



On Extensions of Stably Finite C^* -Algebras (II)

Hongliang Yao

Abstract. For any C^* -algebra A with an approximate unit of projections, there is a smallest ideal I of A such that the quotient A/I is stably finite. In this paper a sufficient and necessary condition for an ideal of a C^* -algebra with real rank zero to be this smallest ideal is obtained by using K -theory.

1 Introduction and Main Results

Let A be a C^* -algebra. Denote by A_+ the set of all positive elements in A . We will also use $K_0(A)_+$ for the positive cone of the K_0 -group, $K_0(A)$, of A , i.e., $K_0(A)_+ = \{[p]_0 \in K_0(A) : p \text{ is a projection in } A \otimes \mathcal{K}\}$. Throughout this paper, by an ideal of an arbitrary C^* -algebra we will, unless otherwise specified, mean a closed two-sided ideal. A C^* -algebra A is called finite if it admits an approximate unit of projections and all projections in A are finite. If $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is finite, then A is called stably finite. Concerning extensions of stably finite C^* -algebras, J. S. Spielberg [4, 1.5] obtained the following important result.

Theorem 1.1 *Let A be a C^* -algebra, let I be an ideal in A , and suppose that I and A/I are stably finite. Then A is stably finite if and only if $\partial(K_1(A/I)) \cap K_0(I)_+ = 0$.*

Let A be a C^* -algebra with an approximate unit of projections, and let $\{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a set of ideals of A . We proved [5] that if A/I_λ is a stably finite C^* -algebra for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $A/\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda$ is a stably finite C^* -algebra. Thus, there is a smallest ideal I of A such that the quotient A/I is stably finite. Throughout this paper, we denote this smallest ideal of A by $I(A)$. It is easy to see that for any stably finite quotient Q of A there is a canonical surjective $*$ -homomorphism from $A/I(A)$ to Q .

Theorem 1.2 (1.3 [5]) *Let A be a C^* -algebra with an approximate unit of projections and let I be an ideal of A which has real rank zero. If A/I is stably finite and for any $x \in K_0(I)_+$ there is an element y in $\partial(K_1(A/I)) \cap K_0(I)_+$ such that $x \leq y$, then $I = I(A)$.*

At the end of [5], we left a question concerning the converse direction as follows: let A be a C^* -algebra which has real rank zero; for any $x \in K_0(I(A))_+$ is there an element y in $\partial(K_1(A/I(A))) \cap K_0(I(A))_+$ such that $x \leq y$? The main purpose of this

Received by the editors December 22, 2014.

Published electronically November 30, 2015.

This paper was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11001131).

AMS subject classification: 46L05, 46L80.

Keywords: extension, stably finite C^* -algebra, index map.

paper is to give a positive answer to this question. We will show the following main result.

Theorem 1.3 *Let A be a C^* -algebra with real rank zero and let I be an ideal of A . Then $I = I(A)$ if and only if A/I is stably finite and for any $x \in K_0(I)_+$ there is an element y in $\partial(K_1(A/I)) \cap K_0(I)_+$ such that $x \leq y$.*

I do not know if the hypothesis of real rank zero is necessary in the above theorem. The next result is an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.4 *If A is a C^* -algebra with real rank zero, then $I(A) = A$ if and only if $K_0(A)_+$ is a group. Furthermore, if A is also unital, then $I(A) = A$ if and only if $K_0(A)_+ = K_0(A)$.*

Let A and B be C^* -algebras. If ϕ is a $*$ -homomorphism from A to B , then $\phi(I(A)) \subset I(B)$. In fact, the image $\pi \circ \phi(A)$ is stably finite where π is the canonical map from B to $B/I(B)$. Hence $I(A) \subset \ker(\pi \circ \phi)$ and so $\phi(I(A)) \subset \ker \pi = I(B)$. It is easy to show that the following statement holds.

Corollary 1.5 *For each sequence $A_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} A_3 \xrightarrow{\phi_3} \dots$ of C^* -algebras, if $\varinjlim A_n$ has real rank zero, then $I(\varinjlim A_n) = \varinjlim I(A_n)$.*

2 Proofs

Before we prove the main result, let us introduce the following several lemmas. The first lemma is a generalization of Lemma 3.3.6 of [3].

