

# Writing Joseph Bologne into Musical History vis-à-vis Mozart: The *Symphonie Concertante* from Paris to Salzburg

CARYL CLARK

**Abstract** This article amplifies current understanding of the Afro-diasporic composer-violinist Joseph Bologne, Le Chevalier de Saint-George, by exploring his 1770s musical career in relation to Mozart. Director of the progressive *Concert des amateurs* during Mozart's visit to Paris in 1778, Bologne was one of the leading exponents of a virtuosic style of *symphonie concertante* writing that became a touchstone for Mozart following his return to provincial Salzburg. A comparison of the musical spheres inhabited by Bologne and Mozart nuances our understanding of developments in 'concertante' composition and the performative dimensions of the medium, enabling a broader, more inclusive history to emerge.

In a lengthy letter to his father dated 3 December 1777, the young Mozart recounts his continuing difficulties in securing a court appointment in Mannheim. 'I still can't write anything definite about my situation here,' he opines, despite ongoing assistance and advocacy by fellow musicians and court employees in the famed Mannheim Orchestra. Advocating on Mozart's behalf were the current director of instrumental music and violinist Christian Cannabich, flautist Johann Baptist Wendling, oboist Friedrich Ramm, and Count Savioli, manager of the orchestra.<sup>1</sup> Discouraged by the elector's ongoing equivocation, Mozart tells his father that he is thinking of travelling to Paris with Ramm and Wendling, since, based on their two prior visits, they report 'it's really the only place where you can make money and a good reputation for yourself.'<sup>2</sup>

---

Email: [c.clark@utoronto.ca](mailto:c.clark@utoronto.ca)

I presented an early version of this paper at a joint conference of the American Bach Society and Mozart Society of America at Stanford University in February 2020. I would like to thank the students in my spring 2019 graduate seminar on Music and Enlightenment, especially Helen Abbott and Rena Roussin, for launching me on this journey and for helping me think through some of the ideas presented here. My sincere thanks to Dorian Bandy, Bruce Alan Brown, Christopher Dingle, Pierpaolo Polzonetti, John Rice, Dean Sutcliffe, and Beverly Wilcox for their assistance and inspiring conversations. Thanks also to the anonymous readers of earlier drafts and to journal editor Freya Jarman, co-editor Deborah Mawer, and copyeditor Jan Baiton.

<sup>1</sup> *Mozart's Letters, Mozart's Life*, selected letters ed. and trans. by Robert Spaethling (Faber & Faber, 2000), p. 106; *The Mozart Compendium: A Guide to Mozart's Life and Music*, ed. by H. C. Robbins Landon (Schirmer, 1990), pp. 42, 51, 54; and *The Cambridge Mozart Encyclopedia*, ed. by Cliff Eisen and Simon P. Keefe (Cambridge University Press, 2006), pp. 57–58, 405, 530.

<sup>2</sup> *Mozart's Letters*, p. 107; Mozart Briefe und Dokumente: Online-Edition, <<https://dme.mozarteum.at/DME/briefe/letter.php?mid=947&cat=>>>. 'Let me know what you think about all of this' ('schreiben sie

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Royal Musical Association. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

And in contrast to the limited opportunities available in Mannheim, which was essentially a one-court town, the possibilities for earning a living as a musician in the flourishing French capital must have appeared boundless. Ramm and Wendling, whom he would encounter a few months later in Paris,<sup>3</sup> likely also told him about the two musical organizations Mozart cites by name: ‘the Concert spirituell [*sic*], the academie des anateurs’ [*sic*].<sup>4</sup>

With this brief reference to two of the main orchestras in Paris – the longstanding Concert spirituel and the more recent Concert des amateurs – Mozart summons up the silent musicians whose creative presence looms large in the next stage of his musical development. Mozart’s interactions with Cannabich’s counterpart at the Concert spirituel are known through the premiere of his ‘Paris’ Symphony in D major, no. 31 (K.297/300a) in June 1778 (discussed later). By comparison, the name of Joseph Bologne, Le Chevalier de Saint-George,<sup>5</sup> is mainly absent from biographical accounts of Mozart’s Parisian sojourn; if Saint-George is mentioned at all, it is typically in a brief aside or a footnote, or not at all. In this article I foreground this overlooked and underrepresented interracial composer-violinist in historical narratives of Mozart’s time in Paris by shifting traditional perspectives and writing him back into accounts of Mozart’s extended stay in the metropole.

My reasons for wanting to raise the profile of Bologne in musicological historiography are fourfold. First, Bologne was one of the most important musicians working in Paris during Mozart’s sojourn there in the spring and summer of 1778. As the leading virtuoso violinist in the French capital and the dynamic leader of the Concert des amateurs, one of the most enterprising orchestral ensembles in the city dedicated to performing the best modern instrumental music of the day, he was already legendary in the Parisian performing arts community by the time Mozart arrived there. A poem by the well-known French dramatist and playwright Pierre-Louis Moline published in the *Mercur de France* in 1768 attests to this.<sup>6</sup> The dazzling orchestra Saint-George led was

---

mir ihre Meÿnung darüber’) Mozart implores, emphasizing his desire for patriarchal approval with the phrase ‘ich bitte sie’ (‘Please’). As a cost-saving measure, he reasoned that travelling with musicians who knew the city well would mean that his mother, who journeyed with him, would be able to stay behind in Mannheim, or as he suggests in a subsequent letter, return home to Salzburg.

<sup>3</sup> The oboist Friedrich Ramm and flautist Johann Baptist Wendling were two of the four wind players for whom Mozart subsequently wrote a symphonie concertante for four wind soloists in Paris that was never performed. The other two players were Giovanni Punto (the assumed name of Jan Václav Stich), a Waldhorn player, and Georg Wenzel Ritter, a bassoonist. See letter to Leopold dated 5 April 1778, *Mozart’s Letters*, pp. 148–49. See also Robert Levin’s reconstruction of K.297b, and his book *Who Wrote the Mozart Four-Wind Concertante?* (Pendragon, 1988).

<sup>4</sup> *Mozart’s Letters*, p. 107; and Digital Mozart Edition: Online Edition. Interestingly, Leopold reiterates the names of these two organizations to Mozart in a letter dated 6 April 1778, noting that they are the two most important musical institutions in Paris, and that Wolfgang should approach both ensembles for possible commissions.

<sup>5</sup> While some sources use the spelling Saint-Georges, with final ‘s’, I adopt the spelling used by Julian Ledford, who notes that Saint-George corresponds to the orthography found in early reference sources. See his article ‘Joseph Boulogne, the Chevalier de Saint-George and the Problem with Black Mozart’, *Journal of Black Studies*, 51.1 (2020), pp. 60–82 (p. 60). Pierre Bardin adopts the same spelling in his biography *Joseph, sieur de Saint George: le chevalier noir* (Guénégand, 2006).

<sup>6</sup> Dominique-René De Lerma, ‘The Chevalier de Saint-Georges’, *The Black Perspective in Music*, 4.1 (1976), p. 6. Moline penned several librettos for the Paris Opéra, including the adaptation of Calzabigi’s libretto from Gluck’s *Orfeo ed Euridice* (Vienna 1762) as *Orphée et Euridice* (Paris 1774).

the closest equal to the highly disciplined, precision ensemble Mozart had praised in Mannheim, and its reputation could not have escaped Mozart's notice once he was residing in the city. The fact that Mozart never once mentions Bologne is curious, and it is especially puzzling since, at this precise point in time, Mozart was vigorously attempting to cultivate employment opportunities in Paris, including seeking out prominent ensembles to perform his works and bring them before 'live' audiences that in turn might garner him further recognition, commissions, and paid employment. Pulling these two composer-performers into relationship with one another holds the potentiality of thinking beyond standard, non-inclusive historical offerings.

Second, Bologne was one of the early pioneers and chief exponents of the symphonie concertante, a genre Mozart turned to shortly after leaving Paris and resettling in his native Salzburg. As one of the earliest composers to introduce the symphonie concertante into the Parisian lexicon and to employ this terminology on the title pages of his musical publications,<sup>7</sup> Bologne was in many respects synonymous with the genre in the mid- to late 1770s, the period directly coinciding with Mozart's extended stay in the French capital. As a leading composer and executant of violin concertos and symphonies concertantes for two solo violins, he was pushing the boundaries of performance in 'concertante' writing. Among his immediate peers, including Giuseppe Cambini (1746–1825), Jean-Baptiste Bréval (1753–1823), Jean-Baptiste Davaux (1742–1822), and François-Joseph Gossec (1734–1829), the most prolific writer of symphonies concertantes was Cambini, who wrote a total of eighty-two, of which about two dozen date from the 1770s.<sup>8</sup> In contrast to his peers who wrote in a light galant style, Bologne increasingly made more demands on his 'concertante' soloists; indeed, during the years coinciding with his zenith at the helm of the Concert des amateurs, he was taking soloistic string writing in the symphonie concertante to new levels. As one of the most highly accomplished players concertizing in this genre during Mozart's Parisian residency in spring–summer 1778, Bologne was one of the most visible composer-performers, transfixing audiences with his dizzying virtuosic feats on the violin. Between 1775 and 1779 his output included at least eight violin concertos, and at least six, and possibly as many as eight, symphonies concertantes for two solo violins, all published in pairs: the violin concertos op. 5 (1775) and op. 7 (1777), op. 8 and op. 12 (n.d.); and the symphonies concertantes op. 6 (1775), op. 9 (1777), op. 10 (1778),

<sup>7</sup> Gabriel Banat, *The Chevalier de Saint-Georges: Virtuoso of the Sword and the Bow* (Pendragon, 2006), p. 169. Banat is making an important point here: that Bologne was one of the earliest composers to use this descriptor when publishing works in this genre. His first publications for two solo violins using the term 'symphonie concertante' date from 1775.

<sup>8</sup> Barry S. Brook, 'The Symphonie Concertante: An Interim Report', *Musical Quarterly*, 47.4 (1961), pp. 493–516; Barry S. Brook, editor-in-chief, *Le Symphonie française dans la seconde moitié du XVIIIe siècle*, 3 vols (L'Institut de Musicologie de l'Université de Paris, 1962); Barry S. Brook, editor-in-chief, *The Symphony 1720–1840: A Comprehensive Collection of Full Scores in Sixty Volumes. Reference Volume: Contents of Set and Collected Thematic Index* (Garland, 1983–86); and Barry Brook with Mary Fusco, 'Introduction to Series D', in *The Symphony 1720–1840*, Vol. 5, *The Symphonie Concertante*, ed. by Brook, pp. xv–xix.

with op. 13 probably also dating from this period.<sup>9</sup> The solo concertos are in three movements while the majority of the symphonies concertantes are in two.<sup>10</sup> The increasing level of technical demands Bologne places on the solo violinists in these compositions are designed to command public attention, and position him as one of the foremost creators and producers of this uniquely French genre during the initial decade of its cultivation.

My third reason for wanting to bring Bologne into dialogue with Mozart is to open an avenue for exploring the intersecting worlds they inhabited. While there is no direct evidence that they met one another, making any comment speculative, it is difficult to imagine that Mozart and Saint-George were not aware of one another. Although they moved in separate social spheres, they both inhabited Parisian musical circles, so how likely is it that they did *not* meet? And what constitutes meeting anyway? Simply being in the same room with one another, being aware of each other's presence, encountering one another in a concert setting, or hearing one another's music? What about being formally introduced to one another? Or passing one another in the street, acknowledging one another yet never engaging in conversation? Is it possible that they *did* meet, and that it was an awkward encounter, making Mozart unwilling or too embarrassed to mention Saint-George in his letters, or not wanting to admit to his father that he had been overlooked or perhaps even rebuffed?<sup>11</sup> It is difficult to imagine that Mozart was not impacted by Bologne in some way since the latter was such a recognizable phenomenon in Paris at the time. As composer-performers, they were both mutually committed to and deeply invested in offering instrumental performances of the highest calibre. They shared a desire for Parisian audience approval and employed similar methods for attaining it – methods that were not unique to them alone, but which were

<sup>9</sup> The Works List in the Appendix to Banat's 2006 biography of Saint-George, from which this information is taken (pp. 471–73), is more up to date than the works list accompanying his entry on 'Saint-Georges [Saint-George], Joseph Bologne, Chevalier de', in *Grove Music Online*, <[www.oxfordmusiconline.com](http://www.oxfordmusiconline.com)> (accessed 1 February 2020 and 15 April 2023). The thematic index to *The Symphony 1720–1840* lists Bologne as having written ten symphonies concertantes, nine of them between 1775 and 1779, and one incomplete.

<sup>10</sup> Robert Winter analyses the only three-movement *Symphonie concertante* in B♭ major, op. 6 no. 2 (Allegro, poco moderato; Andante amoroso; and Rondeau) in relation to Mozart in his *Music in the Air* (MITA) series, an interactive digital analytical program created with collaborator, designer/programmer Peter Bogdanoff, which is available to subscribers at <<https://www.artsinteractiveinc.com>>.

<sup>11</sup> According to Christopher Dingle, it is all but certain that Mozart and Saint-George met and knew one another, given the latter's central position in Parisian musical life; in Dingle's opinion, the absence of any mention of Saint-George in Mozart's letters is surprising, but not necessarily significant. I am grateful to him for his generous conversation over Zoom in November 2022 prior to the AMS meeting in NOLA, and for sharing his programme notes 'Myths and Misunderstandings' accompanying the autumn 2022 Glyndebourne touring programme entitled *Voices of Love and Loss*, pairing music by the two composers. In contrast to Dingle's measured approach, the recent film *Chevalier*, directed by Stephen Williams, which premiered at Toronto International Film Festival in September 2022, opens with 'an audacious bang, as Joseph Bologne interrupts a Paris concert conducted by Mozart, making a dramatic impression on the preening genius and his fancy, 18th-century audience' (TIFF program: <<https://tiff.net/events/chevalier>>). Officially released on 21 April 2023, the film, while ahistorical, speaks to today's audiences in its portrayal of the protagonist's reckoning with his Black heritage and search for freedom and equality, <<https://www.searchlightpictures.com/chevalier/>>.

prominently on display in the rival orchestras they wrote for. Living and labouring together in space and time while inhabiting tangential worlds, their stories are inevitably entangled.

Furthermore, Mozart's investment in the symphonie concertante after returning to Salzburg suggests that he was attempting to come to terms with events from his Parisian sojourn.<sup>12</sup> Although he initially turned to church music and symphonic composition back in Salzburg in spring 1779,<sup>13</sup> he eventually pivoted to symphonie concertante writing – a move that would enable him to process his Parisian experiences. Since Paris was 'the *concertante* centre of Europe', any composition utilizing the symphonie concertante format tacitly acknowledges its French origins, as Barry Brooks reminds us.<sup>14</sup> So with this in mind, I offer here a reading of Mozart's highly virtuosic essay in this genre, K.364 for violin and viola, through a Parisian lens as a way of opening a space for further biographical and musical-performative reflection.

