

COMMUTATOR LENGTH OF ABELIAN-BY-NILPOTENT GROUPS

by MEHRI AKHAVAN-MALAYERI¹ and AKBAR RHEMTULLA²

(Received 14 June, 1996)

Abstract. Let G be a group and $G' = [G, G]$ be its commutator subgroup. Denote by $c(G)$ the minimal number such that every element of G' can be expressed as a product of at most $c(G)$ commutators. The exact values of $c(G)$ are computed when G is a free nilpotent group or a free abelian-by-nilpotent group. If G is a free nilpotent group of rank $n \geq 2$ and class $c \geq 2$, $c(G) = [n/2]$ if $c = 2$ and $c(G) = n$ if $c > 2$. If G is a free abelian-by-nilpotent group of rank $n \geq 2$ then $c(G) = n$.

1. Introduction. For an element g in the derived subgroup $G' = [G, G]$ of a group G we write $c(g)$ to denote the least integer such that g can be written as a product of $c(g)$ commutators and we put

$$c(G) = \sup\{c(g); g \in G'\}.$$

Let $F_{n,t} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ and $M_{n,t} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ be respectively the free nilpotent group of rank n and class t and the free metabelian nilpotent group of rank n and class t . P. W. Stroud, in his Ph.D. thesis [3] in 1966, proved that for all t , every element of the commutator subgroup $F'_{n,t}$ can be expressed as a product of n commutators. In 1985 H. Allambergenov and V. A. Romankov [1] proved that $c(M_{n,t})$ is precisely n provided $n \geq 2$, $t \geq 4$ or $n \geq 3$, $t \geq 3$. They did this by producing an element d_n in $\gamma_t(M_{n,t})$ that cannot be written as a product of fewer than n commutators. For the case $n = 2$, $c = 3$ they proved that every element of $\gamma_3(M_{2,3})$ is a commutator, and claimed that $c(M_{2,3})$ is one. We will show that the element $[x_1, x_2]^2$ cannot be written as a commutator in the group $M_{2,3} = F_{2,3} = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$. This is done in Theorem 1. Thus $c(F_{2,3}) = 2$ and $c(F_{n,t}) = c(M_{n,t}) = n$, for all $n \geq 2$ and $t \geq 3$.

In [2] C. Bavard and G. Meigniez considered the same problem for the n -generator free metabelian group M_n . They show that the minimum number $c(M_n)$ of commutators required to express an arbitrary element of the derived subgroup M'_n satisfies the inequality

$$[n/2] \leq c(M_n) \leq n,$$

where $[n/2]$ is the greatest integer part of $n/2$. Since $F_{n,3}$ groups are metabelian, the result of Allambergenov and Romankov [1] shows that $c(M_n) \geq n$, for $n \geq 3$, and Theorem 1 of this paper deals with the remaining case $n = 2$. We have $c(M_n) = n$, for all $n \geq 2$. Finally, we extend results in [1] and [2] to the larger class of abelian-by-nilpotent groups and show in Theorem 2 that $c(G) = n$ if G is a (non-abelian) free abelian-by-nilpotent group of rank n .

¹ The first author is grateful to the Ministry of Culture and Higher Education of I. R. of Iran and the University of Alberta for their financial support.

² Research partially supported by NSERC.

The main results of this paper are as follows.

THEOREM 1. *Let $F_{2,3} = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ be the free nilpotent group of class 3 on free generators x_1, x_2 . Then $c(F_{2,3}) = 2$.*

THEOREM 2. *Let $G = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ be a non-abelian free abelian-by-nilpotent group freely generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . Then $c(G) = n$. If A is an abelian normal subgroup of G and G/A is nilpotent, then every element of G' is a product of n commutators $[x_1, g_1]^{a_1} [x_2, g_2]^{a_2} \dots [x_n, g_n]^{a_n}$, for suitable g_1, \dots, g_n in G and a_1, \dots, a_n in A .*

If $F_{n,2} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ is the free nilpotent group of class two, then $c(F_{n,2}) = n/2$, if n is even and $(n - 1)/2$, if n is odd. This result appears in [1].

We know of no example of a finite group G of rank n where $c(G) > n$. Nor do we know of any example of a solvable group G of rank n where $c(G) > n$.