Lemma 2.1 (2.5 [5]) *If $B \subset A_+$ is a subset of a C^* -algebra A and p is a projection in the ideal generated by B , then there are x_1, \dots, x_k in A , and a_1, \dots, a_k in B such that*

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i a_i x_i^*.$$

Let A be a C^* -algebra and let $M_n(A)$ denote the $n \times n$ matrices whose entries are elements of A . For any $a \in M_n(A)$ and $b \in M_m(A)$, $a \oplus b$ refers to the matrix $\text{diag}(a, b)$ in $M_{n+m}(A)$. Let $M_\infty(A)$ denote the algebraic limit of the direct system $(M_n(A), \phi_n)$, where $\phi_n: M_n(A) \rightarrow M_{n+1}(A)$ is given by

$$a \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will also use $M_\infty(A)_+$ to denote the set of all positive elements in $M_\infty(A)$. Given $a, b \in M_\infty(A)_+$, we say that a is Cuntz subequivalent to b , written $a \preceq b$, if there is a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of elements of $M_\infty(A)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n b x_n^* - a\| = 0$. We say that a and b are Cuntz equivalent (written $a \sim b$) if $a \preceq b$ and $b \preceq a$. It is easy to see that if p and q are projections, the definition of $p \preceq q$ is equivalent to there being a partial isometry $u \in M_\infty(A)$ with $u^* u = p$ and $u u^* \leq q$.

Lemma 2.2 (2.4 [5]) *Let A be a C^* -algebra, $a, b \in A_+$. Then $a + b \lesssim a \oplus b$. If A has real rank zero and $a \perp b$ (i.e., $ab = 0$), then $a + b \sim a \oplus b$.*

Lemma 2.3 *Let A be a C^* -algebra with an approximate unit of projections. Let J be an ideal of A generated by*

$$\{q \in A : \text{there is a hyponormal partial isometry } v \in A \text{ such that } v^*v - vv^* = q\}.$$

Then for any $x = [p]_0$ in $K_0(J)_+$ where p is a projection in J , there is an element y in $\partial(K_1(A/J)) \cap K_0(J)_+$ such that $x \leq y$.

Proof Note that J is the ideal of A generated by

$$C = \{q \in A : \text{there is a hyponormal partial isometry } v \in A \text{ such that } v^*v - vv^* = q\}.$$

For any projection p in J , by Lemma 2.1, there are x_1, \dots, x_k in A and there are projections q_1, \dots, q_k in C such that $p = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i q_i x_i^*$. By Lemma 2.2,

$$p \lesssim \bigoplus_{i=1}^k x_i q_i x_i^* \lesssim \bigoplus_{i=1}^k q_i.$$

So $[p]_0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^k [q_i]_0$. Note that by the construction of C , $\sum_{i=1}^k [q_i]_0$ belongs to

$$\partial(K_1(A/J)) \cap K_0(J)_+.$$

■

Lemma 2.4 (2.2 [5]) *Let A be a C^* -algebra with an approximate unit of projections.*

- (i) *If B is an ideal of A , with an approximate unit of projections, then $I(B) \subset I(A)$.*
- (ii) *$I(\tilde{A}) = I(A)$ where \tilde{A} is the unitization of A .*
- (iii) *$I(M_n(A)) = M_n(I(A))$, $I(A \otimes \mathcal{K}) = I(A) \otimes \mathcal{K}$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.3 It suffices to show the “only if” part of the statement. By Lemma 2.4(iii), without any loss of generality we may assume that I , A , and A/I are stable. Let \mathcal{S} be the set of all ideals J in A that satisfy that $J \subset I(A)$ and for any $x \in K_0(J)_+$ there is an element y in $\partial(K_1(A/J)) \cap K_0(J)_+$ such that $x \leq y$. Then (\mathcal{S}, \subset) is a partially ordered set. The theorem will be proved by showing that $I(A)$ belongs to \mathcal{S} .

