© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of American Society of International Law. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

DOI:10.1017/ilm.2025.6

INTRODUCTORY NOTE TO SITUATION IN UGANDA (PROSECUTOR V. DOMINIC ONGWEN) (REPARATIONS ORDER) (INT'L CRIM. CT. TR. CHAMBER) BY ARTHUR TRALDI* February 28, 2024

Introduction

On February 28, 2024, the International Criminal Court's Trial Chamber IX issued the largest reparations order in the Court's history against Dominic Ongwen, a former commander in the Lord's Resistance Army (LRA), for crimes Ongwen committed in Uganda between 2002 and 2005. Ongwen had been convicted of 62 counts of war crimes and crimes against humanity, including murders, crimes of sexual and gender-based violence (SGBV), and abducting children and forcing them to fight in Uganda's civil war.¹ After the Appeals Chamber affirmed Ongwen's convictions,² Trial Chamber IX awarded €52,429,000 in reparations to 49,772 victims of his crimes.³ Ongwen has appealed.⁴ His appeal remains pending as of this writing.

Background

The procedural background to the Order is set forth in detail in an introductory note to the Appeals Judgment in the *Ongwen* case. To briefly recapitulate, in December 2003, the Ugandan government referred the situation in its territory since July 1, 2002 to the ICC. The ICC's Office of the Prosecutor conducted a preliminary examination, then opened an investigation. In July 2005, the Court issued arrest warrants for Ongwen, LRA leader Joseph Kony, and three other LRA members for war crimes and crimes against humanity. In January 2015, Ongwen turned himself in. After a three-year trial, Trial Chamber IX convicted Ongwen on 62 out of 70 counts and sentenced him to twenty-five years in prison. In December 2022, the Appeals Chamber unanimously confirmed Ongwen's convictions and confirmed his sentence by majority. In this Order, the Trial Chamber considered what reparations to award victims of the specific crimes for which Ongwen was convicted, acting under Article 75 of the Rome Statute.

After the Trial Judgment was issued (and during the pendency of Ongwen's appeal thereof), the Trial Chamber began to receive submissions on reparations and gather relevant information through the Registry. ¹⁵ It received submissions on what reparations would be appropriate in this unique case from victims' representatives, Ongwen's Defence, and ten *amici curiae*. ¹⁶

The Trial Chamber's Order

In its 361-page Order, the Trial Chamber first considered that Ongwen would be personally liable for the harm to victims. ¹⁷ It then reviewed who would qualify as victims; the amount of harm they had suffered; the types and modalities of reparations; and finally the amount of Ongwen's liability. In general, to qualify as a participating victim, the crimes for which Ongwen was convicted would need to be a but-for and proximate cause of harm the victim had suffered. ¹⁸

The Chamber described itself as awarding collective community-based reparations (to the community of eligible victims), not individual reparations. ¹⁹ Nonetheless, as noted below, each "eligible direct and indirect victim" is also eligible for a symbolic payment of €750. ²⁰

The Chamber determined that several categories of persons were eligible to benefit from the reparations. It began by identifying direct victims. Ongwen was convicted of directing attacks against civilians and other crimes in four camps. For those attacks, the Chamber found victims included civilian residents of the camps and civilian non-residents present at the time of the attacks²¹ as well as at least 132 victims of murder in those attacks;²² twenty-five victims of attempted murder in three camp attacks;²³ unnumbered victims of torture associated with those attacks;²⁴ hundreds of victims of enslavement as a result of those attacks;²⁵ as well as unspecified numbers of victims of pillage, persecution, destruction of property, and outrages on personal dignity.²⁶

The Chamber also referenced hundreds of direct victims of SGBV crimes, ²⁷ children born as a result of forced pregnancies included within those crimes, ²⁸ and "a large number" of children Ongwen was convicted of enlisting,

^{*}Arthur Traldi is an Adjunct Professor at Villanova University School of Law, United States, an Instructor at European University-Viadrina, Germany, and a Senior Consultant at Lexpat Global Services, United States.

conscripting, or using in combat.²⁹ It then identified several categories of persons who would qualify as indirect victims provided they had suffered harm as a result of Ongwen's crimes against direct victims.³⁰ Perhaps more controversially, the judges also held that the concept of transgenerational harm was "clearly establish[ed],"³¹ so some victims who are not yet born may receive reparations under the Order.

The Chamber concluded that "harms" for the purposes of victim status included physical, material, moral, community, and transgenerational harm.³² It then summarized the manifold harms that victims of Ongwen's crimes had suffered. *Inter alia*, it reiterated that it had found proven beyond a reasonable doubt that civilians were murdered—some shot, some stabbed, and some burned to death³³—and others subjected to long-term SGBV crimes,³⁴ as well as finding the crimes had a significant harmful impact on victim communities.³⁵

In light of the *Ntaganda* Appeals Chamber's decision on reparations, the Chamber also directly ruled on the eligibility of a sample of participating victims.³⁶ The great majority were found eligible,³⁷ but several SGBV crimes against participating victims were found to fall outside the scope of Ongwen's conviction because of the way the charges and the conviction had been formulated.³⁸ Nonetheless, the Chamber estimated the number of eligible victims would be very large. Considering this and other factors, including the inefficiency of individualized reparations programs that had been attempted in other cases at the ICC, it concluded collective reparations would be most appropriate.³⁹

The Chamber also noted that ICC jurisprudence required it to provide an "actual or estimated" number of potential victims who might benefit from the award. Given that the parties' estimates of numbers of people who had in some way been harmed were preliminary, the Chamber made what it termed a "conservative estimate" of the total number of potential victims. It concluded that there were approximately 47,000 potential victims of the attacks on camps, 23000 former child soldiers in Ongwen's brigade at the relevant time, 3 and 1000 victims of sexual and gender-based crimes. The Chamber reduced this figure by approximately 2000 to account for "dual victims" who had been subjected to attacks and then, after capture, impressed into LRA service as children or subjected to SGBV crimes. The Chamber further estimated that about 900 indirect victims fell within the scope of Ongwen's conviction. The Chamber did not quantify the potential victims of transgenerational harm, except for an estimated 79 children directly born as a result of SGBV crimes. The Chamber rejected suggestions that some victims should receive greater compensation because they had suffered greater harm, reasoning instead that granting every victim equal reparations was important to avoid creating "tensions, jealousy, or animosity" between different victims.

The Chamber did not itemize what community-based reparations would be appropriate for these victims except for the symbolic payment of €750. However, it did note that programs previously administered by the Trust Fund for Victims to rehabilitate survivors and build peace were "comparable" to the reparations it anticipated,⁴⁹ in both substance and cost. It estimated a budget of €15 million for administering such programs along with approximately €37 million for symbolic payments.⁵⁰

Finally, the Chamber directed the Trust Fund for Victims to prepare a draft implementation plan for reparations in the case⁵¹ and held that the Registry would oversee the implementation.⁵² It concluded that, because individual victims' applications to benefit from the Order would not change Ongwen's potential liability, neither the Parties nor the Chamber were required to be part of the process of assessing whether a particular alleged victim was eligible to benefit.⁵³

Conclusion

Civil society organizations that had participated in the ICC process "welcome[d]" the Order.⁵⁴ Nonetheless, some aspects of the Order are notable and merit discussion here.

First, and most simply, "Ongwen is indigent and has no means to pay reparations." So, in a very real sense, the Order is more like a €52 million fundraising call to the ICC's states parties to support Ongwen's victims—a call with no guarantee of success. Notably, as of November 1, 2024, reportedly "not a single country" had made financial contributions to paying the reparations covered in the Order.

Second, because the Order was issued in the *Ongwen* case, only Ongwen's "direct and indirect" victims may benefit from it. 58 But Ongwen was convicted of only a small portion of the crimes alleged to have been committed by the LRA. "Thousands of victims" of the conflict in Uganda cannot receive any benefits from the massive order. 55

Third, it may seem odd to award ICC's largest damages ever against a man who was "a victim of a serious crime" by the LRA himself—his own abduction and impression into service as a child, which was a but-for cause of the crimes in the case. 60 Notably, the ICC has not yet held more senior figures in the LRA accountable, though a long-delayed ICC in absentia hearing on the confirmation of charges against LRA leader Joseph Kony is anticipated to be held in the next year⁶¹ and Uganda recently secured its first conviction of a LRA commander for atrocity crimes in its domestic justice system.⁶²

Finally, as noted above, the Order is presently on appeal. Until the Appeals Chamber confirms or modifies it, both this analysis and the Order's implications are necessarily preliminary.

ENDNOTES

- Situation in Uganda (Prosecutor v. Ongwen) (Trial Judgment) (ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red) (Feb. 4, 2021) [hereinafter Trial Judgment].
- Situation in Uganda (Prosecutor v. Ongwen) (Judgment on the 2 Appeal), ICC-02/04-01/15-2022-Red (Dec. 15, 2022) [hereinafter Conviction Appeal Judgment].
- Situation in Uganda (Prosecutor v. Ongwen) (Reparations 3 Order) (ICC-02/04-01/15-2074) (Feb. 28, 2024) [hereinafter Reparations Order].
- Situation in Uganda (Prosecutor v. Ongwen) (Defence Brief in 4 Support of its Appeal against the Reparations Order) (ICC-02/ 04-01/15-2093-RED) (Feb. 28, 2024).
- Arthur Traldi, Introductory Note to Situation in Uganda (Prosecutor v. Dominic Ongwen) (Judgment on Appeal) 62 I.L.M. 6 at 1005-1008 [hereinafter Traldi 2023].
- President of Uganda refers the situation concerning the Lord's Resistance Army (LRA) to the ICC (Jan. 29, 2004), https:// www.icc-cpi.int/news/icc-president-uganda-refers-situationconcerning-lords-resistance-army-lra-icc.
- Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court opens an investigation into Northern Uganda (July 29, 2004), https://www. icc-cpi.int/news/icc-prosecutor-international-criminal-courtopens-investigation-nothern-uganda.
- Warrant of arrest for Dominic Ongwen, ICC-02/04-01/05-10 (July 8, 2005). The warrant was initially issued under seal but was made public in October 2005. Decision on the Prosecutor's application for unsealing of the warrants of arrest, ICC-02/04-01/15-34 (Oct. 13, 2005).
- ICC Press Release, Dominic Ongwen transferred to The Hague (Jan. 20, 2015), https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/dominicongwen-transferred-hague.
- Trial Judgment ¶ 3116.
- Trial Judgment; Situation in Uganda (Prosecutor v. Ongwen) (Sentencing Judgment) (ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red) (May 6, 2021).
- Conviction Appeal Judgment. 12
- Situation in Uganda (Prosecutor v. Ongwen) (Sentencing Appeal Judgment), ICC-02/04-01/15-2023 (Dec. 15, 2022).

- 14 Rome Statute, Art. 75(2) ("The Court may make an order directly against a convicted person specifying appropriate reparations to, or in respect of, victims, including restitution, compensation and rehabilitation").
- 15 Reparations Order, ¶ 5–16.
- 16 Reparations Order, ¶ 4–14.
- 17 As noted below, Ongwen was found indigent. However, the Chamber concluded that the ICC's jurisprudence was clear that an order for reparations "has to be issued in all circumstances against the convicted person." Reparations Order, ¶ 93, citing Situation in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (Prosecutor v. Lubanga Dyilo) (Judgment on the appeals against the 'Decision establishing the principles and procedures to be applied to reparations'), ICC-01/04-01/06-3129 (March 3, 2015).
- 18 Reparations Order, ¶ 419–420, 423.
- 19 Reparations Order, ¶ 95, 575 (noting that the community in question is not the whole community of Northern Uganda).
- 20 Reparations Order, ¶ 621.
- 21 Reparations Order, ¶ 103.
- 22 Reparations Order, ¶ 104.
- 23 Reparations Order, ¶ 105.
- 24 Reparations Order, ¶ 106.
- 25 Reparations Order, ¶ 107.
- 26 Reparations Order, ¶ 108–111.
- 27 Reparations Order, ¶ 112–123.
- 28 Reparations Order, ¶ 124–125.
- 29 Reparations Order, ¶ 126–127.
- 30 Reparations Order, ¶ 128–134. Reparations Order, ¶ 182.
- 32 Reparations Order, ¶ 168.

31

- 33 Reparations Order, ¶ 215–222.
- 34 See, e.g., Reparations Order, ¶ 223, 230, 260, 276-314.
- 35 See, e.g., Reparations Order, ¶ 400-407.

- 36 Reparations Order, ¶ 492.
- 37 Id.
- 38 See, e.g., Reparations Order, ¶ 467.
- 39 Reparations Order, ¶ 574–584.
- 40 Reparations Order, ¶ 701.
- 41 Reparations Order, ¶ 704.
- 42 Reparations Order, ¶ 725.
- 43 Reparations Order, ¶ 735.
- 44 Reparations Order, ¶ 741.
- 45 Reparations Order, ¶ 743–745.
- 46 Reparations Order, ¶ 746–747.
- 47 See, e.g., Reparations Order, ¶ 736. The Chamber considered all "children of victims of unimaginable atrocities may experience personal suffering" without limiting its holding to children born out of SGBV. Reparations Order, ¶ 207.
- 48 Reparations Order, ¶ 584.
- 49 Reparations Order, ¶ 786.
- 50 Reparations Order, ¶ 795–796.
- 51 Reparations Order, ¶ 797–806.
- 52 Reparations Order, ¶ 807–810.
- 53 Reparations Order, ¶ 811–813.
- 54 *ICC's largest ever reparation order paves the way for reparations for victims of Ongwen's crimes*, Global Survivors Fund (Feb. 28, 2024), https://www.globalsurvivorsfund.org/latest/ articles/icc-largest-ever-reparation-order-ongwen/.
- 55 *Id. See* Reparations Order, ¶ 815.
- 56 See Reparations Order, ¶ 821 ("victims should be made aware of the fact that, given Mr. Ongwen's indigency, distribution of such cash payments and implementation of

- reparations in general, are subject to the availability of resources that the TVF might be able to raise and assign to complement the award. Accordingly, victims should be duly informed that it will take time before any payments are actually distributed to them and some victims may only get payments when sufficient funds may be raised"); ICC Press Release, ICC Trust Fund for Victims issues its First Urgent Funding Appeal of EUR 5 million to launch a reparation program for Victims of Dominic Ongwen (June 27, 2024), https://www.icc-cpi.int/news/icc-trust-fund-victims-issues-its-first-urgent-funding-appeal-eur-5-million-launch-reparation.
- 57 See Caroline Kimeu, Not one government has paid into funds for victims of Ugandan warlord, says ICC, The Guardian, https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/2024/nov/01/not-one-government-has-paid-into-uganda-warlord-dominic-ongwen-victim-reparations-icc-says?CMP=share_btn_url (Nov. 1, 2024)
- 58 See Reparations Order, ¶ 72, 135 (rejecting Uganda's arguments that victims of alleged crimes for which Ongwen was not convicted should be able to access reparations in this case).
- 59 Global Survivors Fund, supra note 54.
- 60 See Conviction Appeal Judgment, ¶ 35; Traldi 2023. Ongwen's Defence asked that he be afforded the same benefits as child soldier victims, but the judges noted that his own victimization fell outside the scope of his conviction and so he was not eligible. Reparations Order, ¶ 127.
- 61 See Situation in Uganda (Prosecutor v. Kony) (Decision on the Prosecution's request to hold a confirmation of charges hearing in the *Kony* case in the suspect's absence) (ICC-02/04-01/05-466) (Nov. 23, 2023).
- 62 See, e.g., Deutsche Welle, Uganda: Ex-LRA Commander Convicted in War Crimes Trial, https://www.dw.com/en/ uganda-ex-lra-commander-convicted-in-war-crimes-trial/a-699 26358 (Aug. 13, 2024).

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of American Society of International Law. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

DOI:10.1017/ilm.2025.6

SITUATION IN UGANDA (PROSECUTOR V. DOMINIC ONGWEN) (REPARATIONS ORDER) (INT'L CRIM. CT. TR. CHAMBER)* [February 28, 2024]

Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English No.: ICC-02/04-01/15

Date: 28 February 2024

TRIAL CHAMBER IX

Before: Judge Bertram Schmitt, Presiding Judge

Judge Péter Kovács

Judge Chang-ho Chung

SITUATION IN UGANDA IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR v. DOMINIC ONGWEN

Public

with Confidential Ex Parte Annex I only available to the Legal Representatives of Victims, the Common Legal Representative of Victims, the Defence, and the Registry and Public Annexes II and III

Reparations Order

^{*}This text consists of extracts from the full reparations order selected by the Introductory Note author. The full version of the order is available as an online supplement at https://doi.org/10.1017/ilm.2025.06. The extracts here and the full version in the supplement online were reproduced and reformatted from the text available on the ICC website (visited January 23, 2025), https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/CourtRecords/0902ebd18078e195.pdf.

To be notified, in accordance with Regulation 31 of the Regulations of the Court, to:

The Office of the Prosecutor Counsel for Dominic Ongwen

Mr Karim A. A. Khan Mr Charles Achaleke Taku

Ms Leonie von Braun Mr Beth Lyons

Mr Thomas Obhof

Legal Representatives of Victims The Office of Public Counsel for Victims

Mr Joseph Akwenyu Manoba Ms Paolina Massidda

Mr Francisco Cox Mr Orchlon Narantsetseg

Mr Walter Komakech

Unrepresented Victims Unrepresented Applicants for

Participation/Reparation

The Office of Public Counsel for

the Defence

Trust Fund for Victims

Ms Deborah Ruiz Verduzco

States' Representatives Others

Republic of Uganda Amici Curiae

Presidency

REGISTRY Assembly of States Parties

Registrar Counsel Support Section

Mr Osvaldo Zavala Giler

Victims and Witnesses Unit Detention Section

Victims Participation and Public Information and Outreach

Reparations Section Section

Mr Philipp Ambach Ms Sonia Robla

TRIAL CHAMBER IX (the 'Chamber') of the International Criminal Court (the 'Court'), in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Dominic Ongwen* (the '*Ongwen* case' or the 'case'), having regard to articles 75 and 79 of the Rome Statute (the 'Statute'), rules 85, 86, and 96 to 98, and 144 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (the 'Rules'), regulation 117 of the Regulations of the Court (the 'Regulations'), regulation 118 of the Regulations of the Registry and regulations 50, 54 to 58, 69 to 72 of the Regulations of the Trust Fund for Victims (the 'Regulations of the TFV'), issues the following 'Reparations Order'. ¹

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

- 1. On 4 February 2021, the Chamber issued its Judgment ('Conviction Judgment'), convicting Dominic Ongwen ('Mr Ongwen') of 61 counts, comprising crimes against humanity and war crimes, committed in Northern Uganda between 1 July 2002 and 31 December 2005, namely: attack against the civilian population as such, murder and attempted murder, torture, enslavement, pillaging, outrages upon personal dignity, destruction of property, persecution, forced marriage, rape, sexual slavery, enslavement, forced pregnancy, conscripting children under the age of 15 into an armed group, and using them to participate actively in hostilities.²
- 2. On 6 May 2021, the Chamber issued the Sentence, imposing on Mr Ongwen a joint sentence of 25 years of imprisonment.³
- 3. On the same date, the Chamber issued an Order for Submissions on Reparations ('6 May 2021 Order'), in which it, *inter alia*: (i) instructed the parties, i.e. the Defence and the victims' representatives (the latter hereafter referred to individually as the 'LRVs' and the 'CLRV'), the Registry and the Trust Fund for Victims ('TFV') to make submissions on a series of issues relevant to reparations; (ii) invited the Office of the Prosecutor (the 'Prosecutor') and the relevant authorities of the Republic of Uganda ('Uganda') to make submissions on the abovementioned issues; (iii) invited any persons or organizations, particularly with local expertise, interested in making submissions on the same issues, to request leave from the Chamber as *amicus curiae*; (iv) instructed the Registry, with the assistance and cooperation of the LRVs as appropriate, to undertake a comprehensive mapping of direct and indirect victims potentially eligible for reparations in the case; and (v) requested the Registrar, assisted by the information that may be provided by the Prosecutor, to report on Mr Ongwen's current financial situation. The time limits as set in the 6 May 2021 Order were extended by decisions of 19 July 2021⁸ and 18 November 2021, with deadlines for the submissions on reparations, report on the mapping, and *amici curiae* observations set for 6 December 2021 and 7 February 2022 and responses due on 7 March 2022.

. .

- 4. On 17 June 2021, the Chamber issued the Decision on the requests for leave to submit *amici curiae* observations, ¹⁰ granting the requests from ten organisations and groups of organisations and persons, namely: (i) the Acholi Religious Leaders Peace Initiative clear communication and outreach is essential for communities to understand the limited scope of reparations in this case as compared to the widespread harm caused by the entire conflict.
- 52. As to ASF *et al.*'s suggestion for the Chamber to consider adding a principle on complementarity and apply a 'positive complementarity approach' to reparations, the Chamber notes the limitations imposed by the legal statutory and procedural framework that govern the Court's proceedings. The Chamber reiterates that the present proceedings deal exclusively with the duty to repair the harm caused by the crimes for which one single individual was convicted by this Court, while not otherwise affecting the rights of victims before national, regional, and or other international bodies. Any other domestic, regional, or international reparations proceedings or measures addressing the harm caused by the wider conflict in Northern Uganda, regardless of their nature or status, are thus outside the scope of the present proceedings. The Chamber acknowledges that the Court's reparations proceedings do not exist in isolation and are indeed part of the wider context of different national and international efforts to address the victims' harm, which ASF *et al.* argues should ideally harmoniously co-exist. 140
- Nonetheless, cognisant of the importance and merit of moving towards a more complementary reparations process and regime, the Chamber encourages the TFV when developing its DIP and implementing reparations in this case, Uganda, and all related stakeholders and entities within the country to cooperate with the goal of complementing each other's plans and activities. The Chamber further urges Uganda to adhere to its international law obligations and recalls its duty, as a State Party to the Statute and pursuant to articles 75(4) and 109 of the Statute and rules 217 to 222 of the Rules, to provide the necessary support for the effective enforcement of the Court's reparation orders.¹⁴¹

IV. SCOPE OF REPARATIONS IN THE ONGWEN CASE

54. Considering the submissions received as to the scope of the present reparations proceeding, as detailed in the section above, the Chamber deems it necessary to recall that the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted

in the present case were committed in the context of and in association with a non-international armed conflict between the LRA and the forces of the Government of Uganda associated with local armed units in Northern Uganda, spanning the entire period relevant to the charges, i.e. 1 July 2002 to 31 December 2005. The Chamber recalls that it also found that, through the period of the charges, the LRA conducted a widespread and systematic attack against the civilian population of Northern Uganda. 143

- 55. Within the context of both the armed conflict and attack against the civilian population, pursuant to the charges brought by the Prosecutor and the evidence in support of those charges provided at trial, the Chamber found Mr Ongwen responsible for the commission of sixty-one counts of the charges the only acts for which reparations can be granted in the present case which can be divided into two groups of crimes, namely:
 - a. The 'attacks', i.e. crimes committed in the context of four attacks against camps for internally displaced persons (the 'IDP camps'): at or near the Pajule IDP camp, on 10 October 2003, (Counts 1-5, 8-10); 144 at or near the Odek IDP camp, on 29 April 2004, (Counts 11-17, 20-23); 145 at or near the Lukodi IDP camp, on or about 19 May 2004, (Counts 24-30, 33-36); 146 and at or near the Abok IDP camp, on 8 June 2004, (Counts 37- 43, 46-49). 147 Specifically, these counts refer to: the war crime of attack against the civilian population as such; 148 the crime against humanity and the war crime of attempted murder; 150 the crime against humanity and the war crime of attempted murder; 150 the crime against humanity and the war crime of outrages upon personal dignity; 154 the war crime of destruction of property; 155 and the crime against humanity of persecution; 156
 - b. The 'thematic crimes', which include:
 - i. **sexual and gender based crimes directly perpetrated by Mr Ongwen** (Counts 50-60), between 1 July 2002 and 31 December 2005, ¹⁵⁷ specifically: the crime against humanity of forced marriage, of P-0099, P-0101, P-0214, P-0226, and P-0227; ¹⁵⁸ the crime against humanity and war crime of torture, of P-0101, P-0214, P-0226, and P-0227; ¹⁵⁹ the crime against humanity and war crime of rape of P-0101, P-0214, P-0226, and P-0227; ¹⁶⁰ the crime against humanity and war crime of sexual slavery of P-0101, P-0214, P-0226, and P-0227; ¹⁶¹ the crime against humanity of enslavement of P-0099, P-0235, and P-0236; ¹⁶² the crime against humanity and war crime of forced pregnancy of P-0101 and P-0214; ¹⁶³ and the war crime of outrages upon personal dignity of P-0226 and P-0235; ¹⁶⁴
 - ii. **sexual and gender-based crimes not directly perpetrated by Mr Ongwen** (Counts 61-68), from at least 1 July 2002 until 31 December 2005, ¹⁶⁵ specifically: the crime against humanity of forced marriage; ¹⁶⁶ the crime against humanity and war crime of torture; ¹⁶⁷ the crime against humanity and war crime of sexual slavery; ¹⁶⁹ and the crime against humanity of enslavement; ¹⁷⁰ and
 - iii. the war crime of conscripting children under the age of 15 into an armed group and using them to participate actively in hostilities (Counts 69 and 70), between 1 July 2002 and 31 December 2005 in Northern Uganda. 171

V. PRINCIPLES ON REPARATIONS

A. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

56. Article 75(1) of the Statute provides that:

[t]he Court shall establish principles relating to reparations to, or in respect of, victims, including restitution, compensation and rehabilitation. On this basis, in its decision the Court may, either upon request or on its own motion in exceptional circumstances, determine the scope and extent of any damage, loss and injury to, or in respect of, victims and will state the principles on which it is acting.

- 57. Principles on reparations are to be distinguished from the order for reparations. They are general concepts that, while formulated in light of the circumstances of a specific case, can nonetheless be applied, adapted, expanded upon, or added to by future trial chambers. The Chamber notes that principles on reparations have been adopted by different chambers of the Court in previous cases, and were most recently adapted and expanded in the *Ntaganda* case. These (hereafter the '*Ntaganda* Principles') include the following six groups of principles:
 - (i) Principles related to victims:
 - a. Beneficiaries of reparations;¹⁷⁴
 - b. Dignity, non-discrimination, and non-stigmatisation; 175
 - c. Victim-centred approach: Accessibility and consultations with victims; 176
 - d. 'Do no harm': 177
 - e. Child victims;¹⁷⁸

expanding the current interpretation of the victim-centred approach to design reparations 'together with' victims, as opposed to 'for them'. 381

The Chamber finds merit in the abovementioned observations. However, it does not consider that they require amending the existing principles because the *Ntaganda* 'Victim-Centred Approach' already comprehensively addresses and incorporates such considerations.³⁸²

. . .

C. CHAMBER'S DETERMINATION

- 77. As previously indicated, the Chamber adopts the *Ntaganda* Principles, as it considers them to be of general application to the present case. However, considering the submissions outlined above, the Chamber has decided to slightly amend the principles related to the 'Types and Modalities of Reparations' and 'Child Victims' as follows:
- Regarding **Types and Modalities of Reparations**: ³⁸³ the principle is amended as required to include the following: First, the Chamber notes that rehabilitation measures may not only be aimed at addressing the medical and psychological conditions of the victims. ³⁸⁴ They can also be aimed at improving the socio-economic conditions of victims, seeking to enable the maximum possible self-sufficiency and to restore, as much as possible, victims' independence and vocational ability, facilitating their inclusion and participation in society. ³⁸⁵ Measures of socio-economic rehabilitation may include a wide array of inter-disciplinary activities, including, *inter alia*, housing, social services, vocational training and education, micro-credits, income generating opportunities, or sustainable work that promote a meaningful role in society. ³⁸⁶ Second, considering that compensation is aimed at addressing in a proportionate and appropriate manner the harm inflicted, ³⁸⁷ payments that are not proportional and appropriate to address the harm can only be regarded as symbolic. Third, measures of satisfaction and guarantees of non-repetition can also be included as appropriate modalities of reparations, particularly in the context of collective reparations.
- 79. Regarding **Child Victims**:³⁸⁸ considering the extensive manner in which children were affected by the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted, the Chamber has adjusted the principle to the extent necessary to ensure that it includes: (i) all victims who were children at the time the crimes were committed or who were born as a result of such crimes; and (ii) the four principles of the Convention on the Rights of the Child and the childright approach. Accordingly, the principle should now read as follows:
- 80. One of the relevant factors to be considered in reparation proceedings is the age of the victims, in accordance with article 68(1) of the Statute. In particular, the Court shall take note of the age of those victims who were children at the relevant time and their needs, pursuant to rule 86 of the Rules. The differential impact of crimes on boys and girls must also be taken into account. On the statute in the relevant time and their needs, pursuant to rule 86 of the Rules. The differential impact of crimes on boys and girls must also be taken into account.
- 81. In reparation decisions concerning children, the Court should be guided, *inter alia*, by the Convention on the Rights of the Child³⁹¹ and the fundamental principles enshrined therein, namely, 'non-discrimination', ³⁹² the 'best

interests of the child', ³⁹³ the 'right to life, survival and development', ³⁹⁴ and the 'right to be heard'. ³⁹⁵ Furthermore, decisions in this context should reflect a gender-inclusive perspective. ³⁹⁶ Additionally, a 'child-rights approach', where children are 'right holders entitled to non-negotiable rights to protection', must be mainstreamed into reparations decisions for child victims. ³⁹⁷

- 82. When dealing with reparations concerning children, the Court must be mindful of the need to take all appropriate measures to promote the physical and psychological recovery and social reintegration of a child victim of: (i) any form of neglect, exploitation, or abuse; (ii) torture or any other form of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment; and (iii) armed conflicts. Such recovery and reintegration should take place in an environment which fosters the child's health, self-respect, and dignity.
- 83. Reparation orders and programmes in favour of child victims, should guarantee the development of the victims' personalities, talents, and abilities fully and, more broadly, they should ensure the development of respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms. They should also include measures to combat stigmatisation, discrimination and social isolation of child victims and survivors through awareness raising and education within communities. Furthermore, bearing in mind that children who have experienced international crimes are in extremely vulnerable situations, they should be assisted to ensure they gain access all of the rights found in the Convention on the Rights of the Child including birth registration, basic health, deducation, and social welfare to fully participate in their recovery and reintegration into society. Former child soldiers, children born out of SGBC, and child victims in general should also be helped to live responsibly in a free society, recognising the need for a spirit of understanding, peace, and tolerance, with respect for equality between the sexes and friendship between all peoples and groups.
- 84. The Court shall inform child victims, their parents, guardians, and legal representatives about the procedures and programmes that are to be applied to reparations, in a way that victims and those acting on their behalf understand.⁴⁰⁹
- 85. The views of child victims are to be considered when decisions about reparations that concern them are made, bearing in mind their circumstances, age, and level of maturity. The Court shall also reflect on the importance of rehabilitating all child victims and reintegrating them into society in order to end the successive cycles of violence that have formed an important part of past conflicts. These measures must be approached on a gender-inclusive basis. 12
- 86. In its reparation orders and programmes, the Court must address the particular needs of victims who were children at the time of the crimes or were born as a result of the crimes, bearing in mind the long-term effects these may have had in their development as adults. Reparations for children should, in particular, contemplate and address the loss of their life plan. Reparations should include measures to prevent the ostracism and discrimination of children, as well as promoting their reintegration into society.

D. Conclusions

87. Based on the foregoing the Chamber adopts the *Ntaganda* Principles regarding the present reparations proceeding, with the slight modifications regarding 'Types and Modalities of Reparations' and 'Child Victims' as detailed above.

VI. ORDER FOR REPARATIONS AGAINST MR ONGWEN

88. Pursuant to article 75(1) of the Statute, the Court may 'determine the scope and extent of any damage, loss and injury to, or in respect of, victims'. In making this determination, a trial chamber should, generally speaking, 'establish the types and categories of harm caused by the crimes for which the person was convicted,'416 having regard to all relevant information before it.417 Article 75(2) of the Statute further stipulates that the Court may make an order directly against a convicted person, or through the TFV, 'specifying appropriate reparations to, or in respect of, victims, including restitution, compensation and rehabilitation'. Appropriate modalities of reparations can only be determined, based on the specific circumstances of the case, by reference to the harms that were caused to

victims as a result of the crimes for which the person was convicted. When determining the extent of the harm, 'rather than attempting to determine the "sum-total" of the monetary value of the harm caused', the Chamber should seek to define the harms and the appropriate modalities for repairing them, 'with a view to, ultimately, assessing the costs of the identified remedy'.

