

IMPROVED UPPER BOUND ON BRUN'S CONSTANT UNDER GRH

LACHLAN DUNN 

(Received 22 April 2025; accepted 16 May 2025)

Abstract

Brun's constant is the summation of the reciprocals of all twin primes, given by

$$B = \sum_{p \in P_2} \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p+2} \right).$$

While rigorous unconditional bounds on B are known, we present the first rigorous bound on Brun's constant under the assumption of GRH, yielding $B < 2.1594$.

2020 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 11Y60; secondary 11N36.

Keywords and phrases: Brun's constant, twin primes, sieve methods, analytic number theory.

1. Introduction

Brun's constant is a fundamental constant, arising in the study of twin primes, that is, pairs of prime numbers that differ by 2. Set $P_2 := \{p \text{ prime} : p+2 \text{ is prime}\}$. Unlike the sum of the reciprocals of all prime numbers which diverges, the sum of the reciprocals of twin primes converges to a finite value, known as Brun's constant. This result was first established in 1919 by Brun [1].

Brun's proof did not yield a numerical upper bound for B . The first such bound, $B < 2.347$, was established by Crandall and Pomerance [3]. Since then, improvements have been made, with the current best unconditional bound $B < 2.288\,51$ obtained by Platt and Trudgian [11]. Nicely [9] conjectured that $B = 1.902\,160\,583\,209 \pm 0.000\,000\,000\,781$, demonstrating the scope of potential improvements to be made.

One approach to refining these bounds is to assume the generalised Riemann hypothesis (GRH). This method was employed by Klyve to derive the bound $B < 2.1754$ [7], and it will be the approach taken here. Although our improvement is small, Klyve never published his result, and utilised numerical integration to calculate his subsequent bound on B . To our knowledge, our work represents the first mathematically rigorous upper bound on B assuming GRH.

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

THEOREM 1.1. *Assume GRH. Then $B < 2.1594$.*

To achieve this, we combine previously computed values of B (up to a fixed threshold x_0), along with the estimation of the tail end of the sum provided by Selberg's sieve. This estimation proceeds by computing the intermediate sums

$$B(m_i, m_{i+1}) := \sum_{\substack{p \in P_2 \\ m_i < p \leq m_{i+1}}} \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p+2} \right)$$

where $m_1 = x_0$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$. These sums are then combined to evaluate $B(m_1, \infty)$.

This intermediate sum approach is advantageous due to its flexibility, as different methods of estimating B may yield tighter bounds over different regions. By combining these results, a sharper overall bound can be obtained. To facilitate further improvements, we provide explicit bounds for each $B(m_i, m_{i+1})$.

The structure of this paper is as follows. Section 2 introduces the sieve framework, defines key notation, and derives a bound for the twin prime counting function. Section 3 extends this bound to Brun's constant using partial summation. Section 4 focuses on parameter optimisation and presents the numerical computations. Finally, Section 5 discusses potential refinements and further improvements to this method.

2. Upper bound on $\pi_2(x)$

To calculate B , we require an upper bound on the twin prime counting function $\pi_2(x) := \#\{p \text{ prime} : p+2 \text{ also prime}\}$. We derive this bound using Selberg's sieve by sieving on the set of odd numbers n such that $n-2$ is prime. If n is removed by the sieve (that is, n is not prime), then $(n-2, n)$ cannot form a twin prime pair.

2.1. Preliminaries. For an introduction to Selberg's sieve, see [5]. We begin by defining some preliminary notation. Our set of twin prime candidates is given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \{n \leq x+2 : n \text{ odd with } n-2 \text{ prime}\}$$

and our set of sieving primes by

$$\mathcal{P} = \{p > 2 : p \text{ prime}\}.$$

We will be sieving using odd primes less than or equal to z , and so we set

$$P_z = \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathcal{P} \\ p \leq z}} p.$$

Next, $\mathcal{A}_d$ is the set of twin prime candidates that are divisible by a specific prime d , and

$$A_d = |\{n \leq x+2 : d \mid n \text{ and } n-2 \text{ is prime}\}| = \pi(x; d, -2).$$