Let $\{J_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a chain in \mathcal{S} and set $K = \overline{\bigcup_\lambda J_\lambda}$. For each λ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(A/J_\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\partial_\lambda} & K_0(J_\lambda) \\ \eta_\lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_\lambda \\ K_1(A/K) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & K_0(K) \end{array}$$

commutes. For any $x \in K_0(K)_+$, there are λ in Λ and x' in $K_0(J_\lambda)_+$ such that $\iota_\lambda(x') = x$. According to the definition of \mathcal{S} , there is an element y' in $K_1(A/J_\lambda)$ such that $\partial_\lambda(y') \geq x'$. Put $y = \eta_\lambda(y')$. Then $\partial(y) = \iota_\lambda(\partial_\lambda(y')) \geq \iota_\lambda(x') = x$. Hence K is an upper bound of the chain $\{J_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Therefore by Zorn’s lemma there is a maximal element M of \mathcal{S} .

We claim that $M = I(A)$. Otherwise, $M \subsetneq I(A)$ and there is a hyponormal partial isometry v in A/M . Let M_0 be the ideal in A/M generated by $v^*v - vv^*$, and let π be the

canonical mapping from C^* -algebra A to A/M . Putting $M_1 = \{a \in A : \pi(a) \in M_0\}$, it is easy to see that $M_1 \subset I(A)$. We get a commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A/M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A/M_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1/M & \longrightarrow & A/M & \longrightarrow & A/M_1 \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

where each row is exact. Therefore we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K_1(A/M) & \xrightarrow{\partial''} & K_0(M) \\
 \pi_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_0 \\
 K_1(A/M_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & K_0(M_1) \\
 \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi_0 \\
 K_1(A/M_1) & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & K_0(M_1/M)
 \end{array}$$

For any $x \in K_0(M_1)_+$, let $x' = \psi_0(x)$. Note that x' is in $K_0(M_1/M)_+$. By Lemma 2.3, there are $a' \in K_0(M_1/M)_+$ and $b \in K_1(A/M_1)$ such that $a' \geq x'$ and $\partial'(b) = a'$. Set $a = \partial(b)$. Since A has real rank zero, by [6], there is $c \in K_0(M_1)_+$ such that $\psi_0(c) = a' - x'$. Set $d = c + x$. We then have $d \geq x$ and $\psi_0(d) = a'$. Since

$$\psi_0(d - a) = a' - \partial'(b) = 0,$$

there is $d'' \in K_0(M)$ such that $\phi_0(d'') = d - a$. Note that M have real rank zero, and so $K_0(M)_+ - K_0(M)_+ = K_0(M)$. Hence there are e'' and f'' in $K_0(M)_+$ such that $d'' = e'' - f''$. According to the definition of \mathcal{S} , there is $g'' \in K_1(A/M)$ such that $\partial''(g'') \geq e''$. Set $g = \pi_0(g'')$. We obtain that

$$\partial(b + g) = a + \phi_0(\partial''(g'')) \geq a + \phi_0(e'') \geq a + \phi_0(d'') = a + (d - a) = d = c + x \geq x.$$

Consequently, $M_1 \in \mathcal{S}$ which contradicts the maximality of M . Therefore, $M = I(A) \in \mathcal{S}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. ■

Acknowledgments I am grateful to Professor George A. Elliott for many useful discussions. Part of this work was carried out while the author was visiting the Fields Institute.

References

[1] W. Arveson, *Notes on extensions of C^* -algebras*. Duke Math. J. 44(1977), 329–355. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-77-04414-3>
 [2] B. Blackadar, *K-theory for operator algebras*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
 [3] H. Lin, *An introduction to the classification of amenable C^* -algebras*, World Scientific, New Jersey/London/Singapore/Hong Kong, 2001.

- [4] J. S. Spielberg, *Embedding C^* -algebra extensions into AF-algebras*. J. Funct. Anal. **81**(1988), 325–344. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(88\)90104-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(88)90104-8)
- [5] H. Yao, *On extensions of stably finite C^* -algebras*. J. Operator Theory **67**(2012), no. 2, 329–334.
- [6] S. Zhang, *C^* -algebras with real rank zero and the internal structure of their corona and multiplier algebras, Part I*. Pacific J. Math. **155**(1992), no. 1, 169–197.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1992.155.169>

School of Science, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing 210094, P. R. China
e-mail: hlyao@njust.edu.cn