- 89. As determined by the Appeals Chamber, ⁴²¹ a reparations order must contain, at a minimum, five essential elements:
 - (i) it must be directed against the convicted person ('First Element: Personal Liability');
 - (ii) it must identify the victims eligible to benefit from the awards for reparations or set out the criteria of eligibility based on the link between the harm suffered by the victims and the crimes for which the person was convicted ('Second Element: Victims');
 - (iii) it must define the harm caused to direct and indirect victims as a result of the crimes for which the person was convicted, as well as identify the modalities of reparations that the Chamber considers appropriate in the circumstances of the specific case before it ('Third Element: Harm');
 - (iv) it must specify and provide reasons for the type of reparations ordered, be they collective, individual, or both ('Fourth Element: Types and Modalities'); and
 - (v) it must establish and inform the convicted person of his or her liability with respect to the reparations awarded in the order ('Fifth Element: Amount of Liability')
- 90. The inclusion of these five elements in an order for reparations is vital to its proper implementation. It ensures that the critical elements of the order are subject to judicial control, in light of rule 97(3) of the Rules, and is also of significance with respect to the right to appeal, provided for in article 82(4) of the Statute. The Chamber details below its findings in relation to each of these five elements:

A. FIRST ELEMENT: PERSONAL LIABILITY

1. Submissions

- 91. The Defence submits that the Reparations Order should be made through the TFV and not against Mr Ongwen, considering that he has been already declared an indigent person, is receiving legal assistance through the Court's legal aid policy, and has no assets or property that can be used for the purposes of reparations.⁴²³
- 92. The CLRV strongly disagrees with the Defence's suggestion that the Reparations Order should not be against Mr Ongwen, noting that jurisprudence is unequivocal on this point. The CLRV underlines that the liability of the convicted person is a legal requirement and indigence has no impact on the determination of his financial liability. Furthermore, the CLRV stresses that there is no incompatibility between issuing an order for reparations against the convicted person thereby reflecting his responsibility and culpability and asking the TFV to implement such an order, if at the time of the issuance the person has no resources. Finally, the CLRV notes that the situation of indigence may change over time, and thus, determination of the convicted person's liability for reparations is essential for an eventual contribution, should he have available resources in the future.

2. Chamber's determination

93. The Chamber notes that the Appeals Chamber jurisprudence is indeed clear in that 'issuing an order for reparations "against" the convicted person and acting "through" the Trust Fund are not mutually exclusive concepts'. ⁴²⁸ The Court's legal framework does 'not provide for any deviation from the principle of accountability ⁴²⁹ and 'clearly establishes that an order for reparations has to be issued in all circumstances against the convicted person. When appropriate, such an order for reparations can – in addition – be made through the Trust Fund'. ⁴³⁰ This is based on the fact that 'the obligation to repair harm arises from the individual criminal responsibility for the crimes which caused the harm and, accordingly, the person found to be criminally responsible for those crimes is the person to be held liable for reparations'. ⁴³¹

94. In light of the above, as explained in more details below, the present Reparations Order is for collective community-based reparations against Mr Ongwen, to be made through the TFV pursuant to rules 97(1) and 98(3) of the Rules.

B. SECOND ELEMENT: VICTIMS

1. General considerations

- 95. As discussed in more detail below, the Chamber has decided to award collective community-based reparations in the present case. ⁴³² As such, the Chamber finds it appropriate to establish the eligibility criteria for reparations rather than identifying the eligible victims. ⁴³³ The Chamber hereafter indicates the categories of eligible victims entitled to benefit from reparations in the present case, in order to enable their identification during the implementation stage.
- 96. The Chamber recalls that 'reparations orders are intrinsically linked to the individual whose criminal liability is established in the conviction and whose culpability for those criminal acts is determined in a sentence'. Accordingly, eligibility for reparations in the present proceedings is to be determined by reference to the territorial, temporal, and subject matter scope of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.

2. Direct victims

- 97. Natural and legal persons are eligible for reparations as direct victims if they can demonstrate, to the relevant standard of proof, that they suffered harm as a result of at least one of the following crimes:
 - i. Victims of the attacks at or near the IDP camps of Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok
 - a) Context of the attacks against the IDP camps of Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok
- 98. As to the attack at or near the Pajule IDP camp, the Chamber recalls its previous findings that on 10 October 2003, LRA fighters, including Mr Ongwen, attacked the camp. ⁴³⁶ Pajule and Lapul IDP camps were situated in Aruu County, Pader district. The two camps were across from each other, Pajule on the east side of the Lira-Kitgum road and Lapul on the west. ⁴³⁷ They were commonly referred to, collectively, as 'Pajule IDP camp'. ⁴³⁸ At the time of the attack, an estimated 15,000 to 30,000 people lived in the camp. ⁴³⁹ The Chamber refers hereafter to the 'Pajule attack' with reference to the crimes committed within the context of the entire attack at or near the Pajule IDP camp on 10 October 2003, as specified herein.
- 99. Regarding the attack on the Odek IDP camp, the Chamber recalls its findings that, on 29 April 2004, LRA fighters subordinate to Mr Ongwen attacked Odek IDP camp. The Odek IDP camp was situated around Odek village in Odek sub-county, Omoro County, Gulu district, and, at the time, was home to between 2,000 and 3,000 individuals. The Chamber refers hereafter to the 'Odek attack' with reference to the crimes committed within the context of the entire attack at or near the Odek IDP camp on 29 April 2004, as specified herein.
- 100. Regarding the attack on the Lukodi IDP camp, the Chamber recalls its findings that on or about 19 May 2004, LRA fighters sent by Mr Ongwen attacked Lukodi IDP camp. The Lukodi IDP camp was situated near Lukodi village, in Bungatira Sub-County, Aswa County, Gulu District. The Chamber reiterates that, for the purposes of the Conviction Judgment, it was unable to make a definitive conclusion as to the number of civilians that lived within the Lukodi IDP camp, but it was satisfied that a large contingent of civilians lived there at the time of the attack. The Chamber refers hereafter to the 'Lukodi attack' with reference to the crimes committed within the context of the entire attack at or near the Lukodi IDP camp on or about 19 May 2004, as specified herein.
- 101. Lastly, regarding the attack on the Abok IDP camp, the Chamber recalls its findings that on 8 June 2004, LRA fighters subordinate to Mr Ongwen attacked Abok IDP camp. 445 Abok was located in Ngai sub-county, Apac district and estimates indicate that at least 7,000 to just over 13,000 civilians resided in the camp at the time of the attack. 446 The Chamber refers hereafter to the 'Abok attack' with reference to the crimes committed within the context of the entire attack at or near the Abok IDP camp on 8 June 2004, as specified herein.

102. Within the context of the four attacks against the IDP camps referred to above, the following victims are eligible for reparations:

b) Counts 1, 11, 24, and 37 - attack against the civilian population

103. Civilian residents of, and non-residents present at, the IDP camps of Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok at the time of the attacks, as victims of the war crime of attack against the civilian population as such, within the context of the Pajule attack, 447 the Odek attack, 448 the Lukodi attack, 449 and the Abok attack. 450

c) Counts 2, 3, 12, 13, 25, 26, 38, and 39 - murder

104. Victims of murder as a crime against humanity and as a war crime, within the context of the Pajule attack, the Odek attack, the Lukodi attack, and the Abok attack. Specifically:

- a. Regarding the Pajule attack, at least four civilians killed by the LRA in the course of the attack, including: an unnamed woman killed by machete, Kinyera Benson Lacung, Pangarasio Onek, and an unnamed abductee killed near the RV location; 455
- b. Regarding the Odek attack, at least 52 civilians killed by the LRA in the course of the attack, ⁴⁵⁶ including (i) the following persons within the camp during the attack: Adoni Okullu, Agudu's wife and her grandson, Betty Adong and her daughter Ajok, Catherine Amono, Okeny, Aldo Okello, Ayita Labanya, Charles Obur, Doris Apiyo, Jenaro Ongwen, Jimmy Ojok, Catherine Laker, Kevin Apiyo, Kerobina Acayo, Kejikiya Okec, Veronica Auma, Mary Acayo, Monica Aciro, Wilson Okoya, Okoya, Obangomoko, Pedwang Opio, Thomas Opiyo, Thomas Ojok, Valentino Okot, Walter Ojok, Atikcon, an unnamed camp resident, Mary Agudu, Doreen Ojok, DP, Acayo, Aboni, Witness P-0269's mother-in-law and her grandson, a girl found by the river; ⁴⁵⁷ and (ii) the following persons in the course of the retreat: Aroja, Atir, Hilary Kilama, Lalam, Rose Aweko, David Ojok, James Ayella, James Titus Latigo, Kidega, Lagii, Patrick Opap Odong, P'Mala Okot, Ojok, and Fabio Otto; ⁴⁵⁸
- c. Regarding the Lukodi attack, at least 48 civilians killed by the LRA in the course of the attack, ⁴⁵⁹ including: (i) Keneri Okot, Jeneth Lakot, Kilama Aloyo, Kilama Kidega, Jackline Anee, Milly Anek, Akello Acii, Innocent Okello, Ojoko, an unnamed man shouting at the LRA, Christine Ajok, Odong Apiyo, David Otim and an unnamed stabbing victim, Agwesa Odoch, Beatrice's son, Charles Odong, Jasinta Aol, Jojina Angom, Lalobo's son, Tezira Oroma, Ojara, Okwera, Olwedo, Ocaka's wife and one unnamed teenage girl, Onencan, Witness P-0024's mother and another civilian, Min Ojoko, Ocii, Atim, Charles Anywar, Danger Joseph Oryem, James Opiro, Jeneth Lalur Akello, Joseph Ojara, Obedi, Rose Kiter, Tabicha Alum, Vincent Ocaya, and Santa Oroma; ⁴⁶⁰ and (ii) the following persons killed during the retreat from the Lukodi camp: Nancy Akello, an unnamed man stabbed with a bayonet, an unnamed abductee, Justin Omony, Aleka, and Charles Obwoya; ⁴⁶¹ and
- d. Regarding the Abok attack, at least 28 civilians killed by the LRA in the course of the attack, ⁴⁶² including: Albino Okal, Justina Akullu, Hatari Anyima, D.P. Okello, Simon Okello, Alex Ogweng, Barikia Adonya, Fabio Ogweng, two of Hatari Anyima's children (Daniel or Emanwel Okite and Monica Ayugi), and Evelyn Akello. ⁴⁶³

d) Counts 14, 15, 27, 28, 40 and 41 - attempted murder

105. Victims of attempted murder as a crime against humanity and as a war crime, within the context of the Odek attack, 464 the Lukodi attack, 465 and the Abok attack. Specifically:

- a. Regarding the Odek attack, the LRA attempted to kill at least ten civilians in the course of the attack, including: Santa Akello, Betty Atenyo, Christopher Moro, David Bua, Witness P-0252, Kengali and his wife, a man by a borassus palm tree, Okot LC's mother, and a woman shot in the mouth;⁴⁶⁷
- b. Regarding the Lukodi attack, the LRA attempted to kill at least 11 civilians in the course of the attack, including: Pyerina Ayaa, Florence Adong, Adong Paska, Piloya, Joel Opiyo, Ojoko, an unnamed elderly woman, Nyeko, two unnamed girls who had been shot, and an unnamed girl with a burnt leg;⁴⁶⁸ and
- c. Regarding the Abok attack, the LRA attempted to kill at least 4 civilians in the course of the attack, including: Jacob Opio, Cyprian Ogola, Robson Oper, and Gwentorina Akite. 469

e) Counts 4, 5, 16, 17, 29, 30, 42 and 43 - torture

106. Victims of torture as a crime against humanity and as a war crime, within the context of the Pajule attack, the Odek attack, the Lukodi attack, and the Abok attack. Specifically:

- a. Regarding the Pajule attack, hundreds of civilians abducted by the LRA and forced to carry injured LRA fighters and looted items, including heavy loads for long distances, while placed under armed guard to prevent their escape and under constant threat of beatings or death. Some were tied to each other, and many were forced to walk through the bush barefoot or not fully clothed. LRA fighters beat abductees to make them walk faster;⁴⁷⁴
- b. Regarding the Odek attack, civilians severely mistreated by the LRA during the attack and in its aftermath, suffering instances of grave physical abuse, such as beatings with sticks and guns. One woman was raped with a comb and a stick used for cooking while her husband was forced to watch. Civilians, as young as 11 or 12 years old, were also abducted and forced to carry heavy loads for long distances, some barefoot, while placed under armed guard to prevent their escape and under constant threat of beatings or death; 475
- c. Regarding the Lukodi attack, civilians severely mistreated by the LRA during the attack and its aftermath and forced to carry heavy loads, some for long distances while tied together and under constant threat of beatings or death. Civilians were also injured, raped, beaten, and mothers forced to abandon their children in the bush. LRA fighters threw small children, including babies, into the bush, as they were crying and making it difficult for their mothers to carry looted goods;⁴⁷⁶ and
- d. Regarding the Abok attack, civilians severely mistreated by the LRA during the march from the camp through the bush, forced to carry heavy looted goods and at least one injured fighter for long distances, oftentimes under the threat of beatings or death, beaten as a punishment and to intimidate others, one forced to kill another abductee, as a lesson to those thinking of escaping.⁴⁷⁷

f) Counts 8, 20, 33, and 46 - enslavement

107. Victims of enslavement as a crime against humanity, within the context of the Pajule attack, ⁴⁷⁸ the Odek attack, ⁴⁷⁹ the Lukodi attack, ⁴⁸⁰ and the Abok attack. ⁴⁸¹ Specifically:

a. Regarding the Pajule attack, hundreds of civilians abducted from the Pajule IDP camp by the LRA, including: Witness P-0006, her neighbour, her three relatives, Witness P-0081, Richard Otim, Witness P-0249 and his wife, Benson Ojok, Dick Okot and his family members, Rwot Joseph Oywak, Charles Bongomin, Oryema Kadogo, Sunday Abalo, Charles Ayela, Concy Ayet, Ogen, Opira, Okumu; Santo Oweka, David Okwera, Francis Kitara, George, Ronald Labeja, Christopher, Celestino, Vincent Okot, Ocana, Charles Abonga, David Otti Moyo, Christine, Paska, Oluge, Opira Bosco, and Oryema.

- b. Regarding the Odek attack, at least 40 civilians, including men, women, and children as young as 11 or 12 years old, abducted from the Odeak IDP camp by the LRA, including: Atir, Hilary Kilama, Lalam, Rose Aweko, David Ojok, James Titus Latigo, James Ayella, Kidega, Lagii, Patrick Opap Odong, P'Mala Okot, Ojok, Fabio Otto, Onek, Witness P- 0275, Witness P-0269, Witness P-0252, Brian Odokonyero, Hellen Adong, Alice Kidega, Acan, Adaa/Ada, Agnes Adoch, Ajok, Akanyo, Apio, Atenyo, Carolina Lagulu, Dennis Otema, Doreen Aluku, Kadoge, Kala Adong, Joyce Aneno, Ocaka, Paul Ojara, Richard Okello, Santa Oling, Ventorina Akello, and the wife of Olet Okello; 483
- c. Regarding the Lukodi attack, at least 29 civilians, men, women, and children, abducted from the Lukodi IDP camp by the LRA, including: Witness P-0024, Olanya, Aleka, Onek, four unnamed male abductees, Witness P-0187, two unnamed female abductees, Joel Opiyo, Lilly Apiyo, Christine Alanyo, Milly Ayaa, Beatrice, Mary Aol, Min Lagum, Min Ojak, Min Ochora, Alora, Okumu, Nancy Akello, an unnamed man stabbed with a bayonet, an unnamed abductee, Justin Omony, Lakwec, Aleka, and Charles Obwoya; 484
- d. Regarding the Abok attack, many civilians abducted from the Abok IDP camp by the LRA, including Charles Amodo, Gwentorina Akite, Evelyn Akello, Robson Oper, Witness P-0280, Thomas Okitte's daughter, Ogweng, Ameny, Lucy Akello, Molly Ayugi, Monica Adur, Nighty Atim, Dilis Awor, and Witness V-0002. 485

g) Counts 9, 21, 34, and 47 - pillaging

108. Civilian residents of the IDP camps at the time of the attacks, in light of the widespread looting of homes and shops in the camps where LRA fighters took food and other property, as victims of pillaging as a war crime, within the context of the Pajule attack, 486 the Odek attack, 487 the Lukodi attack, 488 and the Abok attack. 489

h) Count 22 - outrages upon personal dignity

109. Victims of outrages upon personal dignity as a war crime, within the context of the Odek attack. Specifically: (i) one abductee forced to kill another abductee with a club and forced to inspect corpses; (ii) one abductee forced to watch someone being killed; and (iii) mothers who were forced to abandon their children on the side of the road, with one child being left in a rubbish pit. 191

i) Counts 35 and 48 - destruction of property

110. Civilian residents of the IDP camps of Lukodi and Abok at the time of the attacks, in light of the destruction of several hundreds of civilian huts and household goods, including food stocks and domestic animals, as victims of destruction of property as a war crime, within the context of the Lukodi attack, ⁴⁹² and the Abok attack. ⁴⁹³

j) Counts 10, 23, 36, and 49 - persecution

111. Victims of the crime against humanity of persecution on political grounds of civilians perceived by the LRA as being affiliated with, or supporting the Uganda government, ⁴⁹⁴ within the context of: the Pajule attack, by an attack against the civilian population as such, murder, torture, enslavement, and pillaging; ⁴⁹⁵ the Odek attack, by an attack against the civilian population as such, murder, attempted murder, torture, enslavement, outrages upon personal dignity, and pillaging; ⁴⁹⁶ the Lukodi attack, by an attack against the civilian population as such, murder, attempted murder, torture, enslavement, pillaging and destruction of property; ⁴⁹⁷ and the Abok attack, by an attack against the civilian population as such, murder, attempted murder, torture, enslavement, pillaging, and destruction of property. ⁴⁹⁸

ii. SGBC victims

a) Counts 50 to 60 - SGBC directly perpetrated by Dominic Ongwen

112. Count 50: victims of forced marriage as a crime against humanity. Specifically, P-0099 between 1 July 2002 and September 2002; P-0101 between 1 July 2002 and July 2004; P-0214, between September 2002 and

- 31 December 2005; P-0226 between 1 July 2002 and sometime in 2003; and P-0227 between approximately April 2005 and 31 December 2005. 499
- 113. Counts 51 and 52: victims of torture as a crime against humanity and as a war crime. Specifically, P-0101 between 1 July 2002 and July 2004; P-0214 between September 2002 and 31 December 2005; P-0226 between 1 July 2002 and sometime in 2003; and P-0227 between approximately April 2005 and 31 December 2005. 500
- 114. Counts 53 and 54: victims of rape as a crime against humanity and as a war crime. Specifically, P-0101 between 1 July 2002 and July 2004; P-0214 between September 2002 and 31 December 2005; P-0226 between 1 July 2002 and sometime in 2003; and P-0227 between approximately April 2005 and 31 December 2005. 501
- 115. Counts 55 and 56: victims of sexual slavery as a crime against humanity and as a war crime. Specifically, P-0101 between 1 July 2002 and July 2004; P-0214 between September 2002 and 31 December 2005; P-0226 between 1 July 2002 and sometime in 2003; and P-0227 between approximately April 2005 and 31 December 2005. 502
- 116. Count 57: victims of enslavement as a crime against humanity. Specifically, P-0099 between 1 July 2002 and September 2002; P-0235 from September 2002 to 31 December 2005; and P-0236 between September 2002 and 31 December 2005. 503
- 117. Counts 58 and 59: victims of forced pregnancy as a crime against humanity and as a war crime. Specifically, P-0101, two pregnancies, between 1 July 2002 and July 2004; and P- 0214 sometime in 2005. 504
- 118. Count 60: victims of outrages upon personal dignity as a war crime. Specifically, P- 0226 sometime in 2002 or early 2003 close to Patongo, Northern Uganda; and P-0235 sometime in late 2002 or early 2003 at an unspecified location in Northern Uganda. 505

b) Counts 61 to 68 – SGBC not directly perpetrated by Dominic Ongwen

- 119. Count 61: victims of forced marriage as a crime against humanity, from at least 1 July 2002 until 31 December 2005. Specifically, over one hundred civilian women and girls abducted as so-called 'wives' of male members of the Sinia brigade. 506
- 120. Counts 62 and 63: victims of torture as a crime against humanity and as a war crime, from at least 1 July 2002 until 31 December 2005. Specifically, over one hundred civilian women and girls abducted by the Sinia brigade, subjected to severe physical and mental pain. 507
- 121. Counts 64 and 65: victims of rape as a crime against humanity and as a war crime, from at least 1 July 2002 until 31 December 2005. Specifically, over one hundred civilian women and girls abducted and 'distributed' to members of the Sinia brigade. 508
- 122. Counts 66 and 67: victims of sexual slavery as a crime against humanity and as a war crime, from at least 1 July 2002 until 31 December 2005. Specifically, over one hundred civilian women and girls abducted and 'distributed' to members of the Sinia brigade. ⁵⁰⁹
- 123. Count 68: victims of enslavement as a crime against humanity, from at least 1 July 2002 until 31 December 2005. Specifically, civilian women and girls abducted by the Sinia brigade, who were no longer or not yet subject to institutionalised sexual abuse, but enslaved by being deprived of their personal liberty, restricted and dictated on their movement, including by threats and subjecting them to armed guard, subjected to forced labour, and physical and psychological abuse. 510

c) Children born out of forced marriage, forced pregnancy, rape, and sexual slavery

124. In regard to the SGBC directly perpetrated by Mr Ongwen, the Chamber recalls its finding that over a long period of time, P-0101, P-0214, P-0226, and P-0227 were subjected to sexual violence by Mr Ongwen repeatedly and continuously, resulting in pregnancies. The Chamber also recalls that 10 of the 13 children fathered by Mr Ongwen were born outside the period relevant to the charges, and that Mr Ongwen was found guilty of forced pregnancy in regard to three pregnancies: two pregnancies of P-0101 and one pregnancy of P-0214. Regarding SGBC not directly perpetrated by Mr Ongwen, the Chamber recalls its finding that the so-called 'wives' in Sinia bore children. S13

125. In line with previous jurisprudence,⁵¹⁴ and as supported by several submissions,⁵¹⁵ the Chamber considers that the children born out of the crimes of forced marriage, forced pregnancy, rape, and sexual slavery for which Mr Ongwen was convicted, both as a direct and as an indirect perpetrator, qualify as direct victims, as the harm they suffered was a direct result of the commission of these crimes. In the view of the Chamber, recognising these children as direct victims of the abovementioned crimes is an acknowledgement of the particular harm they suffered and may constitute an adequate measure of satisfaction, among other forms of reparations they may be awarded.

iii. Child soldier victims

- 126. Counts 69 and 70: victims of the war crime of conscripting children under the age of 15 into an armed group and using them to participate actively in hostilities (hereafter 'former child soldiers'). Specifically, a large number of children under the age of 15 years abducted during the four attacks relevant to the charges and generally between 1 July 2002 and 31 December 2005 in.Northern Uganda and assigned to service in the Sinia Brigade. 516
- 127. The Chamber notes the Defence's submission that Mr Ongwen is also a victim of the crimes he is alleged to have committed having been abducted as a child at the age of nine years and should therefore 'be accorded the same privileges that will accrue to all the other former child soldiers in these reparations proceedings'. However, as the Defence itself submits 'only victims who suffered harm arising from the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted during the temporal jurisdiction of the case (i.e. between 1 July 2002 and 31 December 2005) should be eligible for reparations in this case'. In effect, as noted above, eligibility for reparations in the present proceedings is to be determined by reference to the territorial, temporal, and subject matter scope of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. As stressed in the Conviction Judgment and Sentence, Mr Ongwen's age at the time of his own abduction had no relevance to the charges, as he committed the relevant crimes when he was a fully responsible adult. Accordingly, the Defence's submission is dismissed.

3. Indirect victims

- 128. Following the Court's previous jurisprudence, including that of the Appeals Chamber, the Chamber recognises as indirect victims all categories identified in the *Lubanga* and *Ntaganda* cases. Accordingly, provided they can demonstrate to have suffered personal harm as a result of the commission of the crime against the direct victim and a causal link between their harm and the crimes, indirect victims may include:
 - (i) the family members of direct victims;
 - (ii) anyone who attempted to prevent the commission of one or more of the crimes under consideration;
 - (iii) individuals who suffered harm when helping or intervening on behalf of direct victims; and
 - (iv) other persons who suffered personal harm as a result of these offences.

In accordance with previous jurisprudence, indirect victims may include those who witnessed the commission of such crimes, insofar as their personal harm and the causal link with the crimes is proven pursuant to the required standard of proof.⁵²²

- 129. Regarding the first category of indirect victims, the Chamber recalls its understanding of the concept of extended family in the Acholi cultural practice, whereby a woman may refer to all of the children born into her husband's family as her own children, and that children born into the same extended family may refer to each other as siblings, ⁵²³ an approach to which the Prosecutor has also subscribed. ⁵²⁴ The CLRV agrees that the notion of family must be understood broadly and submits, as does the TFV, ⁵²⁵ that due consideration shall be given to social and familial structures in light of cultural variations. ⁵²⁶ Similarly, the LRVs submit that the concept of family in many African countries, including Uganda, encompasses both the nuclear family and the extended family, ⁵²⁷ while the Government of Uganda states that there is a communal rather than individualistic social structure in Uganda, and that the western perspective of a nuclear family is alien to the Ugandan culture. ⁵²⁸
- 130. The Chamber notes that the Defence disagrees with the LRVs' suggestion of adopting an 'overly broad' meaning of family to include extended family as indirect victims. 529 Although the Defence accepts and acknowledges that the definition of family must be culturally adapted to go beyond the western notion of a nuclear

family, it submits that the 'extended' or 'remote' family must be defined for the purpose of this case, rather than encompassing an unlimited number of individuals based on a broad definition. ⁵³⁰

- 131. In light of the above submissions and in accordance with previous jurisprudence,⁵³¹ the Chamber reaffirms that due regard ought to be given to the applicable social and familial structures in the affected communities, subscribing to the understanding that, broadly, in the African continent, including in Uganda,⁵³² the concept of family goes beyond the strict frame of a couple and their children, to include their father and mother, brothers and sisters, and other relatives.
- 132. However, the Chamber underlines that the definition of victims under rule 85(a) of the Rules emphasises the requirement of the existence of a harm. Accordingly, rather than how close or distant the family members are from the direct victim, in order to be entitled to receive reparations, family members must always demonstrate to have suffered personal harm. Further, as previously held in the *Ntaganda* and *Katanga* cases, demonstrating the existence of a 'close personal relationship' with the direct victim, is one way in which the applicant can prove the harm suffered and that the harm resulted from the crimes for which the person in question was convicted, thereby satisfying both eligibility requirements, i.e. victimhood and harm. In this regard, the Chamber recalls that it is not relevant whether the family member is close or distant to the direct victim in the abstract, as long as the indirect victim can demonstrate to have suffered personal harm as a result of the commission of the crime against the direct victim. Satisfying the commission of the crime against the direct victim.
- 133. In addition, the Chamber recalls that the concept of indirect victims shall not discriminate individuals on the basis of birth or marital status. ⁵³⁶ For this purpose, the Chamber underlines that unmarried partners and children born outside of wedlock may also qualify as indirect victims and be eligible to reparations upon demonstrating personal harm at the required standard of proof. ⁵³⁷
- 134. The Chamber notes the Defence's submission that the second category of victims should not be considered indirect victims, 538 however, it dismisses it as unfounded and lacking substantiation. 539 The Chamber once again underlines that indirect victims shall always demonstrate to have suffered personal harm as a result of the commission of the crime against the direct victim and a causal link between their harm and the crimes. The Chamber also notes that individuals who suffered personal harm as a result of the commission of a crime against a person with whom they did not have a close personal relationship, but who nevertheless was of significant importance in their lives, may be entitled to reparations, subject to proof of personal harm as a result of the commission of a crime against the direct victim and the causal link. 540
- 135. The Chamber notes Uganda's submission that the broad perspective of victimhood should include the Government of Uganda and many other Ugandans who indirectly suffered 'harm in intervening to assist victims in distress or to prevent victimisation', arguing that lives were lost and harm was caused as a result of the Uganda's diversion of funds from crucial sectors in order to prevent victimisation and to assist those who suffered from the LRA violations. ⁵⁴¹ However, as noted by Uganda itself, ⁵⁴² such an interpretation would go against the principle reaffirmed in this case that the notion of harm still requires a causal link between the crimes in a conviction, and to be entitled to reparations indirect victims shall demonstrate that they suffered a personal harm *as a result of* the commission of a crime against a direct victim. Accordingly, the Uganda's submission is dismissed.
 - 4. Presumptions of victimhood and other requested presumptions
 - i. Presumptions suggested by the LRVs
- Regarding the general need to establish presumptions when collective reparations are solely or jointly awarded to victims, the LRVs submit that given the collective nature of reparations in this case, the necessity of proving eligibility becomes even more debatable, as it camps due to lack of food and access to water and adequate health facilities. The Chamber considers it evident that every camp resident, even if not physically present at the exact moment of the attacks, would have been severely impacted by the attacks on their community, homes, relatives, and neighbours, and would have, as a result, suffered all the same from the attacks themselves.
- 164. Consequently, the Chamber considers that it shall not be necessary to scrutinise whether individuals who were present in or were residents of the four IDP camps at the time of the attacks on said camps are indeed

victims of the crimes of attack against the civil population as such and persecution through the underlying act of attack against the civilian population as such. However, the Chamber notes that, in order to benefit from this presumption, said individuals must still establish, on a balance of probabilities, that they were either a resident of or physically present in one of the camps at the time of the attacks.

v. Chamber's determination

Based on the findings above, the Chamber hereby reiterates that it rejects the adoption of all of the presumptions suggested by the parties and participants, with the exception that all individuals who can establish, on a balance of probabilities, that they were present in or who were camp residents at the time of the attacks on the Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok IDP camps, shall be presumed to be victims of the crimes of an attack against the civil population as such and persecution, through the underlying act of attack against the civilian population as such.

C. THIRD ELEMENT: HARM

1. General considerations

- 166. At the outset, the Chamber stresses that the approach of clearly defining the harms that result from the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted, aims to protect the rights of the convicted person, as well as the rights of the victims of these crimes. 600 It ensures that reparations are not awarded to remedy harms beyond the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. 601
- 167. As noted above, the Chamber incorporates the *Ntaganda* principles in this Reparations Order, including the principle related to the Concept and Types of Harm. ⁶⁰² In addition, the Chamber underlines that, in light of its findings in the Conviction Judgment and Sentence and its assessment of the entirety of the evidence in the case file and the Sample, it has considered whether the victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted suffered physical, moral, material, community, and or transgenerational harm.
- 168. The Chamber notes that <u>physical harm</u> encompasses physical and bodily injury, impairment of the body, pain, and illness. The Chamber emphasises that 'the concept of physical harm is not restricted to the infliction of a physical or bodily injury', and notes that 'hurt, pain or suffering otherwise not caused by a bodily injury can also amount to physical harm'. Moral harm may include psychological harm or trauma, mental pain and anguish, emotional distress, psychosocial harm, and loss of life plan. Material harm refers to loss of or damage to property, loss of earnings, opportunity to work, reduced standard of living and socio-economic opportunities, and loss of schooling and vocational training. Community harm is that suffered by persons as members of a group, family and or community. Lastly, transgenerational harm relates to the phenomenon in which traumatised parents set in motion an intergenerational cycle of dysfunction, handing-down trauma to their children, who themselves did not directly experience the atrocities their parents endured, affecting their children's emotional behaviour, attachment, and well-being as a result.