4. Proofs. We begin by establishing a technical result required in the proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. *The following system of three equations in variables $s_1, s_2, r_1, r_2, \alpha$ and β has no integer solution:*

$$r_2s_1 - r_1s_2 = 2, \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{s_1r_2(r_2 - 1)}{2} - \frac{r_1s_2(s_2 - 1)}{2} + r_2s_2(s_1 - r_1) - \alpha r_2 + \beta s_2 = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{r_2s_2(s_1 - 1)}{2} - \frac{r_1s_2(r_1 - 1)}{2} - \alpha r_1 + \beta s_1 = 0. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Put $c_1 = \alpha r_2 - \beta s_2, c_2 = \alpha r_1 - \beta s_1$. Then

$$\alpha = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} c_1 & -s_2 \\ c_2 & -s_1 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} r_2 & -s_2 \\ r_1 & -s_1 \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{s_1c_1 - s_2c_2}{2}, \quad \beta = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} r_2 & c_1 \\ r_1 & c_2 \end{vmatrix}}{-2} = \frac{r_1c_1 - r_2c_2}{2}.$$

Hence we need $s_1c_1 - s_2c_2$ and $r_1c_1 - r_2c_2$ to be even:

$$c_1 = \frac{s_1r_2(r_2 - 1)}{2} - \frac{r_1s_2(s_2 - 1)}{2} + r_2s_2(s_1 - r_1),$$

$$c_2 = \frac{r_2s_1(s_1 - 1)}{2} - \frac{r_1s_2(r_1 - 1)}{2}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_1 &= s_1r_2^2 - s_1r_2 - r_1s_2^2 + r_1s_2 + 2s_1r_2s_2 - 2r_1r_2s_2, \\ &= r_2(s_1r_2 - r_1s_2) - (s_1r_2 - r_1s_2) - s_2(r_1s_2 - s_1r_2) - r_1r_2s_2 + s_1r_2s_2, \\ &= -2 + 2(r_2 + s_2) - r_2s_2(r_1 - s_1). \end{aligned}$$

Also we have

$$2c_2 = s_1^2 r_2 - s_1 r_2 - r_1^2 s_2 + r_1 s_2 = -2 + s_1^2 r_2 - r_1^2 s_2.$$

Hence we need to satisfy the following conditions:

$$r_2 s_1 - r_1 s_2 = 2, \tag{1}$$

$$2c_1 = -2 + 2(r_2 + s_2) - r_2 s_2 (r_1 - s_1), \tag{4}$$

$$2c_2 = -2 + s_1^2 r_2 - r_1^2 s_2, \tag{5}$$

$$s_1 c_1 + s_2 c_2 \equiv r_1 c_1 + r_2 c_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \tag{6}$$

Case 1. $r_1 s_2 = 2k$, for some integer k . Then

$$c_1 = -1 + (r_2 + s_2) - k r_2 + (1 + k) s_2$$

$$c_2 = -1 + (1 + k) s_1 - k r_1.$$

Further

$$0 \equiv s_1 c_1 + s_2 c_2 \equiv s_1 + s_1 s_2 + s_2 \pmod{2} \tag{7}$$

and

$$0 \equiv r_1 c_1 + r_2 c_2 \equiv r_1 + r_1 r_2 + r_2 \pmod{2}. \tag{8}$$

From (7) and (8), it follows that r_1, r_2, s_1 and s_2 are all even. But then $r_1 s_2 - r_2 s_1$ is divisible by 4, contradicting (1).

Case 2. $r_1 s_2$ is odd. It follows from (1) that r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2 are all odd.

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 c_1 + s_2 c_2 &= \frac{1}{2} s_1^2 r_2 (r_2 - 1) - \frac{1}{2} s_1 r_1 s_2 (s_2 - 1) + s_1 s_2 r_2 (s_1 - r_1) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} s_2 r_2 s_1 (s_1 - 1) - \frac{1}{2} s_2^2 r_1 (r_1 - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (s_1 r_2 - s_2 r_1) (s_1 r_2 + s_2 r_1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} s_1 (s_1 r_2 - r_1 s_2) - \frac{1}{2} s_1 s_2 (r_1 s_2 - r_2 s_1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} s_2 (r_2 s_1 - s_2 r_1) + s_1 s_2 r_2 (s_1 - r_1) \\ &= (s_1 r_2 + s_2 r_1) - s_1 + s_1 s_2 - s_2 + s_1 s_2 r_2 (s_1 - r_1), \end{aligned}$$

which is odd and contradicts (7).

