

psychosocial status and general medical quality and safety measures. This is an important consideration. After all, from the ideals of the patient safety/quality improvement movement, safety is “everyone’s responsibility” and the patient is a member of the team. The inability of the patient to ally with the team’s goals diminishes teamwork and, thereby, increases the risk of errors or adverse outcomes.

However, we were disappointed with the dichotomy posed by the authors. In their analysis, the infection in the patient’s bloodstream was a manifestation of a psychiatric disorder and necessarily not a CLABSI. The article’s title entertained the possibility that the situation represented both Munchausen’s (actually named Factitious Disorder) as well as a CLABSI, but the authors determined that the patient-induced infection indicated that the infection was not a CLABSI. We disagree with this analysis for two reasons.

First, based on the information provided, the patient’s condition affirmatively appears to be a CLABSI. There is no reason not to classify this bloodstream infection as a CLABSI. Even though the patient’s symptoms could have possibly been caused by manipulation, it still counts as a CLABSI per the NHSN surveillance definitions based on the information provided. In the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Device-Associated Module (both the January 2014 and January 2015 releases²), it is noted that “Patients suspected or known to have accessed their own IV lines are not excluded from CLABSI surveillance. A facility must protect the line as best they can. Prevention efforts may include providing a patient sitter and/or removal of the catheter as soon as is clinically possible.” Every organization is responsible to report these infections to the best of their ability based on the surveillance definitions. Not doing so skews the data collected and reported to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS).

From another perspective, there is no reason to exclude the infection simply because it was self-induced as a part of a psychiatric disorder. Instead, we would suggest that the individual whose body has a central line is a person vulnerable to a blood stream infection and that individuals with some psychiatric disorders may have a heightened degree of risk. There are several potential pathways toward CLABSI, and the presence of a psychiatric disorder should be considered as a potential mechanism by which an infection may occur either intentionally (as in this case) or unintentionally. Rather than excluding psychiatric conditions from CLABSI prevention, we propose increased attention to the interplay between psychiatric conditions and CLABSIs in individual cases as well as systematically, and such an analysis is now underway at our institution.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support: No financial support was provided relevant to this article.

Conflicts of interest: All authors report no conflicts of interest related to this article.

Erin Brooks, BA;¹
Nancy E. Christy, MT, MSHA, CIC;¹
Avram H. Mack, MD;¹
Mary McFadden, MHA, RN, CIC, CHS¹

Affiliations: 1. Medstar Georgetown University Hospital, Washington, DC, USA.

Address correspondence to Avram Mack, MD, Medstar Georgetown University Hospital, 3800 Reservoir Road NW, Washington, DC 20007 (axm41@gunet.georgetown.edu).

Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2015;36(8):996–997

© 2015 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved. 0899-823X/2015/3608-0024. DOI: 10.1017/ice.2015.72

REFERENCES

1. Kenneth M, Zangwill KM, Marin C, Vu H. A case of Munchausen’s syndrome or central line–associated bloodstream infection? Or both? *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2014;35: 1550–1551.
2. CDC Device-Assisted Module. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website. http://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/PDFs/pscManual/4PSC_CLABScurrent.pdf. Published 2015. Accessed March 10, 2015.

Substandard Sanitation in Hospital Canteens Poses Problems in Developing Countries

To the Editor—Hospital canteens are places where patients and medical personnel typically take their daily meals. Adequate sanitation of the hospital canteen is required because its cleanliness impacts both patients and hospital employees. According to the recent report by Winston et al., 70% of doctors use their hospital canteen each week, with 2 visits per week on average.¹ We used a standard sanitation checklist to evaluate public canteens in 100 hospitals in Thailand. According to our survey, canteens in only 5 hospitals (5%) met the criteria noted in this standardized checklist (the standards can be seen at nutrition.anamai.moph.go.th/temp/files/hospital/0.pdf). The checklist covers the important sanitation factors including eating place, kitchen and food preparation place, food and drink, eating utensils, waste managements, and cook and maid. Notably, all 5 hospitals were private facilities. In fact, the results of a previous survey from Thailand indicated the high prevalence of positive stool cultures and smears for parasites in hospital food handlers.² Clearly, poor hospital canteen sanitation leads to outbreaks of gastrointestinal infection; the report by White provides a good example of such an outbreak.³ Indeed, sanitation standards in hospital canteens are an important issue that are commonly overlooked by hospital infection control authorities.⁴ We anticipate that similar problems are found in the hospital canteens in other developing nations as well.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support: No financial support was provided relevant to this article.
Potential conflicts of interest: Both authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

Beuy Joob, PhD;¹
Viroj Wiwantikit, MD²

Affiliations: 1. Sanitation 1 Medical Academic Center, Bangkok Thailand;
2. Visiting professor, Hainan Medical University, China
Address correspondence to Dr Beuy Joob, Sanitation 1 Medical Academic Center, Bangkok Thailand (beuyjoob@hotmail.com).
Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2015;36(8):997–998
© 2015 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved. 0899-823X/2015/3608-0025. DOI: 10.1017/ice.2015.114

REFERENCES

1. Winston J, Johnson C, Wilson S. Barriers to healthy eating by National Health Service (NHS) hospital doctors in the hospital setting: results of a cross-sectional survey. *BMC Res Notes* 2008;1:69.
2. Wiwanitkit V, Assawawitoontip S. High prevalence of positive culture and parasites in stool samples of food handlers in a Thai hospital setting. *Med Gen Med* 2002;4:8.
3. White PM. Food poisoning in a hospital staff canteen. *J Infect* 1986;13:195–198.
4. Brown B, Crawford P, Nerlich B, Koteyko N. The habitus of hygiene: discourses of cleanliness and infection control in nursing work. *Soc Sci Med* 2008;67:1047–1055.