2. Issues related to transgenerational harm

- 169. Before discussing the evidence relevant to defining the different harms caused to the victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted, the Chamber will address issues raised in the present proceedings regarding transgenerational harm.
- 170. The Chamber recalls that, in light of developments in the *Ntaganda* case, 613 the Chamber invited the parties, as well as the Prosecutor, the Registry, and the TVF, to make additional submissions on the following issues identified by the Appeals Chamber, 614 as required to make findings on transgenerational harm: (i) the scientific basis for the concept of transgenerational harm; (ii) the evidence needed to establish it; (iii) what the evidentiary requirements are for an applicant to prove this type of harm; (iv) the need, if any, for a psychological examination of applicants and parents; (v) the need, if any, to exercise caution in assessing applications based on transgenerational harm; and (vi) whether Mr Ongwen is liable to repair such harm in the specific context of the case. 615

171. The Chamber received submissions on the abovementioned issues from the Defence, ⁶¹⁶ the LRVs, ⁶¹⁷ the CLRV, ⁶¹⁸ the TFV, ⁶¹⁹ and a response by the Defence. ⁶²⁰ Below, the Chamber assesses these submissions and makes its determinations with regard to transgenerational harm in the present case.

i. Scientific basis for the concept of transgenerational harm

a) Parties' submissions and participants observations

- 172. In their submissions on this issue, the LRVs refer to the findings of the epigenetic theory of transgenerational harm. Specifically, the LRVs note that studies have shown that the scientific basis for transgenerational harm derives from the interaction between phenotypic, environmental, and genetic variations that create an epigenetic state which persists across generations. The LRVs refer to a number of academic and scientific articles that they posit lend support to the epigenetic theory, including a study suggesting that, although genetic traits have been the usual starting point to explain transgenerational harm, new research points to the transference of disorders from one generation to the next due to the manner in which the human body responds to environmental factors present not solely in the material world but in the psychosocial world as well. The LRVs also refer to a study which notes that many exposures during development are mediated by maternal phenotype and reflect stresses to which mothers were originally exposed, and which also highlights that exposure to conflict may affect subsequent generations.
- 173. On this matter, the CLRV submits that there is a consensus among experts that children of victims of trauma display heightened levels of distress and psychopathology, even when they are not personally exposed to the traumatic stress. The CLRV further notes that the social transmission theory and the epigenetic transmission theory are the two leading schools of thought regarding the scientific basis for transgenerational transmission of trauma, and highlights that both schools do not question the fact that trauma is passed from one generation to the other, but simply do not agree on *how* the trauma is transferred. Moreover, the CLRV recalls that four experts called to testify at trial confirmed the transmission of trauma from one generation to the next and provided evidence regarding the trauma suffered by victims of the LRA in Northern Uganda during the period of the charges, as well as the trauma's impact on their offspring and future generations. Lastly, the CLRV posits that the existence of transgenerational harm has been acknowledged in the context of different cases before this Court children, the Chamber should acknowledge the phenomenon's existence and devise relevant reparations accordingly.
- 174. Similarly to the CLRV, the TFV notes the existence of the two leading schools of thought as to the scientific basis of the transgenerational harm phenomenon: the epigenetic transmission theory and the social transmission theory. The TFV recalls that both theories advance a scientific explanation as to how a parent's exposure to trauma can be transmitted from a parent to a child who was not directly exposed to the parent's traumatic experience. The Chamber further notes the TFV's observations as to each of the theories.
- 175. In its initial submission, the Defence explicitly reserved its comments regarding the scientific basis for the concept of transgenerational harm for its responses.⁶³⁴ Responding to the LRVs, the Defence argues that epigenetic effects from malnutrition do not arise out of a short period of hunger, for example, over a period of one or two days, but rather over an entire season, which the Defence notes extends beyond the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.⁶³⁵ Instead, the Defence submits that Uganda is to blame for creating the IDP camps, and that, as a result, any inheritable changes caused by environmental factors of the war cannot be attributed to Mr Ongwen, nor can any reparations relating thereto be properly assessed.⁶³⁶ Further, the Defence challenges articles cited by the LRVs, arguing that they are not rooted in current generally accepted science and are not the work of research scientists and medical professionals, but are rather studies that merely contain opinions and perspectives.⁶³⁷
- 176. As to the CLRV's submissions, the Defence replies that Mr Ongwen is not categorically responsible for the all trauma and harms suffered by victims of the LRA in Northern Uganda during the period of the charges, but only for the crimes for which he was convicted. The Defence notes that it takes issue with the claim that a singular attack—as opposed to long term exposure to violence—can result in transgenerational harm.

177. In relation to the TFV's observations, the Defence argues that the TFV offers little insight into whether transgenerational harm is an established science, and merely states that multiple studies have demonstrated an increased risk for children to adopt traumatic stress disorders when their parents have also suffered from such disorders. The Defence also notes that the TFV itself states there are credible researchers who disagree with the epigenetic theory, of which the Defence requests the Chamber take note and decide that the aforementioned theory is not a settled science. Lastly, in relation to the social transmission theory, the Defence states that the TFV merely refers to the existence of the theory, without explaining its scientific basis, and that the Chamber should disregard the TFV's submission regarding the same.

b) Chamber's determination

- 178. Regarding the *scientific certainty as to the concept of transgenerational harm*, the Chamber is satisfied that, as submitted by the LRVs, ⁶⁴³ the CLRV, ⁶⁴⁴ and the TFV, ⁶⁴⁵ within the current stage of advance in the academic and scientific research, experts from different disciplines agree on the *existence* of 'a phenomenon, whereby social violence is passed on from ascendants to descendants with traumatic consequences for the latter'. ⁶⁴⁶
- 179. The Chamber's assessment of the Court's prior jurisprudence, 647 the additional scientific and academic literature referred to by the Defence, 648 the LRVs, 649 the CLRV, 650 and the TFV, 651 expert evidence heard in the case, 652 the views of experts in other cases before this Court and decisions issued by other international jurisdictions, as summarised in the *Ntaganda* case, 653 leads this Chamber to conclude, that experts from different disciplines *agree* on the existence of the phenomenon of transgenerational harm, in which traumatised parents set in motion an intergenerational cycle of dysfunction, handing-down trauma. 654
- 180. As noted in the *Ntaganda* case, 655 the diverse scientific explanations focus on *how* traumatised parents pass on trauma to their children who themselves did not directly experience the atrocities their parents endured, not on *whether* the phenomenon of transgenerational harm exists. As also noted in the *Katanga* case, 656 the ever-evolving scientific discussion on how harm is transmitted revolves around two main schools of thought: the epigenetic theory and the social transmission theory. The former focuses on the 'parent-to-child transmission of epigenetic marks that retain a memory of traumatic events experienced by the parents', while the latter focuses on 'the impact of upbringing and emotional learning on the child's emotional development'. As also noted in the *Ntaganda* case, 658 recent studies suggest that the process of social transmission and epigenetic modifications, in fact, mutually reinforce and feed into each other and that a holistic understanding of the intergenerational mechanisms and effects of trauma, including those emanating from war experience and famine, requires an interdisciplinary biopsychosocial approach. 659
- 181. In effect, these studies indicate that it is 'extremely difficult to exclude explanation by social transmission' although that 'depends on the manner and level to which the parent was able to cope with the abnormal experience'. Further, in addition to the genetic mechanisms of transmission, multiple studies posit that 'in utero and early life exposure to environmental factors during critical periods of developmental plasticity, including parental stress and PTSD' predispose offspring to later disease with physiological and psychological consequences. The Chamber notes that it has carefully considered the above theories, the literature and studies referred to by the parties and participants, the evidence presented in the case, and the current state of the scientific debate as to the manner in which transgenerational harm is transmitted.
- 182. As to the Defence's submissions, the Chamber notes that the existing body of academic, scientific, and theoretical research and studies, as outlined above, clearly establish the existence of the phenomenon of transgenerational transmission of trauma and its scientific foundation. Regarding the Defence's argument of the lack of proof of a 'strong scientific basis for transgenerational harm', particularly over prolonged periods of violence and trauma, the Chamber notes that the Defence mischaracterises the threshold required to establish whether the concept of transgenerational harm has a sufficient scientific basis to be applied in the present proceeding. In the view of the Chamber, it is sufficient to demonstrate, as has been done in the present and previous cases before the Court, that despite different explanations as to the way in which the harm is transmitted, the phenomenon of transgenerational harm is rooted in sound science, reasoning, research, and qualitative study.

ii. Establishing transgenerational harm

a) Parties' submissions and participants observations

183. Regarding the evidence required to establish transgenerational harm, the LRVs submit that it is not necessary for such evidence to stem from a conflict environment exposure alone, but also the physiological stress the survivors encountered. The LRVs posit that the evidentiary requirements to prove this harm include a number of factors, such as environment exposures, physiological stresses, psychosocial spaces and the relationships which the primary victim experiences during a specific time in their lifetime. As to the need for a psychological examination of children and their parents, the LRVs submit that the Chamber should adopt and rely on presumptions in addressing transgenerational harm. The LRVs argue that the justification for introducing a presumption of psychological harm lies in the violent nature of LRA attacks on the IDP camps and the increased vulnerability of the victims during such attacks. Additionally, with regard to the need, if any, to exercise caution in assessing applications based on transgenerational harm, the LRVs acknowledge that the 'study and must be rooted in sufficient evidence of the causal nexus between the child's harm and the harm caused to the parent(s) stemming from the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.

iii. Mr Ongwen's liability in relation to transgenerational harm

a) Parties' submissions and participants observations

- 198. The Chamber notes the LRVs' submission that Mr Ongwen is liable to repair transgenerational harm owing to the fact that a victim ought to demonstrate generally that the harm suffered has a causal link to the harm suffered by the primary victim of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.⁷¹¹
- 199. The Chamber notes as well the CLRV's submission that it has been established at the required standard that Mr Ongwen is the proximate cause of the transgenerational harm suffered by the children of the direct victims, and as such, Mr Ongwen must be held liable for said harm. The CLRV argues that the 'but/for' standard of causation does not require that the act posed by the convicted person be the sole cause of the harm but only that the convicted person could 'reasonably foresee' that his or her crime would cause the harm and that said crime is 'closely connected' and 'significant enough' to have caused the harm. The CLRV states that Mr Ongwen could indeed reasonably foresee that his acts would result in transgenerational harm, and argues that his crimes are closely connected and significant enough to have caused such harm.
- 200. Moreover, the CLRV posits that considering the development of the conflict in Northern Uganda and its protracted nature, it is very unlikely that a trauma suffered by the direct victim arises out of events that preceded the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. In addition, the CLRV states that any trauma that a victim might have suffered prior to the period of the charges is unlikely to be significant enough to outweigh the impact of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. Lastly, the CLRV submits that given the 'particularly cruelty of the acts committed by Mr Ongwen', it can be 'concluded that the convicted person could have reasonably expected, and he in fact intended, that his crimes would lead to trauma beyond the direct victims'.
- 201. The Chamber also notes the TFV's observation that Mr Ongwen could have reasonably foreseen the impact this would have on the descendants of direct victims.⁷¹⁸ In its observations, the TFV also states that the closer the applicant's date of birth was to the date of the commission of the crime(s), the more likely it is that the crime(s) had an impact on the applicant concerned.⁷¹⁹
- 202. The Defence submits that Mr Ongwen is not liable to repair transgenerational harm due to the protracted armed conflict in Northern Uganda involving the LRA led by Joseph Kony, on the one side, and the UPDF, on the other side. To further illustrate its point, the Defence refers to 'ample evidence on record showing that there was crossfire between the UPDF and the LRA in the course of the attacks against the four IDP camps namely Pajule, Odek, Abok, and Lukodi'. The Defence therefore argues that any transgenerational harm that may have been suffered cannot be entirely attributed to Mr Ongwen.
- 203. The Defence also posits that, in the context of Mr Ongwen's conviction as an indirect co-perpetrator, he cannot be held *fully* accountable for any transgenerational harm that may have been suffered by the victims.⁷²³

Additionally, the Defence submits that Mr Ongwen is not responsible for any transgenerational harm that the victims may have suffered prior to the date on which he became commander of the Sinia brigade, that he did not directly commit. 724

204. Lastly, the Defence argues that Mr Ongwen is not liable to repair any transgenerational harm that the victims may have suffered as result of establishment of the IDP camps and the suffering that they may have endured as a result of the atrocities experienced.⁷²⁵

b) Chamber's determination

205. The Chamber has considered the Defence's argument regarding whether Mr Ongwen should be liable to repair transgenerational harm in the specific context of the crimes for which he was convicted and taking into account the impact of the protracted armed conflict in Northern Uganda. First, the Chamber notes that sufficient safeguards to the rights of the convicted person are incorporated throughout this Reparations Order, and are further bolstered by the Court's statutory framework governing reparations proceedings. The Chamber recalls, as described above, that *any* potential award based on transgenerational harm requires sufficient proof of the causal nexus between the child's harm and the harm caused to the parent(s) stemming from crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. As noted previously, the standard of causation recognised in this case is the 'but/for' standard and it is further required that the crimes for which the person was convicted had to be the 'proximate cause' of the harm for which reparations are sought. Accordingly, the issue of the impact of the protracted armed conflict in Northern Uganda and the causal nexus between the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted and the harm alleged by victims is a matter of evidence that must be addressed and decided on a case-by-case basis during the eligibility assessments. As the Chamber highlighted above, caution should therefore be exercised when assessing whether victims who claim transgenerational harm are indeed eligible to benefit from reparations.

iv. Chamber's conclusion as to transgenerational harm

- 206. In light of the existing scientific basis for the concept of transgenerational harm, the Chamber finds that a child of a direct victim claiming to have suffered transgenerational harm will need to establish that: (i) a direct victim suffered harm as a result of a crime for which Mr Ongwen was convicted; (ii) he or she, as the child of the direct victim, suffered harm; (iii) the child's harm arises out of the harm suffered by the direct victim; and (iv) the parent-child relationship. The Chamber recalls that the requirements described above are without prejudice to the application of the general presumptions as determined in this Reparations Order and subject to the same evidentiary criteria and standard of causation applicable to all victims. Victims claiming to have suffered transgenerational harm shall be assessed on a case-by-case basis by the entity in charge of conducting eligibility assessments at the implementation stage.
- 207. Consistent with the approach taken in the *Ntaganda* case,⁷²⁷ the Chamber underlines the importance of acknowledging the existence of the phenomenon of transgenerational harm and recognising that children of victims of unimaginable atrocities may also experience personal suffering, even if they did not personally experience the atrocities that caused their parents' trauma.

3. Definition of the types of harm suffered by the victims

- 208. In this section, the Chamber defines the harms caused to direct and indirect victims. As described in detail below, in order to reach its conclusions as to the definition of the types of harm suffered by direct and indirect victims, the Chamber has considered all relevant information before it, including its findings beyond reasonable doubt in the Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard and submitted during the trial proceedings, observations by the parties and other participants in the proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of coherent, credible, and consistent accounts of victims in the Sample.
- 209. The Chamber notes that the Defence did not make submissions regarding the harms suffered by the direct or indirect victims. The Chamber has considered the submissions provided by the LRVs and the CLRV, and the observations provided by the participants in the proceedings. The Chamber will refer to these submissions and observations below when necessary to explain its assessment of the different harms.

i. Harm suffered by the victims of the attacks

- 210. The Chamber recalls that, in the Sentence, it found that the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted resulted in a 'very large extent of cumulative victimisation'. The attacks themselves were of a large scale and considerable magnitude against tens of thousands of victims. The attacks were executed by a large number of LRA fighters armed with an assortment of weapons, including firearms, leading to a large scale of victimisation.
- 211. As noted above,⁷³² within the context of the attacks on the Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok IDP camps, Mr Ongwen was convicted for the commission of numerous crimes, including: attacks against the civilian population,⁷³³ murder,⁷³⁴ attempted murder,⁷³⁵ torture,⁷³⁶ enslavement,⁷³⁷ pillaging,⁷³⁸ persecution,⁷³⁹ outrages upon personal dignity,⁷⁴⁰ and destruction of property.⁷⁴¹
- 212. As outlined in the Sentence, the consequences suffered by the civilian population as a result of the attacks constitute, at the same time, the relevant facts underlying other crimes committed within the context of the attacks for which Mr Ongwen was also convicted. In light of such an overlap, and in order to avoid repetition, the Chamber has assessed the evidence and will define hereafter the various and multi-dimensional types of harms suffered by the victims as a consequence of the attacks as a whole.

a) Direct victims of the attacks

- (i) Physical harm
- 213. The LRVs, CLRV, and a number of participants describe the physical harm suffered by direct victims of the attacks on the IDP camps. In particular, they identify bullet wounds,⁷⁴⁴ burns,⁷⁴⁵ fractures to limbs,⁷⁴⁶ disabilities caused by injuries sustained in the attack,⁷⁴⁷ loss of limbs,⁷⁴⁸ shrapnel or devices lodged in the body,⁷⁴⁹ disabilities to male genitalia arising from violent tying of victims testicles,⁷⁵⁰ stabbings with sharp objects,⁷⁵¹ forced pregnancies,⁷⁵² chronic chest and back pains from carrying heavy loads,⁷⁵³ scarring,⁷⁵⁴ cutting of body parts,⁷⁵⁵ HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases,⁷⁵⁶ and beatings.⁷⁵⁷
- 214. Parties and participants further submit that the physical harm suffered by the direct victims of the attacks had long-lasting consequences, as some victims have 'persistent health challenges', 'long-lasting health ailments', 'require specialised care, have injuries that 'might not be medically remedied through simple procedure', and continue to live with serious disabilities. Fé2
- 215. As found beyond reasonable doubt in the Conviction Judgment and Sentence, it has been demonstrated that in the context of all four attacks against the Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok IDP camps, direct victims were shot, ⁷⁶³ beaten, ⁷⁶⁴ stabbed, ⁷⁶⁵ cut, ⁷⁶⁶ burnt, ⁷⁶⁷ and forced to carry heavy items for long periods of time and in difficult conditions. ⁷⁶⁸
- 216. The Chamber recalls that LRA rebel fighters deliberately targeted civilians during the attacks,⁷⁶⁹ and that many people died as a result of their injuries.⁷⁷⁰ In the context of the Pajule attack, civilians were killed by bullet wounds,⁷⁷¹ machetes,⁷⁷² and were beaten to death.⁷⁷³ On this point, the Chamber further recalls that, as noted in the Sentence, victims of the Pajule attack were 'particularly defenceless'.⁷⁷⁴ The Chamber also notes the evidence provided by P-0061, a civilian resident of Pajule, who testified at trial that he witnessed LRA rebels shooting at unarmed civilians randomly during the attack and saw civilians crying and falling down.⁷⁷⁵
- 217. In the context of the Odek attack, the Chamber recalls that LRA rebels shot indiscriminately into civilians' homes, ⁷⁷⁶ shot some civilians in their chest ⁷⁷⁷ and other in their back, ⁷⁷⁸ or beat them to death. ⁷⁷⁹ As noted in both the Conviction Judgment and the Sentence, the killings carried out in the Odek attack were committed with 'great brutality' ⁷⁸⁰ and the 'bodies of the dead were scattered everywhere across the camp'. ⁷⁸¹
- 218. The Chamber further recalls that it found beyond reasonable doubt that, in the Lukodi attack, civilians were shot at and beaten to death. The Conviction Judgment found that LRA fighters severely mistreated civilians during the attack in Lukodi and in its aftermath. The Conviction Judgment found that LRA fighters severely mistreated civilians during the attack in Lukodi and in its aftermath.
- During the attack on the Abok IDP camp, LRA fighters indiscriminately fired at civilians in the camp, ⁷⁸⁴ shooting, ⁷⁸⁵ and beating them. ⁷⁸⁶ The LRA fighters also stabbed civilians with bayonets and used axes they retrieved

from the village to beat people. Ref. Civilians were battered on their heads with sticks, the clubs, stress and cut with machetes. Survivors returning the next morning after the attack found bodies strewn throughout the camp, including the bodies of children.

- 220. The Chamber also recalls its findings that during some of the attacks, LRA soldiers were instructed to burn civilians to death .⁷⁹² In effect, the Chamber heard abundant evidence at trial relating to how civilians were burnt inside their homes.⁷⁹³ In relation to the Lukodi and Abok attacks, the Chamber recalls the particular cruelty of the cases of civilians who were locked in their houses and burnt to death, noting the 'protracted pain and unthinkable agony' that they suffered.⁷⁹⁴
- 221. The Chamber underlines that, in the context of all four attacks, child victims suffered physical harm, which sometimes resulted in death or severe injury.⁷⁹⁵ The Chamber recalls the numerous accounts of witnesses describing how they saw children being killed in the course of the attacks⁷⁹⁶ or how they found dead children in each camp after the attacks.⁷⁹⁷ Children were killed in different ways, some were shot,⁷⁹⁸ some were beaten,⁷⁹⁹ while others were burnt,⁸⁰⁰ thrown to the flames,⁸⁰¹ or put into sacks and beaten to death.⁸⁰² The Chamber notes, for instance, the testimony of P-0195, a Lukodi resident, who learned that the son of her brother-in-law was shot in the mouth because he was crying for his mother.⁸⁰³
- 222. The Chamber also recalls that women were killed, *inter alia*, by being shot, ⁸⁰⁴ beaten, ⁸⁰⁵ burnt, ⁸⁰⁶ or stabbed by knives. ⁸⁰⁷ The Chamber particularly recalls the account that a woman who, whilst carrying her baby on her back, was shot and killed. ⁸⁰⁸
- 223. In addition, the Chamber recalls that civilians were severely mistreated during the attacks and, on some occasions, murders were not fully carried out because of independent circumstances. The Chamber also recalls the finding in the Conviction Judgment that during the attack in Odek, and in its aftermath, LRA fighters severely mistreated civilians. The victims suffered instances of grave physical abuse at the hands of the LRA fighters, such as beatings with sticks and guns. Moreover, the Chamber found that a civilian woman was raped with a comb and a stick used for cooking. The civilians who survived the attacks sustained severe injuries, some of which had long-lasting effects. Some of these injuries included cuts on the head, unshot wounds, broken limbs, for the country of the bullet wounds, injuries which required body parts to be amputated, scars from bullet wounds, dislocated joints, permanent eye damage, permanent feeling of weakness, and burn wounds.
- The Chamber also notes that some children were severely injured and burnt, even if it did not result in death. Abducted mothers were forced to abandon their children so that they could carry luggage. The testimonies heard at trial indicate that abandoned infants who were at the age of requiring breastfeeding and full care by their caregivers were injured, became sick, developed health problems, and in some instances no longer accepted breastfeeding. P- 0268, a resident of the Odek IDP camp, testified that her baby died as a result of serious health problems caused by abandonment.
- 225. Civilians who were abducted from the IDP camps also suffered physical harm as a result of being tied to each other, ⁸³⁰ forced to carry looted items or injured fighters, ⁸³¹ and walk for long distances. The abductees were forced to carry what were often large and heavy loads, and the weight was carried by the abductees on their heads. ⁸³² In addition, as found in the Sentence, some of the abductees suffered 'grave physical abuse', ⁸³³ such as beatings with clubs, hoes, and guns, ⁸³⁴ because they refused or struggled to carry heavy loads or because they tried to escape. ⁸³⁵ Civilians were beaten if they did not walk fast enough, and in some instances the beatings resulted in death. ⁸³⁶
- 226. The Chamber also considers that many of abductee victims suffered health ailments as a result of the conditions they were forced to endure during their time in captivity. On this point, the Chamber recalls the testimonies of witnesses heard during the trial who recounted the physical harm many abductees suffered in the aftermath of their abduction. For example, P-0306, who was abducted from the Abok IDP camp, testified that one of the abductees was forced to walk long distances until she could no longer move, at which point she was beaten to death with a big stick and then cut into pieces with a panga. In addition, the Chamber recalls the finding regarding P-0187, who was abducted from the Lukodi IDP camp and was wounded and raped by an LRA fighter while in captivity.

- 227. The Chamber notes that the injuries suffered as a result of being abducted and tortured included rib injuries from carrying heavy items, ⁸⁴¹ chest pain, ⁸⁴² foot injuries caused by walking barefoot and stepping on thorns and blisters, ⁸⁴³ injuries from sharp tree stumps and splinters, ⁸⁴⁴ injuries in different body parts, tooth pain as a result of the constant beating, ⁸⁴⁵ injuries caused by bomb splinters, ⁸⁴⁶ difficulties walking long distances due to chronic pain, ⁸⁴⁷ and permanent ear injuries from being beaten. ⁸⁴⁸ The Chamber also notes the long-term impact that such injuries had on the victims. ⁸⁴⁹
- 228. In addition to the evidence provided during the trial proceedings, the Chamber observes that many of the accounts within the Sample described people suffering from the following: chest⁸⁵⁰ and back⁸⁵¹ pain as a result of being forced to carry loads; body aches from being beaten by the rebels,⁸⁵² wounds from bullets,⁸⁵³ pains in body parts affected by bullet wounds,⁸⁵⁴ broken body parts,⁸⁵⁵ and various injuries sustained as a result of trying to escape the attacks.⁸⁵⁶
- 229. The Chamber considers that many of the injuries described above had long-lasting consequences for the victims, some of which were documented by the Expert Witness, Dr Teddy Atim ('Dr Atim').⁸⁵⁷ In her report, when describing the findings of interviews with participating victims of the case, Dr Atim indicated that two thirds of the participants in her study reported having a disability,⁸⁵⁸ and observed that the long-term negative effects of the physical violence impacted the persons' ability to 'carry out their livelihood'.⁸⁵⁹ She also noted the high dependency ratio in the study, and stated that the data shows 'markedly increased physical and mental health' consequences for the participants in her survey.⁸⁶⁰
- 230. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established on a balance of probabilities that direct victims of the attacks suffered physical harm as a result of the crimes committed in the context of the four attacks against the Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok IDP camps, for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The physical harm suffered by these victims include: bullet wounds in different parts of the body; amputated body parts; cuts to body parts; burn wounds; fractured limbs; scars; presence of bomb splinters; broken bones; dislocated body parts; chronic chest and back pain caused by being forced to carry heavy loads; foot injuries from walking long distances barefoot whilst in captivity; ailments sustained whilst in captivity; injuries to different body parts caused by beatings and stabbings and aches relating thereto; permanent feeling of weakness; disabilities; and physical harm due to rape. The Chamber further acknowledges that the physical harm suffered by the victims had long-lasting consequences.

(ii) Moral harm

- 231. The Chamber notes that the LRVs, the CLRV, and a number of the participants describe the moral harm suffered by direct victims of the attacks. In particular, they identify mental and psychological illnesses, ⁸⁶¹ trauma, ⁸⁶² emotional harm, ⁸⁶³ stress, ⁸⁶⁴ flashbacks, ⁸⁶⁵ and development of psychological disorders such as suicidal tendencies, depression, and dissociative behaviour. ⁸⁶⁶ Parties and participants indicate that moral harm was suffered by direct victims of the attacks, *inter alia*, as a result of experiencing the attack on a camp, ⁸⁶⁷ being abducted by the LRA, ⁸⁶⁸ being taken away from family, ⁸⁶⁹ facing stigma and rejection upon return, ⁸⁷⁰ and witnessing gruesome crimes. ⁸⁷¹
- As noted by the parties and participants, the Chamber's assessment of the evidence indeed supports the findings that, in the context of the attacks on all four of the IDP camps, direct victims suffered moral harm. Civilians were crying, ⁸⁷² screaming, ⁸⁷³ wailing, ⁸⁷⁴ scared, ⁸⁷⁵ and distressed ⁸⁷⁶ during the attacks on the camps and during their aftermath. Civilians were extremely disturbed as they escaped death, ⁸⁷⁷ with many victims being particularly defenceless. ⁸⁷⁸ The Chamber recalls the testimony of P-0061, a civilian resident of the Pajule IDP camp, who testified that he witnessed civilians falling down and crying as LRA rebels shot randomly at unarmed civilians during the attack. ⁸⁷⁹ In the context of the attack on the Odek IDP camp, P-0218, a local teacher living in the Odek IDP camp, testified that civilians 'started to panic' when they heard rapid gun fire coming from the direction of the barracks, with some running away while others ran to hide inside their homes. ⁸⁸⁰ Similarly, during the attack at the Abok IDP camp, P-0293, a camp leader, explained that, after returning to the camp, 'people were very scared'. ⁸⁸¹

- P-0293 also stated that family members sent children away to live with relatives, as the children 'couldn't continue staying' because they were 'very, really very, very scared'. Finally, P-0024, a Lukodi IDP camp resident, described her experience while hiding in the bush during the attack, stating that 'all we could hear were bullets. And you could hear them cutting people into pieces. They were laughing while they were cutting people into pieces. [...] That is what happened. We were helpless and were hoping we could be saved'. 883
- 233. Consistent with the evidence heard at trial, many victims within the Sample stated that people were crying, ⁸⁸⁴ screaming, and in a state of emotional distress during the attacks on the IDP camps. ⁸⁸⁵
- 234. The Chamber also recalls that in the context of all four IDP camps, civilians were abducted and forced to carry loads in difficult conditions and often for long distances. The Chamber notes that in the Conviction Judgment, the Chamber found that the LRA fighters, by committing these acts, *inter alia*, engaged in psychological abuse of the abductees. R87
- 235. In effect, victims abducted from the IDP camps were tortured, having their personal human dignity, security, and mental well-being assaulted, and endured severe mental pain and suffering. Abductees were placed under armed guard to prevent their escape, and held in an environment of fear under constant threat of being beaten or killed. These findings are consistent with the testimonies provided during trial which showed that abductees were exposed to an environment of violence and experienced feelings of distress, panic, and fear during their time in captivity. On this point, the Chamber also notes Dr Atim's conclusions indicating that victims who had been abducted during one of the attacks had increased impairment and worse psychosocial well-being.
- 236. The Chamber additionally recalls the findings in the Conviction Judgment and Sentence about one abductee who was forced to kill another abductee with a club and forced to inspect corpses, and another abductee who was forced to watch someone being killed. Particularly in the Odek camp, this was found to be a severe violation of the dignity of the victims. In the Conviction Judgment, the Chamber considered the testimony provided by P-0275, an abductee from the Odek camp who witnessed an LRA soldier hit a woman with a hoe and beat her to death. The woman died in front of her, after which P-0275 was forced to carry her corpse. As found in the Sentence, the Chamber outlined the long-lasting psychological suffering caused by these acts, which include recurring painful memories.
- 237. The Chamber also recalls that during the attacks and while abducted, many women suffered the loss of family members, including their children, or had family members who were deliberately injured. The Chamber notes that women who carried their babies were forced to abandon them during the retreat or abduction under threat of beatings or death. The Chamber further notes that the Conviction Judgment found this to be a violation of the victim's dignity. The evidence heard at trial described instances of abducted mothers being forced to abandon their children in the bush so that they could carry their loads. For instance, P-0024, a former Lukodi camp resident, testified that she pled with the LRA fighters not to throw her baby away, but was forced to do so. After she was rescued by government soldiers she was reunited with her baby and she recalled that '[she] thought he was no more' and had felt 'helpless' about seeing him again.
- 238. The Chamber has also considered the long-term consequences alleged by victims of the attacks in relation to moral harm. During the trial proceedings, victims testified that they continue to suffer psychological harm, 905 trauma 906 and experience nightmares. 907
- 239. The Chamber has also considered the findings made by Dr Atim. Post Atim reported that the data collected from her survey of participating victims demonstrated that there was a 'significant relationship' between being a victim of one of the attacks on the IDP camps and experiencing impaired psychosocial functioning. Purthermore, Dr Atim explained that experiencing war crimes and crimes against humanity had a more negative impact on women. In addition to the gender aspects, the results of the survey showed that the experience of losing a child was also correlated with increased psychosocial impairment.
- 240. The Chamber also notes that some of the witnesses and victims referred to 'spiritual disturbances' or claimed to have experienced the phenomena referred to throughout the proceedings as 'cen'. In relation to this, the Chamber considered the evidence that 'cen' represents the vengeful spirits of those who have died a

violent death, and are commonly used to interpret what western medicine would call 'mental illnesses'. ⁹¹³ Dr Atim's report noted that 67% of her survey participants stated that they had been 'harmed by spirits of the dead in relation to the LRA attacks' ⁹¹⁴ and a number of the victim participants in her survey gave detailed explanations of the long term impacts they believe the spirits continue to have on them. ⁹¹⁵

- 241. The Chamber also notes that many of the accounts within the Sample outlined the long- lasting consequences that the attacks had on them. Victims reported that they have become very fearful following the attack, ⁹¹⁶ feel traumatised because of what they witnessed during the attacks, ⁹¹⁷ are haunted by the memories of seeing dead bodies around the camps, ⁹¹⁸ have nightmares of what they experienced, ⁹¹⁹ and live with the constant fear that an attack might happen again. ⁹²⁰ A number of victims reported feeling scared every time they hear a loud sound, ⁹²¹ that they suffer mentally, ⁹²² and cannot eat meat anymore. ⁹²³ One victim stated that he had contemplated suicide. ⁹²⁴
- 242. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information obtained from its assessment of the Sample, as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established on a balance of probabilities that direct victims of the attacks suffered moral harm as a result of the crimes committed in the context to the attacks against the Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok IDP camps, for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The moral harm suffered by these victims includes: severe mental pain and suffering; trauma; feelings of fear, panic, helplessness, and distress; psychological abuse; psychological trauma; emotional harm; stress; recurring painful memories; nightmares; severe violation of dignity; suffering from being forced to leave their children behind; spiritual disturbances; and impaired psychosocial well-being and functioning. The Chamber acknowledges that the moral harm suffered by the direct victims of the attacks had long-lasting consequences.