By the prime number theorem in arithmetic progressions, we can estimate $\pi(x; d, -2)$ by $\text{li}(x)/\varphi(d)$, and so we define the error in our estimation to be

$$E(x; d) := \pi(x; d, -2) - \frac{\text{li}(x)}{\varphi(d)},$$

where

$$\text{li}(x) := \int_2^x \frac{dt}{\log t}.$$

As we take li to be truncated at 2, it will be useful to define the offset as

$$\text{li}_2 := \int_0^2 \frac{dt}{\log t}.$$

The twin prime constant is

$$\pi_2 := \prod_{p>2} \frac{p(p-2)}{(p-1)^2}.$$

Following Klyve [7, page 128], we take

$$V(z) = \sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ d|P_z}} \frac{1}{\prod_{p|d} (p-2)}.$$

And finally, we set

$$[d_1, d_2] := \text{lcm}(d_1, d_2).$$

THEOREM 2.1 [7, Theorem 5.7]. *For $0 < z < x$,*

$$\pi_2(x) \leq \left| \mathcal{A} \setminus \bigcup_{p|P_z} \mathcal{A}_p \right| = S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, z) \leq \frac{\text{li}(x)}{V(z)} + R(x, z),$$

where we have defined

$$R(x, z) := \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \leq z \\ d_1, d_2 | P_z}} |E(x; [d_1, d_2])|.$$

2.2. Bounding $V^{-1}(z)$. We need to provide an upper bound on $V^{-1}(z)$ for all z . As z increases, computing $V(z)$ directly becomes infeasible, requiring a trade-off between accuracy and efficiency. To address this, we will consider three separate intervals (separated by values L_1 and L_2), with different bounds on $V(z)$ for each interval. For our calculations, we set $L_1 = 10^8$ and $L_2 = 10^{10}$.

For the first interval ($z < L_1$), we compute $V(z)$ exactly. For the second interval ($L_1 < z \leq L_2$), we compute $V(z')$ exactly, where $z' \in \{L_1 < z \leq L_2 : z = k \cdot 10^4, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ (that is, every 10^4 th number). For the values of z such that $10^4 \nmid z$, we can round z

down to the nearest z' to give an upper bound on $V^{-1}(z)$. For the third interval, we will use the approximation of $V(z)$ derived in [7, Section 5.5.2], namely

$$V(z) \geq V(L_2) + \frac{\log z - \log L_2}{2\pi_2} + D(L_2, z)$$

where

$$D(L_2, z) = 12.6244 \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{z}} - \frac{9}{\sqrt{L_2}} \right).$$

The following lemma summarises these arrangements.

LEMMA 2.2. *For any z , we have*

$$V(z) \geq \begin{cases} V(L_2) + \frac{\log z - \log L_2}{2\pi_2} + D(L_2, z) & \text{for } z > L_2 \\ V(z') & \text{for } L_1 < z \leq L_2 \\ V(z) & \text{for } z \leq L_1. \end{cases}$$

2.3. Bounding $R(x, z)$. Next, we need an upper bound on $R(x, z)$ for all x and z . We will start by proving an inequality that will allow us to bound one of the terms of $R(x, z)$. This inequality holds for any fixed prime p , but becomes less useful as the size of p increases relative to the size of z . We have excluded some slight optimisations on the order of $z^{1/2}$ for the sake of readability, and the range of permissible values of z can be lowered at a similar cost. For our case, we will be setting $p = 2$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let p be a prime and $z \geq 2\,768\,896$. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ \gcd(p, d) = 1}} \mu^2(d) \leq \left(\frac{p}{p+1} \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \right) z + \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \right) + 2 \right) \sqrt{z} + \left(3.12 \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^{1/4}} \right) \right) z^{1/4}.$$

PROOF. To start, note that we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ \gcd(p, d) = 1}} \mu^2(d) &= \sum_{\substack{k \leq \sqrt{z} \\ \gcd(p, k) = 1}} \mu(k) \left\lfloor \frac{z}{k^2} \right\rfloor - \sum_{\substack{k \leq \sqrt{z/p} \\ \gcd(p, k) = 1}} \mu(k) \left\lfloor \frac{z}{pk^2} \right\rfloor \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{k \leq \sqrt{z} \\ \gcd(p, k) = 1}} \mu(k) \frac{z}{k^2} + \sum_{\substack{k \leq \sqrt{z} \\ \gcd(p, k) = 1}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{k \leq \sqrt{z/p} \\ \gcd(p, k) = 1}} \mu(k) \frac{z}{pk^2} + \sum_{\substack{k \leq \sqrt{z/p} \\ \gcd(p, k) = 1}} 1 \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{k \leq \sqrt{z} \\ \gcd(p, k) = 1}} \mu(k) \frac{z}{k^2} - \sum_{\substack{k \leq \sqrt{z/p} \\ \gcd(p, k) = 1}} \mu(k) \frac{z}{pk^2} + 2\sqrt{z} \\ &= M(z)z + 2\sqrt{z}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have taken

$$M(z) := \sum_{\substack{k \leq \sqrt{z} \\ \gcd(p,k)=1}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} - \sum_{\substack{k \leq \sqrt{z/p} \\ \gcd(p,k)=1}} \frac{\mu(k)}{pk^2}.$$

