C(p_{0i}^+, \aleph_{0i}^+) \setminus \{p_{0i}^-, p_{0i}^+\}.$$

Then we fix a point  $x_i \in X_0$  and we consider the set

$$\Lambda_0(x_i) = \{x_i\} \times (X_0 \setminus \{x_i\}).$$

For every  $\lambda = (x_i, x) \in \Lambda_0(x_i)$  we denote by  $C^\lambda$  the copy of  $C$  attached to the points  $x_i, x$ .

We set

$$C_0^\lambda(x_i) = \{x_i, x\} \cup C^\lambda, \quad \lambda = (x_i, x)$$

and

$$L_0(x_i) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(x_i)} C_0^\lambda(x_i).$$

We consider the set

$$X_1 = X_0 \cup \bigcup_{\substack{\lambda \in \Lambda_0(x_i) \\ x_i \in X_0}} C^\lambda$$

on which we define a topology in exactly the same manner as on the set  $I^1(X, \Lambda_0)$  in [3].

The space  $X_{n+1}, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , is constructed by induction: first we consider the space  $S_n = X_n \setminus X_{n-1}$  and an index set  $I_n$  such that  $|I_n| = |S_n|$ . Then we consider a set  $A_n$  of cardinal numbers such that

- (a)  $|A_n| = |S_n|$ ,
- (b) For every  $\aleph_{ni} \in A_n, i \in I_n, \aleph_{ni}^+ \notin A_n$ ,
- (c) For every  $i \in I_n, \aleph_{ni}^+ > \psi^+(X_n)$ .

We construct for every  $\aleph_{ni} \in A_n, i \in I_n$  the spaces  $T(\aleph_{ni}^+)$  and then the corresponding spaces  $C(p_{ni}^+, \aleph_{ni}^+)$ . We attach  $\{C(p_{ni}^+, \aleph_{ni}^+)\}_{i \in I_n}$  to  $S_n = X_n \setminus X_{n-1}$  and we construct the space

$$X_{n+1} = X_n \cup \bigcup_{\substack{\lambda \in \Lambda_n(x_i) \\ x_i \in S_n}} C_n^\lambda$$

where

$$\Lambda_n(x_i) = \{x_i\} \times (S_n \setminus \{x_i\})$$

and

$$C_n = C(p_{ni}^+, \aleph_{ni}^+) \setminus \{p_{ni}^-, p_{ni}^+\}.$$

For every  $\lambda = (x_i, x) \in \Lambda_n(x_i)$  we set

$$C_n^\lambda(x_i) = \{x_i, x\} \cup C_n^\lambda$$

and

$$L_n(x_i) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_n(x_i)} C_n^\lambda(x_i).$$

Thus to the fixed point  $x_i$  of  $S_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , are attached  $|S_n| = |\Lambda_n(x_i)|$  copies  $C_n^\lambda$ ,  $\lambda = (x_i, x)$  as  $x$  runs over the set  $S_n$ .

It should be observed that if  $x_i, x_j \in S_n$  and  $x_i \neq x_j$ , then for the attached spaces  $L_n(x_i)$  and  $L_n(x_j)$ , it holds that

$$L_n(x_i) \cap L_n(x_j) = S_n \text{ and } \aleph_{ni}^+ \neq \aleph_{nj}^+.$$

Also, by the definition of  $C_n^\lambda(x_i)$  it follows that if  $\lambda = (x_i, x)$ ,  $\mu = (x_j, y)$ ,  $x, y \in S_n$  then

$$\begin{aligned} C_n^\lambda(x_i) \cap C_n^\mu(x_j) &= \{x_i\}, \text{ if } x_i = x_j, \quad x \neq y, \\ C_n^\lambda(x_i) \cap C_n^\mu(x_j) &= \emptyset, \text{ if } x_i \neq x_j, \quad x \neq y, \\ C_n^\lambda(x_i) \cap C_n^\mu(x_j) &= \{x\}, \text{ if } x_i \neq x_j, \quad x = y. \end{aligned}$$

It should also be observed that since for every  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $i \in I_n$ ,  $\max\{\psi^+(\mathbb{R}), \aleph_1\} < \aleph_{ni}^+ < \aleph_{(n+1)i}^+$ , it follows that  $f(p^-) = f(p^+)$ , for every continuous map  $f$  of  $T(\aleph_{ni}^+)$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence for every  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \aleph_{ni} \in A_n$  and  $i \in I_n$ , the corresponding spaces  $C(p_{ni}^+, \aleph_{ni}^+)$  satisfy Lemma 2.

Also it is obvious that for every  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \lambda \in \Lambda_n(x_i)$  and  $\aleph_{ni} \in A_n$ , the space  $C_n^\lambda(x_i)$  is homeomorphic to the space  $C(p_{ni}^+, \aleph_{ni}^+)$  and hence it also satisfies Lemma 2.

We consider the set  $S = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty X_n$  on which we define a topology in exactly the same manner as on the set  $I(X)$  in [3].