(iii) Material harm

- 243. The Chamber notes that the LRVs, the CLRV, and a number of the participants describe the material harm suffered by direct victims of the attacks. In particular, they identify loss of housing and property, ⁹²⁵ personal items goods, ⁹²⁶ food supplies, ⁹²⁷ livestock, ⁹²⁸ loss of capital and means of production, ⁹²⁹ loss of earning capacity and income generating opportunities, ⁹³⁰ loss of opportunities for development, ⁹³¹ including loss of and disruption to schooling, ⁹³² damage caused to businesses, ⁹³³ loss of support provided by murdered and abducted family members who sometimes were the main providers for the family, ⁹³⁴ additional financial burden of providing for dependants of murdered relatives, ⁹³⁵ as well as money spent on medical treatment. ⁹³⁶
- As a result of the crime of pillaging, which was committed within the context of the four attacks, ⁹³⁷ the Chamber found beyond reasonable doubt that LRA fighters broke into homes and shops at the trading centre and looted food and property, including beans, flour, salt, sugar, cooking oil, maize, sweets, biscuits, groundnuts, soda, bedding, clothing, a radio set, saucepans, medicine, livestock, and money. ⁹³⁸ In the context of the Pajule IDP camp, the looting was 'widespread', ⁹³⁹ and the goods and items that were looted represented 'the basic means of survival for the population' living in the Pajule IDP camp. ⁹⁴⁰ In the Odek IDP camp, the Chamber recalls that, in addition to the food and household items that were stolen during the attack, LRA attackers also took the recently distributed food aid. ⁹⁴¹ In the context of the Lukodi and Abok IDP camps, the Chamber recalls that it was found in the Sentence that the impact that pillaging had on the residents was 'considerable'. ⁹⁴²
- 245. The Chamber further recalls that, in the Sentence, the Chamber found that the victims suffered severe economic consequences as a result of the widespread looting and pillaging across the four IDP camps. Witnesses testified to the long-lasting economic impact that the attacks had on their lives, and on their communities. For example, V-0004, a civilian resident of the Lukodi IDP camp, stated that '[p]eople are desperate, desperately in a poor, living in a poor condition. People are not able to farm and get enough money to pay for the school fees'. He also emphasised the importance of livestock for people, ranging from paying bride wealth, ploughing, food, school fees and health treatment. Similarly, at the Abok IDP camp, the looting of the medicine and food would mean 'starvation', in the words of Abok IDP camp leader witness P-0293. Witness P-0306, a resident abducted from the Abok IDP camp, testified that people in his community relied on farming for their income, and whilst people were trying to restock their livestock, 'it's taking time'.

- 246. The Chamber has also considered the findings of Dr Atim, who noted in her report that the 'vast majority' of victims participating in her survey indicated that their property had been stolen by LRA rebels during the attack on their camp (89%). 948
- 247. The Chamber also notes that, within the Sample, a number of victims reported that they were very poor, 949 that they live in poverty, 950 and some stated that they suffered hunger as a result of the looting. 951 It was also common for victims to report that the looting of their livestock caused them to become very poor. 952 A number of them stated that, as a result of their household goods and livestock being looted and or destroyed, they were forced to drop out of school as they no longer had the financial means to continue their studies. 953
- Regarding the crime of destruction of property, 954 the Chamber found beyond reasonable doubt that, in the context of the Lukodi and Abok IDP camps, LRA fighters set civilian huts on fire, 955 destroying household goods and food stocks, 957 and causing livestock to be burnt. 958 Indeed, hundreds of civilian huts were burnt, including household goods, clothes, food stocks, and livestock. 959 The Chamber also recalls that evidence heard at trial suggested that a limited number of houses were burnt down in the Pajule 960 and Odek IDP camps. 961
- 249. The Chamber recalls the evidence of P-0060, a Lukodi resident, who testified that upon returning to his house, he found out that everything had been burnt and decided to leave the camp immediately with no possessions other than a blanket saved by his wife. P-0060 also testified that, after the attack, most of the residents had to leave Lukodi and move to another IDP camp. Similarly, witness P-0024, a former Lukodi resident, testified that 'everything got wasted. [...] I had lost it all'. At the Lukodi IDP Camp, the Chamber found that approximately 210 huts were burnt.
- 250. Regarding the destruction in the Abok IDP camp, the Conviction Judgment found that the damage to homes was enormous. ⁹⁶⁶ Witness P-0293, who was a camp leader in Abok IDP camp at the time of the attack, ⁹⁶⁷ testified that the rebels would remove the grass from one of the huts, put it on the fire and then torch other houses until the fire spread in the camp. ⁹⁶⁸
- 251. The Chamber also considered Dr Atim's Expert Report, which demonstrated that victims of the case indicated that the destruction of property in the IDP camps was 'extensive', with 89% of the surveyed participant victims reporting destruction. Within the Sample, victims also reported that they were now poor as their homes had been burnt down, hich for some resulted in lack of shelter and hunger. Other victims in the Sample reported not being able to generate an income following the destruction of their property and no longer being able to support family members. Some also reported having to drop out of school as they no longer had the financial means to continue their studies as a result of their property being destroyed.
- 252. The Chamber also notes that the physical injuries sustained during the attacks continue to have a significant material impact on the victims today. ⁹⁷⁶ On this point, the Chamber recalls that during the trial proceedings, it heard evidence indicating that victims had become poor and could not return to the work they used to do in the past. ⁹⁷⁷ In her report, Dr Atim described that the majority of the victims of the attacks who suffered physical harm reported a disability, which, in turn, affected their livelihoods, access to human and material resources, ⁹⁷⁸ ability to work, ⁹⁷⁹ and education. ⁹⁸⁰ According to Dr Atim, victims with lower psychosocial well-being were also found to have lower household wealth, lower earnings, and lower income potential. ⁹⁸¹ This is consistent with the Chamber's findings in the Sample, where a number of victims stated they could no longer work in the same capacity due to the injuries they had sustained during the attacks. ⁹⁸²
- 253. In addition, the Chamber notes the evidence provided by the Expert Witness Professor Wessells who provided specific evidence regarding abducted children. Professor Wessells explained that 'physical injuries left some abducted children with long term physical disabilities that impaired their ability to work and contribute to their families'. In his report, Professor Wessells further stated that 'the higher rates of mental health problems such as PTSD and depression among formerly abducted children likely interfere with the children's ability to work and earn money following their escape or release from the LRA'.
- 254. Furthermore, the Chamber notes that the victims of the attacks also suffered from the loss of their life plan. In effect, the expectations of personal, professional, and familial future development were affected by the loss of their

property and economic opportunities, ⁹⁸⁶ the long-time some victims spent in captivity, ⁹⁸⁷ the long-lasting physical injuries they suffered, ⁹⁸⁸ and the loss or disruption of schooling of children. ⁹⁸⁹ For instance, witness V-0002, who was a former resident of Abok, ⁹⁹⁰ described the effects of his abduction:

It really ruined my life. Right now my age mates who went to school have a source of livelihood. I also wanted to be like them. When I was young, I had so many ambitions, but when I was abducted all my dreams were shattered. I couldn't go to school and my life is a total mess.⁹⁹¹

- 255. The Chamber notes that as a consequence of being victims of the crimes committed in the contexts of the attacks, many of the victims within the Sample also described the impairment to their self-realisation. 992
- 256. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of the Sample, as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established on a balance of probabilities that direct victims of the attacks suffered material harm as a result of the crimes committed in the context of the attacks against the Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok IDP camps, for which Mr Ongwen has been convicted. The material harm suffered by these victims include: loss of housing and property; loss of personal items, clothes, goods and food supplies; loss of livestock; lack of shelter; loss of property used for paying bride wealth, ploughing, food, school fees and health treatment; loss of earning capacity and income generating opportunities; long-lasting economic impact; and loss life plan and opportunities for development, including loss of and disruption to schooling. The Chamber acknowledges that the material harm suffered by these victims had long-lasting consequences.

b) Indirect victims of the attacks

- (i) Physical harm
- 257. The CLRV submits that indirect victims of the attacks suffered the same types of harm as direct victims. ⁹⁹³ Regarding physical harm, the CLRV notes that this includes injuries, ⁹⁹⁴ chronical and long-lasting health ailments, ⁹⁹⁵ impairments and handicaps. ⁹⁹⁶ The TFV observes that relatives and dependents left behind by victims of murder are deprived of a family member, and thereby experience physical manifestations of harm. ⁹⁹⁷
- 258. Having assessed the findings in the Conviction Judgment and the Sentence, the evidence provided during trial proceedings, the results from the Sample, and the submissions and observations from the participants, the Chamber considers that there is insufficient evidence or information to conclude that physical harm was indeed suffered by the indirect victims of the attacks as a result of the commission of the crimes against the direct victims. Accordingly, the Chamber considers that it is has not been established, on a balance of probabilities, that indirect victims of the attacks suffered from physical harm as a result of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.

(ii) Moral harm

- 259. The CLRV and a number of participants describe the moral harm suffered by the indirect victims of the attacks. In particular, they identify psychological or emotional harm and traumas;⁹⁹⁸ loss of family members and separation from families as a result of abductions and murders;⁹⁹⁹ and deprivation of love, care and support.¹⁰⁰⁰
- 260. The Chamber recalls that indirect victims include the family members of direct victims. ¹⁰⁰¹ During the attacks in the four camps, many civilians were killed, severely mistreated or injured, ¹⁰⁰² which caused a severe psychological impact on the families of the victims. For instance, during the attack in Odek, a women was raped while her husband was forced to watch. ¹⁰⁰³ She described the impact it had on her marriage recalling that 'we could never live as husband and wife again but he did not leave me'. ¹⁰⁰⁴ P-0281, who was a resident in Abok, recalled that during the attack he and his mother hid in a bathroom that was made of grass. ¹⁰⁰⁵ The place was rapidly caught by the fire spread by the LRA and he got seriously burned. ¹⁰⁰⁶ He recalled that his mother 'was very upset' and 'was scared that [he] would die' because of his wounds. ¹⁰⁰⁷
- 261. The Chamber further notes that during the trial proceedings, witnesses also elaborated on the harm they suffered as a result of seeing the dead bodies of their family members lying in the camp after the attack. 1008

The Chamber underlines the emotional impact this had for children who saw the dead bodies of their family members. For instance, witness P-0270, a civilian resident of the Odek IDP camp, testified that she saw the dead bodies of her two children in the aftermath of the attack. In her statement, P-0270 recalled that the morning after the attack people went back and collected the bodies of their relatives and then she saw the bodies of her sons. In She recounted:

The pain is so intense seeing one of your own children having been killed. You lose strength and people have to hold you. All the corpses were piled together and people came to collect me and I went to see the bodies of my children. I remember the most painful thing was seeing the corpses all piled up together. 1012

- 262. The Chamber also notes its finding in the Sentence that family members of victims of the crime of enslavement suffered psychological harm, ¹⁰¹³ which in some cases had long-lasting consequences. ¹⁰¹⁴ In effect, during the trial proceeding, numerous witness underlined the moral harm experienced due to the loss of a family member or their abduction by the LRA. ¹⁰¹⁵ As noted in the Sentence, P-0081, a civilian resident of Pajule, testified that during his captivity with the LRA, his family suffered greatly as a result of believing that he had been killed. ¹⁰¹⁶ Similarly, P-0196, a Lukodi resident, stated that he was abducted along with his brother and sister and that his brother never returned from captivity. ¹⁰¹⁷ He further expressed about the abduction of his siblings that 'remembering this period is still very painful for me'. ¹⁰¹⁸
- 263. On this point, the Chamber has also considered the conclusions made by the Expert Witness Professor Wessells. In his report, Professor Wessells addressed the effects that the abduction of children had on their parents, ¹⁰¹⁹ which includes immediate psychological effects such as intense shock, fear, and panic. ¹⁰²⁰ According to Professor Wessells, families whose children were abducted were left in a situation of ambiguous loss since they had little way of knowing whether their children were still alive. ¹⁰²¹ Parents and family members worry constantly and experience profound agony, with little hope that they could do anything to find or help their abducted children. ¹⁰²² In his testimony, Professor Wessells stressed the ongoing effects that the abduction had on the victims' families and the emotional stress it caused them, ¹⁰²³ he noted:

For children to be abducted, first of all, means for parents and for community members we failed, we failed to protect our children. [...] It evokes tremendous fear for the children's well-being and it keeps people in a state of hyper-vigilance, they can't calm down, they are constantly worried about the fate of that child. 1024

- 264. Similarly, Expert Witness Professor Musisi also referred to the suffering experienced by the families whose members never came back from captivity. According to Professor Musisi, 'family members will keep on thinking about them, dreaming about them, feeling guilty about things they did not do', which is also linked to *cen*. The Chamber further notes Dr Atim's report, in which she indicated that the crimes not only impacted the direct victims but also their household as a whole. To substantiate her conclusions, Dr Atim referred to the account of one participant victim in her survey, recounting that one victim's husband was abducted and forced to kill other people. The victim stated that because of this experience, the spirits now haunt her husband and he has become more aggressive and is unable to properly work, which has affected their relationship. The victim also reported that she feels saddened by her husband's condition, and that it is difficult for her to be with him. 1030
- 265. The Chamber also recalls that indirect victims may include persons who witnessed the commission of crimes, insofar as their personal harm is demonstrated pursuant to the required standard of proof. The Chamber notes that the evidence in the case file shows that, during the attacks, many civilians witnessed the killing of members of their community. In the Sentence, the Chamber underlined the magnitude of the attacks by recalling the testimonies of victims who saw people being shot dead during the attacks. P-0061, a civilian resident of Pajule, stated that he saw people in the camp being shot at by the rebels, including four people who had been shot at their doors. The Chamber underlines that child victims at the time of the crimes expressed in their testimonies that they suffered extreme emotional distress from witnessing people being killed. 1035
- 266. The Chamber further recalls that in the Conviction Judgment, the Chamber found that civilians abducted from the Odek IDP camp were forced to watch others being killed, which constituted one of the underlying acts

of the crime of outrages upon personal dignity. ¹⁰³⁶ The Chamber notes that victims abducted from other camps also experienced a similar harm as indirect victims of crimes they witnessed in captivity. ¹⁰³⁷ For instance, P-0006, an abducted woman from Pajule, referred during her statement about watching an abducted man being killed whose body she later had to carry and move. ¹⁰³⁸ As to the impact it had on her, she stated that 'based on the things that I saw in the bush, I personally feel that at times I'm tired. I feel tired. I'm confused. [...] I mostly stay by myself. I isolate myself'. ¹⁰³⁹

- Residents of the camps also suffered from the impact of seeing the dead bodies of their community members in the aftermaths of the attacks. P-0306, an Abok camp leader, recalled during his testimony that the day after the attack he saw the dead bodies of people that had been shot dead and burnt. He further stated that remembering the people who lost their life 'pains [him]'. Similarly, P-0067, a resident of Pajule, stated that he saw the dead body of a woman who had a deep cut in her neck and that her children were crying around her body. Moreover, in the context of the Odek camp, as noted in the Sentence, bodies of the dead were scattered everywhere across the camp. 1043
- As to the information extracted from the Sample, the Chamber first notes that only 1.06% of victims of the attacks alleged to be indirect victims-only, most of them were both direct and indirect victims of the crimes committed within the context of the attacks on the IDP camps. ¹⁰⁴⁴ Within the Sample, eligible victims suffered moral harm as indirect victims including: trauma after seeing many people being killed or seeing dead bodies during the attack; ¹⁰⁴⁵ suffering from witnessing the commission of crimes while abducted; ¹⁰⁴⁶ pain of seeing a family member being killed; ¹⁰⁴⁷ pain of missing a family member who was either killed or abducted; ¹⁰⁴⁸ deploring the trauma caused to an abducted family member; ¹⁰⁴⁹ have a family member who is 'mentally unstable'; ¹⁰⁵⁰ frustration for not having been able to defend a family member from becoming a victim of a crime; ¹⁰⁵¹ and the suffering from the lack of information about the whereabouts of an abducted family member. ¹⁰⁵²
- 269. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during the trial proceedings, and the information obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all as above summarised, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established on a balance of probabilities that indirect victims of the attacks suffered moral harm as a result of the crimes committed in the context to the four attacks against the Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok IDP camps, for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The moral harm suffered by the indirect victims includes: experiencing feelings of fear and distress; experiencing nightmares; psychological or emotional harm and traumas; pain associated with the loss of a family member; spiritual disturbances; deprivation of love, care and support from a deceased family member; trauma after seeing people being killed or seeing dead bodies during the attack; suffering from witnessing the commission of crimes while abducted; pain for seeing a family member being killed or raped; pain of missing a family member who was either killed or abducted; shock, fear, and panic for the abducted children well-being; deploring the trauma caused to an abducted family member; frustration for not having been able to defend a relative; and suffering from the lack of information about the whereabouts of an abducted family member. The Chamber acknowledges that the moral harm suffered by the indirect victims of the attacks had long-lasting consequences.

(iii) Material harm

- 270. The CLRV and a number of participants describe the material harm suffered by the indirect victims of the attacks on the four IDP camps. In particular, they identify the loss of help and support previously provided by family members who were killed or abducted;¹⁰⁵⁴ the interruption or loss of schooling for children;¹⁰⁵⁵ the eviction and economic hardship for widows;¹⁰⁵⁶ and the economic burden of providing for dependents of a murdered relative.¹⁰⁵⁷
- 271. The Chamber recalls that, when assessing the aggravating circumstances for the crime of destruction of property in its Sentence, it considered the *de facto* economic, social, cultural or environmental function of the property destroyed. In doing so, the Chamber recalled the testimony of P-0060, one of the Lukodi camp leaders, which illustrated the impact that the destruction of property had for him and his family. As noted above, in his statement, P-0060 stated that upon his return to his house after the attack, he found that everything he had was burnt, so he decided to immediately leave the camp with his family. The Chamber recalls that P-0060 testified that his family walked to another camp with no possessions other than a blanket saved by his wife.

- 272. The Chamber underlines that, as noted by the Appeals Chamber in the *Lubanga* case, the harm suffered by indirect victims may include material deprivation that accompanies the loss of the direct victim's contributions. ¹⁰⁶² In the present case, testimonies heard throughout the trial proceedings referred to the economic hardship suffered by those who lost family members by way of murders or abductions. ¹⁰⁶³ For instance, witness P-0218, who was a victim of the attack in the Odek IDP camp, testified that he had to take care of his brother's children following his death. ¹⁰⁶⁴
- 273. The Chamber further notes that the evidence heard throughout the trial demonstrates that indirect victims of the attacks suffered material harm including the loss or disruption of schooling as a result of losing family members who supported them economically, either by murder or abductions. Witness P-0306, a camp leader from Abok, ¹⁰⁶⁵ testified that after the attack there were many widows and orphans left without means of livelihood. ¹⁰⁶⁶ He added that 'many of the people who died left children who were now orphans and they are not going to school'. ¹⁰⁶⁷ Similarly, within the Sample, many victims indicated that indirect victims had to leave school after the abduction or killing of a close family member who paid for their school fees. ¹⁰⁶⁸ The Chamber further notes that in her report, Expert Witness Dr Atim referred to the ways in which indirect victims of the attacks suffered material harm indicating, for example, that a victim's disability negatively impacts their dependents as it affects their livelihoods and their access to material resources. ¹⁰⁶⁹
- 274. Similarly, within the Sample, a number of indirect victims indicated that they suffered material harm as a result of: the loss of economic support provided by a direct victim, including paying for school fees, ¹⁰⁷⁰ and the material burden of having to take care of family members who lost their primary caregiver during the attack. ¹⁰⁷¹
- 275. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all as above summarised, the Chamber considers that it has been established, on a balance of probabilities, that indirect victims of the attacks suffered material harm as a result of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The material harm suffered by these victims includes: economic hardship, including loss of schooling; loss of the economic support previously provided by a killed or abducted direct victim; and the economic burden associated with providing for dependents of murdered and abducted relatives. The Chamber acknowledges that the material harm suffered by the indirect victims of the attacks had long-lasting consequences.

ii. Harm suffered by SGBC victims

a) Direct SGBC victims

- 276. The Chamber notes that all SGBC victims, including those perpetrated directly by Mr Ongwen or by the members of the Sinia Brigade, had been previously abducted. As found in the Conviction Judgment, this was part of a coordinated and methodical effort by Mr Ongwen, Joseph Kony and the Sinia brigade leadership, relying on the LRA soldiers under their control, to abduct women and girls in Northern Uganda and force them to serve in as so- called 'wives' of members of Sinia brigade and as domestic servants. 1073
- 277. The vast majority of the abducted women and girls were victims at the same time of the crimes of forced marriage, torture, rape, and sexual slavery. Some of them were also victims of the crimes of outrages upon personal dignity, or forced pregnancy. Lastly, a group of women and girls, even if no longer or not yet subject to institutionalised sexual abuse, were victims of the crime of enslavement, with one of them also having been a victim of forced marriage directly perpetrated by Mr Ongwen.
- 278. The abducted women and girls victims of SGBC endured similar atrocities which resulted in physical, moral, and material harm, in the immediate aftermath of the crimes, and in the long term, as described in detail below.

(i) Physical harm

279. The LRVs, the CLRV, and a number of participants describe the physical harm suffered by SGBC victims. In particular, the physical harm they identify includes: contraction of sexually transmitted diseases including HIV, 1079 development of fistulas, 1080 permanent damage to uterus and chronic abdominal pain, 1081 disabilities, 1082 sexual and reproductive health challenges, 1083 infertility, 1084 cervical cancer, 1085 chronic pain including pelvic pain, 1086 chest pain, 1087 incontinence, 1088 and health complications during childbirth. 1089 Parties and participants

- indicate that physical harm was suffered by SGBC victims, *inter alia*, as they were beaten, ¹⁰⁹⁰ raped, ¹⁰⁹¹ forcibly impregnated, ¹⁰⁹² forced to carry out labour, ¹⁰⁹³ tortured, ¹⁰⁹⁴ and as a result of early motherhood. ¹⁰⁹⁵
- 280. As found beyond reasonable doubt in the Conviction Judgment¹⁰⁹⁶ and in the Sentence, ¹⁰⁹⁷ physical violence was used as a mode of coercion to prevent escape, to rape, to obtain labour and to torture. ¹⁰⁹⁸ The Chamber further recalls its findings in the Conviction Judgment, that civilian women and girls were abducted in Northern Uganda, ¹⁰⁹⁹ including from the Pajule, ¹¹⁰⁰ Odek, ¹¹⁰¹ and Abok ¹¹⁰² IDP camps, when these camps were attacked. Abducted women and girls were often subjected to beatings by LRA soldiers during their abduction, and evidence heard throughout the trial indicated that they suffered physical harm as a result. ¹¹⁰³
- 281. Following their abduction, women and girls were 'distributed' to members of the Sinia Brigade, or to Mr Ongwen himself.¹¹⁰⁴ During the trial proceedings the Chamber heard evidence that abductees understood that they would be 'killed or beaten' if they refused to go to the home of which they had been allocated. ¹¹⁰⁵
- 282. The Chamber also recalls its findings in the Conviction Judgment that abducted girls and women were forced to carry out labour. Those who had not yet 'matured' remained as domestic helpers or 'ting tings' and were forced to perform tasks, such as household work and carrying items. The women who became the so-called wives of Mr Ongwen and other members of the Sinia Brigade had to perform different domestic duties, including cooking, working in the garden, doing laundry, and fetching and chopping wood. The forced labour was strictly enforced by physical punishment and the refusal to carry out tasks in a certain way, or at all, often led to beatings that resulted in physical harm.
- 283. The Chamber notes that the seven women distributed to Mr Ongwen, and the girls 'distributed' to members of the Sinia brigade, were not allowed to leave and were placed under heavy guard and told that they would be killed if they tried to escape. The evidence presented during trial demonstrates that some victims were, in fact, killed or severely beaten. As found in the Sentence, Mr Ongwen's so-called wives were 'subjected to beating at Dominic Ongwen's command at any time', they were hit with sticks and canes, which in some instances left victims unconscious, unable to walk or with permanent scars. The Chamber recalls the testimony provided by P-0226 who stated that Mr Ongwen 'was always beating [her]', and referred to a particular incident where Mr Ongwen ordered his escort to beat her after learning that she had 'eased her[self]' in the water and was beaten with long sticks until she was unconscious while Mr Ongwen watched.
- 284. The Chamber also recalls its findings in the Conviction Judgment, that in addition to the sexual and physical violence victims experienced, their living conditions resulted in victims suffering from severe physical pain. Indeed, evidence heard throughout the trial proceedings demonstrated that victims sustained injuries from executing their tasks and as a result of walking long distances, 1119 carrying heavy items, 1120 and the failure to provide medication to treat injuries. Some of these injuries included foot injuries 1122 and wounded legs. Victims also testified to the hunger and dehydration they experienced while in captivity. 1124
- 285. The Chamber also notes that abducted women and girls who had been distributed suffered physical harm as they were regularly forced to have sexual intercourse with the person to whom they had been distributed. The Chamber recalls its findings in the Conviction Judgment that sexual intercourse was 'specifically considered to be part of the role of the so- called 'wives". In this regard, the Chamber reiterates that the abducted women and girls were unable to resist due to physical force, the fear of punishment for disobedience, and their dependence on the Sinia brigade for survival. Furthermore, the Chamber notes that *ting ting* status of young girls did not effectively protect younger abductees from sexual abuse. During the trial proceedings, the Chamber heard abundant evidence on the physical harm victims suffered by women and girls as a result of being forced into having sexual intercourse, which included injuries to genitalia, pain, and bleeding. Victims also testified that if they cried or refused to engage in the sexual intercourse at all, they were beaten and suffered physical harm as a result.
- 286. The Chamber notes that evidence heard throughout the trial demonstrates that some of the so-called 'wives' of Mr Ongwen¹¹³¹ and members of the Sinia brigade bore children.¹¹³² To the extent that forced marriage resulted in the birth of children, the Chamber recalls its findings in the Conviction Judgment that these victims suffered physical harm as a result, which includes the 'obvious physical effects of pregnancy and child bearing'.¹¹³³ Throughout the

proceedings, the Chamber also heard evidence about the difficult situations abducted women and girls were forced to give birth in, which in some instances resulted in miscarriages and the deaths of their new-borns. 1134

- 287. The Chamber notes that abducted women and girls were not allowed to have romantic relationships with any man other than their so-called 'husbands'. Mr Ongwen's so-called 'wives' also had to maintain an exclusive conjugal relationship with him. The punishment for having sexual intercourse with anyone else was severe and could involve death. Even talking to another man who was not their so-called 'husband' had violent consequences for the victims. 1138
- 288. The Chamber further stresses that many of the crimes referred to above caused victims to suffer a range of long term physical harms. During the trial proceedings, victims testified that they continue to suffer pains in their genitalia and lower abdomen, test pains from being beaten, vaginal tears, and scars. The Chamber further notes that the findings in the Conviction Judgment and Sentence and the testimonies presented during the trial are consistent with the findings in the Expert Witness reports. Some of the long term consequences outlined by the Experts in relation to the physical harm suffered by abducted girls and women as a result of the sexual violence includes: abdominal pains, pelvic pains, various somatic complaints, amenorrhoea and pelvic inflammatory disease, gynaecologic fistula, the chronic pain, the bleeding, permanent damage to reproductive systems, sexually transmitted diseases including HIV and AIDS, the continuous suffered to above caused victims to suffer a range of long term physical tears, the continuous sometimes are suffered by abducted girls and women as a result of the sexual violence includes: abdominal pains, the continuous somatic complaints, amenorrhoea and pelvic inflammatory disease, the continuous somatic complaints, the permanent damage to reproductive systems, the continuous somatic pain, the continuous somatic complaints are consistent with the findings in the Expert Witness reports.
- 289. In addition, the Chamber notes that the accounts from SGBC victims in the Sample also refer to the physical harm suffered, which include: constant pain in lower abdomen, thest pain, the sample also refer to the physical harm suffered, which include: constant pain in lower abdomen, the sample also refer to the physical harm suffered, which include: constant pain in lower abdomen, the sample also refer to the physical harm suffered, which include: constant pain in lower abdomen, the sample also refer to the physical harm suffered, which include: constant pain in lower abdomen, the sample also refer to the physical harm suffered, which include: constant pain in lower abdomen, the sample also refer to the physical harm suffered, which include: constant pain in lower abdomen, the sample also refer to the physical harm suffered, which include: constant pain in lower abdomen, the sample also refer to the physical harm suffered, which include: constant pain in lower abdomen, the sample also refer to the physical harm suffered, which include: constant pain in lower abdomen, the sample also refer to the physical harm suffered, which is the sample also refer to the physical harm suffered, which is the sample also refer to the physical harm suffered, which is the sample also refer to the physical harm suffered, which is the sample also refer to the physical harm suffered h
- 290. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of the Sample, as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established, on a balance of probabilities, that direct SGBC victims suffered physical harm as a result of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The physical harm suffered by these victims includes: beatings; physical punishments; unconsciousness from beatings; hunger and dehydration; foot injuries; wounded legs; chest pain; back pain; permanent scars; physical harm from being raped; genital injuries, vaginal tears; chronical lower abdomen pain; chronical genital pain; pelvic pains and inflammatory disease; amenorrhoea; gynaecologic fistula; vaginal bleeding; permanent damage to reproductive system; miscarriage; physical harm due to pregnancy and child bearing; health complications during child-birth; somatic complaints; and sexually transmitted infections (including HIV and AIDS). The Chamber further acknowledges that the physical harm suffered by these victims had long-lasting consequences.

