affected staff workload, their experiences with patient care, and the overall working environment in the IPCU.

Methods: A survey was conducted involving IPCU staff members, comprising various roles with diverse experiences ranging from 2 to over 30 years in psychiatric care. The survey included questions about changes in workload, patient behaviour, staff stress levels, and challenges faced due to the law. Open-ended questions allowed staff to provide detailed feedback and suggestions for improvement. **Results:**

Workload and Staff Experiences:

All nine respondents reported a significant increase in workload, primarily due to the additional responsibilities related to managing smoking breaks for patients.

Staff observed notable changes in patient behaviour, including increased physical and verbal aggression, less tolerance, and more frequent aggressive outbursts.

Many patients who were restricted from off-ward smoking breaks exhibited increased irritability and agitation.

Challenges and Environmental Impact:

Managing patient distress and aggression became more challenging, especially when unable to facilitate timely off-ward smoking breaks.

Designated times for escorted smoking breaks led to inconvenience and heightened patient emotions, often resulting in aggression.

The inability to use the courtyard for smoking negatively impacted the ward environment, leading to increased stress and confrontations.

Staff Opinions and Feedback:

Some staff expressed support for a smoke-free hospital but acknowledged the challenges for detained patients.

Concerns were raised about the fairness of enforcing a smoking ban on involuntary patients.

The previous practice of using the courtyard for smoking was seen as beneficial for calming patients and maintaining a closer staff presence.

Training and support needs were mixed, with some staff requesting more support to manage patient aggression and distress. **Conclusion:** The survey findings illustrate the significant impact of the Smoke-Free Perimeter Law on staff at the IPCU. The increased workload, heightened stress levels, and challenges in patient management highlight the practical difficulties in implementing this policy in a psychiatric setting. Staff feedback underscores the need for supportive measures and potential adjustments to the law's implementation, ensuring it accommodates the unique needs of both patients and staff. Balancing the implementation of public health policy with the immediate needs of psychiatric patients and staff remains a complex, yet crucial, endeavour in ensuring effective and compassionate psychiatric care.

Characteristics and Treatment Challenges of Patients With Comorbid ADHD and Psychosis: A Cross-Sectional Study in Early Intervention Services

Dr Salam Fahad and Dr Nismen Lathif

Merseycare NHS Trust, Liverpool, United Kingdom

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2025.10499

Aims: Comorbid attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and psychosis present significant challenges in Early Intervention in

Psychosis (EIP) services. This study examined the prevalence, diagnostic patterns, and treatment challenges of this comorbidity within EIP teams in Halton and Warrington, UK.

Methods: A cross-sectional analysis of the current EIP caseload (N=180) was conducted, focusing on patients with suspected or diagnosed ADHD. Data on ADHD diagnosis, treatment status, antipsychotic medication use, and patient-reported outcomes were collected and analysed.

Results: Of the 180 EIP patients, 35 (19.4%) had suspected or diagnosed ADHD. Among these, 16 (45.7%) had a confirmed ADHD diagnosis, with only 8 (50%) receiving targeted ADHD treatment. No statistically significant differences were found in subjective quality of life or treatment satisfaction scores between patients receiving ADHD treatment and those not on treatment. The proportion of patients prescribed antipsychotic medication was similar between those on ADHD treatment (87.5%) and those not on ADHD treatment (88.9%).

Conclusion: This study reveals a high prevalence of comorbid ADHD in EIP services and significant gaps in diagnosis and treatment. The findings highlight the need for improved screening, integrated care pathways, and personalised treatment approaches for managing comorbid ADHD and psychosis. Future research should focus on developing evidence-based guidelines and exploring the impact of comprehensive intervention strategies on patient outcomes.

Analysis of Paediatric Liaison Service Caseload Trends at King's College Hospital CAMHS From November 2018 to December 2024: Impact of COVID-19 and Post-Pandemic Recovery

Dr Khalid Magzoub, Dr Idura Hisham and Dr Sulagna Chakrabarti South London and Maudsley NHS Trust, London, United Kingdom

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2025.10500

Aims: To analyse the in-hours emergency/crisis caseload trends of the King's College Hospital (KCH) CAMHS Paediatric Liaison Service from November 2018 to December 2024, focusing on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and the subsequent recovery. The study aims to identify patterns in service demand and contextualise these trends with key pandemic milestones.

Methods: Monthly caseload data for in-hours referrals were collected and analysed over a six-year period from November 2018 to December 2024. The data were examined in relation to key events, such as lockdowns, school closures, and reopening phases, to explore potential influences on caseload trends. Median monthly caseloads were calculated, and patterns were compared across different stages of the COVID-19 pandemic and its aftermath.

Results: In the pre-COVID phase, monthly caseloads were stable, with a median in-hours emergency referrals of 30. During the COVID phase, caseloads dropped sharply during the first lockdown in March 2020, likely due to school closures and disruptions to referral pathways. Attendance began to recover during the partial reopening of schools in June 2020 but fluctuated with subsequent lockdowns. In the post-COVID recovery phase, caseloads steadily increased but appeared to return to pre-pandemic baselines by 2024. These findings demonstrate a clear relationship between school closures and reduced referrals during COVID lockdowns, as national lockdowns without school closures contributed to higher A&E

Abstracts were reviewed by the RCPsych Academic Faculty rather than by the standard *BJPsych Open* peer review process and should not be quoted as peer-reviewed by *BJPsych Open* in any subsequent publication.

Abstracts were reviewed by the RCPsych Academic Faculty rather than by the standard *BJPsych Open* peer review process and should not be quoted as peer-reviewed by *BJPsych Open* in any subsequent publication.