In the process of making these arguments, I probe the historical legacy we have inherited and open it up to further scrutiny and reflection. Grounded in history, my methodology is attentive to an affective historical empathy that is rooted in the performing imaginary – an approach that allows for a richer accounting of musical communication and the possibility of offering a corrective to past historical narratives that have overlooked the presence of a racialized musician in Mozart's Parisian period and its aftermath. Reading history discursively affords the possibility of querying power relations and methods of knowledge formation; indeed, by questioning the logics of overlooking, and imagining alternative historical narratives through the inventiveness of musical performance, we can shape new ways of understanding the past. Examining Mozart's Parisian musical experiences and his deeply personal and professional losses endured there in relation to his intense period of frustrated 'concertante' writing back in Salzburg opens the possibility of repositioning a peripheral figure more centrally into standard historical narratives, enabling us to tell a more diverse, inclusive, and equitable music history. Intentional erasure may not have been the goal of past scholarship; however, refusal to create a space for Saint-George within the histories we tell today could be characterized as such.

Historians regularly engage in speculation and 'what ifs' as a way to re-examine and nuance established narrative frames, expand cultural horizons, challenge past perceptions, grapple with the messiness of history, and push frontiers.<sup>15</sup> By shifting the

<sup>12</sup> Theodore de Wyzewa's and Georges de Saint-Foix's *W.A. Mozart: sa vie musicale et son oeuvre, de l'enfance à la pleine maturité* (1756–1777), 2 vols (Perrin, 1912) is the earliest biographical-stylistic study of Mozart's works to make the case for the impact of French music on the young composer. Hermann Abert describes Mozart's trip to Mannheim and Paris as 'one of the most important events in his life', but barely discusses the composer's attempts at symphonie concertante composition beyond K.364. *W.A. Mozart*, trans. by Stewart Spencer, ed. by Cliff Eisen (orig. pub. in German, 1923–24; Yale University Press, 2007), p. 517.

<sup>13</sup> Completed compositions include: the grand sonata for organ and orchestra K.329; Mass in C major K.317; and two symphonies, K.318 and K.319. Zaslav notes that the Symphony in G major, K.318, dated 26 April 1779, was the first work Mozart wrote after returning to Salzburg in early 1779. Neal Zaslav, *Mozart's Symphonies: Context, Performance Practice, Reception* (Clarendon, 1991), pp. 343–47.

<sup>14</sup> Brook, 'The Symphonie Concertante: An Interim Report', p. 494.

<sup>15</sup> David J. Staley, *Historical Imagination* (Routledge, 2020), Introduction and ch. 5.

historical terrain and examining its gaps and fissures, we open up new creative dimensions that allow for the potentiality of a multiplicity of perspectives to co-exist. As R. G. Collingwood reminds us in his influential study *The Idea of History*, since the thoughts and motivations of those who lived in the past are unknown to us, it is incumbent upon the historian to try to reconstruct the past via ‘historical imagination’, that is, by re-enacting the thought processes of past agents based on the information and evidentiary record that has come down to us.<sup>16</sup> By imagining the acts of those who lived in the past to discern their thoughts, ‘the historian can rediscover what has been completely forgotten, in the sense that no statement of it has reached him by an unbroken tradition from eyewitnesses. He can even discover what, until he discovered it, no one ever knew to have happened at all.’<sup>17</sup> The web of ‘imaginative reconstruction’ I engage in here is my attempt at exploring the thought processes behind past actions to expose new lines of enquiry. While the current project might raise questions about the politics behind the recuperative act, ‘recuperative triumphalism’ is not the agenda here.<sup>18</sup> Rather, starting from the position that race matters, and by acknowledging the presence of historic racism in Western art music studies perpetuated by white scholars, I am compelled to undertake here a gesture of historical recuperation on behalf of a Black musician who has too often been overlooked or marginalized in music history, especially in Mozartian historiography.

Ultimately, I argue that Mozart’s investment in symphonie concertante composition back in Salzburg – a genre closely associated with Bologna – was the personal, self-motivated attempt of a sensitive and vulnerable young man to work through recent events, stressful experiences, and professional as well as personal losses associated with his travels to Mannheim and Paris. It is possible to imagine scenarios in which self-initiated compositional efforts undertaken back home in spring 1779, especially those that cannot be traced to known commissions, including K.364, might be probed through other interpretive means. Although psychobiography may have lost some of its appeal today, I will defend Maynard Solomon’s humanistic legacy, especially if it affords the opportunity to tell a richer story of Mozart’s musical legacy, which in turn allows for the possibility of inserting a long-neglected musical figure into the histories we tell in 2024. I am not arguing that Bologna was the source of Mozart’s disappointments in Paris, or that he was the direct catalyst for fuelling Mozart’s inspiration in subsequent compositions. But I am suggesting that of all the symphonie concertante composers that Mozart may have heard, studied, or encountered in Mannheim and Paris in the later 1770s, Bologna would have stood out precisely because of the pinnacle position he occupied in the Parisian musical hierarchy that Mozart so desperately

<sup>16</sup> R. G. Collingwood, *The Idea of History* (1946; Oxford University Press, 1956), Part V, Epilegomena, section 2, ‘Historical Imagination’, p. 238. In the preceding section, Collingwood writes: ‘Historical knowledge is the knowledge of what the mind has done in the past, and at the same time it is the redoing of this, the perpetuation of past acts in the present’ (p. 218).

<sup>17</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 238.

<sup>18</sup> In *Babylon Girls: Black Women Performers and the Shaping of the Modern* (Duke University Press, 2008), Jayna Brown cautions historians to be wary of the temptations of ‘recuperative triumphalism’ when constructing lost histories of Black performers.



wanted to penetrate. While Mozart might have wished to infiltrate the Parisian opera scene, just as Bologne sought to do, his experiences in the metropole were primarily limited to the orchestral arena,<sup>19</sup> a cultural landscape dominated by the fashionable ‘concertante’ idiom that Mozart turned to after returning to Salzburg. Memories of, if not nostalgia for, the lively Parisian musical scene the impressionable young Mozart experienced in 1778 contributed to his musical development back in his native Salzburg during a period of familial reunification and healing. Many musicians, named and unnamed, deserve elevating in this story, and foremost among them is the charismatic virtuoso composer-violinist Joseph Bologne.

As Michel-Rolph Trouillot acknowledges in his formative text *Silencing the Past: Power and the Production of History* (1995), the production of historical narratives is controlled by those in power. Because I hold some of this power, I feel compelled to peel back the one-sided historicity that has prevailed in Mozart scholarship vis-à-vis the composer’s Parisian sojourn to tell a different story, one absent from the historical archive. Emboldened by Naomi André and Denise Von Glahn, who acknowledge in their introductory remarks to a recent colloquy in the *Journal of the American Musicological Society* entitled ‘Shadow Culture Narratives’ that musicology is starting to change, I seek to be part of this change. ‘Discussions and concerns around music that privileges whiteness at the expense of nonwhite racial, ethnic, and other wide-ranging identities’ are growing and expanding.<sup>20</sup> With the questioning and querying of ‘who gets to count’ in our standard textbooks and scholarly methodologies coming under greater scrutiny, we are now examining history anew and exploring new initiatives, perspectives, and performative dimensions to create new knowledge networks. Monolithic, normative listening practices long cultivated in our music schools and theory classes are being challenged by those, including BIPOC (Black, Indigenous, People of Colour) colleagues and students, who are seeking to tell a more empathetic, sensitive, emotionally driven – even romanticized – music history in the academy today, and championing a multiplicity of listening perspectives, modalities, and positionalities to enable this.<sup>21</sup> With so many advocating for change, and actively seeking to foreground other ways of knowing, it is time to probe the entanglement of history and power in Mozart studies. My attempt at putting into practice an ‘engaged musicological’ agenda,<sup>22</sup> while still rooted in an ‘elite’ European classical music tradition, is nevertheless attentive to expanding the Eurocentric gaze by situating the racially marked Bologne prominently within the Parisian musical culture Mozart inhabited.

<sup>19</sup> Mozart’s only foray into theatrical composition for the Paris Opéra was *Les petits riens*, the little ballet to which he contributed a dozen selections at the invitation of the famous ballet master Jean Georges Noverre, with whom he had collaborated in Milan in 1772. The ballet premiered at the Opéra on 11 June 1778, closing after four performances.

<sup>20</sup> Naomi André and Denise Von Glahn, convenors of the colloquy ‘Shadow Culture Narratives: Race, Gender and American Music Historiography’, ‘Introduction’, *Journal of the American Musicological Society*, 73.3 (2020), pp. 711–18 (p. 712).

<sup>21</sup> An impressive piece of scholarship here is Dylan Robinson’s *Hungry Listening: Resonant Theory for Indigenous Sound Studies* (University of Minnesota Press, 2020).

<sup>22</sup> Naomi André lays out her conceptualization of an ‘engaged musicology’ in *Black Opera: History, Power, Engagement* (University of Illinois Press, 2016).

## Revising History

The first task of an ‘engaged’ agenda is to rid Bologne of the moniker ‘Le Mozart noir’.<sup>23</sup> Referring to him in terms of the white musical world is to add insult to injury by robbing him of his identity. As Francophone literature professor Julian Ledford notes, Bologne’s unique talents and his lived experiences – not just his skin colour, but complex notions of the social construct of race – are what ought to define him. While Black Mozart may have been a clever marketing tool employed at the turn of the twenty-first century to draw attention to Bologne’s life and music, ‘the term occludes the critical treatment of the Black subject to the point of erasure’.<sup>24</sup> Rather than defining his mastery of white instruction and his rise to fame within the constructs of the white experience, which is so essentializing, our focus ought to be on Black achievement. A musician with stature and presence in his own day, Bologne’s musical materiality, bequeathed to us through his scores, has the potential to animate musical history, centring him and his remarkable presence in the Parisian musical scene more prominently within discussions of Mozart’s Parisian sojourn and early maturity facilitates a more open and inclusive discussion.

Details of Bologne’s early years are scarce. He was born in Basse-Terre on the island of Guadeloupe on 25 December 1745 to a wealthy French plantation owner, George Bologne (1711–74), and an enslaved African woman of Senegalese descent named Anne (Nanon) Denneveau (c. 1725–95). At age seven, he travelled to France with his father, arriving in the port city of Bordeaux on 12 August 1753, eventually settling in Paris with both his parents in 1756.<sup>25</sup> The following year George Bologne paid a large sum of money to buy his way into the lowest ranks of the French nobility, and soon thereafter young Joseph’s gentlemanly education commenced. He studied riding, dancing, music, swimming, skating, running, fencing, and swordsmanship. Joseph excelled in the riding school at the Tuileries, and following six years of study at

<sup>23</sup> *Le Mozart noir: The Life & Music of Joseph Boulogne Chevalier de Saint-Georges*, dir. Raymond Saint-Jean (Media Headquarters, 2003), <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AvQMG7Cl7hM&t=1148s>>. Recording re-released by ©Tafelmusik Media, Media Headquarters Film & Television Inc., and CBC 2016 <[www.tafelmusik.org](http://www.tafelmusik.org)>. A notice posted on the TBO website in 2021 states: ‘We recognize that by using this title and cover art [in particular, see their 2016 re-release] we have contributed to and facilitated the erasure of Joseph Bologne and his legacy. We regret and apologize for these actions.’ Their new digital audio release in July 2021, retitled *The Music of Joseph Bologne, Chevalier de Saint-Georges*, features an essay by the American conductor and Bologne scholar Marlon Daniel. For the new cover art, see <[https://www.tafelmusik.org/breaking-baroque/meet-artist-gordon-shadrach?utm\\_source=Bologne-Portrait-Reveal&utm\\_medium=Email&utm\\_campaign=2021tafelmedia&utm\\_content=Gordan-QA-Button&uid=24187&promo=9687](https://www.tafelmusik.org/breaking-baroque/meet-artist-gordon-shadrach?utm_source=Bologne-Portrait-Reveal&utm_medium=Email&utm_campaign=2021tafelmedia&utm_content=Gordan-QA-Button&uid=24187&promo=9687)>. For TBO’s new listening guide see <<https://www.tafelmusik.org/breaking-baroque/listening-guide-joseph-bologne>> (accessed 4 March 2022).

<sup>24</sup> Ledford, ‘Problem with Black Mozart’, p. 60. See also the *New York Times* article by Marcos Balter, ‘His Name Is Joseph Boulogne, Not “Black Mozart”’, <<https://www.nytimes.com/2020/07/22/arts/music/black-mozart-joseph-boulogne.html?referringSource=articleShare>>.

<sup>25</sup> Banat, *The Chevalier de Saint-Georges*, pp. 41 and 47. His mother had arrived in France in 1755 (p. 46). Based on recent research, Julia Doe confirmed many family details, including Nanon’s full name, in her AMS presentation: ‘Atlantic Slavery. Family History, and the Chevalier de Saint-Georges’, New Orleans, LA, November 2022. See also Bardin, *Joseph, sieur de Saint George*, p. 27.



La Boëssière's Royal Academy of Arms, he proved to be one of the most celebrated fencing challengers of his day.<sup>26</sup> In 1761, at the age of 16, he had earned the title Le Chevalier de Saint-George upon securing a position as a *gendarme du roi* (armed man of the king) in the court of Louis XV.<sup>27</sup> Had the young 'chevalier' heard of the musical prodigy who had dazzled ambassadors, aristocrats, and French royalty during the Mozart family visit to Paris and Versailles in 1763–64 – a visit immortalized in the portrait by Louis Carogis de Carmontelle (1717–1806) depicting the child Mozart at the harpsichord making music with his father and sister?<sup>28</sup>

As a titled, affluent man, Bologne had access to the highest echelons of French society. Yet the aristocratic court circles he moved in were not fully accepting of him. Perceived as exotic, he would forever be marked as the illegitimate child of a Black slave, and although a free man, he had to operate under 'le code noir' – laws that codified the lives of Blacks in France and the French colonies from 1685 to 1848. In Parisian society he was often called a 'mulatto', while others referred to him more charitably as 'the American'. Supposedly he self-identified as 'American' or 'Creole', a term that, in the eighteenth century, implied he was born in the New World (i.e., abroad), yet educated in the Old World.<sup>29</sup> At this time, the term Creole did not yet imply mixed race, hybridization, or transculturation, but it did mark him as 'Other' in the 1770s. Although he was far from the only free Black man living in colonial France, he occupied an unusual position – one aided by class yet constrained by race. And as one whose heritage marked him as both colonizer and colonized, he challenges our notion of what inclusion might mean.

As a young, white, European male, Mozart's concerns were of a different kind. A gifted and ambitious musician undertaking his second trip to Paris in search of fame and fortune, his fears related to his overbearing father, his desire for female companionship, his lack of regular employment, the fear of falling into debt, and his uncertain situation relating to the death of his mother. Compared to Bologne's elite patronage networks, Mozart's Parisian circles were of a lower social stratum. Whereas Bologne had access to royalty and aristocrats, Mozart's acquaintances were the men of letters who served the French aristocracy. Whereas Bologne was wealthy, Mozart's financial situation was precarious. Culturally challenged in a foreign city, and with few connections, advocates, or acquaintances to turn to, Mozart probably felt increasingly isolated the longer he stayed there. But the prospect of having to return to Salzburg, a place he detested, also lurked in the background. 'How I hate Salzburg [...] Salzburg is no place for my talent!' penned Mozart in a letter to Abbé Joseph Bullinger dated 7 August 1778.