(ii) Moral harm

- 291. The LRVs, the CLRV, and a number of participants describe the moral harm suffered by SGBC victims. In particular, they identify psychological disorders, suicidal tendencies, ¹¹⁶³ depression, ¹¹⁶⁴ dissociative behaviour, ¹¹⁶⁵ mental pain and trauma, ¹¹⁶⁶ anxiety, ¹¹⁶⁷ emotional stress, ¹¹⁶⁸ stigma, ¹¹⁶⁹ lack of identity, ¹¹⁷⁰ difficulties with relationships and marriage, ¹¹⁷¹ rejection by spouses ¹¹⁷² and family, ¹¹⁷³ substance dependence, ¹¹⁷⁴ lack of desire to be sexually active, ¹¹⁷⁵ social exclusion, ¹¹⁷⁶ isolation, ¹¹⁷⁷ and low self-esteem. ¹¹⁷⁸
- 292. Parties and participants indicate that moral harm was suffered by SGBC victims, *inter alia*, from exposure to an environment of violence, fear and threats; ¹¹⁷⁹ due to the effects of forced pregnancies; ¹¹⁸⁰ to being known as victims of rape, ¹¹⁸¹ forced marriage and association with the LRA; ¹¹⁸² and from having children born in captivity with LRA fathers. ¹¹⁸³
- 293. As found beyond reasonable doubt in the Conviction Judgment and Sentence, SGBC victims experienced 'severe psychological suffering', ¹¹⁸⁴ as a result of the use of threatened or actual physical violence on the abductees 'for a protracted period of time'. ¹¹⁸⁵ The Chamber is satisfied that the evidence supports the conclusion that victims suffered moral harm as they were, *inter alia*, abducted, ¹¹⁸⁶ distributed as so-called 'wives', ¹¹⁸⁷ subjected to sexual and physical violence, ¹¹⁸⁸ forced to carry out labour, ¹¹⁸⁹ forced to kill other abductees, ¹¹⁹⁰ and placed under heavy guard to prevent their escape. ¹¹⁹¹

- 294. The Chamber recalls its findings in the Conviction Judgment and Sentence, that the vast majority of the abducted women and girls were victims of torture as a result of the sexual and physical violence they were subjected to, and the living conditions they endured, which caused them severe mental pain. Constant threat of brutal physical force was a persistent presence in the lives of abducted women and girls. On this point, the Chamber observes that the evidence heard throughout the trial demonstrates that the abducted women and girls lived in fear of being beaten by Mr Ongwen or the LRA soldiers if they did not comply with their orders or if they refused to engage in sexual intercourse. For instance, P-0374 testified that when she was told by one of the LRA soldiers that she was going to be his so-called 'wife', she became fearful, she started shaking and 'did not respond because [she] feared that if [she] replied he would beat [her]'. She stated that she did not want to be his so-called 'wife' and, as she was too young, and did not know 'what it was to be with a man and it was not [her] wish to be with him'.
- Most abducted women and girls were also victims of rape, and throughout the trial proceedings victims testified about the severe emotional distress they experienced as a result of being forced into having sexual intercourse. Many of the victims testified that they feared they would be beaten or killed if they refused. ¹¹⁹⁸ P-0214 testified about one night when Mr Ongwen told her to come in and sleep with him. ¹¹⁹⁹ She stated that '[she] did not want to but [she] saw three security guards with sticks standing in front of the shed, so [she] obeyed'. ¹²⁰⁰ P-0214 added that Mr Ongwen told her to lie down and '[she] did so as she was scared of the security guards with their sticks', and whilst Mr Ongwen was raping her she 'had fear' and was 'scared'. ¹²⁰¹ Similarly, P-0119 explained that she was very frightened while being raped by the person she had been distributed to, and that she 'chos[e] life over death'. ¹²⁰² In her words, [she] surrendered to him that he should do whatever he wanted with [her] body'. ¹²⁰³
- 296. The Chamber notes that the evidence heard throughout the trial demonstrates that some of the so-called 'wives' of Mr Ongwen¹²⁰⁴ and the so-called 'wives' of Sinia brigade soldiers bore children, ¹²⁰⁵ which caused them constant worry and stress for having to care for their children under extreme and violent conditions. ¹²⁰⁶ In addition, the Chamber notes that, upon their return, those who were victims of forced pregnancy often had to choose between their husbands and children born out of rape to LRA rebels, which resulted in much distress. ¹²⁰⁷
- 297. The Chamber also recalls that as a form of control, some abducted women and girls were forced to beat or kill other abductees for attempting to escape or breaking the rules, ¹²⁰⁸ causing them severe anguish, ¹²⁰⁹ mental distress, and disturbance. ¹²¹⁰ For instance, P-0235 testified that she was not able to carry out the killings and other civilians had to do it, because 'it was so painful to kill and [she] was not able to do that'. ¹²¹¹ She further recalled that '[she] was crying because it was too painful for [her] and it was horrifying'. ¹²¹² Similarly, P-0226 recounted the time she was order to beat an abducted government soldier. ¹²¹³ She was threated that 'if anyone refused to beat the soldier, then the person will be killed like the soldier', ¹²¹⁴ adding that '[she] suppressed this memory because [she] was forced to kill'. ¹²¹⁵
- 298. The Chamber also notes that SGBC victims were exposed to the emotional stress of witnessing beatings and killing. For instance, P-0366 recalled that she saw a girl being beaten for refusing to become one of Mr Ongwen's so-called 'wives'. Similarly, P-0374 explained during her testimony that she witnessed how a girl was beaten while abducted. When asked about the impact those events had on her, she stated 'I begin having nightmares. I dream about people who were killed and I feel so bad, sometimes I wake up in the night and fail to sleep till morning. I only keep on thinking about the things that were happening, the bad experiences in the bush'. The Chamber notes that in the Sample, SGBC victims referred to experiencing nightmares due to the crimes witnessed whilst in captivity.
- 299. The Chamber notes that abducted women and girls also suffered moral harm as a result of being away from their families, which caused them to suffer from depression and loneliness. The Chamber notes, that upon their release or escape, abducted women and girls suffered moral harm as they were no longer viewed as being 'pure'. This often resulted in stigmatisation and rejection, which made it difficult for victims to reintegrate into their families and communities. On this point, the Chamber notes the finding in the Conviction Judgment on the moral harm suffered by victims of forced marriage, which includes being ostracised from the community, the deprivation of the victim's fundamental rights to choose his or her spouse, the serious attack on the victim's dignity, and mental trauma. As already outlined by the Chamber, the status that comes with being a victim of forced marriage, has social, ethical, and even religious effects which can have a 'serious impact' on a victims psychological well-being. Li224

- 300. The stigmatisation, rejection and ostracization experienced by the abducted women and girls upon return to their families and communities was also documented by Expert Witness Dr Atim. In her report, Dr Atim explained that women experience 'post-conflict shame and stigma for having violated cultural norms surrounding moral and sexual behaviour'. Similarly, in his report, Expert Witness Professor Reicherter indicated that in addition to stigmatisation, the harm suffered by victims in this case is exacerbated 'due to the systematic disruption and interference on the part of the LRA commanders on traditional Acholi practices for establishing lineage, inheritance, and family affiliation'. 1226
- 301. In addition, the Chamber recalls its findings in the Conviction Judgment in relation to the complex emotional and psychological effects experienced by those women who gave birth to children born out of rape. Abducted women and girls who returned to their communities and families with children born during captivity suffered severe stigmatisation. P-0006, a resident of the Pajule IDP camp, testified about the difficulties she faced when she returned to her community after giving birth out of wedlock. She recalled that '[i]n [her] culture, a child that is born out of wedlock is known as a the mother's child. You as the mother have to take care of your child, the child is your responsibility'. P-0006 also testified about how this has impacted her current relationship, as her husband rejected the child she had while abducted. P-0006 stated that 'he does not want that child, so the child stay with my parents. I'm with that man and the children that I've had with him. So it's extremely painful for me that I'm separated from my child. It's very painful for me'.
- 302. During her testimony, Expert Witness Dr Atim stated that her survey participants who returned with children who had been born in captivity reported to experience 'more shame, more stigma, more social isolation in their return communities' and that they faced problems forming marital relationships upon their return. Similarly, Expert Witness Professor Reicherter testified as to the communitarian impacts of forced pregnancy, stating that 'women who become pregnant following incidents of rape may face the scorn of their community'.
- 303. The Chamber observes that many of the harms referred above had long term consequences for the victims. The evidence heard throughout the trial indicate that some of the long term consequences include psychological trauma ¹²³⁵ and recurrent nightmares. ¹²³⁶ P- 0374, for instance, testified that as a result of the sexual violence, she is now 'psychologically tortured'. ¹²³⁷ P-0374 also testified that the 'memories keep coming back' and that the gruesome acts she witnessed while in captivity give her recurrent nightmares. ¹²³⁹
- 304. The Chamber has also considered the conclusions made in the reports of Expert Witnesses at trial. Dr Atim indicated that the results from her study show that sexual abuse 'significantly predicted suicide ideation', ¹²⁴⁰ she also concluded that there was a direct relationship between experiencing sexual abuse and symptoms of depression. ¹²⁴¹ Similarly, Professor Reicherter concluded that rape and sexual violence can result in a myriad of psychiatric disorders, ¹²⁴² including post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, anxiety, PTSD and suicidal tendencies. ¹²⁴³ Professor Reicherter also recognised that victims of sexual violence suffer from feelings of hopelessness, spiritual degradation, confusion, fear, and lacking worth, which he stated can also have long-lasting effects. ¹²⁴⁴
- 305. In addition, the Chamber notes that many of the accounts in the Sample also referred to the moral harm victims of SGBC suffered, which includes living in constant fear, ¹²⁴⁵ having recurring memories of events that occurred in captivity, ¹²⁴⁶ nightmares of events witnessed, ¹²⁴⁷ traumatisation, ¹²⁴⁸ inability to be in loud or noisy environments, ¹²⁴⁹ feelings of humiliation, loss of dignity and pride as a result of being raped, ¹²⁵⁰ fear or dislike of men, ¹²⁵¹ and isolation and rejection from the community. ¹²⁵²
- 306. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established on a balance of probabilities that direct victims of SGBC suffered moral harm as a result of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The moral harm suffered by these victims includes: severe mental pain; emotional distress; confusion; constant fear of being beaten or raped; constant worry and stress; severe anguish; loneliness; anxiety; loss of dignity and pride; mental distress and disturbance for being forced to beat or kill other abductees; emotional stress of witnessing beatings and killing; serious psychological impact due to forced marriage; worry for having to care for a child under violent conditions; feelings of humiliation; loss of dignity and pride as a result of being

raped; rejection by spouses and family; ostracism, stigma and isolation upon return; shame; difficulties with relationships and getting married; recurrent memories and nightmares; mental trauma; psychological disorders, including suicidal tendencies and depression; inability to be in loud or noisy environments; lack of desire to be sexually active; fear or dislike of men; and low self-esteem. The Chamber further acknowledges that the moral harm suffered by SGBC victims had long-lasting consequences.

(iii) Material harm

- 307. The LRVs, the CLRV, and a number of participants describe the material harm suffered by SGBC victims. In particular, they identify loss of access to education, ¹²⁵³ loss of access to opportunities and employment due to injuries and psychological harm sustained, ¹²⁵⁴ financial hardship as a result of forced pregnancy and single parenthood, ¹²⁵⁵ denied access to family land, ¹²⁵⁶ and lack of access to resources, shelter and land. ¹²⁵⁷
- 308. The Chamber notes that during the trial, the Chamber heard an abundance of evidence about how victims were unable to continue their education following their abduction. P- 0227, who was approximately 19 years old when she was abducted, testified that 'the reason why [she] didn't finish [her] school or the reason why [she] didn't graduate is because [she] was abducted'. P-0351, who was abducted when she was approximately 12 years old, testified that prior to her abduction she was the top student in her class and that she 'lost many opportunities' as a result of being abducted. On this point, the Chamber also notes that Expert Witness Professor Reicherter indicated in his report that women returning from the LRA with children report having significantly lower levels of education.
- 309. Regarding the loss of opportunities including future employment prospects, loss of life plan, and loss of opportunity to develop practical skills, the Chamber notes that during the trial it heard evidence about how victims were unable to fulfil their hopes or goals as a result of being abducted. P-0351 testified that she had 'hoped that [she] would do something worthwhile with [her] life', and that her 'abduction made [her] future bleak'. Similarly, P-0236 explained that there was no comparison between her and her peers that were not abducted because 'right now they are much better off than [she is]. [She is] back home. [...] [She has] injuries. [She is] weak'. P-0374, who was abducted and became a Sinia so-called 'wife', testified that before her abduction she was going to school and that she wanted to be a teacher like her father. Similarly, P-0366, who was abducted and spent time as a so-called 'wife', testified that she enjoyed her studies and that before the abduction she wanted to be a doctor. In this regard, the Chamber considers that the loss of opportunities had long-lasting material consequences for the victims.
- 310. The Chamber also notes the material loss associated with unemployment due to stigmatisation and the suffering of injuries sustained whilst in captivity. Evidence heard during the trial demonstrates that stigmatisation prevented returned women from gaining employment. P-0049, for instance, testified that many of the returned women could not 'get odd jobs' in the villages. She also testified that many returned women are 'concentrated in town' where they can 'get some of these odd jobs' and earn money as 'not many people would know who [they] are'. On this point, the Chamber has also considered the finding made by Expert Witness Professor Reicherter, that somatic injuries caused by rape and brutal beatings have resulted in 'chronic pain and untreated wounds [...] have left victims incapable of work'. 1273
- 311. The Chamber recalls that the LRV's noted that victims experienced loss as they were often denied access to family land. The Chamber has considered the evidence provided by Expert Witness Dr Atim in this regard, and notes that during her testimony, Dr Atim confirmed that the information obtained in her survey of participating victims revealed that returned women experienced issues with 'access to land for them on return with their children'. In her report, she stated that her survey found that some victims who were abducted and then returned with children of rape were 'denied their right to claim and use their natal family's land'. On this point, the Chamber notes the account provided by one of the survey participants in the expert's report who had been abducted and distributed in the context of the attack on the Odek IDP camp. She indicated, 'life is so hard today, we have to rent land to farm our crops. We have our family land but there is a struggle over the land'. The survey participant claims she was rejected by her sister's husband due to the fact that she was a forced so-called 'wife' in the LRA and 'sent away from the land'. 1278

- 312. The Chamber also notes that abducted women and girls who returned from captivity carried the sole responsibility of caring for their children born in captivity, and considers that this resulted in financial burden. For instance, P-0006 testified about how she has to support her child in secret so that her husband will not find out 1279 and that providing land to these children is 'difficult'. 1280
- 313. In addition, the Chamber notes that many of the accounts in the Sample also referred to the material harms suffered which include: the inability to continue or complete schooling, ¹²⁸¹ the inability to develop any practical skills to earn money, ¹²⁸² the sole responsibility for caring for child born out of rape, ¹²⁸³ the inability to gain employment, ¹²⁸⁴ and the inability to work due to injuries sustained while in captivity. ¹²⁸⁵
- 314. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established on a balance of probabilities that direct SGBC victims suffered material harm as a result of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The material harm suffered by these victims includes: loss of education; loss of opportunities, including loss of future employment prospects, loss of life plan and loss of development of practical skills; loss associated with unemployment due to injuries sustained whilst in captivity and stigmatisation; loss associated with denied access to family land; and financial hardship as a result of sole responsibility for caring for children born out of rape. The Chamber further acknowledges that the material harm suffered by SGBC victims had long-lasting consequences.

b) Children born out of forced marriage, forced pregnancy, rape, and sexual slavery

- (i) Physical harm
- 315. A number of participants describe the harsh conditions that children born out of SGBC endured during their time in captivity. They indicate that these children were born in 'extremely unsafe conditions' without access to food, clothes, or medication. ¹²⁸⁶ They further state that children were born and raised in the 'wilderness under very hostile situations' and that they endured hardship and lacked access to 'basic needs' including 'nutritious food, sleeping facilities, sanitation and clothing'. ¹²⁸⁸
- 316. The Chamber recalls its findings in the Conviction Judgment, that in addition to the sexual and physical violence, the living conditions of the abducted women and girls resulted in severe physical and mental pain. As noted in the Sentence, these children were 'kept with their mothers in the same coercive environment', and thus, they were subject to the same harsh living conditions. The Chamber recalls that during her testimony, P-0101, one of Mr Ongwen's so-called 'wives', recalled that once when the government soldiers attacked the group she was part of 'the child [she] was carrying flew out of [her] hands'. She further stated that on that same occasion another of her children was hit by a splinter. Similarly, P-0099, who also was one of Mr Ongwen's so-called 'wives', explained that she decided to escape because of the severe conditions for a child in the bush and the deteriorating health of her baby. P-0099 testified that she decided to escape as she was unable to produce breast milk for her child and she 'would have lost [her] child' if she decided to stay.
- 317. The Chamber also notes that one of victims in the Sample also referred to the difficulties she had providing food for the children she had while in captivity. 1297
- 318. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established on a balance of probabilities that children born out of rape suffered physical harm from the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted, as they were born and grew up within a violent environment enduring harsh living conditions, lacking access to adequate facilities, sanitation, and nutritious food. The Chamber further acknowledges that the physical harm suffered by children born out of SGBC had long-lasting consequences.

(ii) Moral harm

- 319. The LRVs, the CLRV, and a number of participants describe the moral harm suffered by children born out of SGBC. Some of the moral harm they identify include: psychological harm, ¹²⁹⁸ mental health vulnerabilities, ¹²⁹⁹ complex emotional and psychological effects, ¹³⁰⁰ loss of identity, ¹³⁰¹ significant stigmatisation and rejection from families and communities. ¹³⁰² and unstable relationships with family members. ¹³⁰³
- 320. Parties and participants indicate that moral harm was suffered by children born out of SGBC, *inter alia*, as they are prevented from attending school, 1304 unable to develop relationships, 1305 denied access to lands, 1306 and thus deprived the opportunity to raise themselves out of poverty, 1307 not recognised by family members of their mothers, 1308 not entitled to their mothers' family property, 1309 unable to gain identity documents 1310 and thus unable to be legally recognised as citizens, 1311 unable to access basic social services, 1312 have nowhere to live, 1313 are raised by grandmothers, 1314 live in damaged and disjointed families, 1315 sometimes return as orphans unable to 'trac[e] their roots', 1316 and are often viewed as 'proxy members of the LRA' and 'symbols of misfortune'.
- 321. The Chamber recalls that, as noted in the Sentence, these children were 'kept with their mothers in the same coercive environment'. On this point, the Chamber notes that throughout the trial, a number of the Expert Witnesses gave evidence in relation to the psychological harm, mental pain, anguish, and emotional distress suffered by children born out of SGBC. In his report, Expert Witness Professor Reicherter indicated that a 'growing body of inquiry, investigation, and literature' suggests that these children have impaired psychosocial development and functioning. Professor Reicherter also noted that many of the women who were forcibly impregnated by their LRA so-called 'husbands' reported that their children display symptoms of 'stress, perturbed development, or behavioural problems'. Similarly, Expert Witness Professor Musisi stated that many of these children suffered from PTSD or developed trauma disorder. Professor Musisi explained that many of the children born from unwanted pregnancies, remained in the bush after their parents died or ran away, and many children became orphans as a result of their parents dying from HIV/AIDs.
- 322. Throughout the trial proceedings, the Chamber also heard evidence on how children born out of SGBC experienced rejection and stigmatisation from their families upon return to their mothers' community. On this particular point, the Chamber notes the testimony of P- 0006, who was a so-called 'wife' of a Sinia Brigade's soldier, ¹³²³ and testified about her experience of having a male child who was born in the bush. ¹³²⁴ She stated that 'in [her] culture, a child that is born out of wedlock is known as the mother's child. You as the mother have to take care of your child, the child is you responsibility'. ¹³²⁵ She also testified about the difficulties she has experienced in her new relationship, stating that her new partner complains about the male child she had whilst in the bush, and that as a result, her son stays with her parents. ¹³²⁶ A similar experience was accounted by P-0187, who explained that she had to take care of her daughter's baby, because her husband rejected the baby her daughter conceived while in captivity. ¹³²⁷
- 323. The Chamber further notes the consistent evidence provided by Expert Witness Professor Musisi, who also testified that many of these children were rejected by their families. This was further explained by Expert Witness Professor Reicherter who in his report, explained that these children are rejected by their communities as they 'serve as a symbol and reminder of atrocities committed by the LRA, and are therefore subjects of blame, scorn and rejection'. Professor Reicherter further explained that these victims are 'automatically labelled as a criminal, rebel or murderer', and stated that in combination with other factors, the stigmatisation suffered by these victims can 'create [a] very bad prognosis for the child's wellbeing and also the child's mental wellbeing'. Professor Reicherter also explained that often children born out of rape are not accepted by their mothers' kin as they are perceived as a burden, and that it was very common for children born within the LRA to live with the mother's family. In a similar sense, Expert Witness Professor Wessells testified that these children 'bear the double burden of being born out of wedlock and being a so-called rebel child', and that it was common for the child to be rejected by their mothers' new partner.
- 324. The Chamber also notes the account of one of the SGBC victims in the Sample who described the child she had in captivity as a 'burden'. Another victim in the Sample indicated that while her son, who was born to a rebel, is attending school, he is stigmatised by others and suffers a lot as a result. 1337

325. The Chamber further notes that the stigmatisation faced by children born out of SGBC has caused the loss of their identity. On this point, the Chamber recalls the testimony provided by witness D-0049, who was one of Joseph Kony's so-called 'wives', 1338 and explained that children born in captivity experience stigma and struggle with their identity. 1339 She explained that:

the issue of stigma is not only on us, the mothers, even our children, because our culture shows that children belong to the clan of their fathers, but you see that many of the children born in the bush, many of them do not know their clans. [...] So it means these children who do not now get to know their clans, you know, they feel stigmatised because people talk so much, talk bad against them. 1340

326. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information contained in the Sample, all as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established on a balance of probabilities that children born out of SGBC suffered moral harm from the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The moral harm suffered by these victims includes: psychological harm; impaired psychosocial development and functioning; emotional distress; perturbed development and behavioural problems; significant stigmatisation and rejection from families and communities; and loss of identity. The Chamber further acknowledges that the moral harm suffered by children born out of SGBC had long- lasting consequences.

(iii) Material harm

- 327. The LRVs, the CLRV, and a number of participants describe the material harm suffered by children born out of SGBC. Some of the material harm they identify include: reduced access to school;¹³⁴¹ denied access to land;¹³⁴² loss of childhood;¹³⁴³ no land to settle on ¹³⁴⁴ or cultivate;¹³⁴⁵ loss of ability to make an income;¹³⁴⁶ inability to pay for courses to improve skills;¹³⁴⁷ loss of opportunities for advancement;¹³⁴⁸ and risk of losing their inheritances.¹³⁴⁹
- 328. The Chamber notes that the evidence heard during trial proceedings demonstrates that children born out of SGBC had reduced access to education and impaired personal and professional opportunities. The Chamber recalls the evidence given during trial by P-0045, a former female LRA soldier who provided testimony as an insider witness, and testified about the living conditions for children in the bush. She stated that for the children there was no form of education because [they] did not have schools there'. She further explained that when children grow up in the bush, they simply become LRA fighters.
- 329. The Chamber also takes note that in his report, Expert Witness Professor Reicherter noted that the inequities experienced by children born out of SGBC in terms of access to health, education and employment has been well documented. Whilst giving evidence during the trial proceedings, Professor Reicherter further indicated that children born out of rape will have difficulties in completing their education as a result of the stigmatization they suffer. 1355
- 330. The Chamber also notes that, consistent with the evidence heard at trial, a SGBC victim in the Sample noted that her son, a child who was born to a rebel, does not attend school due to fear. 1356
- 331. The Chamber further considers the loss of opportunities for economic advancement associated with denied access to land that children born out of SGBC experience. On this point, the Chamber notes the evidence provided during the trial proceedings by Expert Witness Professor Musisi, who explained that these children have less opportunities when compared to children born in the community because they have no 'lineage in the patriarchal [...] Acholi society'. Professor Musisi explained that in Acholiland, after a bride price has been paid and a mother and father has a child, the child 'belongs to that father and has a lineage in which they are going to derive a name, a homestead and later land'. However, these children 'belong[...] to the mother' which creates issues for young males as they have not been 'assigned a piece of land because the [ir] father [is] not there'. 1360
- 332. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established on a balance of probabilities that children born out of SGBC suffered material harm from the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was

268

convicted. The material harm suffered by these victims includes: reduced access to school, health, education and employment; impaired personal and professional opportunities; and loss of access to land. The Chamber further acknowledges that the material harm suffered by children born out of SGBC had long-lasting consequences.

c) Indirect SGBC victims

- (i) Moral harm
- 333. The CLRV and a number of participants describe the moral harm suffered by the indirect victims of SGBC. In particular, they identify as moral harms, psychological suffering and trauma; ¹³⁶¹ psychosocial harm from the loss of a family member; ¹³⁶² psychosocial harm from changes in family structure; ¹³⁶³ separation from children and relatives without any information regarding their well-being; ¹³⁶⁴ and emotional burden of looking after victims following their captivity. ¹³⁶⁵
- 334. The Chamber recalls that indirect victims include the family members of direct victims, ¹³⁶⁶ which encompasses the families of all SGBC victims, including children born out of SGBC who are direct SGBC victims.
- 335. The Chamber notes that in the Sentence, the Chamber gave special consideration to the psychological harm caused to the family members of victims of enslavement abducted from the four IDP camps. ¹³⁶⁷ When assessing the gravity of SGBC, this consideration was extended to the family members of enslaved women and girls. ¹³⁶⁸ The Chamber further notes that during the trial proceedings it heard abundant evidence on the psychological pain and frustration families felt when women and girls members of their family were abducted. ¹³⁶⁹ For instance, P-0226, who was abducted when she was seven years old, ¹³⁷⁰ testified that when she was taken by the LRA her mother was crying and '[she] witnessed [her] mother's fear'. ¹³⁷¹ The Chamber also notes the suffering that parents and family members experienced while their family members were in captivity, as they were constantly worrying about their children's integrity and well-being. ¹³⁷² P-0366 recalled during her testimony that '[her] mother was extremely worried about [her]. She was constantly concerned about [her] life. She did not know whether [she] was alive or dead'. ¹³⁷³
- 336. On this point, the Chamber has also considered Expert Witness Professor Reicherter's report in which he indicated that indirect victims of SGBC may also experience post-traumatic stress disorder ('PTSD') symptoms as a result of being exposed through their loved ones. ¹³⁷⁴ Professor Reicherter also noted that the impact of SGBC on families or communities may appear in the form of an organisational or societal sense of hopelessness or lack of future vision, similar to individual symptoms of depression. ¹³⁷⁵
- 337. The Chamber also notes the moral harm and emotional distress families suffered when their children returned home. For example, during her testimony, P-0366 gave evidence about the suffering experienced by her family when trying to support her from being stigmatised by her community. She testified that '[w]hen my family hear people talking about that, then of course it would stress them out. They would ask themselves, why are people talking like that?'. On this point, the Chamber also notes the testimony of witness V-0003, a teacher at Lukodi, who explained about the frustration experienced by many parents of returned girls who contracted diseases, such as HIV, as they were not able to get married afterwards. V-0003 explained that this was 'heart breaking' both for the children and their family. He also recounted that 'very few girls compared to boys were able to complete their education'. Similarly, Expert Witness Professor Musisi noted during his testimony that many families of returned victims had difficulties with trusting their abducted children who were involved in the commission of crimes. The children are children and their family.
- 338. The Chamber also acknowledges the moral harm caused to family structures as a result of the commission of SGBC. On this point, the Chamber recalls the findings made by Expert Witness Professor Reicherter, who reported that SGBC results in psychiatric symptoms which impact the quality and nature of family relationships as well as the general family stability and security. ¹³⁸² In this regard, the Chamber further notes the evidence heard during trial proceedings in relation to the impact that children born out of rape had in the victim's family structure. For instance, P-0187 testified that her daughter was abducted and became pregnant during her time in captivity. ¹³⁸³ Upon P-0187 daughter's return, the daughter decided to get married. ¹³⁸⁴ P-0187 testified that when her daughter's husband found out she was pregnant he refused to stay with her. ¹³⁸⁵ Then, after her daughter gave

- birth, P-0187 testified that she had to take care of her daughter's baby. ¹³⁸⁶ The Chamber notes that the testimonies presented during the trial are consistent with the Expert Witness Professor Tim Allen's testimony. ¹³⁸⁷ According to Professor Allen, upon their return and upon establishing new partner relationships, women and girls who were abducted and spent time in captivity were forced by their new partners to abandon their children. ¹³⁸⁸ Professor Allen indicated that this has caused a new phenomenon of orphan children or children living with older women and 'a huge problem with large numbers of young people who are not living in stable family situations'. ¹³⁸⁹
- 339. In addition, the Chamber notes that the accounts in the Sample demonstrate that indirect SGBC victims suffered from moral harm including: sadness for the crimes suffered by their relatives; ¹³⁹⁰ taking care of children the victims had while abducted; ¹³⁹¹ and stigmatisation because of being a relative of a child fathered by an LRA soldier. ¹³⁹²
- Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established on a balance of probabilities that indirect SGBC victims suffered moral harm as a result of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The moral harm suffered by these victims includes: psychological harm; psychological pain and frustration; shock; fear; panic; suffering from the separation of families; constant worry and profound agony from the lack of any information of the victims' well-being; post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms; social sense of hopelessness or lack of future vision; emotional burden of taking care of victims after their return; constant nightmares; psychosocial disturbance caused to family structures; emotional burden of taking care of victims' children in captivity; sadness for the crimes suffered by their relatives; and stigmatization because of being a relative of a child fathered by an LRA soldier. The Chamber further acknowledges that the moral harm suffered by the indirect SGBC victims had long-lasting consequences.

(ii) Material harm

- 341. The CLRV and a number of participants describe the material harm suffered by the indirect SGBC victims. In particular, they identify loss of help and support from abducted persons; loss of opportunities that stem from victims being unable to undertake income generating activities; and loss of income and expenses associated with looking after grandchildren when mothers do not have the capacity to do so. 1396
- 342. The Chamber recalls its findings above mentioned in relation to the moral harm suffered by family members who had to support their relatives who returned from the bush, since they experienced material harm associated with the loss of opportunities including, future employment prospects, loss of life plan and loss of opportunity to develop practical skills. On this point, the Chamber also notes that indirect victims of SGBC in the Sample, indicate they suffered from the loss of economic support from the returnees, as they are not able to carry work to support economically in the family. 1398
- 343. The Chamber notes that the change in family structure referred to above, ¹³⁹⁹ resulted in family members having to provide the economic support to relatives who were SGBC victims, as well as their children. On this regard, the Chamber recalls the testimony provided by P-0187, who had to take care of her daughter's baby who was fathered by an LRA soldier. ¹⁴⁰⁰ In a similar sense, witness V-0003 testified about the frustration many parents felt when their abducted daughter returned with contracted diseases, including HIV, and as a result were not able to get married afterwards. ¹⁴⁰¹
- 344. The Chamber further recalls the findings of the Expert Witness Professor Wessells in his report, in which he explained how the abduction of children disrupted the social roles, which impacted the division of household tasks, such as caring for younger children, and earning money to help support the family. As noted above in relation to moral harm of these victims, Expert Witness Professor Allen noted the issue of SGBC victims having to leave children with their relatives, causing the phenomenon of orphan children or children living with older women. 1404
- 345. In this respect, the Chamber has also assessed the accounts of victims in the Sample, who referred to the economic burden associated with providing care to SGBC victim's children. 1405

346. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established on a balance of probabilities that indirect SGBC victims suffered material harm as a result of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The material harm suffered by these victims includes: economic loss associated with providing for victims and their children born in captivity upon their return; loss of income from SGBC victims that are not contributing to their families; difficulties to find marriage for the victims; and disruption of social roles and household tasks. The Chamber further acknowledges that the material harm suffered by the indirect SGBC victims had long-lasting consequences.

iii. Harm suffered by victims of crimes against child soldiers

a) Direct victims, former child soldiers

347. The Chamber recalls that, as found in the Sentence, conscripting or enlisting children under the age of 15 years or using them to participate actively in hostilities is undoubtedly a very serious crime. In effect, it subjects children to combat and the associated risks to their life and well-being, including the risk of being wounded or killed. As also noted in the Sentence, in the present case, abducted children were detained and kept in captivity with cruel methods of physical and psychological coercion imposed to prevent their escape and to ensure obedience. They often remained in this situation for a long period of time, some for years. The Sentence further underlined that the physical and psychological violence and coercion these children suffered was not limited to the act of conscription through abduction and subsequent initiation rituals but extended uninterruptedly throughout the relevant period in a continuing manner.