Also, for p' any prime number,

$$\begin{aligned} M(z) + \sum_{\substack{k > \sqrt{z} \\ \gcd(p,k)=1}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} - \sum_{\substack{k > \sqrt{z/p} \\ \gcd(p,k)=1}} \frac{\mu(k)}{pk^2} &= \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(p,k)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} - \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(p,k)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)}{pk^2} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(p,k)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \prod_{p' \neq p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p')^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{(1 - 1/p)}{(1 - 1/p^2)} \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} = \frac{p}{p+1} \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can bound the difference

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{k > \sqrt{z} \\ \gcd(p,k)=1}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} - \sum_{\substack{k > \sqrt{z/p} \\ \gcd(p,k)=1}} \frac{\mu(k)}{pk^2} \right| \leq \sum_{k > \sqrt{z}} \frac{\mu^2(k)}{k^2} + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k > \sqrt{z/p}} \frac{\mu^2(k)}{k^2}.$$

We now apply explicit bounds on the square-free summation function provided in [2], in conjunction with partial summation, to note that

$$\sum_{k > x} \frac{\mu^2(k)}{k^2} \leq -\frac{1}{x^2} \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2} x - 1.333\sqrt{x} \right) + 2 \int_x^{\infty} \left(\frac{6}{\pi^2} t + 1.333\sqrt{t} \right) \frac{dt}{t^3}.$$

Applying this substitution, and simplifying terms, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{\substack{k > \sqrt{z} \\ \gcd(p,k)=1}} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^2} - \sum_{\substack{k > \sqrt{z/p} \\ \gcd(p,k)=1}} \frac{\mu(k)}{pk^2} \right| &\leq \frac{6/\pi^2}{\sqrt{z}} + \frac{3.12}{z^{3/4}} + \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{6/\pi^2}{\sqrt{z/p}} + \frac{3.12}{(z/p)^{3/4}} \right) \\ &= \frac{6/\pi^2}{\sqrt{z}} + \frac{3.12}{z^{3/4}} + \frac{6/\pi^2}{\sqrt{zp}} + \frac{3.12}{z^{3/4} p^{1/4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this result with the first inequality proves the lemma. $\square$

In a similar manner to $V(z)$, the sum $\sum_{d \leq z, \gcd(2,d)=1} \mu^2(d)$ becomes infeasible to compute for large values of z . We employ a similar technique of approximating $\sum_{d \leq z, \gcd(2,d)=1} \mu^2(d)$ for z larger than a specific cut-off value L_3 (using Proposition 2.3), and calculating it exactly for the remaining values. We take $L_3 = 10^7$ for our calculations.

LEMMA 2.4. *For any z ,*

$$\sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ \gcd(2,d)=1}} \mu^2(d) \leq r(z) := \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ \gcd(2,d)=1}} \mu^2(d) & \text{for } z \leq L_3 \\ \frac{4}{\pi^2} z + 3.038\sqrt{z} + 5.744z^{1/4} & \text{for } z > L_3. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 2.5. *Assuming GRH, for $x \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$ and $z < x^{1/4}$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \leq z \\ d_1, d_2 | P_z}} |E(x; [d_1, d_2])| \leq r^2(z) c_\pi(x) \sqrt{x} \log x$$

where

$$c_\pi(x) := \frac{3}{8\pi} + \frac{6 + 1/\pi}{4 \log x} + \frac{6}{\log^2 x} + \frac{\text{li}_2}{\sqrt{x} \log x}.$$