<sup>26</sup> Banat, *The Chevalier de Saint-Georges*, p. 55.

<sup>27</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 73, fn.24.

<sup>28</sup> Mary Cyr, 'Carmontelle's Portraits of 18th-Century Musicians', *The Musical Times*, 158/1941 (2017), pp. 39–40. See also Adeline Mueller, *Mozart and the Mediation of Childhood* (University of Chicago Press, 2021), introduction. Although Carmontelle never painted Bologne, many of the nobility depicted in his paintings moved in the same social circles that Bologne did.

<sup>29</sup> See Charles Stewart (ed.), *Creolization: History, Ethnography, Theory* (Routledge, 2007), especially Stewart's opening chapter.

## Paris: Bologne

During Mozart's second visit to the French capital, Le Chevalier de Saint-George was already a well-known musician, having benefitted from an excellent musical education in both composition and the violin.<sup>30</sup> Starting out as a violinist in Gossec's newly established Concert des amateurs in 1769, Saint-George's talent was readily apparent. Not only did Gossec nurture the prodigious talent of his new protégé, he also helped him gain acceptance in Parisian musical circles and French society more broadly; indeed, Gossec was a leading proponent of legal changes affecting the status of musicians in French society – advocating for 'a new kind of musician-patron fueled by respect rather than money', a system that placed musicians on a social plane alongside wealthy connoisseurs and amateurs.<sup>31</sup> In 1772, Bologne made his solo debut with the Concert des amateurs performing his two violin concertos op. 2, and after Gossec's departure from the orchestra in 1773, Bologne took over as director, leading the ensemble from 1774 to 1780 (it disbanded the following year). The decline of private orchestras among the aristocrats in the 1770s had encouraged the rise of a flourishing public orchestra scene patronized by the upper classes, of which the Concert des amateurs was a prime example. Existing outside the realm of the court, these ensembles initiated a new approach to musical institution building. In addition to aristocratic sponsorship, the orchestra was also supported by public subscriptions, furthering its ambitions to be an autonomous organization independent from the court.<sup>32</sup> A progressive ensemble dedicated to promoting new works by current composers in different styles, including French, German, and Italian, the Concert des amateurs was among the best orchestras in Paris during Mozart's visit in 1778. As the foremost musician in this ensemble, Bologne, now at the apex of his career, was actively performing his virtuosic violin concertos and symphonie concertante repertory usually featuring two solo violins and orchestra.<sup>33</sup>

<sup>30</sup> Although precise details of his early musical training are lacking, it is presumed that Bologne's father, an active patron of the arts, had hired the best instructors for his son. Banat suggests that the Italian violin virtuoso Antonio Lolli was Bologne's violin teacher. See the entry on 'Saint-Georges [Saint-George], Joseph Bologne, Chevalier de', *Grove Music Online* <[www.oxfordmusiconline.com](http://www.oxfordmusiconline.com)> (accessed 12 April 2021).

<sup>31</sup> Rebecca Dowd Geoffroy-Schwinden, *From Servant to Savant: Musical Privilege, Property, and the French Revolution* (Oxford University Press, 2022), p. 44. In this prize-winning book, Geoffroy-Schwinden traces legal developments in eighteenth-century France that led to the dissolution of aristocratic patronage and the collapse of the old guild system, resulting in 'the proliferation of "semipublic" concerts that blurred the lines between musical patronage and a commercial system of musical production'. These changes led to the development of 'new kinds of orchestras that mixed professional musicians with accomplished amateurs', including the Concert des amateurs and Le Concert de la Loge Olympique – organizations with which Joseph Bologne was intimately associated.

<sup>32</sup> As Spitzer and Zaslav observe, Baron d'Ogny and the Duke of Noailles, 'who had formerly sponsored private orchestras, now devoted themselves to organizing, financing, and promoting concert societies'. See John Spitzer and Neal Zaslav, *The Birth of the Orchestra: History of an Institution, 1650–1815* (Oxford University Press, 2004), p. 203.

<sup>33</sup> The majority of Cambini's two-movement symphonies concertantes are also for two solo violins, whereas Bréval frequently writes for three or more soloists. See Brook, *The Symphony 1720–1840, Contents of the Set and Collected Thematic Index*.

Not surprisingly, Bologne's concertizing repertory from this period is marshalled as evidence of his extraordinary skill on the violin. The exuberant solo parts make extensive use of the highest positions on the instrument, and the possibilities afforded by the new Tourte bow. In the words of biographer Gabriel Banet, Bologne's solo writing is rife 'with bold, détaché strokes and intricate *batteries* and *bariolage*' (rapid string-crossing)<sup>34</sup> – the soloist's left-hand agility and aggressively pumping right arm adding to the visuality of witnessing such virtuosic display and dexterity, especially when sparring with a full orchestra. The opening Allegro maestoso movement of Bologne's Violin Concerto in D major, op. 3 no. 1 (1774), ably demonstrates this. An excerpt from this concerto performed by Tafelmusik Baroque Orchestra featuring violinist Linda Melsted captures the player's emotional range and virtuosic skill in negotiating her instrument (Video Example 1)<sup>35</sup> – skills essential to delivering convincing performances of concertos by Bologne.

Video Example 1. <<https://youtu.be/WXMHX9mnjgw?si=K4QcdTtphEmccPpt>>.

Similar virtuosic feats are demanded in Bologne's Violin Concerto in A major, op. 5 no. 2 (1775), and not just in the opening Allegro moderato movement. The third movement Rondeau begins gracefully enough, but after an extended passage in the minor mode featuring a static drone, further demands are made on the soloist towards the end of the finale. Following the return of the main theme (b. 180), semiquaver passagework for the soloist beginning in bar 212 eventually leads to a stratospheric three-octave scalar ascent (to e<sup>3</sup>) ending in a dramatic three-octave plunge (bb. 228–32; Example 1); rather than leading to a cadence, however, the rapid string-crossing continues unabated, the sparse accompaniment leaving the soloist particularly exposed at this late stage in the concerto.

What if we were to attribute these and other novelties in Bologne's scores *not* to hegemonic instructional modes but to Bologne's unique skillset and identity? His intelligence, concentration, speed, precision, agility, and endurance as an elite athlete here find a new outlet – his right-hand prowess with an épée (like the virtuoso Giuseppe Tartini) readily transferring to his bow technique.<sup>36</sup> Skills cultivated in the fencing academy, including speed and dexterity, find a new outlet in a feisty, formidable violin technique. As one of the foremost virtuosos of his day, Bologne was on the cutting edge of contemporary violin technique, pushing the limits of what was doable on the

<sup>34</sup> Gabriel Banat, 'Saint-Georges [Saint-George], Joseph Bologne, Chevalier de', *Grove Music Online* <[www.oxfordmusiconline.com](http://www.oxfordmusiconline.com)> (accessed 1 February 2020).

<sup>35</sup> Joseph Bologne, Violin Concerto in D Major, op. 3 no. 1 (excerpt from first movement), featuring the Tafelmusik Baroque Orchestra and solo violinist Linda Melsted (2003). Used with permission of Tafelmusik Orchestra and Choir.

<sup>36</sup> Interestingly, Giuseppe Tartini (1692–1770), the Italian violinist, string pedagogue, composer, theorist and acoustician who was foundational to Leopold Mozart's conceptions of violin playing, was also a skilled fencer. Skill with a sword and with a bow were transferable in these cases. I am grateful to Pierpaolo Polzonetti for bringing this to my attention.

Example 1 Joseph Bologne, Violin Concerto in A major, op. 5 no. 2, ed. by Allan Badley (Artaria Editions, 1999), Rondeau third movement, bb. 224–36, especially bb. 228–32.

The image displays three systems of a musical score for the Rondeau third movement of Joseph Bologne's Violin Concerto in A major, op. 5 no. 2. The score is arranged in five staves: Violin Principal (Vln Pr.), Violin I (Vln I), Violin II (Vln II), Viola (Vla), and Violoncello and Bass (Vc. & B.). The key signature is A major (three sharps) and the time signature is 3/4. The first system covers measures 224 to 227. The second system covers measures 228 to 232, with a first ending bracket over measures 228-230 and a measure rest in measure 231. The third system covers measures 233 to 236. The Vln Pr. part features a complex rhythmic pattern of eighth and sixteenth notes. The Vln I and Vln II parts play a simple harmonic accompaniment, with Vln I and Vln II playing eighth notes in measures 233-236. The Vla and Vc. & B. parts are mostly silent, with some notes in measures 228-232.

instrument. In addition to an aggressive and competitive playing style, might we further attribute the plaintive and sorrowful writing exhibited in several mournful slow movements – for example, the languorous middle movement in D minor from the same op. 3 concerto (Example 2) – to other formative experiences, that is, experiences *not* shared by other composers, but rather to painful memories of abuse and harm caused to others on his father's plantation? Did he ever witness physical violence and corporal punishment inflicted by malicious overseers on the Black bodies of the enslaved? Although he left the Caribbean at the age of eight, early exposure to traumatic events may have left an indelible mark on his psyche.

As an adult did Bologne suffer personal anguish while processing his father's identity as a slave owner and the barbarity of the dehumanizing system he upheld? Was he troubled by the increasingly contentious source of his family's wealth and accumulation of capital? Did he struggle with his mother's personal identity as a former slave? When Bologne *père* returned to Guadeloupe in 1764 to oversee his plantation after the cessation of the Seven Years' War, Saint-George – and Nanon too – received a sizeable annuity, enabling them both to live together comfortably.<sup>37</sup> But wealth and social position could not shield Bologne *fils* from the inequalities and personal indignities he would have experienced during everyday life in the metropole – what we today would refer to as patterns of discrimination, persecution, exclusionary policies, microaggressions, intimidations, gaslighting, and so on, resulting from systemic racism. By the late 1780s, Bologne was on the side of abolitionists who, during the last quarter of the eighteenth-century, were increasingly advocating the end of the Atlantic slave trade. His deep friendship with the young Duke of Orléans, Louis-Philippe, an ardent liberal reformer who subsequently changed his name to Philippe Égalité, led the composer to join the reform movement in support of democracy, equality, and the end of slavery and racial discrimination.

In 1774, having succeeded Gossec as director of the Concert des amateurs, Bologne continued to lead the ensemble from his violin while helping to chart a new path for them.<sup>38</sup> Already in the late 1760s the orchestra of the Académie Royale de Musique, referred to colloquially as the Opéra, and other ensembles in the French capital were experimenting with the elimination of the *batteur de mesure*, and instead having the orchestra led by two violinists, one for the firsts and another for the seconds. And by the mid-1770s, the Concert spirituel no longer listed a time-beater and assistant on their roster, replacing them with two violinists who were clearly in charge of leading the ensemble.<sup>39</sup> Did these violinist co-conductors play a role in popularizing the symphonic concertante featuring two solo string instruments? In other words, did this

<sup>37</sup> Banat, *The Chevalier de Saint-Georges*, p. 91. George Bologne also set up a trust for his son.

<sup>38</sup> When the Concert des amateurs disbanded in 1781, Bologne helped found Le Concert de la Loge Olympique under the auspices of a masonic lodge sponsored by Comte d'Ogny with the support of the Duc d'Orléans. Haydn's six 'Paris' symphonies, nos 82–87, were commissioned for this ensemble, composed in 1785–86, and premiered in the Salle des Gardes in the Tuileries in 1787–88. See Bernard Harrison, *Haydn: The 'Paris' Symphonies* (Cambridge University Press, 1998), pp. 1–3.

<sup>39</sup> Spitzer and Zaslaw, *The Birth of the Orchestra*, table 6.1 on pp. 188–89, and p. 200.

Example 2 Joseph Bologne, Violin Concerto in D Major, op. 3 no. 1, violin-keyboard reduction from the *Anthology for Music of the Eighteenth Century*, ed. by John Rice (Norton, 2012), 27, Adagio second movement, bb. 1–12. Created from full score, ed. by Allan Badley (Artaria Editions, 2002).

Adagio

Strings (violins with mutes)

+ Flutes, horns

Solo violin (with mute)

Violins alone

cresc. *f* *p* *p*

10



transitional moment within the social structure of the orchestra, together with the rise of public concert orchestras in the 1770s in general, help set the stage for the cultivation of the symphonie concertante featuring two solo violinists – the preferred medium for Bologne?<sup>40</sup> Certainly the practices were mutually reinforcing. Bologne composed both symphonies concertantes and solo violin concertos during the precise time these changes in orchestral performance practice were underway. For him, the lighter musical aesthetics and listener ‘approachableness’ associated with the symphonie concertante, a musical medium situated at the intersection of symphony and concerto, could be a site for inhabiting an embodied performative space that accommodated his transnational experiences while also supporting his self-actualization efforts. Sharing the limelight with another talented violinist/soloist was one way for the skilled director to promote acceptance, furthering a sense of dialogue and rapprochement among musicians while also advocating for cultural inclusion. By emphasizing similarities, rather than differences, between peoples and cultures, Bologne could use his music-making as a platform for activism and self-advocacy rooted in equality and inclusion.

Bologne’s *Symphonie concertante* in C major, op. 6 no. 1, for two solo violins (alternately scored for solo violin and solo violoncello), dating from 1775, provides an interesting developmental perspective. In the opening *Allegro moderato*, the ‘Principal Violin I’ is demonstrably the dominant player. This instrumentalist is responsible for carrying the majority of the soloistic writing while also displaying the greatest instrumental range and dexterity. Although the entire orchestra contributes to the Parisian sound aesthetic via rapid semiquaver crescendos in the introductory section (e.g., bb. 16–23), the two soloists take on distinctive roles. As expected, they exchange melodic material; however, the lead player initiates and delivers most of the melodic information while the second soloist frequently serves as accompanist (Example 3). The primo player also executes more double and triple stops than the second principal violinist. It is as if Bologne is instructing his counterpart in how to be a ‘concertante’ partner, for the enrichment of all.

Building acceptance on the concert stage, however, did not necessarily translate into broader acceptance. At the same time that Bologne was at the height of his fame – making his mark as a composer and as the leader of the *Concert des amateurs* – he faced

---

<sup>40</sup> Beverly Wilcox observes that ‘the lack of mention of a *battre de mesure* between 1773 and 1777 implies that even the largest *Concert spirituel* ensembles – more than 100 musicians – were controlled by violinist co-conductors, while *Opéra* conductors were still beating time in the manner of Lully’. She further notes that ‘between February 2 and March 25, 1773, the orchestra was converted from a continuo-based baroque model to a “modern” Mannheim model, with the string section increasing from 8–8–2–8–2 to 12–12–4–12–4, and the flute and oboe doublers were replaced with specialists, and clarinets and a second trumpet were added. More importantly, the organ was also eliminated.’ During the first year of his tenure as sole entrepreneur of the *Concert spirituel* in 1777, Legros phased out the Davergne-Gaviniès model of violinist co-conductors and created the post of ‘*Directeur de l’orchestre et premier violon*’, a position he entrusted to Tartini’s French pupil Pierre La Houssaye, the violinist who Mozart blamed for the poor rehearsal of his ‘Paris’ symphony. See Beverly Wilcox, ‘The Music Libraries of the *Concert spirituel*: Canons, Repertoires, and Bricolage in Eighteenth-Century Paris’ (PhD dissertation, University of California, Davis, 2013), pp. 84 and 90. I’m grateful for her assistance in answering questions related to her research.