(i) Physical harm

- 348. The LRVs, the CLRV, and a number of participants describe the physical harm suffered by former child soldiers. In particular, they identify the suffering of wounds, ¹⁴¹¹ disabilities, ¹⁴¹² ulcerations, ¹⁴¹³ physical ailments and diseases, ¹⁴¹⁴ weak health status, ¹⁴¹⁵ chest pain, ¹⁴¹⁶ presence of bullet or shrapnel pieces in their bodies, ¹⁴¹⁷ and combat related injuries including visual impairments, ¹⁴¹⁸ scaring, ¹⁴¹⁹ long-lasting back pain, ¹⁴²⁰ heart illness, ¹⁴²¹ and weakness. ¹⁴²² Parties and participants indicate that physical harm was suffered by victims, *inter alia*, as a result of beatings, ¹⁴²³ torture, ¹⁴²⁴ mutilation, ¹⁴²⁵ shootings, ¹⁴²⁶ rituals aimed at making them forget their families, ¹⁴²⁷ abduction and violent initiation in the LRA, ¹⁴²⁸ violent disciplinary regimes to ensure obedience and compliance, ¹⁴²⁹ and active participation in hostilities. ¹⁴³⁰
- 349. The Chamber notes that child soldiers indeed suffered physical harm and injuries including gunshot wounds, ¹⁴³¹ chest and back injuries, ¹⁴³² bruises, ¹⁴³³ chest pain, ¹⁴³⁴ foot injuries from being forced to walk long distances, ¹⁴³⁵ and other related combat injuries. ¹⁴³⁶ Injuries were sustained during abductions, ¹⁴³⁷ initiation ceremonies, ¹⁴³⁸ whilst in captivity, ¹⁴³⁹ during battles, ¹⁴⁴⁰ and were caused as result of being beaten, ¹⁴⁴¹ shot at, ¹⁴⁴² canned, ¹⁴⁴³ forced to carry heavy items, ¹⁴⁴⁴ and forced to walk long distances.
- 350. The Chamber recalls that, as found in the Sentence, witnesses consistently testified throughout the trial proceedings that they were beaten shortly after being abducted. Furthermore, 'beating out the civilian' of newly abducted children was a way to ensure compliance with orders, and a way to impress upon abductees that they were now part of a military organisation. For instance, P-0252, who was abducted during the attack in Odek at age 11, testified that, shortly after his abduction, older soldiers beat him 'as an initiation process'. He further stated that he was hit with a machete and told to 'leave [his] civilian life behind'. Similarly, P-0097, an abductee and former LRA child soldier, testified that he received 50 strokes on his back and buttocks with canes that had been freshly cut, and explained that this was how the LRA welcomed people.
- 351. Abducted children were also subject to the violent disciplinary regime of the LRA. ¹⁴⁵³ The Sentence found that cruel methods of physical coercion were used to prevent the escape of abducted children and ensure obedience, and that physical violence was perpetrated against them in an uninterrupted manner while they were in captivity. ¹⁴⁵⁴ In particular, the Chamber notes the testimony of P-0264, a former LRA child soldier abducted at age 11, ¹⁴⁵⁵ who

testified that he was beaten with a machete by LRA soldiers when he tried to escape, ¹⁴⁵⁶ and that after the beatings, his buttocks, chest, and back were bleeding and he could not breathe. ¹⁴⁵⁷

- 352. The Chamber further recalls that the testimony of numerous witnesses heard throughout the trial proceedings demonstrate that children serving as soldiers in the Sinia brigade sustained physical injuries whilst they took part in hostilities. For instance, P-0252, who was 11 at the time of his abduction, testified that he was injured whilst participating in a fight with government soldiers and that he lost consciousness for about one and a half days. 1459
- 353. The Chamber further notes that abducted children also sustained injuries as a result of being forced to carry heavy items for long periods, and as a result of being forced to walk long distances in difficult conditions. ¹⁴⁶⁰ For example, P-0097, an abductee and former LRA child soldier, ¹⁴⁶¹ testified that he still experiences back, chest, and shoulder pain due to the beatings and because he was forced to carry heavy items for long distances. ¹⁴⁶²
- 354. Consistent with the evidence heard at trial, victims in the Sample also outlined that they were injured and wounded whilst in captivity. 1463
- 355. On this point, the Chamber also notes the evidence provided by Expert Witness Professor Wessells. In his report, the Expert indicated that abducted children were exposed to 'profound violence, danger and insecurity'. ¹⁴⁶⁴ Due to the LRA's strict discipline system, 'abducted children who disobeyed orders were subject to brutal beatings or other torture' ¹⁴⁶⁵ and suffered physical wounds which impacted their health and ability to work. ¹⁴⁶⁶ Moreover, according to the Expert, in order 'to punish children who attempted to escape, the LRA ordered other abducted children to surround the escapee and beat him or her to death'. ¹⁴⁶⁷ Children who were forcibly recruited into the LRA forces were also exposed to the violence and threats coming from the UPDF. ¹⁴⁶⁸ As to the long-lasting health consequences the child solders bear, the Expert affirmed that there were 'myriad health threats and problems', which were often associated with the LRA's brutal discipline. ¹⁴⁶⁹
- 356. The Chamber further stresses that many of the injuries referred to above had long term consequences for the victims. Former child soldiers testified during the trial proceedings that they have long-lasting back pain, 1470 chest pain, 1471 chronic bone pain, 1472 and scars. The Chamber further notes that the findings in the Conviction Judgment, the Sentence, and the testimonies presented during the trial are consistent with the findings in the Expert Witness report. In his report, Professor Wessells stated that the physical injuries left 'some children with long term physical disabilities'. The Expert asserted that children who grew up in the LRA and returned home 'may experience a whole spectrum of increased health risk, pulmonary disease, coronary disease, asthma, [and] diabetes'. 1475
- Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established, on a balance of probabilities, that direct victims of the crime of conscription of children under the age of 15 years and their use to participate actively in armed hostilities, suffered physical harm as a result of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The physical harm suffered by these victims includes: beating as part of their initiation process; physical coercion; injuries sustained from participating in hostilities, including bullet wounds and shrapnel wounds; buttocks, chest, shoulder, and back wounds; bruises; foot injuries; long-lasting back pain and chest pain; chronic bone pain; scars; a myriad of health threats and problems; disabilities; and increased health risk, including pulmonary disease, coronary disease, asthma, and diabetes. The Chamber acknowledges that the physical harm suffered by the victims had long-lasting consequences.

(ii) Moral harm

358. The CLRV and a number of participants describe the moral harm suffered by former child soldiers. In particular, they identify psychological disorders, ¹⁴⁷⁶ depression, ¹⁴⁷⁷ anxiety, ¹⁴⁷⁸ trauma, ¹⁴⁷⁹ suicidal tendencies, ¹⁴⁸⁰ difficulties in controlling aggressive impulses, ¹⁴⁸¹ dissociative behaviour, ¹⁴⁸² and stigmatisation, isolation, and rejection within families and communities. ¹⁴⁸³ Parties and participants indicate that the moral harm was suffered by victims, *inter alia*, as a result of victimisation, ¹⁴⁸⁴ exposure to an environment of violence, fear and threats, ¹⁴⁸⁵ being separated from their families, ¹⁴⁸⁶ and the inability to re-integrate into society. ¹⁴⁸⁷

- 359. In particular, the Chamber notes that the testimonies heard throughout the trial indicate that child soldiers suffered psychological trauma, ¹⁴⁸⁸ mental disorders, ¹⁴⁸⁹ nightmares, ¹⁴⁹⁰ exposure to violence and fear, ¹⁴⁹¹ emotional suffering caused by the separation from their families, ¹⁴⁹² emotional distress from the prohibition of forming friendships during captivity, ¹⁴⁹³ stigmatisation, ¹⁴⁹⁴ loss of childhood, ¹⁴⁹⁵ and loss of life plan. ¹⁴⁹⁶
- 360. The Chamber notes that victims testified that they were taken by force, against their will, and felt scared and fearful during their abduction. For example, P-0097, an abductee and former LRA child soldier who was abducted at age 11 during his sister's wedding, testified that when he was taken, LRA fighters attacked the compound where his family were gathered. He recalled that the LRA soldiers were heavily armed, fired shots, and set houses on fire. When a group of LRA fighters found him, P-0097 indicated that he did not resist going with them, as he had heard stories about people refusing and being shot. 1501
- 361. As found beyond reasonable doubt in the Conviction Judgment, abducted children were detained and kept in captivity and were subject to cruel methods of physical and psychological coercion, often for a long period of time, some even for years. The Chamber further recalls its finding that the physical, and subsequent psychological violence, was not limited to the act of conscription through abduction and subsequent initiation rituals but also continued in an uninterrupted manner. Witness P-0309, who was abducted before the age of 15, 1504 recalled that when he was abducted, the LRA soldiers 'gathered [them] together in a compound and ordered that those who had been newly abducted, four people, should be killed. [...] Those four people were killed before [them], in [their] presence, and [they] were warned that if [they] attempted to escape that's what would happen to [them]'. 1505
- 362. The Chamber also recalls its findings in the Conviction Judgment that new recruits were forced to kill and witness brutal killings. The evidence provided by P-0314 was particularly informative for the Chamber to reach this finding, as he testified that newly abducted people would be instructed to kill a person, while the 'older people' watched. P-0314 further indicated in his testimony that 'what affected [him] most was torture and cruel treatment, which was very rampant, and then being forced to do things that [he] wouldn't want to do'. 1508
- 363. Abducted children were also prohibited from forming relationships with other victims which, as found in the Sentence, 'further increased their mental suffering and their feeling of abandonment'. The Chamber also notes the emotional suffering child soldiers experienced as a result of being separated from their families. According to the Expert Witness Professor Wessells, separation from family had negative impacts on the mental health of child soldiers who found themselves in situations of 'intense fear with no family to comfort them or to help them to calm down or cope with their situation'. 1511
- 364. The Chamber also notes that the fear of making new friendships experienced by the child soldiers ¹⁵¹² had an impact on their later development of social skills. In particular, the Chamber recalls the testimony of P-0309, who stated:

When I came back from the bush when I'm with people, when I'm among people, I find it difficult to converse with people. If people talk about things that relate to me, then it makes me – it makes me angry, it makes me sad, and that's something that never happened in my life before. ¹⁵¹³

It's extremely difficult for me to stay with people. If there are a number of people who have congregated together and it's extremely difficult for me to sit and stay with them. 1514

- 365. The Chamber also notes the long term psychological suffering of child soldiers from being exposed to violence during their time in the bush. Witnesses who had been integrated into the LRA as child soldiers testified during the trial that they continue to suffer from nightmares about their experience many years after. The Chamber notes, for instance, that P-0097 stated that, in the past, he used to have nightmares and sometimes he gets 'lost in thoughts and makes [him] fear that maybe [he] could still be abducted'. Similarly, P-0309 testified that he always feels as if there is somebody who is creeping after him with a gun and sometimes when he is sleeping or sitting, he visualises the things that happened to him in the bush.
- 366. The Chamber also notes the moral harm child soldiers suffered upon returning to their families and communities. Evidence heard during the trial demonstrates that child soldiers experienced stigmatisation and rejection upon returning from captivity, which included insults 1519 and threats. 1520 In addition, for many child soldier

victims, life changed dramatically upon their return from captivity, they lost their friendships, and many were not able to continue with their life plans such as completing their schooling. 1521

- 367. In addition, the Chamber notes that the accounts from child soldiers in the Sample also refer to suffering from stigmatisation and rejection upon returning from captivity. 1522
- 368. The Chamber also considers the findings of the Expert Witness Professor Wessells in relation to the long-lasting consequences of these crimes. The Expert indicated in his report that such high doses of traumatic exposure led to 'unusually high burden of mental disorders and other forms of intense suffering'. On this point, the Chamber notes that the Expert recognised that a 'significant number' of those children who had been abducted and integrated into the LRA, suffered from a range of issues including anxiety and depression, aggressive behaviours, PTSD, everyday distress, severy as well as spiritual disturbances (cen). As noted above, the Expert explained that 'cen' reflects Acholi beliefs that the vengeful spirits of the dead can attach to and possess the living, causing severe problems for formerly abducted children and their families and communities. The Expert indicated that 'cen' was a significant source of suffering and social isolation, and that families often feared that returning children might bring 'cen' into the household, and that it would harm family members.
- Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established, on a balance of probabilities, that child soldiers suffered moral harm as a result of being direct victims of the crime of conscripting children under the age of 15 years and their use to participate actively in armed hostilities, for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The moral harm suffered by these victims includes: feelings of fear and distress; psychological trauma; mental disorders; nightmares; emotional distress caused by the exposure to violence and fear; emotional suffering from being prohibited to form friendships while in captivity; feelings of abandonment caused by the separation from their families; long-lasting fears or traumas; symptoms of anxiety and depression, aggressive behaviour and PTSD; spiritual disturbances; stigmatisation and rejection upon return; loss of childhood; impact on social skills; and loss of life plan. The Chamber acknowledges that the moral harm suffered by these victims had long-lasting consequences.

(iii) Material harm

- 370. The Chamber notes that the LRVs, CLRV, and a number of the participants describe the material harm suffered by former child soldiers. Some of the material harm they identify include the interruption or loss of schooling; lack of access to resources, shelter, and land; loss of vocational training or professional opportunities; and non-development of 'civilian life skills' which results in disadvantages, particularly with regard to employment (reduced socioeconomic opportunities). loss
- 371. The Chamber notes that child victims had their education interrupted as a result of being abducted, and that many were not able to complete their schooling upon their return from captivity, which impacted their personal and professional life-plan. The Chamber recalls the numerous accounts of witnesses who detailed the interruption of their schooling. For instance, P-0309 testified that his 'abduction and staying in the bush changed [his] life because [he] was not able to achieve [his] ambitions, [he] was not able to complete [his] education'. On this point, the Chamber notes that in his report, Expert Witness Professor Wessells recognised that former child soldiers are half as likely to be enrolled in school and are one third as likely to make the transition from primary to secondary education, when compared to children who had not been abducted. 1538
- 372. In addition to the evidence provided during the trial proceedings, the accounts of former child soldiers included in the Sample also refer to their inability to complete their education and the impact on their life plan resulting from their abduction by and integration into the LRA. 1539
- 373. Evidence heard during trial also demonstrates that for many former child soldiers, their ability to carry out labour was significantly impacted as a result of the harms they suffered while in captivity. P-0097, a former child soldier, testified that during his captivity he was whipped, ¹⁵⁴⁰ severely beaten, ¹⁵⁴¹ and was injured in his shoulder. As a result, he stated that nowadays he cannot engage in physical work because of his back, chest, and shoulder pain. ¹⁵⁴³

- 374. The Chamber further considers that, in addition to the above, the evidence provided during trial demonstrates that one of the results of being abducted was the lack of development of civilian life skills. This, along with the interruption and loss of schooling, impacted victims' prospects of later employment. During his testimony, P-0252 stated that the only thing he was taught while in the bush was how to protect his own life and to commit atrocities. Similarly, P-0314 testified about some of the challenges he faced seeking employment after his return, which included rejection and constant job switching.
- 375. The Chamber notes that this is consistent with the conclusions of Expert Witness Professor Wessells, who underlined in his report that the abduction of children by the LRA 'pulls children away from work and opportunities to learn work-related skills'. The Expert explained that, as a consequence, formerly abducted children are less likely to do skilled work and earn less than non-abducted children. The Chamber considers that the evidence clearly demonstrates that child soldiers were prevented from developing the civilian life skills they would have otherwise developed by interacting with people surrounding them in normal life.
- 376. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established, on a balance of probabilities, that former child soldiers suffered material harm as a result of being direct victims of the crimes of conscription or enlisting children under the age of 15 years and their use to actively participate in hostilities, for which Ongwen was convicted. The material harm suffered by these victims includes: the loss or interruption of education; loss of lifeplan; economic loss due to long-lasting physical pain and psychological problems suffered during captivity; loss of opportunities due to stigmatisation; and the non-development of civilian life skills which later impacted their professional life. The Chamber also considers that it has been demonstrated, on a balance of probabilities, the material harm suffered by former child soldiers had long-lasting consequences.

b) Indirect victims of crimes against former child soldiers

(i) Physical harm

- 377. The CLRV submitted that indirect victims of the child soldiers suffered 'tremendous harm'. ¹⁵⁵⁰ Regarding physical harm, the CLRV notes this includes 'injuries (as a result of trying to intervene to protect or free their abducted children or as a result of further violence associated to or caused by the direct victim)' and 'long-lasting health consequences experienced as a result of the sudden loss of a family member'. ¹⁵⁵¹
- 378. Having assessed the findings in the Conviction Judgment and the Sentence, the evidence provided during trial proceedings, the results from the Sample, and the submissions from the parties and participants, the Chamber finds that there is insufficient evidence or information to support the conclusion that physical harm was suffered by indirect victims of the crimes of conscription or enlisting children under the age of 15 years and their use to actively participate in hostilities. Accordingly, the Chamber considers that it has not been established, on a balance of probabilities, that indirect victims of child soldiers suffered from physical harm as a result of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.

(ii) Moral harm

- 379. The CLRV and a number of the participants describe the moral harm suffered by the indirect victims of the crimes against child soldiers. In particular, they identify psychological suffering; traumas; psychological damages; and the development of psychological disorders, such as suicidal tendencies, depression, and dissociative behaviour. In their argument, these harms derived from both the abduction and the disappearance of their children, which are connected traumatic events, and due to being left without any information about the abducted children for a long time.
- 380. The Chamber notes, as outlined in the Sentence, the emotional suffering that the direct victims' abduction and integration into the LRA brought upon their families. Evidence heard throughout the trial indeed demonstrates how the families of the abducted child soldiers experienced emotional pain and worry for their children's

integrity, wondering if they were still alive while they were in the bush. ¹⁵⁶² On this point, the Chamber also notes the evidence provided by the Expert Witness, Professor Wessells, who explained in his report that families whose children were abducted were left in a state of ambiguous loss, as they had little way of knowing whether their children were alive. ¹⁵⁶³ The Expert notes that parents and family members constantly worried about their abducted children and experienced deep anguish. ¹⁵⁶⁴

- 381. The Chamber recalls that it was previously found that former child soldiers suffered moral harm upon returning to their families and communities due to stigmatisation and changes in their behaviour. The Chamber underscores the impact that this also had on the families and communities of former child soldiers. As V-0003, a teacher in Lukodi, recalled, parents were not prepared or did not possess the required skills to manage or deal with returned children who had become very aggressive. 1566
- 382. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence and the evidence heard during trial proceedings, all as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established, on a balance of probabilities, that indirect victims of the crime of conscription of children under the age of 15 years and their use to participate actively in armed hostilities suffered moral harm as a result of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The moral harm suffered by these indirect victims includes: emotional suffering from the abduction and integration of children into the LRA; worry for the integrity of the victims while they were in captivity; feelings of anguish; and emotional stress from helping the victims deal with stigmatisation and changes in their behaviour. The Chamber acknowledges that the moral harm suffered by the indirect victims of the child soldiers had long-lasting consequences.

(iii) Material harm

- 383. In her submissions, the CLRV refers to the material harm suffered by the indirect victims of the crimes against child soldiers. In particular, they identify the material deprivation that results from the loss of the family member's help and contributions, ¹⁵⁶⁷ and refer generally to other economic consequences or damages. ¹⁵⁶⁸
- 384. The Chamber recalls the findings it made above in relation to the material loss suffered by former child soldiers due to the interruption of their schooling and the loss of their personal and professional life-plans. The Chamber notes that this caused an economic loss for the families who could no longer count on the victims' help and contributions. The Chamber recalls, for instance, the testimony provided by P-0275, who was abducted at age nine and referred in his testimony to the impact his abduction had on his family. He explained that his abduction significantly affected his family and that 'now [he is] the most responsible person. [He is] the person who is supposed to take over. But [he is] unable to do that. [He is] unable to provide for them'. For this reason, P-0275 is unable to pay the school fees of his brother's children for whom he has been caring for following his brother's death.
- 385. On this point, the Chamber further recalls that Professor Wessells reported that former child soldiers abducted by the LRA suffered a myriad of health issues and problems which left 'some abducted children with long term physical disabilities that impaired their ability to work and contribute to their families'. 1574
- 386. Considering the Conviction Judgment and Sentence and the evidence heard during trial proceedings, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established, on a balance of probabilities, that indirect victims of the crime of conscription of children under the age of 15 years and their use to participate actively in armed hostilities suffered material harm as a result of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The material harm suffered by these indirect victims includes the material deprivation resulting from the loss of the family member's help and contributions. The Chamber acknowledges that the material harm suffered by the indirect victims of the child soldiers had long-lasting consequences.

iv. Community Harm

a) Alleged harm caused to 'community property'

387. The Chamber notes that in her submissions, the CLRV contends that victims lost access to health care, schooling, and the ability to engage in religious practices as a result of community property being destroyed,

including hospitals, churches, and schools. ¹⁵⁷⁵ In addition, the CLRV states that, due to the very nature of the crimes committed and their wide- range impact, cultural structures have been 'deeply affected'. ¹⁵⁷⁶ In its *amici* observations, the ARLPI also indicates that community structures, including schools and churches, were destroyed, and that, as a result, the broader community has been denied access to those structures. ¹⁵⁷⁷ This point is echoed in the FIDA-Uganda's Observations, wherein it is indicated that the disruption of social services and various institutions such as schools and hospitals has caused harm at a 'structural level'. ¹⁵⁷⁸ Finally, FIDA-Uganda submit that the conflict has caused 'social and economic setbacks' to the 'development of the social and economic fabric of communities'. ¹⁵⁷⁹

- 388. The Chamber recalls its findings in the Conviction Judgment, in which Mr Ongwen was found responsible for the destruction of property caused during the Abok and Lukodi IDP camp attacks. Whilst the Chamber acknowledges that damage to community property including hospitals, churches, and schools indeed may have been caused during the conflict in Northern Uganda, its findings in relation to the crime of destruction of property based on the charges brought by the Prosecutor and the evidence presented at trial is limited to the destruction of houses, household goods, and livestock in the Abok and Lukodi IDP camps.
- 389. Whilst none of the parties or participants allege that community harm was caused by the pillaging of food supplies or food aid, the Chamber notes that the evidence in the case file contains a wealth of information related to the harm caused to the community of victims as a whole due to the pillaging of food supplies and food aid in the context of all four attacks on the IDP camps. In effect, the Chamber notes that the IDP camps relied on aid agencies, such as the World Food Programme, to distribute food and other commodities to their respective populations. 1583 The evidence in the case file supports the conclusion that, during the attacks on each of the four IDP camps food supplies were stolen from civilian homes and trading centres. 1584 Regarding the Odek IDP attack, the Chamber recalls its finding in the Conviction Judgment, which stated that LRA attackers stole food aid which had only recently been distributed to the camp. 1585 Evidence heard throughout the trial and information contained in the Sample, indicate that food aid was also stolen from the other three IDP camps. 1586 Lukodi IDP camp resident P-0024 testified that it was not easy for camp residents to get food and that they 'rel[ied] on Caritas to give [them] food'. 1587 P-0024 further explained that Caritas had distributed food to the Lukodi IDP camp only two days before the attack, ¹⁵⁸⁸ and that during the attack 'the foods were taken'. ¹⁵⁸⁹ A similar account was provided by P-0026, who explained that during the Lukodi attack, rebels entered her house and stole cooking oil 'which [she] had just received [...] from Caritas'. 1590 Similarly, Abok IDP camp resident P-0293 also testified that during the attack, rebels took the 'newly distributed' food items. The victim further explained that, following the attack, they 'never found any food items left'. 1592 One of the accounts in Sample makes specific mention to the fact that the food aid, which had recently been distributed to the Pajule IDP camp, was taken from the houses during the Pajule attack. 1593
- 390. The Chamber recalls that Mr Ongwen was convicted for the war crime of pillaging in all four IDP camps. 1594 The Chamber further notes that evidence heard during the trial, as well as the accounts provided in the Sample, demonstrate that residents in the Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok IDP camps suffered widespread hunger as a result of the pillaging of food and food supplies. P-0325, an Odek IDP camp leader, testified that 'the camp residents suffered so much' following the pillaging of the World Programme Food, and that 'many people suffered from intense hunger'. 1595 The impact that the pillaging of food aid had on the Odek IDP camp residents, as pointed out in the Sentence, 'was great'. 1596 In addition, the account of a victim included in the Sample indicates that, following the Pajule attack and the looting of the World Food Programme food aid, members of the community were forced to eat unripe mangoes until the World Food Programme delivered another distribution. Other accounts in the Sample also describe the hunger and in some instances starvation community members suffered as a result of their food supplies being stolen. 1598
- 391. Taking into account that these reparations proceedings are strictly limited in reach and scope to the terms of the Conviction¹⁵⁹⁹ and that Mr Ongwen was not convicted for any destruction carried out on hospitals, churches, or schools, the Chamber considers that he cannot be held accountable to repair the damage that might have been caused to those structures within the general context of the conflict in Northern Uganda.

392. However, as noted above, the evidence clearly demonstrates that the Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok IDP camps relied on food distributions provided by aid agencies to feed their residents. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established, on a balance of probabilities, that the pillaging of food supplies and food aid caused harm to the entire community of victims of the Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok attacks.

b) Alleged harm caused by the loss of ability to carry out traditional rituals and customs

- 393. The Chamber notes that the CLRV argues that the disruption of social cohesion in the communities and the loss of traditions and customs caused harm to direct and indirect victims of the IDP camp attacks. The Chamber also observes that the CLRV does not provide any further information or evidence in support of this alleged harm. In the ICTJ and UVF's Observations, it is claimed that some victims are living with 'trauma' and 'spiritual disturbances' following the attacks on the camps, as they were not able to carry out local customs when burying their family members. The ARLPI's Observations also indicate that community members reported to have seen ghosts in the places where the crimes took place, that those locations continue to 'haun[t] the community', and that members continue to be disturbed by bad nightmares if they 'bounce' on the human corpses. 1602
- 394. The Chamber recalls its finding in the Conviction Judgment that goods and livestock were pillaged and destroyed during attacks on the four IDP camps. The Chamber's assessment of the evidence indeed supports the conclusion that, in the context of the Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok attacks, the pillaging and destruction of goods and livestock significantly impaired the victims' ability to carry out traditional rituals and customs. V-0004, a local councillor in the Lukodi IDP camp at the time of the attack, testified that livestock was used, *inter alia*, as means for paying bride wealth, and that livestock was an 'important element in the culture of Acholi, economically and socially'. V-0004 also testified that goats were used during traditional rituals 'culturally important to the community' to heal health conditions, and were also a source of food at funerals. In the context of the community is to heal health conditions, and the context of the evidence indeed supports the evidence indeed supports the context of the evidence indeed supports the conclusion of the evidence indeed supports the context of the evidence indeed supports the evidence indeed supp
- 395. The Chamber notes that the role that livestock plays in traditional marriages is also mentioned by one of the participants in the survey conducted by Expert Witness Dr Atim. The survey participant, who was married the day before the Odek attack, explains that the bride wealth that her husband paid her family, which included three cattle and six goats, was stolen by the rebels. Similarly, in his report, Expert Witness Professor Wessells indicated that families have been unable to continue their tradition of marriage because extreme poverty made it nearly impossible to pay the bride wealth needed for marriage. Some victims in the Sample also referred to harm caused as a result of the pillaging and destruction of property, which included the inability to pay for traditional marriages.
- During the trial proceedings, the Chamber also heard evidence about how victims were unable to carry out rituals according to local customs as a result of the pillaging and destruction of livestock. ¹⁶¹² V-0004 testified that goats are used in the traditional process of burying deceased persons and stated that they are also used to carry out traditional processes for families that know that their family member has passed away but do not have their body to bury. ¹⁶¹³ V- 0004 testified that to conduct the traditional process, family members would 'sacrifice these goats and the ceremony would be conducted'. ¹⁶¹⁴ When asked about the consequences of not following such rituals, V-0004 explained that failing to carry out practices when burying family members can bring 'sickness to the family' ¹⁶¹⁵ and can result in nightmares and illness. ¹⁶¹⁶ V- 0004 further testified that people in his own community told him about nightmares they had about their missing family members who they suspected had been killed but not buried properly. ¹⁶¹⁷
- 397. On this point, the Chamber notes that throughout the trial proceedings, it also heard evidence about how the failure to bury family members in accordance with rituals may result in what has been termed over the course of the proceedings as 'cen'. During his testimony, Expert Witness Professor Wessells testified about the importance of burial rituals, noting that if culturally prescribed rituals are not carried out or performed properly, the spirits become angry as they are 'trapped between worlds'. Similar evidence was given by Expert Witness Professor Musisi, who during his testimony explained that the failure or inability to bury loved ones according to custom, can result in 'cen'. 1619

398. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established, on a balance of probabilities, that the crimes of pillaging and destruction of property for which Mr Ongwen was convicted, caused harm to the entire community of victims of the Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok attacks, as it prevented them from engaging in and performing traditional rituals and customs that are culturally important to them.

c) Alleged harm caused by the separation and break down of families and communities

- The Chamber notes that a number of the parties and participants describe the harm caused to victims ¹⁶²⁰ and communities ¹⁶²¹ as a result of the loss and separation of family members. Both victims' representatives state that victims of the attacks suffered harm due to losing family members ¹⁶²² on whom they were often dependant. ¹⁶²³ In addition, the CLRV submits that victims of the attacks suffered harm from the 'disruption of social cohesion in the communities'. ¹⁶²⁴ The Registry notes that the break-up of the family units, unwanted pregnancies, and challenges faced by widows and widowers in providing for their children's needs and education, resulted in their children failing to carry out their traditional roles within the family and engaging in undesirable behaviour. ¹⁶²⁵ In addition, the Registry submits that this has caused 'social harm', as it affected the 'family community fibre and structure'. ¹⁶²⁶ The Registry also notes that the break-up of family units has caused social harm to direct victims of the thematic crimes. ¹⁶²⁷ This is also argued by FIDA-Uganda, which indicates that the conflict caused 'disruptions to families' and to the development of the social fabric of the communities. ¹⁶²⁸
- 400. The ICTJ and UVF's Observations indicate that the harm caused by the act of abduction 'inflicted deep wounds on the familial and social fabric'. ¹⁶²⁹ In addition, these *amici* submit that the stigmatisation, ostracism, and rejection suffered by victims of SGBC and former child soldiers has impacted entire communities. ¹⁶³⁰ This point is supported by the TFV, which submits that the harm suffered by victims of SGBC 'affects the family and community as a whole over both the short and long term'. ¹⁶³¹ In relation to the rejection and stigmatisation suffered by the SGBC victims, the TFV further notes that such harm impacts the entire community as it 'leads to a weaking of the social fabric of families and communities'. ¹⁶³² Finally, and in relation to former child soldiers, the TFV argues that the recruitment of child soldiers has caused significant social harm, which goes 'beyond the affected individuals' and can include issues such as rejection by family and community, and stigmatisation generally. ¹⁶³³
- 401. In the context of all four IDP camp attacks, the Chamber recalls that civilians lost their family members as they were murdered and abducted during the attacks, with some of the abductees never returning. 1634 Many of the women, girls, and children who were abducted by the Sinia brigade and integrated into the LRA did not return to their families, and those who did, often experienced stigmatisation within their families as well as their community. 1635
- 402. Evidence heard during the trial and accounts in the Sample demonstrate the extent to which the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted caused the separation and break down of families, which also impacted the social fabric of the community of victims. Witness testimonies and accounts within the Sample, outlined how, during the attacks, victims lost their children, siblings, parents, and caregivers. Some children became orphans while elderly victims were left alone with no support. On this point, the Chamber notes the evidence provided by Expert Witness Dr Atim, who stated that the harm caused by the LRA during the attacks on the IDP camps destroyed individuals and their families. Participants in the survey conducted by the Dr Atim indicated that entire households were destroyed during the attacks, families were disintegrated in their aftermath, and communities were negatively impacted as a result.
- 403. The Chamber also notes the disruption to community relations that the abductions caused. The Chamber recalls its finding in relation to the 'emotional suffering' surviving family members experienced, some for whom as a result, now have additional responsibilities. As pointed out in the Sentence, during the trial proceedings witnesses testified about how the abduction of family members has had long-lasting impacts on familial and community relations. Some family members of missing and deceased people have been required to take on extra caring responsibilities for orphaned children. Additionally, the loss of family members has also resulted in individuals not having the necessary labour to carry out work required to sustain other family members.