PROOF. We will use [6, Lemma 5.1] to bound under GRH, noting that for $z < x^{1/4}$, $[d_1, d_2] < d_1 d_2 < z^2 < \sqrt{x}$. Thus, for $x \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$ and $z < x^{1/4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \leq z \\ d_1, d_2 | P_z}} |E(x; [d_1, d_2])| &< \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \leq z \\ d_1, d_2 | P_z}} c_\pi(x) \sqrt{x} \log x = c_\pi(x) \sqrt{x} \log x \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \leq z \\ d_1, d_2 | P_z}} 1 \\ &= c_\pi(x) \sqrt{x} \log x \left(\sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ d | P_z}} 1 \right)^2 \\ &= c_\pi(x) \sqrt{x} \log x \left(\sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ \gcd(2,d)=1}} \mu^2(d) \right)^2 \\ &= r^2(z) c_\pi(x) \sqrt{x} \log x, \end{aligned}$$

by applying Lemma 2.4 on the second last line. □

3. Upper bound on B

From [11, Lemma 6],

$$B(2, 4 \cdot 10^{18}) \leq 1.840518.$$

Now, using our bound on $\pi_2(x)$, we can apply partial summation to calculate $B(m_1, \infty)$. Let z_i be fixed for each interval $[m_i, m_{i+1}]$ (the values of each z_i and the intervals $[m_i, m_{i+1}]$ will be determined later).

LEMMA 3.1. *Assuming GRH, for $m_1 \geq 4 \cdot 10^{18}$ and $z_i < m_i^{1/4}$,*

$$B(m_k) - B(m_1) < 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{c_1(m_i, m_{i+1})}{V(z_i)} + c_\pi(m_i) r^2(z_i) c_2(m_i, m_{i+1}) \right) + 2 \left(\frac{\pi_2(m_k)}{m_k} - \frac{\pi_2(m_1)}{m_1} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{li}_{\text{up}}(x) &:= \frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{2}{\log^2 x} + \frac{7.32}{\log^3 x} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{x} \log x}{8\pi} + \text{li}_2, \\ \text{li}_{\text{low}}(x) &:= \frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{2}{\log^2 x} \right) - \frac{\sqrt{x} \log x}{8\pi} - \text{li}_2, \\ c_1(m_i, m_{i+1}) &:= \left(\log \log m_{i+1} - \frac{\text{li}_{\text{low}}(m_{i+1}) - \text{li}_2}{m_{i+1}} \right) - \left(\log \log m_i - \frac{\text{li}_{\text{up}}(m_i) - \text{li}_2}{m_i} \right), \\ c_2(m_i, m_{i+1}) &:= \left(\frac{-2 \log m_{i+1} - 4}{\sqrt{m_{i+1}}} \right) - \left(\frac{-2 \log m_i - 4}{\sqrt{m_i}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} B(m_k) - B(m_1) &= \sum_{\substack{m_1 < p \leq m_k \\ p, p+2 \text{ prime}}} \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p+2} \right) \\ &< 2 \sum_{\substack{m_1 < p \leq m_k \\ p, p+2 \text{ prime}}} \frac{1}{p} \\ &= 2 \left(\frac{\pi_2(m_k)}{m_k} - \frac{\pi_2(m_1)}{m_1} + \int_{m_1}^{m_k} \frac{\pi_2(t)}{t^2} dt \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\int_{m_i}^{m_{i+1}} \frac{\pi_2(t)}{t^2} dt \right) + 2 \left(\frac{\pi_2(m_k)}{m_k} - \frac{\pi_2(m_1)}{m_1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The value of $\pi_2(m_k)/m_k$ will cancel in a further calculation, and the value of $\pi_2(m_1)/m_1$ can be calculated exactly using the computations performed by Oliveira e Silva [10]. For the remaining term, we proceed by

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\int_{m_i}^{m_{i+1}} \frac{\pi_2(t)}{t^2} dt \right) < 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\int_{m_i}^{m_{i+1}} \frac{\text{li}(t)}{V(z_i) \cdot t^2} dt + \int_{m_i}^{m_{i+1}} \frac{r^2(z_i) c_\pi(t) \sqrt{t} \log t}{t^2} dt \right).$$

We now apply some simplifications to these integrals to allow us to compute them analytically. Firstly, note that $V(z_i)$ and $r(z_i)$ are fixed for each interval $[m_i, m_{i+1}]$. Also, note that $c_\pi(t)$ is monotonically decreasing and so $c_\pi(t) \leq c_\pi(m_i)$ for $x \in [m_i, m_{i+1}]$. Consequently,

$$B(m_k) - B(m_i) < 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(V(z_i)^{-1} \int_{m_i}^{m_{i+1}} \frac{\text{li}(t)}{t^2} dt + c_\pi(m_i) r^2(z_i) \int_{m_i}^{m_{i+1}} \frac{\sqrt{t} \log t}{t^2} dt \right).$$