We shall use the following well known identities for groups which are nilpotent of class 3.

LEMMA 2. Let $G = \langle x, y \rangle$ be nilpotent of class 3. Then, for all integers r, s the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned} [x^r, y] &= [x, y]^r [x, y, x]^{r(r-1)/2}, \\ [x^r, y^s] &= [x, y]^{rs} [x, y, x]^{rs(r-1)/2} [x, y, y]^{rs(s-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proofs of Theorem 1. Let h, g be any two elements of $F_{2,3} \setminus \gamma_3(F_{2,3})$. We study the form of the element $[h, g]$. Since $\gamma_3(F_{2,3})$ lies in the center of $F_{2,3}$ we may express h as

$x_1^r x_2^s [x_2, x_1]^\beta$ and g as $x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2} [x_2, x_1]^\alpha$. Put $z = [x_2, x_1]$, $y_1 = z^\beta$ and $y_2 = z^\alpha$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [h, g] &= [x_1^r x_2^s, x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2}] [x_1^r x_2^s, y_2] [y_1, x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2}] \\ &= [x_1^r x_2^s, x_2^{s_2}] [x_1^r x_2^s, x_1^{s_1}] [x_1^r x_2^s, x_1^{s_1}, x_2^{s_2}] [x_1^r, y_2] [x_2^s, y_2] [y_1, x_2^{s_2}] [y_1, x_1^{s_1}] \\ &= [x_1^r, x_2^s] [x_1^r, x_2^s, x_2^{s_2}] [x_2^s, x_1^{s_1}] [x_2^s, x_1^{s_1}, x_2^{s_2}] [x_1, z]^{\alpha r_1} \\ &\quad \times [x_2, z]^{\alpha r_2} [z, x_2]^{\beta s_2} [z, x_1]^{\beta s_1} \\ &= [x_1, x_2]^{r_1 s_2} [x_1, x_2, x_1]^{s_2 r_1 (r_1 - 1) / 2} [x_1, x_2, x_2]^{r_1 s_2 (s_2 - 1) / 2} [x_1, x_2, x_2]^{r_1 r_2 s_2} \\ &\quad \times [x_2, x_1]^{r_2 s_1} [x_2, x_1, x_2]^{s_1 r_2 (r_2 - 1) / 2} [x_1, x_1, x_1]^{r_2 s_1 (s_1 - 1) / 2} [x_2, x_1, x_2]^{r_2 s_1 s_2} \\ &\quad \times [x_2, x_1, x_1]^{-\alpha r_1 + \beta s_1} [x_1, x_1, x_2]^{-\alpha r_2 + \beta s_2} \\ &= [x_2, x_1]^\lambda [x_2, x_1, x_2]^\mu [x_2, x_1, x_1]^\nu, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= r_2 s_1 - r_1 s_2, \\ \mu &= \frac{s_1 r_2 (r_2 - 1)}{2} - \frac{r_1 s_2 (s_2 - 1)}{2} - r_1 r_2 s_2 + r_2 s_1 s_2 + \beta s_2 - \alpha r_2, \\ \nu &= \frac{r_2 s_1 (s_1 - 1)}{2} - \frac{s_2 r_1 (r_1 - 1)}{2} + \beta s_1 - \alpha r_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $[x_2, x_1]$, $[x_2, x_1, x_2]$ and $[x_2, x_1, x_1]$ are the basic commutators and the group under consideration is the free nilpotent class 3 group, it follows that if $[h, g] = [x_2, x_1]^2$ then $\lambda = 2, \mu = \nu = 0$. But, by Lemma 1, there are no integers $\alpha, \beta, r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2$ for this set of equations to hold and we conclude that $c(F_{2,3}) \geq 2$. Since $c(F_{2,3}) \leq 2$ by [1] or [2], we obtain the equality.

The proof of Theorem 2 makes use of the following two results. The first is elementary; the second is a result of Peter Stroud [4]. We shall include the proofs since Stroud’s result never got published, except in his Ph.D. thesis, due to his untimely death. In the case of a finite group G , Brian Hartley [3] has given a bound for $c(G)$ in terms of the Fitting length of G . His proof incorporates Stroud’s proof given below.