- 404. The abductions had long-lasting consequences for community relations, as returnees were often rejected by their families and communities. Evidence heard during the trial also demonstrated how abductees who returned to their families and communities found it challenging to re-integrate and were often subjected to stigmatisation. ¹⁶⁴⁹ In this regard, the Chamber notes the observations made by the participants, who argue that this led to a weakening of the community fibre and structure. ¹⁶⁵⁰
- 405. Expert evidence heard during the trial also detailed the way in which these crimes have had long term consequences on the communities. According to Expert Witness Dr Atim, sexual violence causes 'disruptions in familial and community relations', which she explained is perpetuated by the shame and stigma associated with women having 'violated cultural norms surrounding moral and sexual behaviour'. This is echoed by Expert Witness Professor Reicherter, who in his report noted that survivors of rape are often seen to bring dishonour to both their families and communities. In relation to former child soldiers, Professor Reicherter also indicated that the abduction of children 'tore at the fabric of Acholi communities and society' and 'strained the fabric of economic and social life'. Expert Witness Professor Wessells also recognised that communities experienced shame and guilt for not being able to protect their children, which in Acholi society is both a family and community responsibility. Professor Wessells further noted that the act of abduction 'damag[ed] the social relations within the Acholi society'.
- 406. The Chamber also observes that many of the accounts in the Sample***indicate that individuals who were abducted during the attacks had not returned home, ¹⁶⁵⁷ which has resulted in extra caring responsibilities for surviving family members. The Chamber notes that accounts in the Sample also accounted for the stigmatisation victims experienced upon their return. ¹⁶⁵⁹
- 407. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established, on a balance of probabilities, that the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted resulted in families being separated for protracted periods of time, and in some instances, forever. The deaths, abductions, and separation of families caused significant disruption to family structures, duties, and responsibilities, as well as the rejection of some family members. Therefore, the Chamber is satisfied that the crimes for which Mr Ongwen has been convicted disrupted the social fabric in which the affected communities of victims functioned, and thus caused harm to the entire community of victims of these crimes.

v. Transgenerational harm

- 408. The LRVs, the CLRV, and a number of participants describe the transgenerational harm suffered by the descendants of the victims of the attacks, ¹⁶⁶⁰ SGBC, ¹⁶⁶¹ and child soldiers. ¹⁶⁶² The Registry recalls that children born out of SGBC, although direct victims, can also suffer transgenerational harm as indirect victims. ¹⁶⁶³ According to the CLRV and the LRVs, the transgenerational harm transmitted to indirect victims includes: physiological stresses: ¹⁶⁶⁴ psychosocial harms: ¹⁶⁶⁵ and stigmatisation. ¹⁶⁶⁶
- 409. The Chamber notes its findings above relating to the scientific basis and the existence of the phenomenon transgenerational harm, whereby trauma is transmitted from the direct victims to their children. The Chamber also recalls its findings above relating to the different harms suffered by indirect victims, which includes the children of direct victims. 1668
- 410. The Chamber notes that at trial, it heard direct testimony from experts on the transgenerational harm phenomenon and its contours, as well as the manner in which it could manifest in the victims themselves. For example, the Chamber recalls the testimony of Expert Witness Professor Wessells who stated that 'mothers who experience trauma actually transmit some of their stress and trauma to their offspring through hormonal mechanisms', and added that 'there is also evidence of genetic transmission'. Providing an example of this harm in the present case, Professor Wessells explained that 'if a trauma occurs to a young girl who has just become a mother inside the LRA, the trauma doesn't stop with her, it will continue through her children and even through their children'. On the same point, Expert Witness Professor Musisi explained during his testimony that both

the social and epigenetic theories confirm that the effects of war on individuals have lasting effects long after a conflict has ended. 1672

- 411. The Chamber also considers the testimony of Expert Witness Professor Reicherter, who explained that there was consistent evidence that children of people with PTSD are at great risk of having mental health problems. ¹⁶⁷³ During trial, Professor Reicherter described that women who are rape survivors and women who have PTSD often have a very different parenting style than those who are not rape survivors or do not have PTSD. ¹⁶⁷⁴ He also stated that, based on the scientific evidence he analysed, it is expected that victims of SGBC in the present case and their future generations also present similar alterations as the ones described in the scientific evidence he examined. ¹⁶⁷⁵
- 412. Lastly, Expert Witness Dr Atim also referred during her testimony to the results of the survey she conducted with a number of victims in the present case, which revealed the existence of a considerably lower level of school enrolment for children of victims in comparison with those of the general population of the same age. ¹⁶⁷⁶ Dr Atim affirmed that this difference is 'an aspect of the intergenerational effects even on those children who were not there during the attack'. ¹⁶⁷⁷
- 413. Considering the findings beyond reasonable doubt reached by the Chamber in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence heard during trial proceedings, and the information the Chamber obtained from its assessment of the Sample, all as summarised above, the Chamber is satisfied that it has been established, on a balance of probabilities, that children of direct victims and children born out of SGBC suffered transgenerational harm as a result of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.

vi. Conclusions

- Based the findings above, the Chamber hereby reiterates that it has been established, on a balance of probabilities, that the victims of the case experienced the following harm:
 - a. Regarding direct victims of the attacks:
 - i. <u>Physical harm</u>, including: bullet wounds in different parts of the body; amputated body parts; cuts to body parts; burn wounds; fractured limbs; scars; presence of bomb splinters; broken bones; dislocated body parts; chronic chest and back pain caused by being forced to carry heavy loads; foot injuries from walking long distances barefoot whilst in captivity; ailments sustained whilst in captivity; injuries and aches to different body parts caused by beatings and stabbings; permanent feeling of weakness; disabilities; and physical harm due to rape;
 - ii. Moral harm, including: severe mental pain and suffering; trauma; feelings of fear, panic, helplessness, and distress; psychological abuse; psychological trauma; emotional harm; stress; recurring painful memories; nightmares; severe violation of the dignity; suffering from being forced to leave their children behind; spiritual disturbances; and impaired psychosocial well-being and functioning; and
 - iii. Material harm, including: loss of housing and property; loss of personal items, clothes, goods, and food supplies; loss of livestock; lack of shelter; loss of property used for paying bride wealth, ploughing, food, school fees, and health treatment; loss of earning capacity and income generating opportunities; long-lasting economic impact; and loss life plan and opportunities for development, including loss of and disruption to schooling.
- b. Regarding indirect victims of the attacks:
 - i. <u>Moral harm</u>, including: experiencing feelings of fear and distress; experiencing nightmares; psychological or emotional harm and traumas; pain associated with the loss of a family member; spiritual disturbances; deprivation of love, care, and support from a deceased family member; trauma after seeing people being killed or seeing dead bodies during the attack; suffering from witnessing the commission of crimes while abducted;

pain for seeing a family member being killed or raped; pain of missing a family member who was either killed or abducted; shock, fear, and panic for the well-being of abducted children; deploring the trauma caused to an abducted family member; frustration for not having been able to defend a relative; and suffering from the lack of information regarding the whereabouts of an abducted family member; and

ii. <u>Material harm</u>, including: economic hardship, including loss of schooling; loss of the economic support previously provided by a killed or abducted direct victim; and the economic burden associated with providing for dependents of murdered and abducted relatives.

c. Regarding direct SGBC victims:

- i. <u>Physical harm</u>, including: beatings; physical punishments; unconsciousness from beatings; hunger and dehydration; foot injuries; wounded legs; chest pain; back pain; permanent scars; physical harm from being raped; genital injuries, vaginal tears; chronical lower abdomen pain; chronical genital pain; pelvic pains and inflammatory disease; amenorrhoea; gynaecologic fistula; vaginal bleeding; permanent damage to one's reproductive system; miscarriage; physical harm due to pregnancy and child bearing; health complications during childbirth; somatic complaints; and sexually transmitted infections (including HIV and AIDS);
- ii. Moral harm, including: severe mental pain; emotional distress; confusion; constant fear of being beaten or raped; constant worry and stress; severe anguish; loneliness; anxiety; loss of dignity and pride; severe anguish, mental distress and disturbance for being forced to beat or kill other abductees; emotional stress of witnessing beatings and killings; serious psychological impact due to forced marriage; worry for having to care for children under violent conditions; feelings of humiliation; loss of dignity and pride as a result of being raped; rejection by spouses and family; ostracism, stigma and isolation upon return; shame; difficulties with relationships and getting married; recurrent memories and nightmares; mental trauma; psychological disorders, including suicidal tendencies, depression, and mental trauma; inability to be in loud or noisy environments; lack of desire to be sexually active; fear or dislike of men; and low self-esteem; and
- iii. Material harm, including: loss of education; loss of opportunities, including loss of future employment prospects, loss of life plan, and loss of opportunity to develop practical skills; loss associated with unemployment due to injuries sustained whilst in captivity; stigmatisation; loss associated with denied access to family land; and financial hardship as a result of responsibility of being the sole caregiver of children born out of rape.
- d. Regarding children born out of forced marriage, forced pregnancy, rape, and sexual slavery:
 - i. <u>Physical harm</u>, including: by having been born and raised within a violent environment enduring harsh living conditions, lacking access to adequate facilities, sanitation, and nutritious food;
 - ii. Moral harm, including: psychological harm; impaired psychosocial development and functioning; emotional distress; perturbed development and behavioural problems; significant stigmatisation and rejection from families and communities; and loss of identity; and
 - iii. <u>Material harm</u>, including: reduced access to school, health, education and employment; impaired personal and professional opportunities; and loss of access to land.
- e. Regarding indirect SGBC victims:
 - i. Moral harm, including: psychological harm; psychological pain and frustration; shock; fear; panic; suffering from the separation of families; constant worry and profound agony from the lack of any information of the victims' well-being; post- traumatic stress disorder symptoms; social sense of hopelessness or lack of future vision; emotional burden of taking care of victims after their return; constant nightmares; psychosocial disturbance caused to family structures; emotional burden of taking care of victims' children in captivity; sadness for the crimes suffered by their relatives; and stigmatization as a result of being a relative of a child fathered by an LRA soldier; and

- ii. <u>Material harm</u>, including: economic loss associated with providing for victims and their children born in captivity upon their return; loss of income from SGBC victims who are not contributing to their families; difficulties victims experience with regard to finding marriage; and disruption of social roles and household tasks.
- f. Regarding former child soldiers:
 - i. <u>Physical harm</u>, including: beatings as part of their initiation process; physical coercion; injuries from participating in hostilities, including bullet wounds and shrapnel wounds; buttocks, chest, shoulder, and back wounds; bruises; foot injuries; long-lasting back pain and chest pain; chronic bone pain; scars; a myriad of health threats and problems; disabilities; and increased health risk, including pulmonary disease, coronary disease, asthma, and diabetes;
 - ii. Moral harm, including: feelings of fear and distress; psychological trauma; mental disorders; nightmares; emotional distress caused by the exposure to violence and fear; emotional suffering from being prohibited to form friendships while in captivity; feelings of abandonment caused by the separation from their families; long-lasting fears or traumas; symptoms of anxiety and depression, aggressive behaviour and PTSD; spiritual disturbances; stigmatisation and rejection upon return; loss of childhood; impact on social skills; and loss of life plan; and
- iii. Material harm, including: the loss or interruption of education; loss of life-plan; economic loss due to long-lasting physical pain and psychological problems suffered during captivity; loss of opportunities due to stigmatisation; and the non-development of civilian life skills which later impacted their professional life.
- g. Regarding indirect victims of crimes against former child soldiers:
 - i. Moral harm, including: emotional suffering from the abduction and integration of children to the LRA; worry for the integrity of the victims while they were in captivity; anguish; and emotional stress from helping the victims to deal with stigmatisation and changes in their behaviour; and
 - ii. <u>Material harm</u>, including: economic loss associated with providing for victims and their children born in captivity upon their return; loss of income from SGBC victims who are not contributing to their families; difficulties victims experience with regard to finding marriage; and disruption of social roles and household tasks.
- h. Regarding the community of victims of the Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok attacks:
 - i. <u>Community harm</u>, due to the pillaging of food supplies and food aid and to the crimes of pillaging and destruction that prevented the community from engaging in and performing traditional rituals and customs culturally important to them.
- i. Regarding the entire community of victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted:
 - i. <u>Community harm</u>, due to the disruption to family structures and the social fabric in which the affected communities of victims functioned.
- j. Regarding children of direct victims and children born out SGBC:
 - i. Transgenerational harm.

4. Causal link and standard of proof

i. Submissions

415. The Defence submits that victims who wish to receive reparations should provide evidence of the harm they suffered, along with a link to the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. Therefore, the Defence suggests the Chamber apply a 'balance of probabilities' as opposed to a *prima facie* standard of proof, so as to meet the strict criteria required to establish the causal link between the harm and the crimes included in the conviction. 1679

- 416. The CLRV notes that a causal link between the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted and the harms must be established for the purposes of reparations, and that reparations proceedings require a less exacting standard of proof than trial proceedings. The CLRV submits that there are no compelling reasons to depart from the 'but/for' and 'proximate' cause harm standard set by the Court. 1681
- 417. The LRVs submit that presumption of harm is sufficient when a person can establish, on a balance of probabilities, that they suffered direct or indirect harm as a result of one of the attacks on the Lukodi, Abok, Odek, and Pajule IDP camps at the relevant time of the attacks. Additionally, the LRVs note that, with respect to the evidentiary criteria applicable to reparations proceedings, the Chamber should also follow the established 'balance of probabilities' test as the appropriate standard of proof. Additionally, with regard to documentation made available by victims, the LRVs suggest that the Chamber adopt the same reasoning given in *Ntaganda*, whereby the Chamber considered that a victim's coherent and credible account shall be accepted as sufficient evidence for the purpose of establishing eligibility. 1684

ii. Chamber's determination

- 418. The Chamber recalls that the causal link between the crime and the personal harm for the purpose of reparations is to be determined in light of the specific circumstances of the case. 1685
- 419. The Chamber adopts the 'but/for' standard of causation as to the relationship between the crimes and the harm. Moreover, it is required that the crimes for which a person was convicted were the 'proximate cause' of the harm for which reparations are sought, as established in the *Lubanga* case. 1686
- 420. The Chamber underlines that the 'proximate cause' is one that is legally sufficient to result in liability, assessing, *inter alia*, whether it was reasonably foreseeable that the acts and conduct underlying the conviction would cause the resulting harm.¹⁶⁸⁷
- 421. Furthermore, the Chamber stresses that the applicant shall provide sufficient proof of the causal link between the crime and the harm suffered, based on the specific circumstances of the case. 1688
- 422. With regard to the applicable standard of proof in reparations proceedings, the Chamber notes that such proceedings require a less exacting standard of proof than at the trial phase. In line with the previous jurisprudence, the Chamber adopts the 'balance of probabilities' test as the appropriate standard of proof in the present case.
- 423. Victims eligible for reparations must provide sufficient proof of identity, of the harm suffered, and of the causal link between the crime and the harm. Victims may use official or unofficial identification documents, or any other means of demonstrating their identities. In the absence of acceptable documentation, a statement signed by two credible witnesses establishing the identity of the victim and describing the relationship between the victim and any individual acting on their behalf is acceptable. 1693
- 424. The Chamber is aware of some of the difficulties that victims may face in producing the relevant information. For instance, the Chamber notes that the events that are the subject of the present proceedings themselves have had as one of their consequences the destruction of the documentation that could be used in the context of the proceedings. In addition, the Chamber notes that victims may often have difficulties obtaining or producing copies of official documents in Uganda.
- 425. The Chamber also emphasises the need to adopt a gender-inclusive and sensitive approach when applying the 'balance of probabilities' standard to sexual crimes. ¹⁶⁹⁵ In this regard, the Chamber recalls rule 63(4) of the Rules and stresses that this prohibition should be translated into taking into account the additional difficulties that such victims may face in obtaining or producing evidence to demonstrate that they were victims of rape and or sexual slavery. ¹⁶⁹⁶ Accordingly, the Chamber considers that a victim's coherent and credible account shall be accepted as sufficient evidence to establish their eligibility as a victim on a balance of probabilities. ¹⁶⁹⁷
- 426. Furthermore, the Chamber recalls that rule 94(1)(g) of the Rules, which is applicable to proceedings leading to individual reparations, requires victims to furnish supporting documentation to bolster their applications for

reparations '[t]o the extent possible'. The rule makes allowance for the difficulties the victims may encounter in gathering evidence, including the passage of time since the crimes were committed. Although this rule is of less relevance in relation to collective reparations, the Chamber finds that the principle underpinning it is applicable to the eligibility screening to be carried out at the implementation stage.

5. Analysis of the Sample of victims' dossiers

i. Previous findings and general submissions on the Sample

- 427. As previously noted, ¹⁷⁰¹ following developments in the *Ntaganda* case, the Chamber decided to rule upon a limited but representative sample of victims' dossiers in the case file, amounting to 5% of the universe of participating victims. In order to ensure a fair and proportional representation of the potential beneficiaries in the Sample, the Chamber instructed the VPRS to randomly select 205 victims from the list of participating victims, while keeping a proportional representation of victims from the attacks and from the thematic crimes. ¹⁷⁰²
- 428. Having assessed the resulting composition of the Sample, the Chamber approved it as sufficiently representative of the universe of potential victims in the case, regarding gender, age, alleged harm, alleged crimes, and alleged locations where the crimes would have occurred. Considering that the Sample is a fair representation of the individuals who are likely to come forward to benefit from reparations in the present case, the Chamber is satisfied that its assessment allows it to reach statistically valid conclusions as to the victims entitled to benefit from reparations as a result of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.
- 429. In the Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, the victims' representatives recall that the standard of proof applicable at the reparations stage is that of a balance of probabilities. Accordingly, they argue that the Chamber's findings at trial demonstrate, on a balance of probabilities, that all victims included in the Sample suffered from harms arising from the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. As such, the victims' representatives submit that all victims included in the Sample are eligible for reparations. Should the Chamber rule otherwise, they submit that the victims must be given an opportunity to complement their accounts at a later stage.
- 430. In addition, the CLRV makes detailed submissions as to the eligibility of each of the victims included in the Sample that she represents, ¹⁷⁰⁹ and provides documentation related to resumptions of action and supplementary information to some of the victims' dossiers. ¹⁷¹⁰
- 431. In the Defence's Submissions on the Sample, the eligibility of 178 victims is not contested as the Defence considers these individuals to 'meet the lower threshold of presenting a causal link to the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted'. Regarding the remaining 27 individuals, the Defence argues that 15 should be dismissed, while 12 do not qualify as victims of thematic crimes, but show a possible causal link to non-thematic crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. 1712

ii. Analysis

a) Introduction

432. The Chamber underlines that it has analysed the victims' dossiers included in the Sample by conducting its own assessment of the facts, as alleged by each of the potential beneficiaries, while taking into account the parties' submissions and the additional information they provided. In carrying out this assessment and establishing the parameters for future eligibility determinations to be conducted at the implementation stage, the Chamber also took into account the Court's previous jurisprudence on the matter. In what follows, the Chamber elaborates on the relevant evidentiary criteria, conditions of eligibility, and the results of its assessment of the Sample.

b) Evidentiary criteria and standard of proof applied to the Sample

433. The Chamber recalls that, as found above, victims eligible for reparations must provide sufficient proof of: (i) identity; (ii) their victimhood; (iii) the harm suffered; and (iv) the causal link between the crime and the harm. ¹⁷¹³ In addition, the Chamber recalls that reparations proceedings require a less exacting standard of proof than trial

proceedings and, in line with previous jurisprudence, the Chamber has adopted the 'balance of probabilities' test as the appropriate standard of proof in reparations proceedings. 1714

434. In relation to the causal link, the Chamber recalls that it adopted the 'but/for' standard of causation as to the relationship between the crimes and the harm. Moreover, the Chamber indicated that it is required that the crimes for which a person was convicted were the 'proximate cause' of the harm for which reparations are sought. The Chamber underlines that the 'proximate cause' is one that is legally sufficient to result in liability, assessing, *inter alia*, whether it was reasonably foreseeable that the acts and conduct underlying the conviction would cause the resulting harm.

c) Conditions of eligibility

- 435. In order to be found eligible to benefit from reparations, victims need to comply with the following requirements:
 - i. First requirement: their identity;
 - ii. Second requirement:
 - 1. for direct victims: whether the person has established, on a balance of probabilities, to be a direct victim of at least one of the crimes committed in the context of the attacks against the Pajule, Lukodi, Odek, or Abok IDP camps, and for which Mr Ongwen was convicted; and or of at least one of the thematic crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.
 - 2. for indirect victims:
 - a. whether the person has established, on a balance of probabilities, the victim status of the direct victim; and
 - b. whether the person has established, on a balance of probabilities, that they fall within at least one of the four categories of indirect victims recognised by the Chamber.
 - iii. Third requirement: whether the person has established, on a balance of probabilities, the existence of the alleged harm; and
 - iv. Fourth requirement: whether the victim has established, on a balance of probabilities, the causal link between the alleged harm and the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.
 - iii. Issues on the victims' eligibility arising from the analysis of the Sample
 - a) Supporting documentation
 - (i) Victims representatives' submissions
- 436. In the Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, the victims' representatives recall that most of the documentation that the victims could have relied upon was destroyed as a direct consequence of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. ¹⁷¹⁸ In addition, they argue that it is often not feasible to obtain identity documents, and official or unofficial documentation in Northern Uganda, as a result of the devastating outcome of the conflict and the large displacement of the population. ¹⁷¹⁹ Furthermore, they submit that, given the distance between the IDP camps and the main cities and towns, it was impossible for victims to travel to obtain evidentiary documents. ¹⁷²⁰
- 437. Regarding the specific difficulties that victims may face to provide documentary proof to support their claims, the victims' representatives submit:
 - i. As to SGBC victims, the victims' representatives indicate that the victims cannot provide any proof of the crimes since, in most cases, they were unable to go to the hospital or get treated by doctors or forensic professionals. ¹⁷²¹ In addition, they submit that most victims of SGBC would have been abused outside

- of the IDP camps, but within LRA areas of control, thus rendering it almost impossible for them to access health facilities. The victims' representatives also state that the stigmatisation linked to SGBC prevented the victims from visiting hospitals or other health facilities where they could get medical records. The victims from visiting hospitals or other health facilities where they could get medical records.
- ii. As to the indirect victims of murder, the victims' representatives posit that some victims were unable to obtain their relatives' death certificates because such documents could not be issued during the conflict. They recall that the local administrative structures were destroyed or damaged during the LRA attacks on the IDP camps, and that, in particular, the local officials were displaced and the records destroyed. The victims' representatives further submit that some victims' relationships with their deceased family members is evidenced from the identification document attached to the dossier in which the names of the respective family members appear or is otherwise included in a declaration of a local authority. The victims is evidenced from the identification document attached to the dossier in which the names of the respective family members appear or is otherwise included in a declaration of a local authority.
- iii. As to the victims of destruction of property or pillaging, the victims' representatives submit that the majority did not have documentation regarding ownership of the property at the time of the events, as it was not routinely issued in the IDP camps. ¹⁷²⁷ They add that the few victims who did possess documentation regarding ownership of their property lost it either during or after the conflict. ¹⁷²⁸ The victims' representatives also submit that no inventory was kept of the destroyed or pillaged belongings of the victims, because there was no system in the camps to record such kinds of properties. ¹⁷²⁹ They further submit that there has been no acknowledgment or documentation of these harms by the national authorities, making it difficult for the victims to produce any documentation to prove that they lost their homes and all their belongings as a result of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. ¹⁷³⁰
- 438. Consequently, the victims' representatives posit that the Chamber should deem it adequate to accept official or unofficial identification documents or any other means of establishing the victims' identities and any other elements of their claims as needed, such as credible witness statements, statements of local authorities, and or use of factual presumptions when they lack direct proof. Furthermore, they submit that in relation to SGBC victims, a consistent, credible, and reliable account from the victim may have sufficient probative value to satisfy the burden of proof, even in the absence of documents.
 - (ii) Chamber's determination
- 439. The Chamber recalls that what is necessary to satisfy the evidentiary standard of 'a balance of probabilities' and what is reasonable to expect from victims in support of their claims, necessarily depends on the specific circumstances of the case. 1733
- 440. The Chamber notes that, during the present reparations proceeding, it has been made aware of the challenges the victims may face in producing documentary evidence to support their claims. In this regard, the Chamber particularly notes that Uganda informed that, as a consequence of the displacement that resulted from the insurgency, it has been difficult to issue birth, marriage, and death certificates. ¹⁷³⁴ Moreover, Uganda indicated that, until August 2014, it did not issue national identification cards and only men who were taxable would have tax identification cards as of 2001. ¹⁷³⁵ In addition, Uganda informed that most deaths in Uganda are not registered, except for the few that occur in hospitals. ¹⁷³⁶
- 441. The Chamber notes as well the observations of the Prosecutor stating that due to the attacks committed by Mr Ongwen, 'victims lost important documents such as diplomas, identifications and other documents that might have assisted them in establishing their claims to reparations.' 1737
- 442. Having carefully assessed the submissions and observations detailed above, the Chamber concludes that, in light of the time elapsed since the commission of the crimes, the displacement of the victims, and the lack of official records, it is extremely difficult for the victims to obtain additional documentary evidence in support of their claims. The Chamber further underlines that the Defence has not contested the victims' representatives allegations as to the lack of supporting documentation. ¹⁷³⁸

443. The Chamber is therefore satisfied that the victims, through their representatives, have sufficiently explained and justified their inability to produce additional supporting documents.¹⁷³⁹ Bearing this in mind, the Chamber details below the specific requirements as to the supporting documentation the victims need to provide in order to prove, at the required standard, each of the four conditions of eligibility.

b) Compliance with the 'balance of probabilities' standard by the victims included in the Sample

- 444. The Chamber details below its reasoning as to the required information and documentation necessary for victims to fulfil each of the four conditions of eligibility at the required standard of 'balance of probabilities'.
- 445. In order to reach its conclusions, the Chamber assessed the information included in the victims' dossiers and the available supporting documents by verifying the intrinsic coherence and credibility of each account. In addition, the Chamber addressed the submissions of the parties with regard to each potential beneficiary. Moreover, the Chamber assessed the extrinsic coherence, consistency, and credibility of the victims' accounts by contrasting them with the Chamber's previous findings in the Conviction Judgment and the Sentence, and with the accounts of other victims' dossiers included in the Sample.
- 446. In its assessment of the Sample, the Chamber first ruled upon the parties' submissions as to the eligibility of victims to benefit from reparations in the present case. Considering that the victims' representatives did not submit specific arguments as to the eligibility of 85 potential beneficiaries and the Defence only made submission regarding 27 victims, the Chamber refrained from making negative findings related to facts not alleged by the parties and only made positive findings when it was satisfied that there was sufficient information in the dossiers to reach a conclusion.
- With the goal of assisting the eligibility process at the implementation stage, the subsections below also refer to issues noted by the Chamber when assessing the Sample, even if not raised by the parties.
 - (i) Issues related to the first requirement: identity
- 448. The Chamber notes that the victims' representatives submitted that, given the difficulties in obtaining official documentation in Uganda discussed above, the Chamber should deem it appropriate to accept official or unofficial identification documents or any other means of establishing the victims' identities, as well as other elements of their claims. Consequently, the victims' representatives argue that the identities of all the victims included in the Sample are sufficiently established. 1741
- 449. The Chamber also notes the Defence submission inviting the Chamber to 'set parameters regarding the identification documents to be furnished for those eligible, including, for example, national identity cards, passports and or recommendations from area Local Councils'. 1742
- 450. The Defence argues that victims who have reached the age of 18 years old should only use official identification documents, as opposed to being allowed to use unofficial documents. The Chamber considers that the Defence's submission is not sufficiently substantiated, and as such, it does not find merit in such proposal. The Chamber sees no reason to make an arbitrary distinction as to the documents the victims should use to prove their identity based solely on their age.
- 451. The Defence further submits that the use of unofficial documents would invite issues of impersonation for those otherwise ineligible. ¹⁷⁴⁴ In this regard, the Defence argues that victims should have secured official identification documents by now considering how much time has passed since Mr Ongwen surrendered himself to the Court. ¹⁷⁴⁵ As a result, the Defence requests that the Chamber depart from the approach taken in the *Ntaganda* case, arguing that securing official identification is nowhere near as hard in Uganda as it is in the DRC. ¹⁷⁴⁶
- 452. The Chamber also notes the Defence's submission inviting the Chamber to 'set parameters regarding the identification documents to be furnished for those eligible, including, for example, national identity cards, passports and or recommendations from area Local Councils'. 1747

- 453. However, the Chamber has considered Uganda's submissions regarding the difficulties of issuing birth certificates. ¹⁷⁴⁸ Specifically, Uganda did not issue national identification cards until August 2014 and only men who were taxable would have tax identification cards as of 2001. ¹⁷⁴⁹ Similarly, the Chamber has considered the Registry's information that children of war face difficulties in obtaining Ugandan birth certificates and national identity documents. ¹⁷⁵⁰ Consequently, having assessed the parties' and participants' observations, the Chamber sees no reason to depart from the approach followed by Trial Chamber II in the *Ntaganda* case. ¹⁷⁵¹
- 454. Accordingly, victims may use official or unofficial identification documents, or any other means of establishing their identity. In the absence of acceptable documentation, a statement signed by two credible witnesses establishing the identity of the victim and describing the relationship between the victim and any individual acting on their behalf shall be acceptable. 1752
- 455. Upon consideration of the official and unofficial documents provided to prove the victims' identities included in the dossiers, the Chamber is satisfied that all but two of the 205 victims in the Sample provided sufficient proof of identity. ¹⁷⁵³ In addition, the Chamber notes that the quality of the document submitted by one of the victims is such that it did not allow the Chamber to precisely establish the victim's identity. The documentation submitted by the victims to establish their identities consisted of copies of Ugandan national identity cards, official documents signed and stamped by local authorities, certificates of amnesty, and voter location slips. The Chamber therefore concludes that 202 of the 205 victims in the Sample successfully established their identity. Annex 1 details the victims who are yet to establish their identity, ¹⁷⁵⁴ which they can still do at the implementation stage by submitting legible identity documents.
 - (ii) Issues related to the second requirement: direct or indirect victim status
- As noted above, the Chamber considers that one of the preconditions for victims to be eligible for reparations is to demonstrate, on a balance of probabilities, that they are a direct or indirect victim of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. In what follows, the Chamber details the manner in which it has conducted this assessment for: (i) victims of crimes committed in the context of the attacks against the IDP camps; (ii) SGBC victims; (iii) victims of crimes against child soldiers; and (iv) indirect victims.

a. Victims of the attacks against the IDP camps

- 457. As noted above, regarding the second requirement, victims of the attacks will need to demonstrate, on a balance of probabilities, that they are a direct victim of at least one of the crimes committed in the context of the attacks against the Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, or Abok IDP camps for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. ¹⁷⁵⁵ In addition, the Chamber recalls its finding above as to the fact that the victims have sufficiently explained and justified their inability to produce additional supporting documents. ¹⁷⁵⁶ Accordingly, the Chamber has verified: (i) whether the victim's account corresponds to the Chamber's findings as to the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted, meaning that the facts described by the victim are consistent with those previously assessed by the Chamber when it made its factual findings beyond reasonable doubt in the Conviction Judgment or in the Sentence; and (ii) the intrinsic coherence and credibility of the victim's account, and whether it is also consistent with that of other victims. The Chamber will now turn to these two criteria.
- 458. As to the first criterion, the Chamber considers that its assessment should be qualitative rather than quantitative. In this regard, victims do not need to provide information on a predetermined set of specific criteria, but rather multiple factors may be taken into account. ¹⁷⁵⁷ In its assessment, the Chamber analysed the information provided in each victim's dossier to verify whether the date of the event, the specific IDP camp, the description of events as pertaining to the various types of crimes, and the alleged perpetrators correspond to the Chamber's findings in its Conviction Judgment and Sentence regarding the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was found guilty.
- 459. To this end, the Chamber recalls that, in light of his conviction, Mr Ongwen cannot be held liable for crimes committed by other armed groups, but only by the LRA. In this regard, the Chamber considers that where a direct victim names the LRA rebels as being responsible for their suffering within the context of the attacks against the four IDP camps, this might, depending on the circumstances, be sufficient to establish that the victim suffered crimes at the hands of the LRA. IT59

- 460. As to the facts pertaining to the various types of crimes, the Chamber recalls that in its Conviction Judgment, it set out in detail, based on its factual findings, the underlying acts for each of the counts for which Mr Ongwen was convicted in relation to the attacks against the four IDP camps. As such, in assessing the victims' dossiers, the Chamber has taken into consideration the potential beneficiaries' accounts as a whole, paying attention to facts alleged by the potential beneficiaries, including the dates, location, description of events, and verifying whether they correspond to the crimes included in the Conviction Judgment. If the Chamber made either no findings or negative findings in relation to the facts alleged by a potential beneficiary, the Chamber did not consider the person to be a victim of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. To the contrary, if the Chamber made a positive finding in relation to the facts alleged by a potential beneficiary, the Chamber has proceeded to assess the information in the victim's dossier. In particular, the Chamber assessed the intrinsic coherence and credibility of the potential beneficiary's account and whether it is consistent with the account's other potential beneficiaries in order to determine whether the person has established, on a balance of probabilities, to be a victim of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.
- Where the Chamber made a specific finding as to the victimhood of a potential beneficiary in the Conviction Judgment, the Chamber relied on that finding for the purposes of reparations, considering that the person's victimhood has already been established beyond reasonable doubt a higher standard of proof than the one required at this stage of the proceedings. ¹⁷⁶⁰ In this regard, if a potential beneficiary has established his or her identity, and such identity corresponds to that of a positive finding explicitly mentioning this individual in the Conviction Judgment, the Chamber considered that the individual has also complied with the second requirement (victimhood).
- 462. As to the coherence, credibility, and consistency of the victims' accounts the Chamber recalls its findings above as to the applicable standard. Furthermore, the Chamber reiterates that, in accordance with the Court's previous jurisprudence, ¹⁷⁶¹ slight discrepancies in the victims' dossiers, do not, on its face, cast doubt on the victims' credibility. When assessing the credibility of victims' accounts, the Chamber has paid attention to their intrinsic coherence, and whether they are also consistent with other victims' accounts in relation to the facts alleged. Thereafter, having regard to the victims' account and in light of its own findings in the Conviction Judgment and the Sentence, the Chamber has concluded whether the applicant has established, on a balance of probabilities, to be a direct victim of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.

b. SGBC victims

- 463. The Chamber recalls that the SGBC victims are divided in two groups: victims of crimes directly perpetrated by Mr Ongwen (Counts 50 to 60), and victims of crimes not directly perpetrated by Mr Ongwen (Counts 61 to 68). Regarding the first group, the Chamber recalls that it has already identified all of the victims in the Conviction Judgment, and thus their victimhood has already been established beyond reasonable doubt. Therefore, as long as these victims establish their identity, the Chamber considers that they have proven to be victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.
- 464. As to SGBC victims of crimes not directly perpetrated by Mr Ongwen, the Chamber notes that these individuals must comply with the same two criteria mentioned above in relation to victims of the attacks, namely: (i) consistency between the victim's account and the Chamber's factual findings as to the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted; and (ii) the intrinsic and extrinsic coherence and credibility of the victim's account.
- 465. In relation to the first criterion, the Defence recalled that the Confirmation Decision limited the SGBC for which Mr Ongwen could be convicted by including the common plan of enslaving women for the purpose of becoming wives and or household slaves. ¹⁷⁶³ Consequently, the Defence argues that persons who experienced random acts of sexual violence, especially acts not committed under Mr Ongwen's command, do not qualify as victims of crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted under Counts 50 to 68. ¹⁷⁶⁴ Similarly, the Defence challenges the eligibility of one potential beneficiary in the Sample as a SGBC victim based on the fact that he is male. ¹⁷⁶⁵
- 466. The Chamber recalls that for a potential beneficiary to be found eligible for reparations, it is necessary that the person has suffered from a crime that fall within the scope of the conviction. The Chamber further recalls that the SGBC charges as presented by the Prosecutor and confirmed by Pre-Trial Chamber II, concerned only women and

girls that were abducted and 'distributed' to members of the Sinia brigade. Consequently, pursuant to article 74(2) of the Statute the Chamber could only enter a conviction within the terms of the facts and circumstances described in the charges. As a result, the scope of the conviction for SGBC is strictly limited to women and girls that were abducted and 'distributed' to members of the Sinia brigade. Therefore, where the person is male or there is no indication in the dossier that the person was abducted and 'distributed', the Chamber cannot find him or her eligible for reparations.