Example 3 Joseph Bologne, *Symphonie concertante* in C major, op. 6 no. 1, ed. by Melanie Braun, *The Symphony 1720–1840*, editor-in-chief, Barry S. Brook, Series D, Vol. IV, Score 7 (Garland, 1983), first movement, *Allegro moderato*, bb. 25–31.

The image displays three systems of musical notation for the first movement of Joseph Bologne's *Symphonie concertante*. Each system consists of two staves: the upper staff is for Violin 1/2 (Vln pr. 1/2) and the lower staff is for Violin 1/2 rip (Vln 1/2 rip.).

- System 1 (Measures 25-31):** The first system is marked with a bracketed measure number '25' at the beginning. The upper staff features a melodic line with eighth-note patterns and a trill (tr) in the final measure. The lower staff provides a harmonic accompaniment with quarter and eighth notes. A '[Soli]' marking is placed above the second measure.
- System 2 (Measures 28-30):** The second system is marked with a bracketed measure number '28' at the beginning. The upper staff continues the melodic line with eighth-note patterns and a trill. The lower staff continues the harmonic accompaniment.
- System 3 (Measures 31):** The third system is marked with a bracketed measure number '31' at the beginning. The upper staff shows the final measure of the excerpt with a trill. The lower staff continues the harmonic accompaniment.

increasing prejudicial treatment as a Black man living in France. As Emil Smidak notes, Bologne's life in the cosmopolitan Parisian capital was full of ambiguities and ironies; he could direct one of the leading orchestras yet have his proximity to the royal court kept at a distance. He could be lauded on stage yet be the target of oppressive laws aimed at curtailing the activities and personal freedoms of people of African descent living in France. In 1777, Louis XVI banned Afro-diasporic and biracial people from entering the country, meaning that, among other prohibitions, Bologne would have had to carry an identification card to prove he could remain in France. The following year, domestic and colonial racial legislation enacted by royal decree included anti-miscegenation legislation prohibiting interracial sexuality and marriage. It is probably no coincidence that these prohibitions closely followed the professional debacles restricting Bologne's career at the Opéra, where some members mounted a cabal

against him in 1776 to prevent his appointment as musical director of the venerable musical institution.<sup>41</sup> His daily experiences as a violin virtuoso, ensemble director, and composer differed markedly from other aspects of his life, where he endured racial prejudice and acts of bigotry aimed at degrading and demoralizing him.<sup>42</sup> Yet despite all these indignities and legal barriers, he seems to have carried the personal burden of knowing that his miraculous life was made possible by the human suffering of countless others.<sup>43</sup> Continually negotiating his way through an ever-changing musical scene buffeted by increasingly restrictive political and legal decrees founded on racial stigmatization, Bologne appears to have maintained an outward professional demeanour bordering on stoicism. Empowered by his physicality and respected for his swordsmanship, victimhood would not be his fate during the prime of his musical career.

### Paris: Mozart

As is well known and documented, Mozart spent a tumultuous six months in Paris, arriving there with his mother on 23 March 1778 and staying to 26 September. In the early months, prior to his mother's untimely illness and death in early summer, he met with some success. Using contacts provided by his father instead of connecting with his Mannheim colleagues in Paris, Mozart ended up working with the lesser of the two orchestras, the Concert spirituel – *not* the Concert des amateurs overseen by Le Chevalier de Saint-George. Leopold had reiterated the names of both orchestras in a letter to his son dated 6 April, noting that they were the two most important musical institutions in Paris, and that Wolfgang should approach both ensembles for possible commissions.<sup>44</sup>

<sup>41</sup> According to Smidak, Bologne was denied the prestigious position as leader of L'Opéra because of complaints made by leading female artists in the company, including two prominent singers and a dancer. They begged the Queen to protect their honour by denying Bologne the position so they would not have to be 'subjected to the orders of a mulatto'. In response, the king chose not to appoint anyone to the position, thereby protecting Bologne's honour for having his appointment derailed (p. 140). Throughout this tumultuous period, Bologne maintained close contact with the household of the Duke of Orleans (p. 147). See Emil M. Smidak, *Joseph Boulogne, Called Chevalier de Saint-Georges* (Avenir Foundation, 1996). The long arm of prejudicial history at the Paris Opéra continues to this day, with headlines in early 2021 reading: 'Paris Opera to Act on Racist Stereotypes in Ballet', <<https://www.nytimes.com/2021/02/08/arts/dance/paris-ballet-diversity.html?referringSource=articleShare>>.

<sup>42</sup> According to Rebecca Dowd Geoffroy-Swinden, Bologne 'suffered more than one physical assault in the streets of cosmopolitan Europe' (*From Servant to Savant*, p. 64).

<sup>43</sup> Baron von Grimm described Bologne in his *Correspondance littéraire, philosophique et critique* of January 1776 as 'a young American known as the Chevalier de St-George, who combines the most gentle manners with incredible skill in all physical exercise and very great musical talent'. Cited from Smidak, *Joseph Boulogne*, p. 140.

<sup>44</sup> Letter from Leopold Mozart written 6 April 1778 to Wolfgang in Paris, <<https://dme.mozarteum.at/DME/briefe/letter.php?mid=1008&cat=>>>. Had he forgotten that Mozart already told him about these two renowned musical institutions, or was he reiterating information passed on to him by others he had reached out to for introductions in Paris, including his old friend Baron von Grimm, who the family had met the preceding decade during their grand tour? Leopold was desperate to redirect Wolfgang's attentions back to Paris and away from the fanciful plans being hatched with the Weber

Yet as Neal Zaslaw observes:

By common report, the best Parisian orchestra in 1778 was not the well-established Concert spirituel, but rather that of the newer Concert des amateurs [...] In order to have the best possible way of presenting his symphonies to the Parisian public, therefore, Mozart should logically have approached the organizers of the Concerts des amateurs. (The musical director in 1778 was the violinist and composer Joseph Bologne, Chevalier de Saint-Georges.)<sup>45</sup>

But this appears not to have happened; if Mozart *did* contact the ‘best Parisian orchestra’ in 1778, led by the enterprising Le Chevalier de Saint-George, it did not result in a commission. Instead, Mozart composed the Symphony in D major, No. 31, nicknamed the ‘Paris’ (K.297/300a) for the Concert spirituel. And it is clear from this composition and reports relayed to his father that he tried to interject some youthful energy and pizzazz into the older, more established ensemble.

Mozart’s ‘Paris’ symphony, a three-movement work, had its premiere on 18 June 1778, Corpus Christi Day (the Thursday following Trinity Sunday), in the Palais des Tuileries. Commissioned by Joseph Legros, who in 1777 assumed financial oversight of the Concert spirituel after leaving his position as the leading *haute-contre* at the Opéra (where he premiered the role of Orphée in the version Gluck prepared for Paris in 1774), this symphony was Mozart’s entrée into contemporary Parisian musical culture. Baron von Grimm, who the Mozart family met on their European grand tour in the mid-1760s, was likely responsible for facilitating this commission from Legros. As director of Le Concert spirituel, Legros was instrumental in continuing the modernizing traditions of his predecessor Pierre Gaviniès, and ‘within a few years made the Haydn-Mozart symphonic idiom a staple of Paris concert life’.<sup>46</sup>

As Mozart writes to his father, the triumphant first performance of this symphony was preceded by a disastrous rehearsal the day before: ‘You can’t imagine how they bungled and scratched their way through the Sinfonie – twice in a row.’<sup>47</sup> Mozart built in several crowd-pleasing special effects, including grand unison passages in the Allegro movements where all the instruments play together. An arresting *premier coup d’archet* launches the symphony on its boisterous journey, with unison statements, gradual crescendos leading to brilliant *forte* passages, and rapid string tremolos creating an electrifying soundscape and overwhelming sense of excitement (Example 4). He and Leopold Mozart agreed on what constituted Parisian taste: ‘To judge by Stamitz

---

family to travel to Italy. Foremost in his mind was stifling a budding romance between his son and the talented 16-year-old singer Aloysia Weber, with whom Mozart appears to have fallen madly in love. See the Mozart family correspondence from mid-January and mid-February 1778.

<sup>45</sup> Zaslaw, *Mozart’s Symphonies*, pp. 308–09. In *The Birth of the Orchestra*, Spitzer and Zaslaw note that ‘The most famous of these orchestras was the Concert des Amateurs, which performed in the Hôtel de Soubise under the direction of first Gossec (1769–73) and then Chevalier de Saint-Georges (to 1780)’ (p. 204).

<sup>46</sup> See Wilcox, ‘The Music Libraries of the Concert Spirituel’, pp. 87–88. For more information on the symphonic repertoire of the Concert spirituel, see Harrison, *Haydn: The ‘Paris’ Symphonies*, ch. 2, esp. pp. 14–15.

<sup>47</sup> Letter to his father in Salzburg, written in Paris 5 July 1778. *Mozart’s Letters*, p. 160. The translation in Zaslaw, *Mozart’s Symphonies*, reads: ‘you cannot imagine how they twice bumbled and scraped through it’ (p. 310).

Example 4 Opening of Mozart's Symphony No. 31 in D major, 'Paris', K.297/300a, bb. 1–7. (*Neue Mozart-Ausgabe*, series 4, workgroup 11, vol. 5, Sinfonien, ed. by Helmut Becker (Bärenreiter, 1957)). NMA Online (<<https://dme.mozarteum.at/nma/>>), published by the Mozarteum Foundation Salzburg in collaboration with the Packard Humanities Institute, 2006ff. Reproduced with kind permission of the Mozarteum Foundation.

**Allegro assai**

Flauti *a 2*  
*f*

Oboi  
*f*

Clarinetti  
in La/A  
*f*

Fagotti *a 2*  
*f*

Corni  
in Re/D  
*f*

Trombe  
in Re/D  
*f*

Timpani in  
Re, La/D, A  
*f* *tr*

Violino I  
*f* *p*

Violino II  
*f* *p*

Viola  
*f*

Violoncello e  
Basso  
*f*

Example 4. (Continued)

5

Fl. *p*

Ob. *p*

Clar. *p*

Fag.

Cor. *p*

Tr.

Timp.

Vln I

Vln II

Vla

Vc. & B.

Detailed description: This is a page of a musical score for a symphony orchestra. It contains ten staves, each for a different instrument. The top four staves are for woodwinds: Flute (Fl.), Oboe (Ob.), Clarinet (Clar.), and Bassoon (Fag.). The next two staves are for brass: Horn (Cor.) and Trumpet (Tr.). The fifth staff is for Timpani (Timp.). The bottom four staves are for strings: Violin I (Vln I), Violin II (Vln II), Viola (Vla), and Violoncello & Double Bass (Vc. & B.). The key signature is D major (two sharps) and the time signature is 3/4. The score is divided into three measures. In the first measure, there is a fermata over the first measure line. In the second measure, there is a fermata over the second measure line. In the third measure, there are dynamics markings: *p* (piano) for Flute, Oboe, Clarinet, and Horn. The Flute part has a fermata over the first measure line and a *p* marking. The Oboe part has a fermata over the first measure line and a *p* marking. The Clarinet part has a fermata over the first measure line and a *p* marking. The Horn part has a fermata over the first measure line and a *p* marking. The Violin I and II parts have melodic lines with slurs and accents. The Viola and Vc. & B. parts have rests.



symphonies that have been engraved in Paris, the Parisians must be fond of noisy symphonies. All is noise, the remainder a mishmash, with here and there a good idea awkwardly introduced in the wrong place.’<sup>48</sup> Indeed, the ‘sight’ of nearly sixty musicians all working together in tandem to produce a driving, direct sound devoid of inner complication or intellectual demands wildly impressed Parisian audiences. And here Mozart calculated well, by giving them a thrilling opening Allegro that would appeal to their desire for immediacy of effect and comprehension, to which they readily responded with vocal responses and applause, even mid movement.<sup>49</sup>

If Mozart *had* connected with that other Parisian ensemble and cultivated a deep and meaningful relationship with the famed director of the Concert des amateurs, Joseph Bologne, our historical accounts of the period would be very different.<sup>50</sup> It is possible that Mozart attended performances of the Concert des amateurs and witnessed first-hand Bologne’s violin virtuosity and commanding presence with both his orchestra and audience alike, gaining an even deeper knowledge of Parisian musical taste as well as Bologne’s violin virtuosity. But one can only wonder how Mozart’s Paris sojourn might have played out had he connected with the charismatic Bologne beyond a possible casual encounter or meeting. Might a Black man born in the Caribbean have jolted Mozart into contemplating the horrors of the Middle Passage slave trade, or opened his eyes to the prejudicial treatment racial minorities were increasingly being subjected to within a supposedly ‘enlightened’ French society? Might ‘the American’ have introduced Mozart to a more cosmopolitan and multicultural city, one infused with revolutionary ideas fostered by events unfolding in the thirteen colonies across the Atlantic? With the arrival of Benjamin Franklin in Paris as America’s ambassador in 1776, democratic beliefs were taking root in France. Did some of Mozart’s own liberal ideas and desire for freedom from domination start percolating in this liberating environment? He would not necessarily have needed to follow the reception of Niccolò Piccinni’s opera buffa *I napoletani in America* (1768) and the intermezzo *Gli italiani in America* (1769), both on librettos by Francesco Cerlone, to be aware that the far-off

<sup>48</sup> Letter of 29 June 1778, cited in *ibid.*, p. 333.

<sup>49</sup> For a full and rich discussion of the symphony, including the second Andante Mozart wrote to replace the initial one in this three-movement work, see Zaslav, *Mozart’s Symphonies*, p. 309ff. As for the composition’s artistic shallowness, Stanley Sadie’s observation is telling: ‘For the finale, Mozart wrote a helter-skelter piece designed to show off the Paris violins and to tease the ears of the audience, including some playful counterpoint in the development section. Listening to this work, one is conscious [...] of his eagerness to manipulate and win them, his readiness to feed their collective foibles of taste and the irony, even cynicism, with which he did so.’ Stanley Sadie, *Mozart: The Early Years, 1756–1781* (Oxford University Press, 2006), p. 476. For a more charitable reading, see Dorian Bandy’s discussion of the ‘symphonic drama’ apparent in K.297 in *Mozart the Performer: Variations on the Showman’s Art* (University of Chicago Press, 2023), pp. 179–80.

<sup>50</sup> De Lerna hypothesizes that Mozart wanted Aloysia Weber to come to Paris in spring 1778 to perform with the Concert des amateurs, possibly facilitating an introduction with the famed Bologne. See ‘The Chevalier de Saint-Georges’, p. 10. However, the epistolary evidence does not support this. David Schroeder notes that Wolfgang advised the Webers against coming to join him in Paris, ‘since it was too late in the year to make performance arrangements for the coming season’. See David Schroeder, *Mozart in Revolt: Strategies of Resistance, Mischief and Deception* (Yale University Press, 1999), p. 93.

place called America was known as the land of liberty, especially for white males like him. If Mozart had connected in a meaningful way with this Italian opera colleague who had recently arrived in Paris – indeed, they might well have connected at the performance of Piccinni's *Le finte gemelli* where Mozart's ballet music for *Les petits riens* premiered on 11 June 1778<sup>51</sup> – it is possible that, with further introductions via Piccinni, Mozart would have received other commissions.