In computing the integral we note that

$$\begin{aligned} V(z_i)^{-1} \int_{m_i}^{m_{i+1}} \frac{\text{li}(t)}{t^2} dt &= V(z_i)^{-1} \left[\left(\log \log m_{i+1} - \frac{\text{li}(m_{i+1}) - \text{li}_2}{m_{i+1}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\log \log m_i - \frac{\text{li}(m_i) - \text{li}_2}{m_i} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

To avoid computing $\text{li}(x)$ directly, we use Schoenfeld's bound on $|\pi(x) - \text{li}(x)|$ assuming the Riemann hypothesis [13, (6.18)], and Dusart's bounds on $\pi(x)$ [4, Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.2], to find (for $x \geq 4 \cdot 10^9$) that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{li}(x) &\leq \text{li}_{\text{up}}(x) = \frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{2}{\log^2 x} + \frac{7.32}{\log^3 x} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{x} \log x}{8\pi} + \text{li}_2 \\ \text{li}(x) &\geq \text{li}_{\text{low}}(x) = \frac{x}{\log x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{2}{\log^2 x} \right) - \frac{\sqrt{x} \log x}{8\pi} - \text{li}_2. \end{aligned}$$

And so

$$\int_{m_i}^{m_{i+1}} \frac{\text{li}(t)}{t^2} dt \leq \left(\log \log m_{i+1} - \frac{\text{li}_{\text{low}}(m_{i+1}) - \text{li}_2}{m_{i+1}} \right) - \left(\log \log m_i - \frac{\text{li}_{\text{up}}(m_i) - \text{li}_2}{m_i} \right)$$

and finally,

$$c_\pi(m_i) r^2(z_i) \int_{m_i}^{m_{i+1}} \frac{\sqrt{t} \log t}{t^2} dt = c_\pi(m_i) r^2(z_i) \left[\left(\frac{-2 \log m_{i+1} - 4}{\sqrt{m_{i+1}}} \right) - \left(\frac{-2 \log m_i - 4}{\sqrt{m_i}} \right) \right].$$

Combining the above equations gives the desired result. $\square$

LEMMA 3.2. *We have*

$$B - B(m_k) < \frac{16\pi_2}{\log m_k} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{m_k}}. \quad (3.1)$$

PROOF. We use [11, Lemma 3] to bound the tail end of B , so that

$$B - B(m_k) \leq -2 \frac{\pi_2(m_k)}{m_k} + \int_{m_k}^{\infty} \left(\frac{32\pi_2}{t \log^2 t} + 4t^{-3/2} \right) dt = -2 \frac{\pi_2(m_k)}{m_k} + \frac{32\pi_2}{\log m_k} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{m_k}}.$$

□

Combining the above two lemmas with [11, Lemma 6] gives the following result.

THEOREM 3.3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} B \leq & 1.840\,518 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(\frac{c_1(m_i, m_{i+1})}{V(z_i)} + c_{\pi}(m_i) r^2(z_i) c_2(m_i, m_{i+1}) \right) \\ & + \frac{32\pi_2}{\log m_k} + \frac{8}{\sqrt{m_k}} - 2 \frac{\pi_2(m_1)}{m_1}. \end{aligned}$$

4. Calculating B

The final step is to determine the optimal parameters for the theorem. This involves selecting appropriate intervals $[m_i, m_{i+1}]$ and choosing corresponding values of z_i for each interval. Generally, increasing the number of intervals reduces the overall error, though with diminishing returns as the interval size decreases. Based on this principle, we set $m_i \in \{4 \cdot 10^{18}\} \cup \{10^i : i = 19, 19.2, \dots, 1999.8, 2000\}$. To determine z_i , we employ the Optim package in Julia [8] to optimise z_i within a specified domain. Since the optimal value of z_i increases with i , we can restrict the search domain accordingly. Finally, all of the computations for B were performed using the IntervalArithmetic package in Julia [12], ensuring rigorous explicit bounds.

A subset of the optimised parameter ranges is presented in Table 1. The full set of intermediate calculations, along with the optimised values of z_i for each interval, is available in the linked GitHub repository (https://github.com/LachlanJDunn/B_Under_GRH). This repository also includes the functions used to compute B and perform the parameter sweep. Additionally, it provides a method for estimating bounds on $B(a, b)$ for $4 \cdot 10^{18} \leq m_1 \leq a < b \leq m_k$, by computing $B(m_i, m_j)$ for the smallest interval that fully contains $[a, b]$.

5. Potential improvements

We conclude by discussing several potential improvements to the presented method of bounding B .