That  $S$  is Hausdorff (or regular, if the initial space  $X_0$  is regular)  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic, locally  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic containing  $X_0$  as a closed subspace is proved as in [3, Lemma 2 and Theorem 1].

We prove that  $S$  is strongly rigid. Let  $f$  be a continuous map of  $S$  into  $S$  and let  $s_i \in S$  such that  $f(s_i) \neq s_i$ . Let  $n, m$  be the minimal integers for which  $s_i \in X_n$  and  $f(s_i) \in X_m$ . The space  $C_n^\lambda(s_i)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic subspace of  $X_{n+1}$  and has cardinality  $\aleph_{ni}^+$ . Hence the space  $f(C_n^\lambda(s_i))$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic (because the continuous image of an  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic is obviously  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic) and has cardinality  $\leq \aleph_{ni}^+$ .

Suppose first  $n < m$ . There exists a natural number  $k$  such that  $n+k = m$ . Since by (c), (see the construction of the space  $X_{n+1}$ ) the corresponding cardinals  $\aleph_{ni}^+, i \in I_n$  satisfy the

inequality  $\aleph_{ni}^+ > \psi^+(X_n)$ , it follows that for the construction of  $X_{n+k}$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots, m - n$ , the corresponding cardinals  $\aleph_{(n+k-1)i}^+$ ,  $i \in I_{n+k-1}$ , satisfy the inequalities

$$\aleph_{(n+k-1)i}^+ > \psi^+(X_{n+k-1}) > \aleph_{ni}^+ = \psi(C_n^\lambda(s_i)),$$

(the latter by Lemma 2, (3) and by the fact that  $C_n^\lambda(s_i)$  is homeomorphic to  $C(p_{ni}^+, \aleph_{ni}^+)$ . Hence, for every  $i \in I_{n+k-1}$ , every  $C(p_{(n+k-1)i}^+, \aleph_{(n+k-1)i}^+)$  which is attached to a point of  $S_{n+k-1} = X_{n+k-1} \setminus X_{n+k-2}$  (in order to construct  $X_{n+k}$ ) has cardinality  $> \aleph_{ni}^+$  and none of them contains a non-trivial connected subspace having cardinality  $\leq \aleph_{ni}^+$  (Lemma 2, (4)). Hence  $f(C_n^\lambda(s_i)) = f(s_i)$  which implies that  $f(L_n(s_i)) = f(s_i)$  and finally that  $f(X_n) = f(s_i)$ .

Now suppose  $n \geq m$ . By the construction of spaces  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m$ , it follows that  $f(C_n^\lambda(s_i)) \subseteq X_{n+1}$ , because by (c) again, the connected subspaces of  $S \setminus X_{n+1}$  have cardinality  $> \aleph_{ni}^+$ . Consider the space  $T(\aleph_{ni}^+)$  which was used for the construction of  $C(p_{ni}^+, \aleph_{ni}^+)$ . Then for the points of  $T(\aleph_{ni}^+)$  having the form  $\alpha_{4t}^m$ ,  $t = 0, 1, \dots, m = 1, 2, \dots$ , it holds that the points  $f(\alpha_{4t}^m)$  belong to an  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic subspace  $C_m^\mu(s_k)$  of  $X_{n+1}$  having cardinality  $< \aleph_{ni}^+$  (because  $|f(C_n^\lambda(s_i))| \leq \aleph_{ni}^+$  and no  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic subspace of  $X_{n+1}$  has cardinality exactly  $\aleph_{ni}^+$  besides  $C_n^\lambda(s_i)$ ). Therefore, for the pseudocharacter of  $f(\alpha_{4t}^m)$  in  $C_m^\mu(s_k)$  it holds that  $\psi(C_m^\mu(s_k), f(\alpha_{4t}^m)) < \aleph_{ni}^+$ . But then, by the construction of  $C(p_{ni}^+, \aleph_{ni}^+)$  it follows that  $f(C_n^\lambda(s_i)) = f(s_i)$ , which implies that  $f(L_n(s_i)) = f(s_i)$  and finally that  $f(X_n) = f(s_i)$ .

Thus in both cases  $f(X_n) = f(s_i)$ . Consequently, if  $s$  is an arbitrary point of  $S \setminus X_n$  and  $k$  is the minimal integer for which  $s \in X_k$  then by the above it follows that  $f(X_k) = f(s)$  and since  $X_n \subseteq X_k$  we have  $f(s_i) = f(s)$  and therefore  $f(S) = f(s_i)$ , i.e., the space  $S$  is strongly rigid.