An encounter with Bologne could have triggered another rivalry, a *querelle* or manufactured competition between composers pitting one orchestra and/or performer against another. As with the *Querelle des bouffons* of the early 1750s, it was not difficult to foment an opera rivalry between warring factions of the musical elite associated with the court against those whose aspirations were aligned with the rising bourgeoisie classes. Social changes underway in France readily divided the Parisian public into the two parties, and at this very moment a contest was brewing between operatic partisans of the *Gluckistes* versus the *Piccinnistes* (1776–77). Pulled into an unwanted dispute by political players and situations beyond their control, Gluck, the classical dramatist whose French-language setting of *Orphée et Eurydice* (1774), was pitted against the Italian Piccinni, whose operatic musical language was rooted in naturalness, simplicity, and sentimentalism. Recognizing the merits of each, neither composer was interested in pursuing this manufactured quarrel, so it soon dissipated.<sup>52</sup> Similar class dynamics were in play between Bologne and Mozart, but a rivalry never materialized. Besides, events in Mozart's personal life were conspiring against him, and opportunities to distinguish himself as a performer of keyboard concertos were not readily available, derailing his efforts to establish a viable career there (unlike his concertizing possibilities at self-organized *Accademien* in Vienna in the 1780s).

At the time of the 'Paris' symphony's premiere, Mozart's mother was already ill, and a few weeks later she passed away, leaving the 22-year-old Mozart all alone in Paris.<sup>53</sup> After Anna Maria Mozart's death on 3 July 1778, Wolfgang gave up his small apartment and sought refuge with his father's old friend, Baron von Grimm. At the invitation of the Baron's 'intimate friend' Madame Louise d'Épinay, Mozart stayed in a room within her quarters rather than with 'the mean-spirited Grimm', as he explained in a letter to his father.<sup>54</sup> Mourning the loss of his mother, low in cash, and grappling to find his footing, Mozart here received lodging and free meals. And this is where it gets

<sup>51</sup> Neal Zaslaw and William Cowdery (eds.), *The Complete Mozart* (Norton, 1990), p. 69.

<sup>52</sup> In the words of Richard Taruskin, 'the querelle des Gluckistes et Piccinnistes was just a tempest in a teapot'. See *The Oxford History of Western Music*, 6 vols (Oxford University Press, 2005), II, p. 460. For an overview of Jean François's Marmontel's Piccinnism, see Pierpaolo Polzonetti, *Italian Opera in the Age of the American Revolution* (Cambridge University Press, 2011), pp. 174–75; and for more contextual background, see William Weber and Beverly Wilcox, *Canonic Repertoires and the French Musical Press: Lully to Wagner* (Eastman Studies in Music, University of Rochester Press, 2021), ch. 1.

<sup>53</sup> For a detailed reading of this difficult period in Mozart's personal life, see Maynard Solomon, *Mozart: A Life* (Hutchinson, 1995), ch. 11, pp. 177–86.

<sup>54</sup> See Schroeder, *Mozart in Revolt*, pp. 97–98. Schroeder describes the free-spirited Madame d'Épinay as 'a woman of great intellectual prowess [...] one of the first to redefine the position of women in France'. Schroeder suggests that the young and impressionable Mozart would have learned much from her during their daily meals and social interactions (pp. 99–100).

very interesting, since at the very same time Saint-George was residing in an adjacent house owned by Madame de Montesson (1738–1806), wife of Louis-Philippe, the Duke of Orléans (1725–85). As the widow of the lieutenant-general of the king's army who died in 1759, Madame de Montesson was independently wealthy, and as a prominent patron of the arts, she employed Bologne to oversee her private theatre after he was summarily passed over for a position at the Opéra.

Prior to 1770, the Duke of Orléans's apartments had been in the capacious Palais Royal in the centre of Paris, which is where the baron also lived and worked as the duke's personal secretary. In 1770, however, both households moved to new quarters in the newly fashionable and desirable residential neighbourhood of Chaussée d'Antin, located northwest of the city centre just outside the city walls (now the 9th arrondissement).<sup>55</sup> Here, where the elevation was higher and the air fresher, Saint-George and Mozart lived in adjacent mansions for several weeks in the summer of 1778: the Duke of Orléans and Madame de Montesson lived at numbers 1 and 3 rue Chaussée d'Antin, respectively, and Baron Grimm and Madame d'Épinay lived at number 5. Located on comparatively small, diminutive lots, many of the houses in this area were designed for wealthy independent women, their intimate plans expressing the characters of their female clients. Madame de Montesson's mansion, for instance, was luxurious but not grand; her one-storey home, which contained public reception rooms and private apartments, resembled an urban villa with a grand entrance and an English-style garden.<sup>56</sup> Given the proximity of their residences, Mozart and Bologne must have seen one another in the rue de Chaussée d'Antin. Generally uncomfortable in aristocratic surroundings, however, the younger, socially insecure musician was unlikely to have had a meaningful encounter with a titled man living nearby.<sup>57</sup> Nor do their female benefactors, Madame de Montesson and Madame d'Épinay, appear to have orchestrated a salon or social engagement to introduce the two musicians. The most natural milieu for Bologne and Mozart to have engaged with one another would have been the immersive environment of the Parisian concert hall, a place where both were in their element, and where Bologne held a commanding presence.

Mozart's musical experiences in the metropole lingered with him long after he left Paris. The following summer back in Salzburg, approximately a year after his mother's death, Mozart turned once more to symphonie concertante composition. Even though 'Wolfgang's resistance to the French, the actual people, their language, their singing, and their musical taste was deep-rooted,'<sup>58</sup> he continued to be haunted by encounters, observations, and tragic events from this formative journey. Once settled back in

<sup>55</sup> Map of Paris from 1784, <<https://iiif.lib.harvard.edu/manifests/view/ids:7846044>>.

<sup>56</sup> Tanis Hinchcliffe, 'Women and the Practice of Architecture in Eighteenth-Century France', in *Architecture and the Politics of Gender in Early Modern Europe*, ed. by Helen Hills (Ashgate, 2003), pp. 83–96. Hôtel de Montesson (1770), designed by architect Alexandre-Théodore Brongniart, was destroyed in the early nineteenth century; no drawings survive.

<sup>57</sup> As Norbert Elias notes, Mozart never felt at home in courtly aristocratic circles. 'The art of human intercourse practiced and expected in the ruling circles was fundamentally alien and even repugnant to Mozart.' See *Mozart: Portrait of a Genius*, trans. by Edmund Jephcott (Polity Press, 1993), p. 95.

<sup>58</sup> Sadie, *Mozart: The Early Years*, p. 454.

Salzburg, of his own volition Mozart turned to writing French-style symphonie concertante on multiple occasions. His foremost composition utilizing ‘concertante’ principles is his widely acclaimed symphonie concertante for solo violin and viola.<sup>59</sup>

### Paris Meets Salzburg

Mozart’s Sinfonie concertante for violin and viola in Eb major, K.364 (320d), dates from Salzburg 1779, and was most likely completed that summer.<sup>60</sup> Many works in this genre are scored for two violins, while those for violin and viola are much less common.<sup>61</sup> No information has come down to us as to why, or for whom, this particular piece was written. (The same applies to the two-keyboard concerto K.365/316a.) Hertz suggests that, if it was completed in the summer of 1779, then a possible performance venue would have been the court of the Mirabell Palace or Gardens, with the Neapolitan violinist Antonio Brunetti perhaps playing the solo violin and Mozart the viola part.<sup>62</sup> Stanley Sadie also speculates that Brunetti and Mozart may have played the two solo parts, since Mozart ‘is known to have played the viola, at least in his later years’.<sup>63</sup> Both Hertz and Sadie seem to believe that the piece was written for the Salzburg court orchestra, overseen by the autocratic Archbishop Hieronymus von Colloredo, whom Mozart despised.

As Cliff Eisen reminds us, however, ‘it would be a mistake to think that Salzburg had but a single orchestra’, or that ‘all the works Mozart wrote in Salzburg were composed for performance at court’. As he explains, ‘the archdiocese supported several private orchestras, and parish churches and monasteries throughout Salzburg province also maintained independent musical establishments’ of different ensemble size and make-up, all of which performed Mozart’s music.<sup>64</sup> The city also had many professional

<sup>59</sup> Daniel Hertz describes Mozart’s symphonie concertante in Eb major for solo violin and viola (K.364) ‘a masterpiece [...] the greatest of all in this genre’, noting that ‘in this work musical experiences of Mannheim and Paris reach their richest instrumental harvest.’ See *Haydn, Mozart and the Viennese School, 1740–1780* (Norton, 1995), p. 639. Hermann Abert notes that, when set alongside Mozart’s other concertos from this period, this piece remains one of his most significant.’ Eisen, *W.A. Mozart*, p. 573.

<sup>60</sup> Zaslav and Cowdery, *The Compleat Mozart*, 146. Barry Brook advocates for the French spelling (‘symphonie concertante’, ‘sinfonie concertante’, or ‘simphonie concertante’) over the Italian spelling ‘sinfonia’, noting that the genre was a French one, and was known as such across Europe. ‘Even Mozart preferred the French spelling, a fact obscured by current practice.’ See his ‘Introduction to Series D’, xvii.

<sup>61</sup> Sadie, *Mozart: The Early Years*, p. 507. Two of the approximately thirty-eight symphonies concertantes that Carl Stamitz wrote are scored for violin and viola solo (and one lost), n.d. A violinist, violist, and viola d’amore player, Carl Stamitz, son of Johann Stamitz, travelled to Paris in 1770 where he met Gossec, among others, and performed in the Concert spirituel alongside his brother Anton. See Eugene Wolf, ‘Stamitz Family, (2) Carl Stamitz’, *Grove Music Online*, <[www.oxfordmusiconline.com](http://www.oxfordmusiconline.com)> (accessed 3 February 2020).

<sup>62</sup> Hertz, *Haydn, Mozart and the Viennese School*, p. 642. Brunetti was appointed to the court music establishment in 1776, assuming the title of Konzertmeister in 1777 when Mozart left on his extended journey to Munich, Mannheim, and Paris. See Sadie, *Mozart: The Early Years*, p. 375.

<sup>63</sup> Sadie, *Mozart: The Early Years*, p. 507.

<sup>64</sup> Cliff Eisen, ‘Mozart’s Salzburg Orchestras’, *Early Music*, 20 (February 1992), p. 89.

musicians, who could augment the court, cathedral, and university orchestras as well as other ensembles. Since few if any of Mozart's orchestral works written between 1779 and 1780 were played at court (a time when Colloredo was finalizing his plans for eliminating purely orchestral music during church services), Eisen concludes that 'some of Mozart's finest orchestral and chamber music was written for family friends', with numerous documents attesting to 'the private performance of Mozart's chamber and orchestral works'.<sup>65</sup>

Most likely Mozart had a different ensemble and venue in mind for his three-movement solo violin and viola symphonie concertante aside from the Salzburg court. Indeed, it is difficult to imagine that he conceived this very special piece for an orchestra he held in such low esteem, one he described as 'slovenly' and 'run-down'.<sup>66</sup> Moreover, he had little regard for the lead violinist, Brunetti, describing him as 'rude and filthy [...] a disgrace to his master, to himself, and to the whole orchestra'.<sup>67</sup> Why would he want to trust the execution of such exquisite writing for the two soloists to a musician and orchestra he reviled? If Mozart imagined himself playing the viola part alongside the other soloist, then Brunetti and the court orchestra would have been a non-starter.<sup>68</sup>

Of the two multi-solo concertos Mozart wrote in Salzburg in 1779, only the one for solo violin and viola is complete as a self-standing symphonie concertante.<sup>69</sup> Incomplete attempts in the genre include the concerto for violin and keyboard begun in Mannheim on Mozart's homeward journey in November 1778,<sup>70</sup> and the opening Allegro for solo violin, viola, and violoncello in A major (K.320e) that survives only in a 134-bar fragment.<sup>71</sup> The Serenade in D major, nicknamed 'Post Horn' (K.320; dated

<sup>65</sup> Ibid., p. 96.

<sup>66</sup> 'One of the things I hate most about Salzburg – the coarse, slovenly, run-down Court Orchestra.' Mozart's letter to Leopold, from Paris, dated 9 July 1778, the same letter in which he informs his father about Anna Maria's death.

<sup>67</sup> See Mozart's letter from Vienna, 11 April 1781. Here he singles out Brunetti as a contributing factor for not wanting to return to Salzburg from Vienna with the Archbishop's retinue in spring 1781. And the feeling was mutual, since the Mozarts were generally not well liked by their fellow musicians at the court. See Cliff Eisen, 'The Salzburg Symphonies: A Biographical Interpretation', in *Wolfgang Amadè Mozart: Essays on his Life and his Music*, ed. by Stanley Sadie (Clarendon, 1996), p. 191. Besides, with orchestral music less favoured at court during Colloredo's reign, performance brevity was a strict requirement of concerts held there; a performance of a three-movement work lasting approximately 35 minutes would have exceeded the time specifications.

<sup>68</sup> The last and best-known piece Mozart composed for the Salzburg concertmaster Brunetti is the concerto-rondo in C major for violin and orchestra (K.373). Short and charming, this Rondo is lightweight in comparison to K.364 and hardly of the same calibre. Shortly after writing this piece during the extended visit of the Colloredo court to Vienna in April 1781, Mozart resigned from the court orchestra, incurring the wrath of both court officials and his father.

<sup>69</sup> The only other complete work for two solo instruments traditionally dated from this period is the Double Keyboard Concerto in E♭ major, K.365.

<sup>70</sup> Georges de Saint-Foix, *W. A. Mozart: sa vie musicale et son œuvre de l'enfance à la pleine maturité*, 3 vols (Paris, 1936), III, p. 122. This symphonie concertante was planned for an 'academie des amateurs' featuring Ignaz Fränzl on violin and Mozart on keyboard, as mentioned in a letter dated 12 November 1778.

<sup>71</sup> Heartz, *Haydn, Mozart*, 639. In the words of Robert Marshall, this A major fragment 'was written soon after his return to Salzburg, almost certainly at about the same time as the famous (and finished) Sinfonia Concertante in E♭ major for Violin and Viola, K.364/320d'. Konrad Küster also states that

3 April 1779) contains two concertante movements for wind quartet (pairs of flutes and oboes) within a seven-movement work; however, the ‘concertante’ Andante and Rondeau movements wedged between two Menuetto movements are Italianate in style, and devoid of virtuosic display.<sup>72</sup> The ‘Concertone’ in C major for two solo violins and orchestra (K.190) composed in 1774 might have been called a symphonie concertante had it been written in Paris or Mannheim; however, it too is far less grand and virtuosic than works in this genre by Bologne. This early Concertone resembles more the three symphonies concertantes Johann Christian Bach published in Paris between 1772 and 1775 – elegant entertainment music in galant style composed for easy listening, not virtuosic display.<sup>73</sup> Of the two symphonies concertantes Mozart composed in Paris in 1778, the ‘Concertante’ in C major for flute and harp was for dilettante flautist Adrien-Louis de Bonnières, the Count (later Duke) de Guînes, and his daughter Marie-Louise-Philippine (Concertante a La Harpe e Flauto, K.299),<sup>74</sup> and the other for solo wind instruments composed in Paris was never performed.<sup>75</sup> In addition to the symphonie concertante for solo violin and viola, only the fragmentary A major concerto abandoned in 1779 holds out the possibility that Mozart may have imagined himself being one of the soloists. In summary, Mozart’s Sinfonie concertante for solo violin and viola in E $\flat$  major (K.364) is unique in many ways, and could benefit from additional probing about its possible origins and performance ideals.