- As discussed earlier, splicing together different bounds for B over disjoint intervals could provide stronger estimates than restricting to only one method. The intermediate sums computed in this paper have been made available to facilitate this.

TABLE 1. $B(m_i, m_{i+1})$ for chosen intervals (rounded to 4 significant figures).

Interval	$B(m_i, m_{i+1})$	Range of z_i values
$[4 \cdot 10^{18}, 10^{20}]$	0.0256	[725, 1263]
$[10^{20}, 10^{25}]$	0.0662	[1377, 13 948]
$[10^{25}, 10^{30}]$	0.0423	[15 598, 173 542]
$[10^{30}, 10^{40}]$	0.0506	[191 170, $3.02 \cdot 10^7$]
$[10^{40}, 10^{50}]$	0.0290	$[3.35 \cdot 10^7, 6.01 \cdot 10^9]$
$[10^{50}, 10^{100}]$	0.0547	$[6.69 \cdot 10^9, 4.53 \cdot 10^{21}]$
$[10^{100}, 10^{2000}]$	0.0471	$[5.06 \cdot 10^{21}, 1.04 \cdot 10^{494}]$

- Computing $B(x_0)$ for a larger x_0 would reduce approximation errors, leading to a more precise bound.
- Refining the choices of parameters $\{m_i\}$ and $\{z_i\}$ could potentially yield a more accurate result.

Acknowledgements

This work was completed during and funded by the School of Mathematics and Physics Summer Research program at the University of Queensland. I would like to thank Adrian Dudek for supervising me throughout the program and for all his help during and after the program ended. I would also like to thank Daniel Johnston and Dave Platt for their very helpful discussions and the referee for helpful comments.

References

- [1] V. Brun, ‘La série $1/5 + 1/7 + 1/11 + 1/13 + 1/17 + 1/19 + 1/29 + 1/31 + 1/41 + 1/43 + 1/59 + 1/61 + \dots$ où les dénominateurs sont nombres premiers jumeaux est convergente ou finie’, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **43** (1919), 124–128.
- [2] H. Cohen and F. Dress, ‘Majorations numériques du reste de la fonction sommatoire relative aux entiers sans facteur carré’, in: *Publications Mathématiques d’Orsay: Colloque de théorie analytique des nombres* (eds. H. Daboussi, J.-M. Deshouillers and P. Liardet) (Université du Paris-Sud, Orsay, 1985), 73–76.
- [3] R. Crandall and C. Pomerance, *Prime Numbers: A Computational Perspective*, 2nd edn (Springer, New York, 2005).
- [4] P. Dusart, ‘Explicit estimates of some functions over primes’, *Ramanujan J.* **45** (2018), 227–251.
- [5] H. Halberstam and H. E. Richert, *Sieve Methods* (Academic Press, London, 1974).
- [6] D. R. Johnston and V. Starichkova, ‘Some explicit results on the sum of a prime and an almost prime’, Preprint, 2025, [arXiv:2208.01229](https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.01229).
- [7] D. Klyve, *Explicit Bounds on Twin Primes and Brun’s Constant*, PhD Thesis (Dartmouth College, 2007).
- [8] P. K. Mogensen and A. N. Riseth, ‘Optim: a mathematical optimization package for Julia’, *J. Open Source Softw.* **3** (2018), Article no. 615.

- [9] T. R. Nicely, 'Prime constellations research project' (2010); available online at <https://faculty.lynchburg.edu/~nicely/counts.html>.
- [10] T. Oliveira e Silva, 'Tables of values of $\pi(x)$ and of $\pi^2(x)$ ' (2015); available online at <http://sweet.ua.pt/tos/primes.html>.
- [11] D. Platt and T. Trudgian, 'Improved bounds on Brun's constant', in: *From Analysis to Visualization*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, 313 (eds. D. H. Bailey, N. S. Borwein, R. P. Brent, R. S. Burachik, J.-A. H. Osborn, B. Sims and Q. J. Zhu) (Springer, Cham, 2020), 395–406.
- [12] D. P. Sanders and L. Benet, 'IntervalArithmetic.jl' (2014); available online at <https://github.com/JuliaIntervals/IntervalArithmetic.jl>.
- [13] L. Schoenfeld, 'Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev functions $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$. II,' *Math. Comp.* **30** (1976), 337–360.

LACHLAN DUNN, School of Mathematics and Physics,
University of Queensland, St Lucia, Brisbane, Queensland 4072, Australia
e-mail: lachlan.dunn1@student.uq.edu.au