**COROLLARY 1.** *For every Hausdorff space  $\mathbb{R}$  and for every Moore space  $X$  there exists an  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic, locally  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic, strongly rigid Moore space  $S$  containing  $X$  as a closed subspace.*

**PROOF.** In [1, Lemma 2] it is proved that for every Hausdorff space  $\mathbb{R}$  (denoted there by  $Y$ ) there exists a Moore space  $T_1(\mathbb{R})$  (denoted by  $S$ ) having two points  $-\infty, +\infty$  such that  $f(-\infty) = f(+\infty)$ , for every continuous map  $f$  of  $T_1(\mathbb{R})$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . By its construction  $T_1(\mathbb{R})$  is totally disconnected. Applying again Theorem 2 [3] (as we did before for the construction of  $C(p^+, \aleph^+)$ ) we construct the space  $I(T_1(\mathbb{R}))$  setting in place of the space  $X$  in Theorem 2 [3], the above space  $T_1(\mathbb{R})$  and in place of  $a$  the point  $+\infty$ . Denote  $I(T_1(\mathbb{R}))$  by  $C(+\infty, 2^\aleph)$ , where  $\aleph$  is a cardinal number such that  $|\mathbb{R}| < \aleph$  and  $\aleph^{\aleph_0} = 2^\aleph$  (see [1]).

That  $C(+\infty, 2^\aleph)$  is Moore is proved as in [3, Theorem 3]. That it is  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic and locally  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic only at the point  $+\infty$  is proved as property (1) in Lemma 2. That the cardinality of every open set is  $2^\aleph$  (i.e., property (2) of Lemma 2) is implied by the construction of  $C(+\infty, 2^\aleph)$  and because  $|T_1(\mathbb{R})| = 2^\aleph$ . Property (4) is implied by the construction of space  $C(+\infty, 2^\aleph)$ . For property (3), obviously  $\psi(C(+\infty, 2^\aleph)) = \aleph_0$  (because every Moore space is first countable).

We now follow the proof of the Theorem above making the appropriate modifications. That is, for the construction of the space  $X_1$  we consider an index set  $I_0$ ,  $|I_0| = |X|$  and a set  $A_0$  of cardinal numbers such that

- (a)  $|A_0| = |X|$ ,
- (b) For every  $\aleph_{0i} \in A_0$ ,  $i \in I_0$ ,  $\aleph_{01}^{\aleph_{0i}} = 2^{\aleph_{0i}}$ ,
- (c) For every  $i \in I_0$ ,  $\aleph_{0i} > \max\{|\mathbb{R}|, |X|\}$ .

Thus the spaces to be attached to  $X = X_0$  are  $\{C(+\infty_{0i}, 2^{\aleph_{0i}})\}_{i \in I_0}$ .

For the construction of space  $X_{n+1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  we consider an index set  $I_n$ ,  $|I_n| = |S_n|$  and a set  $A_n$  of cardinal numbers such that

- (a)  $|A_n| = |S_n|$ ,
- (b) For every  $\aleph_{ni} \in A_n$ ,  $i \in I_n$ ,  $\aleph_{ni}^{\aleph_{ni}} = 2^{\aleph_{ni}}$
- (c) For every  $i \in I_n$ ,  $\aleph_{ni} > |X_n|$ ,

and thus the spaces to be attached to  $S_n = X_n \setminus X_{n-1}$  are  $\{C(+\infty_{ni}, 2^{\aleph_{ni}})\}_{i \in I_n}$ .

The final space  $S$  is defined as in the Theorem above and the proof that it is Moore is again the same as in [3, Theorem 3]. The other properties of  $S$  are proved as in the Theorem.

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $S$  is the Moore space constructed in Corollary 1, then the Fomin extension  $\sigma S$  of  $S$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic, locally  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic, strongly rigid.*

**PROOF.** That  $\sigma S$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic, locally  $\mathbb{R}$ -monolithic is obvious since  $S$  is dense in  $\sigma S$ .

We prove that  $\sigma S$  is strongly rigid. Let  $f: \sigma S \rightarrow \sigma S$  be continuous and  $f \neq$  identity on  $S$ . Since  $S$  is first countable and the sequential closure of  $S$  in  $\sigma S$  is  $S$  [5, Theorem 5.12], it follows that if  $s \in S$  and  $f(s) \in \sigma S \setminus S$ , then  $f(S) \subseteq \sigma S \setminus S$ . Hence  $f$  is constant, because  $\sigma S \setminus S$  is totally disconnected [5, Lemma 5.3(b)]. Therefore  $f(S) \subseteq S$  and consequently  $f$  is constant on  $S$  and hence on  $\sigma S$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Brandenburg and A. Mysior, *For every Hausdorff space  $Y$  there exists a non-trivial Moore space on which all continuous functions into  $Y$  are constant*, Pacific J. of Mathematics (1) **111**(1984), 1–8.
2. J. de Groot, *Groups represented by homeomorphism groups, I*, Math. Ann. **138**(1959), 80–102.
3. S. Iliadis and V. Tzannes, *Spaces on which every continuous map into a given space is constant*, Canad. J. Math. **6**(1986), 1281–1296.
4. V. Kannan and M. Rajagopalan, *Constructions and applications of rigid spaces, II*, Amer. J. Math. (6) **100**(1978), 1139–1172.
5. J. Porter and C. Votaw, *H-closed extensions, II*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **202**(1975), 193–209.

*Department of Mathematics  
University of Patras  
Patras, Greece*