Many have speculated that Mozart wrote this symphonie concertante for solo violin and viola to introduce Salzburg to a format of public music-making that was all the rage in Paris.<sup>76</sup> By utilizing high Parisian musical fashion to convey a musical story back in Salzburg, Mozart could reflect on his time in the French capital while relaying another message, not only introducing his local audience to this special format of ‘concertante’ performance but also personalizing the message for them. By extension, in selecting the

---

K.320e was begun around the same time as K.364. See his *Mozart: A Musical Biography*, trans. by Mary Whittall (1990; Clarendon, 1996), p. 109. Marshall also notes that the unfinished sinfonia concertante in A major carries the adjoining number 320e in K6. See Robert L. Marshall, *Bach and Mozart: Essays on the Enigma of Genius* (University of Rochester Press, 2019), p. 242. Musical example 15.2 on pp. 243–44 provides the opening ten bars of this incomplete piece. As in K.364, the viola part also uses scordatura tuning, this time a full tone from G major to A major.

<sup>72</sup> Presumably Mozart thought highly of these two movements from the so-called ‘Post Horn’ serenade since he included them in a concert given in Vienna in 1783. See Zaslaw and Cowdery, *The Compleat Mozart*, p. 237.

<sup>73</sup> Johann Christian Bach wrote at least seventeen concerto-style pieces featuring two or more solo instruments, three of which were published in Paris (c. 1772–75) under the title *Symphonie concertante*. See nos 5, 6, and 7 in *Symphonies Concertantes I: Eight Symphonies concertantes*, ed. by Richard Maunder, vol. 30 (Garland, 1985), and the Introduction to the volume, pp. vii–viii.

<sup>74</sup> See Adeline Mueller’s excellent discussion of K.299 in *Mozart and the Mediation of Childhood*, pp. 166–70. Composed a few months before Marie-Louise’s wedding (she was eighteen or nineteen at the time) the concerto traces a narrative of growing independence between flute and harp / father and daughter, ‘enact[ing] a plot that might be understood as an allegory of upbringing and familial autonomy’ (p. 169). In spring 1778, the 22-year-old Mozart was ideally positioned to understand this plot.

<sup>75</sup> See note 3. The score was supposedly given to Joseph Legros, who lost it. A surviving copy in another hand bears traces of Mozart; however, doubts of its authenticity persist.

<sup>76</sup> Zaslaw and Cowdery, *The Compleat Mozart*, p. 146.



symphonic concertante medium as his vehicle, it could also be argued that Mozart was alluding to some of the composer-violinists who were the chief exponents of this music that was ‘all the rage in Paris’ – chief among them Bologne, Cambini, Davaux, and Gossec. As head of the Concert des amateurs with a formidable technique to match his position, and as a Black man with an aristocratic title and pedigree, Le Chevalier de Saint-George stood out among his peers. He was centre stage in the Parisian musical milieu, creating and delivering a sensational form of musical performance beloved by the French musical public.

Bologne’s two symphonies concertantes for two solo violins, op. 9 nos 1 and 2, published in 1777 at the height of his career, show subsequent developments in concerto writing for duelling violinists that are apparent in Mozart’s *sinfonie*. Appearing the year prior to Mozart’s arrival in the French capital, the op. 9 symphonies concertantes feature two solo string virtuosi occupying equal footing within the musical soundscape, their commensurate skills simultaneously on display. Both violin soloists exude confidence, in contrast to their counterparts in the op. 6 symphonies concertantes composed two years earlier (as discussed in [Example 3](#)). For instance, in op. 9 no. 1 in C major, during the initial entrance of the soloists, the first violin (Bologne?) carries the main melody (b. 53), only to be superseded by the second violinist that takes the lead in the next entry, even playing in a higher register than the first violin (b. 69; see [Examples 5a](#) and [5b](#)). They trade off in this manner throughout, assuming co-equal roles – either instrumentalist able to implement a passage that the other then imitates or echoes. Only when the soloists play in thirds or sixths does the first violinist consistently play the upper part. With greater equanimity between the virtuosic soloists now apparent in Bologne’s mature ‘concertante’ writing, it is clear that he has no difficulty writing for, and sharing the stage with, other gifted members of the orchestra. As Allan Badley observes, ‘the solo writing in the [op. 9] symphonies concertantes is challenging and shows little evidence of Saint-Georges’ concern to accommodate players less skilled than himself.’<sup>77</sup> For instance, a four-bar, quasi cadenza-like passage near the end of the first movement (bb. 215–18) demands rapid shifting of the primo violinist (a<sup>3</sup> down to g<sup>1</sup>), and is the most taxing passage in the opening movement of op. 9 no. 1. In comparison, the passages in [Example 5](#) are comparatively easy to execute, requiring no awkward left-hand shifts.<sup>78</sup> Here, Bologne places himself on equal terms with another member of his ensemble, demonstrating a capacity for competitiveness and generosity towards other musicians. Moreover, his duelling display of talent and virtuosity circulated broadly through publication and performance, enabling other ensembles to replicate the ideals of soloistic equivalency.

---

<sup>77</sup> See the prefatory notes to Allan Badley (ed.), *Joseph Bologne: Symphonie Concertante op. 9 nos 1 and 2*, 2 vols (Artaria Editions, 2020), iv. Concerto-like in structure, op. 9 no. 2 in A major for two solo violins also includes a modest wind section (pairs of oboes and horns). In addition to the Concerts des amateurs, the Concert spirituel also performed op. 9 no. 2, as indicated on the title page of Le Duc’s published score (p. iv).

<sup>78</sup> I am grateful to violinist Dorian Bandy for his explanation of this and other technical passages discussed in this article.

Example 5a Joseph Bologne, *Symphonie concertante* in C major, op. 9 no. 1, ed. by Allan Badley (Artaria Editions, 2020), first movement, bb. 50–60.

The image displays three systems of musical notation for the first movement of Joseph Bologne's *Symphonie concertante*. Each system consists of two staves: Violin I part (Vn I pr.) and Violin II part (Vn II pr.).

- System 1 (Measures 50-53):** The Vn I part begins with a melodic line starting on G4, moving up stepwise to C5. A 'Solo' marking is placed above the staff. The Vn II part plays a rhythmic accompaniment of eighth notes, starting on G3 and moving up stepwise to C4.
- System 2 (Measures 54-57):** The Vn I part continues its melodic line with slurs and accents. The Vn II part continues its eighth-note accompaniment.
- System 3 (Measures 58-60):** The Vn I part concludes its melodic phrase. The Vn II part continues its accompaniment.

The French-inspired *symphonie concertante* featuring two equal string protagonists was a highly unusual genre for Mozart to bring to Salzburg, especially as there are simply no works entitled *sinfonie concertante* (*symphonie concertante*) by other composers listed among the orchestral music written for Salzburg in the 1770s.<sup>79</sup> In other words, K.364 is unique not only in Mozart's oeuvre, but also in the context of orchestral music-making in Salzburg. Indebted to French style and taste, it is an anomaly, and it is this uniqueness that makes me think this piece, one of Mozart's most mature to date, is of a more personal nature, not really designed for wide public consumption at all, but rather for a more intimate setting of family friends and acquaintances. Here, Mozart takes a public French genre and subverts its 'public'

<sup>79</sup> See the extensive list of composers and works c. 1740–80 prepared by Cliff Eisen in 'The Salzburg Symphonies', in *Wolfgang Amadè Mozart*, appendix, pp. 206–12. This current study does not examine the Italian-style 'concertante' movements in the local serenade tradition, with the exception of Mozart's 'Post-horn' serenade mentioned earlier.

Example 5b *Bologne*, Op. 9 no. 1, bb. 68–76.

The image displays three systems of musical notation for the Violin I (Vn I pr.) and Violin II (Vn II pr.) parts of Example 5b. The first system covers measures 68-70. Measure 68 starts with a treble clef and a key signature of one flat. The Vn I part begins with a quarter note G4, followed by eighth notes A4 and B4. The Vn II part has a similar melody. In measure 69, the Vn II part has a dynamic marking of *[p]* and a 'Solo' instruction with a dashed line over a half note G4. The second system covers measures 71-73. Measure 71 features a continuous eighth-note pattern in both parts. Measure 72 shows a dotted quarter note in Vn I and a half note in Vn II. Measure 73 contains triplets in both parts. The third system covers measures 74-76. Measure 74 has a half note in Vn I and a triplet in Vn II. Measure 75 features triplets in both parts with slurs and accents. Measure 76 ends with a whole note G#4 in Vn I and a whole note G#4 in Vn II.

image by crafting instead a composition that is imbued with private feelings and memories of his Parisian sojourn. With the loss within his immediate family uppermost in his mind, Mozart writes a symphonic concertante replete with Parisian virtuosic flair, but one that is also calculated to fulfil individual needs and expectations in his hometown of Salzburg. Experiences and feelings that were difficult to put into words were poured into this special Parisian-inspired piece.

### Mozart's *Symphonie (Sinfonie) Concertante* in E $\flat$ Major for Solo Violin and Solo Viola, K.364

The K.364 shares many similarities with the composer's 'Paris' Symphony from the preceding year. Scored for solo violin and solo viola plus two oboes, two horns and strings, including violas I and II, it features scordatura tuning in the solo viola, whereby the strings on the instrument are tuned up a semitone, permitting the violist to play in D major but sound in E $\flat$  (Example 6). The higher tuning makes the tone of the

Example 6 Opening of Mozart's Sinfonie concertante in E $\flat$  major for solo violin and viola, K.364, bb. 1–4. (*Neue Mozart-Ausgabe*, series 5, workgroup 14, vol. 2, ed. by Christoph-Hellmut Mahling (Bärenreiter, 1975)). Reproduced with kind permission of the Mozarteum Foundation.

**Allegro maestoso**

*a 2*

Oboe I, II

Corno I, II  
in Mib / Es

Violino  
principale

Viola principale  
(accordata un  
mezzo tono  
più alto)

Violino I

Violino II

Viola I

Viola II

Violoncello e  
Basso

Ob. I, II

Cor. I, II

Vln pr.

Vla pr.

Vln I

Vln II

Vla I

Vla II

Vc. e B.

instrument brighter, more in line with the violin, while also increasing the resonance of the instrument (with open strings in D major). It also enables the player to get around the instrument more readily.

The Sinfonia concertante opens in a similar fashion to the ‘Paris’ symphony, with accented dotted-rhythm ‘hammerstroke’ chords serving to ‘kill the noise’. Although a common-enough device in galant music of the period (e.g., Mozart’s Symphony in D major from 1772, K.133, opens with triple tutti chords that harken back to J. C. Bach’s keyboard sonatas), its use here is associated with the typical Parisian (and Mannheim) music-making arsenal. The long crescendo that gets underway shortly thereafter further recalls the crowd-pleasing passages Mozart built into his ‘Paris’ symphony. A Mannheim-style crescendo in the orchestral tutti section, replete with trills and tremolos, begins its slow ascent (starting in b. 46, [Example 7](#)). This well-paced Rossini-like passage *avant la lettre* is calculated to build a sense of excitement and anticipation over a span of approximately fifteen seconds, heightening listener expectations.<sup>80</sup>

In all three movements the two soloists interact equally, with a superabundance of thematic material exchanged and echoed throughout. As Konrad Küster observes, ‘the motivic separation of solo and tutti [...] allowed Mozart to take a fresh look at the construction of the solo sections’; indeed, ‘the fact that there is always more than one soloist in a symphonie concertante makes it possible to give less prominence to the soloist-orchestra relationship and more to the relationship of the soloists to each other’.<sup>81</sup> And this is precisely the point I want to focus on. Equality among the two players was a feature of Parisian symphonies concertantes of the later 1770s, as shown in the Bologne example ([Example 5a](#) and [5b](#)), and it is also something Mozart exploits to great effect in K.364, especially in the lengthy cadenzas.

Many commentators have speculated that Mozart may have been paying tribute to his mother in this piece, especially in the sorrowful C minor middle movement, and its moments of unsettled harmonic wrestling. Her death in Paris at the age of fifty-seven was a real family tragedy, one that was bound to have long-term repercussions.<sup>82</sup> To honour her memory with a heartfelt musical tribute would have been a natural

<sup>80</sup> Similar features appear in the three interlocking movements of the small-scale Symphony in G major, K.318.

<sup>81</sup> Küster, *Mozart: A Musical Biography*, p. 111.

<sup>82</sup> The other personal loss that affected Mozart deeply was the unravelling of his relationship with Aloysia Weber. Mozart was clearly infatuated with the young singer and deeply in love with her. Having reconnected with her in Mannheim, he endeavoured to foster and sustain their relationship while he was in Paris. In his only surviving letter to her dated 30 July 1778, he speaks longingly of the day he will be able to see her again and hold her in his embrace (*Mozart’s Letters*, pp. 172–73). But his love for Aloysia was not reciprocated; when they met again later that year in Munich where she was pursuing a professional singing career, she spurned him. Virtually penniless when he arrived in Munich on his return to Salzburg, Mozart apparently proposed to her, an offer of marriage that she refused. As he wrote to his father in a letter dated 29 December 1778, ‘I can do nothing but weep – I have too sensitive a heart’, *Mozart’s Letters*, p. 201. The loss of his beloved Aloysia within a few months of the death of his mother left yet another gaping wound in his already broken heart.

Example 7 *Sinfonie concertante* in E $\flat$  major for solo violin and viola, K.364, movement one, bb. 44–49 (crescendo passage builds from b. 46 to b. 64). NMA Online (<<https://dme.mozarteum.at/nma/>>), published by the Mozarteum Foundation Salzburg in collaboration with the Packard Humanities Institute, 2006ff. Reproduced with kind permission of the Mozarteum Foundation.

44

Ob. I, II

Cor. I, II

Vln pr. [pizz.] *f* coll' arco *tr* *p*

Vla pr. *fp* *fp* *p*

Vln I [pizz.] *f* coll' arco *tr* *p*

Vln II [pizz.] *f*

Vla I *fp* *fp* *p*

Vla II *fp* *fp* *p*

Vc. e B. [pizz.] *f* coll' arco *p*

47

Ob. I, II

Cor. I, II

Vln pr. *tr* *tr* *tr* *tr*

Vla pr.