LEMMA 3. *Let A be a normal subgroup of $G = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$. If A is abelian or A lies in the second center $\zeta_2(G)$ of G , then every element of $[G, A]$ has the form $\prod_{i=1}^n [x_i, a_i]$, where $a_i \in A$.*

Proof. Consider $[g, d]$, where $d \in A$ and $g = x_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots x_i^{\varepsilon_i}$, where $\varepsilon_i \in \{1, -1\}$. Write $x_i = x, \varepsilon_i = \varepsilon$ and $g = x^\varepsilon y$. Then $[g, d] = [x^\varepsilon y, d] = [x, d^\varepsilon][y, d]$, if $A \leq \zeta_2(G)$, and $[g, d] = [x^\varepsilon, d][x^\varepsilon, d, y][y, d] = [x^\varepsilon, d][y, d[d, x^\varepsilon]]$, if A is abelian. If $\varepsilon = -1$, then use $[x^{-1}, d] = [x, x d^{-1} x^{-1}]$.

Iterate the process r times to obtain $[g, d] = \prod_{j=1}^r [x_{ij}, d_j]$ with $d_j \in A$. Finally use the identity $[x, d_1][x, d_2] = [x, d_1 d_2]$ to see that every $\prod [g_i, d_i], d_i \in A$ has the form $\prod_{i=1}^n [x_i, a_i]$, where $a_i \in A$.

LEMMA (P. Stroud). *Let $G = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ be a nilpotent group. Then every element of the commutator subgroup G' is a product of n commutators $[x_1, g_1] \dots [x_n, g_n]$, for suitable g_i in G .*

Proof. Use induction on the nilpotency class of G . If G is abelian, then $G' = 1$ and the result is clear. Next let $G \in \mathcal{N}_{r+1}$, nilpotent of class $r + 1$, and assume the result for groups in the class \mathcal{N}_r . Let $\Gamma = \gamma_{r+1}(G) = [\gamma_r(G), G]$. Then an element g of G' has the form $g = [x_1, h_1] \dots [x_n, h_n]d$, for some $d \in \Gamma$. By Lemma 3, we have

$$g = [x_1, h_1] \dots [x_n, h_n][x_1, a_1] \dots [x_n, a_n] = \prod_{i=1}^n [x_i, h_i a_i].$$

Proof of Theorem 2. By hypothesis, there exists a normal abelian subgroup A of G such that G/A is nilpotent. By Lemma 3, $[A, G] = \{[x_1, a_1] \dots [x_n, a_n]; a_i \in A\}$ and, since $G/[A, G]$ is nilpotent, using Stroud's result, every element $g \in G'$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n [x_i, g_i] \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n [x_i, a_i] \right), \text{ with } a_i \in A, \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n ([x_i, a_i][x_i, g_i]^{d_i}), \text{ for suitable } d_i \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Now $[x_i, g_i a_i] = [x_i, a_i][x_i, g_i]^{a_i} = ([x_i, a_i][x_i, g_i])^{a_i}$.

Thus $[x_i, a_i][x_i, g_i] = [x_i, g_i a_i]^{a_i^{-1}}$ and $g = \prod_{i=1}^n [x_i, g_i a_i]^{d_i a_i^{-1}}$, with $d_i a_i^{-1} \in A$. Thus $c(G) \leq n$ and every element of G' has the required form. Since G is free abelian-by-nilpotent and non-abelian, the free metabelian group on n -generators is a quotient of G and hence so is the free nilpotent-class-three group on n generators. By Theorem 1 for the case when $n = 2$ and by [1] for $n > 2$ we have $c(G) \geq n$. This shows that $c(G) = n$ and completes the proof.

REFERENCES

1. Kh. S. Allambergenov and V. A. Romankov, Product of commutators in groups, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. UzSSR* (1984), No. 4, 14–15 (Russian).
2. C. Bavard and G. Meigniez, Commutateurs dans les groupes métabéliens, *Indag. Math. N.S.* 3(2) (1992), 129–135.
3. B. Hartley, Subgroups of finite index in profinite groups, *Math Z.* 168 (1979), 71–76.
4. P. Stroud, *Ph.D. Thesis* (Cambridge, 1966).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
 UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA
 EDMONTON, ALBERTA
 CANADA T6G 2G1
 E-mail address: akbar@malindi.math.ualberta.ca.