Vln I *tr* *tr* *tr* *tr*

Vln II

Vla I

Vla II

Vc. e B.

response, especially one in which intertwining voices are continually responding to and supporting one another. The affective range of the dialogue between violin and viola is extremely eloquent, with each instrumentalist inciting something richer and more passionate from the other. Others have speculated that Mozart composed the violin part for himself, while Robert Gutman suggests that Mozart may have intended the piece ‘for Leopold and himself, with Wolfgang playing the viola, an instrument for which ‘he held no resentment (unlike the violin)’.<sup>83</sup> In a subsequent section, I pick up on this point, but in the meantime, what if we were to imagine Joseph Bologne as the recipient of the solo violin part in K.364?

## Personae and Sociability

Individually and together, scholar-performers Tom Beghin and Elisabeth Le Guin continually emphasize the importance of embodiment, gesture, physicality, sociability, and historical imagination in their recreative musical practices. Reflecting on their joint performance project at the Orpheus Institute in 2016, in which they think deeply and write ‘thickly’ about a multiplicity of performance modalities engaged in when encountering Haydn’s string quartets and keyboard trios, they advocate returning ‘again and again to the concrete and the corporeal’, or imaging ‘performing and/or listening personae’ when interpreting music.<sup>84</sup> Moving beyond a traditional understanding of historical musical performance rooted in an over-reliance on score analysis and an attentiveness to sound only, their laboratory of historically based experimentation foregrounds sociability through the imaginative mode of ‘historical impersonation’. Encapsulating W. Dean Sutcliffe’s notion of ‘the shapes of sociability’ in articulating the various ways musicians interact with one another (especially when playing string quartets),<sup>85</sup> their experimentation with musical impersonation when making music among friends and colleagues suggests how imagining different scenarios for realizing musical scores promotes new critical engagement with these scripts.

Building on this idea and extrapolating to the symphonic concertante context, it is possible to extend our understanding of sociability to include the kinds of role-playing and turn-taking evidenced in the duets, trios, and quartets of soloists in the symphonic

<sup>83</sup> Robert Gutman, *Mozart: A Cultural Biography* (Harcourt Brace, 1999), p. 482.

<sup>84</sup> See their joint article on ‘Performance’ in *The Cambridge Haydn Encyclopedia*, ed. by Caryl Clark and Sarah Day-O’Connell (Cambridge University Press, 2019), pp. 258–79 (pp. 259–60). Beghin and Le Guin also hypothesize various scenarios and propose different kinds of experiments for realizing a performance. As a keyboard player and a cellist, respectively, their style of analysis is deeply sensitive to a full range of physical gestures in performance, honed through their many experiences as performers. For further background, see Tom Beghin, ‘A Composer, His Dedicatee, Her Instrument, and I: Thoughts on Performing Haydn’s Keyboard Sonatas’, in *The Cambridge Companion to Haydn*, ed. by Caryl Clark, pp. 203–25; Tom Beghin, *The Virtual Haydn: Paradox of a Twenty-First Century Keyboardist* (University of Chicago Press, 2015); and Elisabeth Le Guin, *Boccherini’s Body: An Essay in Carnal Musicology* (University of California Press, 2006).

<sup>85</sup> See W. Dean Sutcliffe, ‘The Shapes of Sociability in the Instrumental Music of the Later Eighteenth Century’, *Journal of the Royal Musical Association*, 138.1 (2013), pp. 1–45. Sutcliffe’s latest word on the topic is his book *Instrumental Music in an Age of Sociability: Haydn, Mozart, and Friends* (Cambridge University Press, 2019). See the Introduction in particular.



concertante. By rethinking the relationship of these individual solo instruments to one another, we might understand ‘concertante’ soloists as comprising a similar kind of sociality, that is, distinct characters or personae making music together. The major difference is context: the soloists just happen to be surrounded by a much larger community of orchestral players with whom they also interact and take turns. Nevertheless, their soloistic give-and-take, commanding physicality, social interplay, and communicative gesturing aligns with Edward Klorman’s conceptualization of *multiple agency*, whereby multiple personae engaging in discourse ‘are understood to act autonomously and to possess the consciousness and volition necessary to determine their own statements and action’.<sup>86</sup> Klorman’s term ‘captures the notion that a chamber music score is, above all, something to be *played*, an encoded musical exchange in which each player assumes an individual character’.<sup>87</sup> And a similar agency applies to the musical interactions and social exchanges engaged in by soloists in a symphonie concertante – whether it be two solo violins in a symphonie concertante by Bologne, or violin and viola soloists in Mozart’s *sinfonie concertante*.

Now what if Mozart had imagined a musical duel between the virtuosic Bologne, his imaginary Parisian protagonist, in his French-inflected *sinfonie concertante*? Or what if, in the spirit of Beghin and Le Guin, we were to imagine these two protagonists performing K.364 together? Even though the two composer-performers appear never to have performed with one another, it does not mean that we cannot conjure up such an experiment, or that Mozart could not imagine himself playing alongside the virtuosic violinist Bologne in order to tell a different version of events than the ones that actually unfolded. Since Bologne was among the most accomplished violinists in Paris during Mozart’s visit, one could well imagine the younger composer relishing the thought of showing off his talents as composer and instrumentalist with such a famous musical interlocutor. With Bologne on violin and Mozart on the viola, the young composer could introduce the renowned violinist to yet another manifestation of the ‘concertante’ duo, one that paired the violin with a viola using altered tuning to increase the instrument’s brilliance. As a stage performer and consummate musician, Bologne would have readily appreciated the change in sound quality and timbral effect created by this manoeuvre, and the potential for sonic reverberation in a resonant concertizing space. He also would have immediately recognized the formidable talent of his soloistic partner.

Upon their joint entry in the opening movement of K.364, the two soloists double one another at the octave, while in the second statement they echo one another, differentiating themselves. Two string personae emerge, seamlessly working separately and together in harmony to create a kind of conversational-style dialogue – one taking the lead, then retreating into an accompanying role while the other assumes authority. During their mutual engagement and partnership in this dialogic give-and-take, no

---

<sup>86</sup> Edward Klorman, *Mozart’s Music of Friends: Social Interplay in the Chamber Works* (Cambridge University Press, 2016), pp. 122–23. Adeline Mueller employs a similar interpretive methodology in her analyses of K.242, K.299, and K.521 in chapter 5 of *Mozart and the Mediation of Childhood*.

<sup>87</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 123.

player dominates the other. The two are continually on an equal footing, demonstrating the shared democratic ideals embedded within the score. A fantasy? Perhaps. But one that unites the ‘concertante’ tradition with innovations in partnership and communal authorship, the very kinds of distinguishing features that would have got Mozart noticed in Paris had this imagined scenario come to pass.

More likely is the scenario suggested by Gutman, where Leopold – accomplished string instructor *extraordinaire* – is the imagined recipient of the violin solo. With Leopold in this role, might we understand the *Sinfonie concertante* in E $\flat$  major as a kind of therapeutic peace offering from son to father? If Leopold and Wolfgang are the intended solo recipients – the characters or protagonists interacting in the musical-theatrical drama as it were – we might imagine the *sinfonie* as a kind of *rapprochement* between father and son, in memory of a beloved wife and mother. Whatever words were – or were not – exchanged between Wolfgang and Leopold upon their reunification in Salzburg, mere words could never express the heartfelt emotions and sorrows that are so palpable in this piece. In recognition of their joint sorrow, the musical epitaph etched in this symphonic concertante prompts the soloists to sympathize with one another rhetorically through their music-making, performing an act of mutual reconciliation, reciprocity, and healing. There is a kind of familial relationship traced between the intertwining solo violin and viola lines, a mutual interconnection that goes beyond that of the preceding imaginary Mozart/Bologne pairing. In other words, democracy, exchange, and independence are not the primary motivators here; rather, the collaborative, affective interconnectivity articulated here is more intimate and deeply felt, suggesting that the imagined personae are Leopold and Wolfgang. They are the dual interlocutors animating and re-enacting their shared loss – their intertwining cadenzas, in particular, providing the two players with sufficient agency to ‘work through’ their feelings and emotions.

Extemporaneous cadenzas were the norm in solo concertos of this period. Bologne would have improvised the cadenzas in his violin concertos (just as Linda Melsted does in her performance of the first two movements of Violin Concerto in D major, op. 3 no. 1, in [Video Example 1](#)). The first movement of the *Symphonie concertante* in A major for two violin soloists and a single solo viola, op. 10 no. 2 (1779) is an interesting case, since period performance practice would seem to indicate that some form of improvisation occurs at the pause in bar 89 ([Example 8](#)). While it is unlikely that improvised cadenzas for two players were a feature of Bologne’s multi-solo ‘concertante’ works, there is a possibility that two players could have rehearsed a cadenza to insert here, implying ‘multiple agency’. More likely only the primo violinist improvised a cadenza at the pause in [Example 8](#) – signalling the tutti return with the lead-in to bar 90.

Written-out cadenzas, while rare in symphonies concertantes, do appear on occasion. An interesting example is found in the *Symphonie concertante* in D major for solo oboe and solo bassoon by Carl Philipp Stamitz dating from 1782 to 1784.<sup>88</sup> A total of ten bars in length, the notated cadenza offers a helpful instructive template for how

---

<sup>88</sup> Published in facsimile in *The Symphonie Concertante*, series C, vol. IV, score 8, ed. by Richard J. Agee (Garland, 1983), pp. xix and 14 (p. 260).

Example 8 Joseph Bologne, *Symphonie concertante* in A major for two solo violins and viola, op. 10 no. 2, ed. by Melanie Braun, *The Symphony 1720–1840*, editor-in-chief, Barry S. Brook, Series D, vol. IV, score 8 (Garland, 1983), first movement, Allegro, bb. 87–91.

87

Ob. I, II

Cor. I, II

Vln I

Vln II

Vla

89

Ob. I, II

Cor. I, II

Vln I

Vln II

Vla

[p]

the soloists might engage in virtuosic display. Did dual ‘concertante’ wind players require more assistance than string players when crafting cadenzas? Or did Stamitz, who worked in Mannheim, Paris, and London during this time, want to ensure that soloists in different geographical locations would understand performance practices (and listener expectations) in other centres? Or was he showing wind instrumentalists

what was expected of them, or what he himself expected of soloists? Questions abound, for which multiple answers are possible within a culture of experimentation.

In Mozart's K.364, the cadenzas for solo violin and solo viola occurring in the first two movements are written out fully, leaving nothing to chance.<sup>89</sup> The closely intertwined musical interplay between the two performers in these 'cadenze a due' demonstrate their mutual investment in listening to and 'hearing' one another and responding accordingly. Although this might be understood as the norm for 'concertante' duo players, the special or 'marked' quality of their particular musical interactions in these cadenzas might also be interpreted as foregrounding acts of remembering, revisiting, untangling, and replaying past events – a mode of musical interaction designed to promote healing and reconciliation between father and son. Together the participants perform a communal act of listening, empathizing, and supporting one another on their familial journey towards recovery. So penetrating and interdependent are their interactions that it is as if the rhetorical gesturing exchanged between the two imagined protagonists – Wolfgang and Leopold – stands in mnemonically for the memories of their mutually lost love. A lonely widower and his bereft son interleave their shared understanding of loss and forgiveness, their performance becoming a collective act of mourning to bring about emotional healing and restore cohesion to the close-knit family constellation, a unit that had been cruelly ripped asunder and in desperate need of reunification (Examples 9a and 9b). Suggesting how specific historical figures may have experienced their own music provides a mode of historical access normally precluded in traditional histories.

By couching this healing process within the symphonic concertante, Mozart not only recalls Paris, the place where the tragedy occurred, but also builds on the 'concertante' principle by creating an opportunity for the two mourning men closest to Anna Maria to put their feelings 'out there' for one another to hear. Further enlisted in the recovery process are all the other instrumentalists in the ensemble performing the symphonic concertante alongside them. Fellow orchestral players, musicians from their close-knit circle of friends and acquaintances in Salzburg, listen to and bear witness to the family loss, becoming a supportive and compassionate community to envelop the family and nurture healing from within. In capitalizing on performance as a historical mode of knowing, we are able to access an immersive experience of historical subjectivity.

### **Partimento Partners**

Compared with the early two movements, the Presto finale of K.364 conveys an entirely different ethos and sensibility. Here the two soloists engage in a kind of playful yet purposeful repartee throughout. In the concluding moments of the piece, the players participate in a competitive game of one-upmanship utilizing a virtuosic cadential gesture consisting of a rapidly rising melodic figure, then a dramatic

---

<sup>89</sup> Similarly, the Double Keyboard Concerto in E $\flat$  major, K.365, closes with written-out double cadenzas.

Example 9a First movement cadenza for solo violin and viola in Mozart's Sinfonie concertante in E $\flat$  major, K.364.

Cadenza  
Viol. princ.  
Viola princ.

The musical score is presented in six systems, each with a Violin principal (Viol. princ.) staff on top and a Viola principal (Viola princ.) staff on the bottom. The key signature is E-flat major (two flats) and the time signature is 3/4. The score includes various musical notations such as slurs, accents, and dynamic markings. A dotted line in the bottom staff of the fifth system indicates a continuation of a note from the previous system.

## Example 9a. (Continued)

The musical score consists of three systems, each with a violin part (treble clef) and a viola part (alto clef). The key signature is two flats (B-flat and E-flat), and the time signature is 3/4. The first system shows a continuous sixteenth-note pattern in both parts, with a slur over the entire phrase. The second system features a trill in the violin part, marked with a '3' and a slur, while the viola part plays a sustained chord. The third system shows a virtuosic ascent in the violin part, marked with a '3' and a slur, followed by a downward plunge and a cadential trill, marked with 'sf'. The viola part mirrors this pattern, also marked with '3' and 'sf'.

downward plunge followed by a rapid upward shift leading to a cadential trill (Example 10). Schema theorist Robert Gjerdingen labels this gesture ‘Coda’ in *Music in the Galant Style*, his classic study where he lays out the constituent motives and shared paradigmatic gestures or schema inimical to mid-eighteenth-century galant style and musical storytelling.<sup>90</sup> When discussing this concluding gesture in K.364, Gabriel Banat posits a direct link between this cadential figure and Bologne’s use of a strikingly similarly gesture in the opening Allegro moderato movement of his Violin Concerto in A major, op. 7 no. 1 (1782). Indeed, these ‘Coda’ schemas are essentially the same in that they both trace a stepwise melodic ascent to the upper reaches of the fingerboard followed by a large downward fall or plunge into the instrument’s lowest register, and a quick reversal up again to the closing cadential figure. In the Mozart example, the gesture functions as a recursive rising figure that rapidly gains energy through a prolonged upward-rising melody that eventually reaches the tonic pitch (e<sup>b3</sup>) via a four-note stepwise ascent, only to catapult downward to the fifth scale degree in preparation for the concluding I<sub>4</sub><sup>6</sup>–V–I cadential pattern. The violist is the first to execute this virtuosic ascent and leap spanning a range of two-and-a-half octaves, followed by the violinist’s echo of three-and-a-half octaves.

<sup>90</sup> Robert O. Gjerdingen, *Music in the Galant Style* (Oxford University Press, 2007), pp. 152 and 246.

Example 9b Second movement cadenza for solo violin and viola in Mozart's Sinfonie concertante in E $\flat$  major, K.364.

Cadenza

Viol. princ.

Viola princ.

The musical score is presented in five systems, each with a Violin principal (Viol. princ.) staff on top and a Viola principal (Viola princ.) staff on the bottom. The key signature is E $\flat$  major (two flats) and the time signature is 3/8. The score includes various musical notations such as slurs, trills, and triplet markings. The first system shows the beginning of the cadenza with a melodic line in the violin and a more rhythmic accompaniment in the viola. The second system continues the melodic development in the violin. The third system features a complex sixteenth-note passage in the violin. The fourth system includes triplet markings in the viola part. The fifth system concludes the cadenza with a trill in the violin and a final melodic phrase in the viola.



Despite the similarity between these two schematic gestures described by Banat, it is also important to note their dissimilarities. Notably, Bologne's occurs within a concerto for solo violin, whereas Mozart's violin example appears in the context of a 'concertante' duet for solo violin and viola. In Bologne's concerto movement, the solo violinist states the distinctive riff not once but twice within the opening (not final) movement: initially the gesture appears in the secondary key area (E major, as shown in Banat's example); only at the second occurrence does the gesture become one of closure, leading to the cadence in the home key of A major. In each case, the solo violinist echoes their own phrase up the octave, as if engaging in a battle with oneself. For the listener, the effect of hearing this virtuosic cadential figure played twice within the same movement is electrifying. In contrast, by placing the virtuosic ascent and plunge 'Coda' gesture at the end of the finale in a symphonie concertante, as in the case of Mozart's K.364, it serves as a closing device for the entire piece. By reserving this dramatic gesture/performative feat until the conclusion of the symphonie concertante, listener gratification for radical virtuosity is delayed until the very end. Similarly, in the Rondeau finale of the Violin Concerto in D major op. 4 (1774), Bologne incites the violin soloist (himself?) to reach up into the highest register of the instrument during the final statement of the main theme, captivating listener attention throughout the entire concerto by withholding the most virtuosic element in his musical arsenal until the climactic conclusion.

Floyd Grave identifies extensions and exaggerations of what he calls a 'Grand Cadence' prototype (Gjerdingen's 'Coda') in several of Haydn's string quartets, arguing that these virtuosic cadential gestures appearing in the first violin of selected quartets by Haydn often work to undermine rather than reinforce closure by creating overdrawn, comic, even hyperbolic closing gestures.<sup>91</sup> In these instances, the commanding gesture frequently signals the soloistic function of the first violinist within the string quartet texture. Just as this ratcheting up of soloistic flight draws attention to the first violin player's rapid negotiation of the fingerboard and physical exertion, so too does Mozart's use of the climactic gesture in the final moments of K.364 exploit the excess of the cadential formula to create what Grave describes as 'performative extravagance'.<sup>92</sup> And it is this extravagant virtuosic display that signals the very presence of the composer-performer himself. But unlike Haydn whose 'freakish' formulae have a comic effect, those of Mozart and Bologne serve a different function. Bologne's many uses of the 'rise and plunge' schema throughout his violin concerti and symphonies concertantes convey a sense of pure delight and revelry in virtuosic display, as if the violin were an instrument to be conquered, demonstrating the soloist's (his) ability to do so in many different contexts and settings. Where Mozart differs is in using the

<sup>91</sup> Floyd Grave, 'Freakish Variations on a Grand Cadence Prototype in Haydn's String Quartets', *Journal of Musicological Research* (2009), pp. 119–45 (p. 119), doi:10.1080/01411890902913115. Grave's use of the term 'Grand Cadence' appears to be a misreading of Gjerdingen, since in *Music in the Galant Style* the term is used to refer to a specific type of harmonic formula allied to a melodic descent (see schema on p. 242 and examples on pp. 244 and 245). What Graves refers to a 'Grand Cadence' is labelled 'Coda' in Gjerdingen (p. 246).

<sup>92</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 119.

Example 10 Mozart, *Sinfonie concertante* in E $\flat$  major, K.364, Presto third movement, with bravura closing gesture (in the viola, followed by the violin). Gesture consists of a rapid melodic rise up the fingerboard, then a sudden downward plunge, followed by a rapid upward shift to execute the trill, bb. 432–56.

SOLO

432

Ob. I, II

Cor. I, II

Vln pr.

Vla pr.

Solo

3

3

437

Ob. I, II

Cor. I, II

Vln pr.

Vla pr.

442

Ob. I, II

Cor. I, II

Vln pr.

Vla pr.

Solo

3

3

*tr*

Example 10. (Continued) NMA Online (<<https://dme.mozarteum.at/nma/>>), published by the Mozarteum Foundation Salzburg in collaboration with the Packard Humanities Institute, 2006ff. Reproduced with kind permission of the Mozarteum Foundation.

The musical score consists of two systems. The first system covers measures 447 to 451. The second system covers measures 452 to 456. The instrumentation includes Oboe I and II, Cor Anglais I and II, Violin part, and Viola part. The key signature is G major (one sharp) and the time signature is 3/4. The score shows sustained chords in the woodwinds and rhythmic patterns in the strings. A dynamic marking of *f* (forte) appears at the end of the second system.

twice-stated ‘Coda’ gesture in K.364 to foreground the violist and violinist in a form of musical combat, calling attention to the performers engaged in self-referential performativity. He raises the stakes for the soloists by having the violinist follow the violist, setting up a mini competition between the two players at the very end of the musical-theatrical drama. Will one succeed where the other falters? Or will the competition end in a draw?

It is also important to note that Gjerdingen demonstrates the attributes of this Coda figure in an example from a 1789 string quartet by Dittersdorf – a piece written a full decade after the preceding examples. The Dittersdorf excerpts also differ from those of Bologna and Mozart in that they land on the fifth scale degree instead of the tonic, and the leap down to the dominant does not include the compound harmonic extension

( $I_4^6$  chord). Grave describes a subspecies of the schema: ‘a prototype in its own right whose special markers [include an] upward surge, high peak, daring leap, and sparkling trill’.<sup>93</sup> Less ‘grand’ than a full-on Coda schema, these miniature versions are less exciting, captivating, and virtuosic. Bologne and Mozart, however, show themselves to be greater risk-takers and more daring than Haydn and Dittersdorf. And this comparison also holds true for Bologne’s Parisian counterparts. Indeed, my examination of a cross-section of symphonie concertante movements by J. C. Bach, Bréval, Cambini, Davaux, and Gossec dating from the late 1770s published in the collected edition *The Symphony 1720–1840* reveals that none of these composers employ the cadential extremes associated with the virtuosic ascending/plunging ‘Codas’ composed by Bologne and Mozart. In other words, their Parisian contemporaries write more modest ‘Coda’ schemas – for example, prototypes that are of smaller compass, lower registral reach. Admittedly, this is a small sampling, however the cross-selection is representative, suggesting that these ‘grandiose’ cadential schemas employed by Bologne were a rarity in symphonie concertante compositions performed in the metropole during this period. Most likely this extreme form of the virtuosic cadential formula found its way into ‘concertante’ composition via the concerto for solo violin – the instrument on which Bologne was one of the most gifted executioners in Paris. One of the first to employ this type of expansive bravura cadential riff in the symphonie concertante, Bologne ranks alongside Mozart. Compared with their Parisian peers, they are in the vanguard, leading the way in introducing advanced string writing and technical feats into the symphonie concertante lexicon. Where Mozart moves beyond Bologne is in writing out full cadenzas, including a double statement of the ‘Coda’ cadential gesture near the end of K.364. Here he demonstrates how a bravura cadential formula can assume performative flair by engaging the two soloists in musical battle with one another, one volley after the other. Mozart’s competitive spirit reveals itself in the dramatic duelling typography he sets up within the finale. He demonstrates his willingness to compete with the best of them, showing off his skill on the viola, after which he invites his father to do likewise on the violin. Indeed, the social interplay enacted here by the duo personae nuances Klorman’s notion of ‘multiple agency’ by inscribing one of ‘intergenerational familial agency’. For with this commanding physical and rhetorical cadential gesture, the wayward son involves his domineering father in a risky game – a game of competitive wills through which the younger Mozart instructs his parental mentor in the high-stakes sparring epitomized in Parisian concertizing by one of the most famous violin virtuosos of the day: Joseph Bologne, Le Chevalier de Saint-George.

\* \* \*

<sup>93</sup> Grave, ‘Freakish Variations on a Grand Cadence Prototype’, p. 121. As an example of this prototype, Grave provides a four-bar excerpt from the exposition of the first movement of Mozart’s earliest original concerto, Violin Concerto in B $\flat$  major, K.207 (1773), that celebrates ‘the arrival in the dominant with a fast climb to a melodic peak (f $\acute{2}$ )’ in the first violin followed by ‘a precipitous drop on the following downbeat’ (Example 1, bb. 45–48), p. 120.

Tamara Levitz has recently called attention to a desire on the part of many musicologists to democratize, diversify, and decolonize our discipline. ‘Driven by a growing moral demand to challenge the Eurocentric, heteronormative, exclusionary, colonial settler, non-diverse, and white supremacist legacies,’<sup>94</sup> many historians are grappling for ways to tell a more inclusive history. One way to promote change is to subvert notions of an essential whiteness of Western art music by working towards other counter-hegemonic historiographies of music. Olivia Bloechl calls for new historiographies that reorient our knowledge, suggesting that broader disciplinary change will only come when musicologists turn to ‘the largely unexamined presence of race – and, more broadly, coloniality – in early music history, historiography, and contemporary performance culture’.<sup>95</sup> By acknowledging the ‘presence and creative activity of racially marked people’ in eighteenth-century music, we can begin to right the wrongs of the past.<sup>96</sup> As I have attempted to show, one way we might explore how music and musicking operates in culture is to conjure up inclusionary scenarios through the hermeneutic lens of imagined embodiment. By probing the limitations of traditional ways of understanding the historical past and employing experiential modes for gaining access to gaps in historical knowledge we can begin to ‘reorient our knowledge’ and write more inclusionary histories.

In her study on *White Fragility*, Robin DiAngelo observes that white liberals (like her, and like me) frequently refuse to acknowledge their own participation in racist systems. Whiteness Studies begins from the premise ‘that racism and white privilege exist in both traditional and modern forms, [so] rather than work to prove its existence, [we must] work to reveal it’.<sup>97</sup> Rather than giving in to the notion that we live in a post-racial society, we would all be better served if we confronted the issue of race straight on, addressing it in both the past and the present. ‘Colour blindness’, or the argument that race should not matter, prevents us from grappling with the harder question of how it *does* matter.

What does it mean to perform and interpret Mozart’s music today when what constitutes an enlightened, equitable, and ethical society is once again the subject of intensifying debate? By redirecting our attentions to reveal and recognize the contributions of Joseph Bologne to musical developments in Paris as they relate to Mozart’s Parisian-related repertory, I have attempted to reshape and nuance standard historical narratives – taking one small step towards enacting a more equitable and ‘engaged’

---

<sup>94</sup> Tamara Levitz, ‘The Musicological Elite’, *Current Musicology*, 102 (2018), pp. 9–80 (p. 9).

<sup>95</sup> Olivia Bloechl, ‘Race, Empire, and Early Music’, in *Rethinking Difference in Music Scholarship*, ed. by Olivia Bloechl, Melanie Lowe, and Jeffrey Kallberg (Cambridge University Press, 2015), pp. 77–107 (p. 104).

<sup>96</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 107. For a recent example see Arne Spohr, “‘Mohr und Trompeter’: Blackness and Social Status in Early Modern Germany”, *Journal of the American Musicological Society*, 72.3 (2019), pp. 613–63.

<sup>97</sup> Robin DiAngelo, ‘White Fragility’, *International Journal of Critical Pedagogy*, 3.3 (2011), p. 56. Also see her book, *White Fragility: Why It’s So Hard for White People to Talk about Racism* (Beacon Press, 2018). For a countervailing view, see John M. McWhorter’s *Woke Racism: How a New Religion Has Betrayed Black America* (Portfolio/Penguin, 2021), where he describes DiAngelo’s book as infantilizing and dehumanizing.

musicology. By employing methodologies that foreground other perspectives and encourage the telling of other stories (and the stories of others), we have the power to redress past omissions and promote change. Taking a cue from William Cheng, who observes in the preface of *Just Vibrations*, ‘what if the primary purpose of sounding good isn’t to do well, but to do good?’<sup>98</sup> He argues that, as scholars, we have social and ethical responsibilities. It is imperative that we consider how our actions ‘ripple through public spaces’ and to reflect on what we do, since the ‘things we say, the music we make, the noises we hear, the pressure we feel’ all contribute to fair, good, and conscionable agendas.<sup>99</sup>

Germano-centric musicology has long overlooked French contributions to musical history. Thus, it is not surprising that Joseph Bologne has typically been relegated to footnotes and parentheses or glossed over in passing within mainstream Mozart studies. To right the wrongs of the past and ‘do good’, we can begin with examining the network of musical and societal figures Mozart encountered directly or indirectly as well as the musical and nearby spaces he occupied in the French capital and their potential for reverberation back home. They deserve elevating precisely because they help us to democratize and diversify eighteenth-century music studies. While I might not have satisfied traditional levels of musicological argumentation here in *not* bringing any new documentary evidence to bear on the discussion, what I have offered here is one approach to arriving at a more inclusive history.

Relational structures and contextual webs of affiliation and emotion are integral to musical compositions, and when those compositions are connected to geographical places inhabited by formative musicians, they convey deep-rooted connections and experiences that allow us to explore beyond the ‘lost facts’ of history, enabling a more nuanced understanding of the many factors that constitute historical and musical situational knowledge.<sup>100</sup> Mozart’s encounters with the rhetoric of the galant filtered through a Gallic lens is one experienced through the musicians and musical institutions with whom he interacted directly or came in close contact with while in Paris. And chief among them was one of the leading musicians and musical institution-builders in the metropole at the time, Le Chevalier de Saint-George. Positioning Bologne more prominently within Mozart’s Paris experiences allows us to engage in a more ethical and egalitarian musicology – one that expands traditional historiography, reorients past power structures, counteracts racial marginalization, and recognizes the possibilities inherent in collective creation and collaborative authorship, thereby empowering us to

---

<sup>98</sup> William Cheng, *Just Vibrations: The Purpose of Sounding Good* (University of Michigan Press, 2016), 8. Also see his next book, *Loving Music Till It Hurts* (Oxford University Press, 2019).

<sup>99</sup> *Ibid.*, pp. 14–15.

<sup>100</sup> Anthropologist Clifford Geertz’s conceptualization of thick description is applicable here. His understanding of how network structures, webs of connection, and the contexts in which they occur affect human action is relevant to this analysis, since ways of understanding, developing, and communicating knowledge are culturally contingent. By employing broader methodological, ontological, and ethnomusicological approaches, musicology stands to gain greater historiographical breadth. Thanks to Helen Abbott for once again directing my attention to Geertz. See *The Interpretation of Cultures: Selected Essays*, 3rd edn, with introduction by Robert Darnton (Basic Books, 2017).

tell a revitalized history by acknowledging Black achievement. Initiatives aimed at elevating and amplifying scholarship on Joseph Bologne, especially pertaining to his musical and ontological links to Mozart, are an essential step in the process of moving our collective mission forward.