- 467. The Chamber notes that, unfortunately, twelve women and girls included in the Sample who alleged to have suffered from SGBC do not appear to have been abducted and 'distributed', and thus fall outside of the scope of the conviction. The Chamber acknowledges that women and girls appear to have indeed suffered from acts of sexual violence committed by members of the Sinia brigade, within the context of the attacks against the IDP camps, but without having been abducted and 'distributed'. In this regard, the Chamber regrets that, as a result of the way in which the Prosecutor framed the charges, no findings could be made in relation to these allegations. As such, the Chamber is unable to find these women and girls eligible for reparations.
- 468. As to the second criterion, the Chamber notes the victims' representatives submission that in relation to these victims a consistent, credible, and reliable account may have sufficient probative value for purposes of satisfying the burden of proof. The Chamber further notes that the Prosecutor advanced a similar view in its observations. The Chamber further notes that the Prosecutor advanced a similar view in its observations.
- 469. In accordance with jurisprudence upheld by the Appeals Chamber, ¹⁷⁷⁰ the Chamber considers it necessary to follow a gender-inclusive approach when applying the 'balance of probabilities' standard to SGBC. In this regard, the Chamber recalls rule 63(4) of the Rules ¹⁷⁷¹ and acknowledges the additional difficulties these victims may face in obtaining or producing evidence to demonstrate their victimhood. Accordingly, the Chamber considers that the victim's coherent and credible account shall be accepted as sufficient evidence to establish their eligibility on a balance of probabilities. ¹⁷⁷²
- 470. In the Defence's Submissions on the Sample, it is argued that SGBC victims must list something that can identify Mr Ongwen as the alleged leader of the group in order to be considered eligible. The Chamber recalls that, in light of his conviction, Mr Ongwen can only be held liable for the SGBC perpetrated by members of the Sinia brigade of the LRA. In that respect, the Chamber considers that, where a victim's coherent and credible account indicates that she was 'distributed' to a male member to the Sinia brigade, such a reference, depending on the circumstances, may suffice to establish that Mr Ongwen was the leader of the group. Such reference could consist in the victim mentioning that she was abducted in the context of the attacks against any one of the four IDP camps; that she mentions the name of a Sinia brigade commander; that she mentions to have been 'distributed' to a Sinia brigade member by Mr Ongwen; or that she mentions Mr Ongwen as responsible for the SGBC she suffered from; among other circumstances that could link her victimhood to crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.
- 471. Lastly, the Chamber recalls that children who were born as a result of the crimes or children born out of the crimes of forced marriage, forced pregnancy, rape, and sexual slavery for which Mr Ongwen was convicted are eligible for reparations as direct victims. To that end, in accordance with the gender-inclusive and sensitive approach followed by the Chamber, it considers that, as held with regard to other SGBC victims, their coherent and credible account shall be accepted as sufficient evidence to establish their eligibility on a balance of probabilities.

c. Victims of crimes against child soldiers

- 472. The Chamber notes that for a direct victim's child soldier status to be established on a balance of probabilities the victim must demonstrate (i) to have been under the age of 15 years at the time of the commission of the crime; and (ii) to have been conscripted into the LRA ranks and or used to participate actively in hostilities, between 1 July 2002 and 31 December 2005. ¹⁷⁷⁶ The Chamber will now turn to these two criteria.
- 473. In the Victims' Joint Submission on the Sample, the victims' representatives submit that all former child soldiers included in the Sample have sufficiently demonstrated that they were under the age of 15 at the time of the commission of the crimes. The Chamber notes that for a direct victim to have been under the age of 15 years during the time frame of the charges, he or she must have been born after 1 July 1987. The Chamber

further recalls the Appeals Chamber's finding in the *Lubanga* case that it is not required that the exact age of the victim be established, but only that the victim was under the age of 15 years.¹⁷⁷⁸

- 474. Having reviewed the four alleged child soldiers' dossiers, with a view to verifying whether they fulfil this criterion, as further elaborated in Annex I, the Chamber concludes that two of the four child soldiers in the Sample have demonstrated to have been under the age of 15 at some point during the relevant time frame, i.e. between 1 July 2002 and 31 December 2005.
- 475. Regarding the second criterion, the Chambers notes the victims' representatives submission that all former child soldiers in the Sample have sufficiently demonstrated that they were recruited and or used to participate in hostilities. The Chamber also notes that the victims' representatives recalled that multiple factors can be taken into account in order to meet the relevant standard of proof for these crimes. The Chamber also notes that the victims' representatives recalled that multiple factors can be taken into account in order to meet the relevant standard of proof for these crimes.
- 476. In the Defence's Submissions on the Sample, it is argued that the Chamber limited Counts 69 and 70 to persons in the Sinia Brigade under Mr Ongwen's command. Therefore, the Defence submits that persons who were not under Mr Ongwen's command, do not qualify as victims of crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. In this regard, the Chamber recalls that, in light of his conviction, Mr Ongwen cannot be held liable for the recruitment and conscription of child soldiers into other armed groups, but only those into LRA's Sinia brigade. Accordingly, the Chamber considers that, where a victim names at least one commander or one of the training camps mentioned in the Conviction Judgment or Sentence as those related to the Sinia Brigade, such a reference, depending on the circumstances, may suffice to establish that the victim indeed belonged to the Sinia brigade. The Sinia Brigade.
- 477. As in the *Lubanga* and *Ntaganda* cases, the Chamber notes that its assessment of this aspect is qualitative rather than quantitative, as it does not require a set number of criteria to be met. ¹⁷⁸⁴ As such, the victim's eligibility is determined by having regard to the quality of all the evidence the victim provides, assessed according to the relevant standard, i.e. balance of probabilities. ¹⁷⁸⁵ In this regard, the Chamber notes that the two potential beneficiaries that established to be victims of Counts 69 and 70 were dual status witnesses, and that their victimhood was established beyond reasonable doubt in the Conviction Judgment. ¹⁷⁸⁶
- 478. The Chamber further notes that the Conviction Judgment sets out the scope of Mr Ongwen's criminal responsibility and liability for reparations, ¹⁷⁸⁷ and delineates the *time frame* of the charges, i.e. between 1 July 2002 and 31 December 2005. In this regard, the Chamber recalls that conscription is a continuous crime, ¹⁷⁸⁸ and consequently, the fact that a child soldier was conscripted before the time frame of the charges does not necessarily mean that the conscription did not extend into the relevant time frame. ¹⁷⁸⁹ It suffices that a child was conscripted or that a child participated actively in hostilities during the time frame of the charges. ¹⁷⁹⁰
- 479. It follows that, even when a potentially eligible victim refers to dates outside the time frame for which Mr Ongwen was convicted, it does not affect his or her credibility as long as the victim establishes in a coherent and credible manner their conscription and or use to participate actively in hostilities at any time during the relevant time frame, and that they were under the age of 15 years at the relevant time. ¹⁷⁹¹

d. Indirect victims

- 480. The Chamber reiterates that, to qualify as an indirect victim, a person must prove, on a balance of probabilities: (i) the victim status of the direct victim; and (ii) that he or she falls within at least one of the four categories of indirect victims recognised by the Chamber and that he or she has personally suffered harm because of the commission of a crime against the direct victim. ¹⁷⁹³
- 481. In the Defence Submission on the Sample, the Defence argues that indirect victims must prove: (i) a 'strong relationship of significant importance' with the direct victim; and (ii) direct harm. ¹⁷⁹⁴ In relation to this argument, the Defence recalls the concept of indirect victim as set out by the Appeals Chamber in the *Lubanga* case. ¹⁷⁹⁵
- 482. In the argument of the Defence, the alleged indirect victim must prove a very close relationship with the direct victim, and that the indirect victim suffered some type of measurable harm. The Defence acknowledges that a member of the nuclear family is generally accepted in the jurisprudence as an indirect victim. The However,

- the Defence argues that it is incumbent upon the 'applicant' to prove the close family relationship and that the family member suffered harm because of the crime committed against the relative. Accordingly, the Defence submits that the alleged indirect victim must present something more than a document simply stating that he or she was related to the direct victim and misses the family member. 1799
- 483. At the outset, following the jurisprudence of the *Lubanga* and *Ntaganda* cases, ¹⁸⁰⁰ the Chamber considers that it cannot require the same level of detail from indirect victims as it does from direct victims. The Chamber acknowledges the fact that an indirect victim is not generally in a position to know and describe the detailed circumstances surrounding the victimhood of the direct victim. ¹⁸⁰¹ It is therefore the Chamber's view that an indirect victim cannot be required to recount a direct victim's circumstances to the same degree of detail. ¹⁸⁰² Therefore, the Chamber will consider the information provided by indirect victims on a case- by-case basis, having regard to each indirect victim's credible and coherent account, and any other corroborating information or evidence. ¹⁸⁰³
- 484. As to indirect victims of murder, the Chamber notes Trial Chamber II's finding in the *Katanga* case, that the fact that the direct victim died can be established through the victim's narrative and a death certificate. ¹⁸⁰⁴ In this regard, the Chamber recalls the observations of Uganda as to the difficulties in obtaining death certificates in this case. ¹⁸⁰⁵ Moreover, the Chamber noted in its assessment of the Sample that the documents submitted by potential beneficiaries to attest kinship with deceased victims also indicated the fact that the latter had died. The Chamber further notes that 91 potential beneficiaries in the Sample submitted such documents attesting to the fact that the direct victim is deceased. ¹⁸⁰⁶ Consequently, in addition to death certificates, the Chamber has also accepted kinship certificates to prove that the direct victim is deceased.
- 485. Regarding indirect victim status, the Chamber reiterates that it recognised four categories of indirect victims. ¹⁸⁰⁷ In addition, the Chamber recalls that what is key is for indirect victims to prove that they suffered personal harm as a result of the commission of a crime against the direct victim. ¹⁸⁰⁸ The Chamber further recalls that the personal harm suffered by indirect victims in the case at hand encompasses moral and material harm. ¹⁸⁰⁹
- 486. As to the Defence's submission that indirect victims must prove the existence of a 'strong relationship of significant importance' or a 'close family relationship' with the direct victim to be eligible for reparations, ¹⁸¹⁰ the Chamber recalls this has been ruled out by the relevant jurisprudence. ¹⁸¹¹ In line with the Appeals Chamber jurisprudence, the Chamber considers that demonstrating the existence of a 'close *personal* relationship' is just *one* way of proving the moral harm suffered, resorting to a presumption. ¹⁸¹² As such, the Chamber considers that proving the nature of the bond with the direct victim cannot and does not constitute a pre-condition to establish an indirect victim status, and therefore dismisses the Defence's argument.
- 487. In addition, the Chamber clarifies that, bearing in mind that one of the aims of the assessment of the Sample was precisely to gather information regarding the harms suffered by the victims, the Chamber refrained from resorting to presumptions. Consequently, a potential beneficiary alleging to be an indirect victim needs to establish that they have suffered personal harm under the same conditions as direct victims, i.e. on a balance of probabilities.
- 488. As to the Defence's submission that the alleged indirect victim must present something more than a document stating that he or she was related to the direct victim and misses the family member, ¹⁸¹³ the Chamber recalls that an indirect victim does not necessarily need to be a family member of the direct victim. ¹⁸¹⁴ As to the Defence's argument that a victim's statement indicating that he or she misses the direct victim is insufficient to prove the harm, the Chamber recalls that the alleged indirect victim must establish to have suffered personal harm on a balance of probabilities. ¹⁸¹⁵ Accordingly, the Chamber assessed on a case-by-case basis whether the indirect victim has established the existence of the harm, taking into account all the information contained in the dossier. In this regard, the Chamber has considered that, when, for example, the direct victim is the murdered child of the potential beneficiary, proving the kinship and mentioning that this is a source of sadness is enough to establish the moral harm suffered by the indirect victim. Conversely, when the relation between the direct victim and the potential beneficiary is more distant, the Chamber considered that the alleged indirect victim must provide more information than simply stating that he or she misses the direct victim.
- 489. In this respect, the Chamber notes that several potential beneficiaries in the Sample claimed to have suffered personal harm as a result of the crimes suffered by a friend and have submitted a certificate to prove 'friendship'. In

light of the reasoning set out above, the Chamber considers that a 'friendship certificate' is not sufficient – on its own – to prove the existence of such a bond. The Chamber is of the view that, in these cases, a potential beneficiary must demonstrate, on a balance of probabilities, to have suffered moral harm by providing additional information as to how the loss of a friend caused them the alleged harm.

e. Chamber's determination

- 490. Having analysed the 205 dossiers of victims contained in the Sample, using the aforementioned criteria to determine the victims status, the Chamber has reached the conclusions below.
- 491. Firstly, the Chamber notes that, according to the parameters provided by the Chamber, from the universe of 205 victims, the Registry transmitted dossiers of 174 potentially eligible victims of the attacks and 31 potentially eligible victims of the thematic crimes. However, when assessing the eligibility of the victims, the Chamber found that 16 out of the 31 victims transmitted as part of the victims of the thematic crimes were also victims of the crimes committed during the attacks, which resulted in a third category. Therefore, the Chamber analysed the Sample, subdividing the victims between 174 victims of the crimes committed during the attacks in the IDP camps, 16 victims of *both* the crimes committed during the attacks and the thematic crimes, and 15 victims of *only* the thematic crimes.
- 492. Regarding the three abovementioned categories, the Chamber is satisfied that, on a balance of probabilities:
 - a. Of the 174 potentially eligible victims of the crimes committed during the attacks, 173 were found to qualify as direct or indirect victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted and one was considered not to qualify as such. The Chamber recalls that three of the 173 individuals who demonstrated to be victims of the attacks have only provisionally established their identity. Therefore, the Chamber reiterates that these three victims will be required to submit legible documents to establish their identity at the implementation stage of the proceedings.
 - Of the 173 victims of the attacks, 116 of them established their victimhood as both direct and indirect victims, 55 as direct victims only, and two as indirect victims only. Additionally, the Chamber found that 76 of these victims of the attacks are females, with the remaining 97 being males.
 - b. Of the 16 potentially eligible victims of both the crimes committed during the attacks and the thematic crimes, all were found to qualify as direct or indirect victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. In addition, the Chamber notes that 13 victims are both direct *and* indirect victims and three are direct victims only. The Chamber also notes that this subgroup contains 13 female victims and three male victims.
 - c. Of the 15 potentially eligible <u>victims of the thematic crimes only</u>, nine were found to qualify as direct or indirect victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted and six were found not to qualify as such. All of the nine victims of *only* thematic crimes are direct victims.
 - Additionally, the Chamber notes that out of the 9 victims of the thematic crimes who demonstrated their status as victims, 7 are SGBC victims, all of whom are female. The remaining two victims are male and are victims of the crimes of conscription and use in hostilities of children under the age of 15.
- 493. Therefore, the Chamber observes that, out of the 198 victims who demonstrated to qualify as direct or indirect victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted (173 victims of the attacks+16 victims of the attacks and thematic crimes+9 victims of the thematic crimes): (i) 130 qualify as both direct *and* indirect victims; (ii) 66 qualify as direct victims only; and (iii) two qualify as indirect victims only. The Chamber also notes that out of these 198 victims, 102 are male and 96 are female.

- 494. Finally, the Chamber recalls that victims assessed as not having demonstrated to be victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted will have an opportunity to supplement their dossiers and clarify their accounts at the implementation stage.
 - (iii) Issues related to the third requirement: harm
- 495. The Chamber recalls that after considering all relevant information before it—including the Conviction Judgment, the Sentence, the evidence submitted during the trial and sentencing proceedings, observations by the parties and other participants in the proceedings, such as the Prosecutor, Registry, the TFV, Uganda, and the *amici curiae*—it defined the different types of harm caused to direct and indirect victims of each of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.¹⁸¹⁹
- 496. In this regard, the victims' representatives argue that, in the present case, the Chamber should follow the approach taken in the *Ntaganda* case and apply factual presumptions where the victims lack direct proof of the harm. Accordingly, the victims' representatives submit that once an individual has proven to be a victim of a crime on a balance of probabilities, certain harms should be presumed. 1821
- 497. The Chamber notes that, when conducting the administrative eligibility process at the implementation stage of the proceedings, presumptions shall indeed be applied and certain harms can be presumed once victims have demonstrated their victimhood at the required threshold. However, for the purposes of the Sample, the Chamber has decided to refrain from relying on any factual presumptions in its assessment. The Chamber decided as such because the objective of this exercise is precisely to 'reach statistically valid conclusions as to the victims actually entitled to benefit from reparations as a result of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted'. As such, the assessment of the Sample has particularly helped the Chamber to reach conclusions as to the factual presumptions that shall be relied upon in the present case when determining the eligibility of victims at the implementation stage. With this aim in mind, the Chamber assessed the Sample analysing the information contained in the victims' dossiers and ruled exclusively on said information to obtain a clear idea of the types of harm the victims suffered as well as any patterns that could help the Chamber establish presumptions of fact. Since presumptions will be applied at the implementation stage of this reparations proceeding, all victims falling within the scope of presumptions will be then be entitled to have their harms presumed, including the victims in the Sample.
- 498. Consequently, because the Chamber has not relied on any factual presumptions in its assessment of the Sample, it has undertaken an evaluation of the credibility, coherence, and consistency of the accounts in the dossiers as to the alleged harms. After carrying out this analysis in a manner analogous to the one detailed above in relation to the victimhood of potential beneficiaries, the Chamber made findings as to the different types of harms suffered by the victims, as detailed in their respective dossiers. ¹⁸²³
- 499. In carrying out this assessment, the Chamber noted that some victims alleged to have suffered harms for which there were no specific findings in the Conviction Judgment, but that could be considered a direct consequence of the attacks against the IDP camps. For example, some victims claimed to have suffered material harm as a result of the destruction of their property during the attacks against the Pajule and Odek IDP camps.
- 500. The Chamber recalls its findings in the Sentence that although the crime of attacking civilians as a conduct crime does not require a result in terms of infliction of harm, 'actual harm was, however, inflicted'. ¹⁸²⁴ Following the reasoning of the *Ntaganda* case, where Trial Chamber II found that harms inflicted as a consequence of a conduct crime can be proven at the reparations stage of the proceedings, ¹⁸²⁵ the Chamber considers that it is not prevented from making findings at this stage to the extent that the actual infliction of the harm is proven on a balance of probabilities.
- 501. In light of the above, the Chamber deems it appropriate to recognise any harm directly caused by the conduct crime of attacks against the IDP camps, such as physical injuries and or material destruction, if proven on a balance of probabilities and as long as there is no break in the chain of causation. The Chamber has applied this criterion in a strict manner, and has excluded harms inflicted against civilians in the context of the attacks that were not a necessary and natural consequence of the attacks against civilians, such as rape.

- 502. Having analysed the 205 dossiers of victims contained in the Sample pursuant to the aforementioned criteria, the Chamber is satisfied that all 198 of the potential beneficiaries who have established their victimhood have also established, on a balance of probabilities, to have suffered harm falling within the scope of the harms defined by the Chamber as those caused to direct and indirect victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. 1827
 - (iv) Issues related to the fourth requirement: causal link between the harm and the crimes
- 503. In the Defence's March 2022 Submissions, the Defence submits that the victims who wish to receive reparations should provide evidence of the harm they have suffered, along with a link to the crimes established in the Conviction Judgment. Moreover, in the Defence's Submissions on the Sample, it is argued that the Chamber should not create a causal link between the alleged victim and Mr Ongwen purely based on the fact that his name is mentioned in a victim's dossier. 1829
- 504. The Chamber recalls that in its Conviction Judgment it found Mr Ongwen guilty of 61 counts of war crimes and crimes against humanity, affecting the residents of the four IDP camps, and the victims of the thematic crimes. In this regard, to consider that a potential beneficiary has established the existence of a causal link between the harm and the crime, the Chamber does not consider that a mere reference to Mr Ongwen's name in the victim's dossier satisfies this requirement. On the contrary, as detailed above, the Chamber carefully scrutinised all of the information contained in a dossier and assessed whether each of the harms suffered by a potential beneficiary had as 'proximate cause' at least one of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. 1831
- 505. The Defence also submits that two potential beneficiaries failed to provide medical reports indicating the date on which their alleged injuries were inflicted, and that they therefore would be unable to prove the causal link between their alleged harm and a crime for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. 1832
- 506. On this point, the Chamber recalls its finding above as to the difficulties victims may have in obtaining or producing medical documentation in Uganda and its determination that their inability to produce certain additional supporting documentation must be sufficiently justified. Nevertheless, The Chamber notes the inconsistent approach taken by the Defence in contesting the existence of a causal link between physical injuries and the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted, in relation to only two out of 49 victims who made similar claims. As such, the Chamber dismisses Defence's reasoning, and considers that the causal link between the harms they allegedly suffered and the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted can be established through a credible, coherent, and consistent account.
- 507. Having analysed the 205 dossiers of victims contained in the Sample, pursuant to the aforementioned criteria, the Chamber is satisfied that all 198 of the potential beneficiaries who have established their victimhood and to have suffered from harms, have also established, on a balance of probabilities, the causal link between the harm and at least one of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. 1836

c) Conclusions as to the Sample 1837

- 508. The Chamber reiterates that it analysed the Sample subdividing the victims between three categories: (i) 174 victims of the crimes committed during the attacks in the IDP camps; (ii) 16 victims of *both* the crimes committed during the attacks and the thematic crimes; and (iii) 15 victims of *only* the thematic crimes.
- 509. Regarding the three abovementioned categories, after detailed assessment, the Chamber is satisfied that 198 of the 205 victims included in the Sample have established, on a balance of probabilities, their eligibility as victims—direct or indirect—of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted, and accordingly, are entitled to benefit from reparations in the present case. These include:
- a. 173 victims found eligible as <u>victims</u> of the crimes committed during the attacks. The Chamber recalls that three of them have only provisionally established their identity. These victims will be entitled to benefit from reparations, as long as they provide a legible identification document during the implementation stage;

- b. 16 victims found eligible as victims of both the crimes committed during the attacks and the thematic crimes; and
- c. 9 victims found eligible as victims of the thematic crimes only.
- 510. The Chamber recalls that victims assessed as non-eligible are therefore not entitled to benefit from reparations in the present case. However, they will have an opportunity to supplement their dossiers and clarify their accounts at the implementation stage.

iv. Resumption of actions and other matters

- 511. The victims' representatives informed the Chamber that 13 of the victims in the Sample had already passed away. Recording to the information provided by the victims' representatives, while they were able to submit requests for resumption of actions in relation to some of the victims, for others, they are still in the process of collecting the necessary documentation. They also submit that the fact that a victim is deceased should not preclude the Chamber from making a determination as to their eligibility for reparations, as the victims' descendants or successors shall be entitled to receive reparations.
- The Chamber notes that in the event that a victim who was found eligible for reparations dies before benefiting from reparations, the victim's descendants or successors shall be equally entitled to benefit from them. The Chamber also clarifies that indirect victims who suffered personal harm are entitled to reparations in their own right, regardless of whether they are the rightful successors of the deceased victim. Consequently, and in accordance with the Court's consistent jurisprudence, the Chamber considers that in order for a successor to be entitled to reparations the person must establish: (i) the beneficiary status of the deceased victim, i.e. establish his or her identity, status as direct or indirect victim, the harm suffered, and the causal link; (ii) the death of the beneficiary; (iii) his or her family relationship with the beneficiary; and (iv) his or her appointment by family members granting him or her authority to act on behalf of the deceased victim. Once these conditions have been met, the successor becomes entitled to the reparations awarded to the deceased beneficiary.
- 513. Regarding the deceased victims found eligible in the Sample, the Chamber considers that the successors will only need to prove the remainder of the conditions (two to four) as established above.
- 514. As to the evidence required to prove the elements above, the Chamber considers that the status as beneficiary of the deceased victim must be established in accordance with the applicable evidentiary criteria. ¹⁸⁴² Conditions two to four must be proven through the use of official or unofficial documents, including through or by the statements of two credible witnesses or by an official document signed and stamped by a chief of locality demonstrating the kinship with the deceased victim and the appointment by family members granting authority to the successor to act on behalf of the deceased victim. ¹⁸⁴³
- 515. The Chamber notes a resumption of action has been submitted in relation to ten¹⁸⁴⁴ out of the 13 victims reportedly deceased in the Sample. Having assessed the information and documentation provided, the Chamber is satisfied that eight out of the ten individuals who have requested resumption of actions 1846 in fact comply with all the requirements to become entitled to reparations on behalf of the deceased victim.
- 516. The victims' representatives also note that some of the victims included in the Sample were minors at the time they submitted applications to participate in the proceedings. ¹⁸⁴⁷ They submit that, while some parents or guardians did not submit applications on their own behalf, they may also qualify as victims for the purpose of reparations. ¹⁸⁴⁸
- 517. In this regard, the Chamber reiterates that any victim who complies with the requirements set out above would be eligible for reparations in account of the harm suffered as a consequence of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. Accordingly, the Chamber does not foresee any impediment for parents who filed 'applications' on behalf of their children to be considered for reparations in their own right at the implementation stage as long as they comply with all relevant requirements to qualify as victims entitled to reparations in the present proceedings.

. .

iii. Chamber's determination

- 612. The Chamber notes that it must identify the most appropriate modalities of reparations, based on the specific circumstances of the case. As set out above by the adoption of the *Ntaganda* Principles, the modalities of reparations may include restitution, compensation, rehabilitation, symbolic, and satisfaction measures.²¹⁴⁹ Once again, the Chamber considers that the large group of victims in this case and the extent of the harm they suffered has a bearing on what modalities are appropriate. The Chamber also considers, as noted above, that a trial chamber must order reparation measures whose implementation are at least probable.²¹⁵⁰ As noted by the Appeals Chamber, identifying the harm caused to victims is inter-linked with identifying the appropriate modalities of reparations in the specific case.²¹⁵¹ Thus, the modality of reparations can only be determined by reference to the harms suffered and which the reparations seek to remedy.²¹⁵²
- 613. Bearing in mind the extent of the multi-layered harms suffered by the large number of victims in this case, the Chamber considers that the only way to address the harms in a concrete, effective, and timely manner is through collective community-based reparations focused on rehabilitation and symbolic/satisfaction measures.
- 614. While compensation and restitution modalities were proposed by the parties²¹⁵³ and some participants,²¹⁵⁴ the Chamber concludes that they are not appropriate in this case. Restitution aims, to the extent possible, at restoring the victims to their circumstances before the crime was committed, even if full restitution will often be unachievable for victims of the crimes in this case.²¹⁵⁵ Compensation, as a form of economic relief consists in the award of monetary funds for an economically assessable damage.²¹⁵⁶ Restitution and compensation are forms of individual reparation, which the Chamber has already ruled is not appropriate due to the extremely high number of victims in this case.²¹⁵⁷ On a practical note, the Chamber concurs with the TFV that it would be impossible, given the high number of victims and the amount of time since the crimes occurred to assess individual economic loss.²¹⁵⁸
- In light of the above, the Chamber has addressed below the modalities awarded in the present case and the way in which it envisages the rehabilitation and symbolic and satisfaction measures to be implemented.

a) Rehabilitation measures

- 616. The Chamber notes that rehabilitation measures are directed at facilitating victims' reintegration into society, taking into account the different impacts crimes have on victims of different genders. Rehabilitation should aim at the restoration of a function or the acquisition of new skills required as a result of the changed circumstances of a victim due to the crimes. Rehabilitation for victims should aim to restore, as far as possible, their independence, physical, mental, social, and vocational ability; and full inclusion and participation in society. ²¹⁶¹
- 617. In the present case, the Chamber awards collective community-based rehabilitation programmes directed at addressing all types of harm suffered by the victims as identified by the Chamber, i.e. physical, moral, material, community, and transgenerational harms. These programmes should be designed by the TFV in close consultation with the victims. Collective community-based measures of rehabilitation in this regard may include: rehabilitative medical services (including physical, psychiatric and psychological programming) to address physical and moral harms and socio-economic rehabilitation to address material harms. Collective programmes could include, for example, group educational programming, vocational skills training, and community programmes designed to eradicate the stigmatisation of victims of SGBC or child soldiers. The TFV also suggests providing trauma care through intensive group psychotherapy, which the Chamber finds appropriate.
- 618. The Chamber's decision to award community-based rehabilitation measures in the present case is grounded in the large number of victims and the extent of the multi-layered harms they suffered, which necessitates a community-based approach to the distribution of reparations. The Chamber further notes the TFV's submission that individual (one-to-one) rehabilitation measures, which are costly, may not be required for all beneficiaries in this case. Therefore, the Chamber directs the TFV to design community-based rehabilitation programming that can reach a large number of victims in a less resource intensive manner.
- 619. While the Chamber considers that the TFV should focus on collective programming that reaches large groups of victims, the Chamber wishes to make clear that this should not include projects which would otherwise

be the responsibility of the Government such as building and staffing hospitals, museums, or other infrastructure projects.²¹⁶⁸ The Chamber finds merit in the TFV's submission that such measures are first and foremost the responsibility of the Government and could not feasibly be maintained over a long period of time by the TFV.²¹⁶⁹ The Chamber considers that such a short term approach could in the end be harmful to victims, which is contrary to many of the reparations principles adopted in this Order.

b) Symbolic and satisfaction measures

620. The Chamber notes that symbolic measures are intended to help contribute to the process of rehabilitation, while satisfaction measures are aimed at acknowledging the violations and safeguarding the dignity and reputation of the victims. Symbolic and satisfaction measures in this case are directed at addressing all harms. As detailed below, as part of the symbolic and satisfaction measures, the Chamber considers it appropriate for the collective community-based reparations awarded in the present case to include: (i) the payment of a symbolic award for each victim; and (ii) other community symbolic and satisfaction measures.

(i) Symbolic award

- 621. The Chamber considers it appropriate for each eligible direct and indirect victim in the case to receive a symbolic award of €750 EUR. In reaching its determination to award this symbolic cash payment, the Chamber has taken the following considerations into account:
- 622. First, the Chamber acknowledges the victims' expectations to receive monetary awards, in particular: (i) for loss of life and missing/unaccounted persons, consistent with the Acholi/Lango cultures; ²¹⁷² (ii) as SGBC victims; ²¹⁷³ (iii) as former child soldiers; ²¹⁷⁴ and (iv) as victims of the attacks. ²¹⁷⁵ The CLRV also noted that victims of the attacks expressed a similar preference. ²¹⁷⁶ The Registry also noted that most victims expressed a preference for individual pecuniary compensation that would enable them to become financially independent and self-reliant. ²¹⁷⁷
- 623. The Chamber has considered the Defence's submission that conflict may arise if different monetary awards are granted to victims and that an extensive amount of time would be required to determine the exact amounts that should be awarded to each victim. The Chamber finds merit in the Defence's submission to the extent that most of the estimated large number of victims entitled to reparations in the present case are both direct and indirect victims of several crimes and are likely to have suffered extensive multi-layered harms. As such, the task of determining the value of each of the harms suffered by each potential beneficiary amounts to a nearly impossible undertaking. In an effort to mitigate the Defence's concerns, while recognising the victims' suffering, the Chamber has decided to set the same *ex aequo et bono* symbolic award for all direct and indirect victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.
- 624. Second, the Chamber is conscious of the time that the design of the rehabilitation measures will take and notes that it may be years before the programmes may commence. As such, mindful that many victims are in dire need of immediate support, and acknowledging that payments may also take time to be executed, the Chamber intends this symbolic payment to serve as a 'stopgap' for victims before they can begin benefiting from the rehabilitative community programming modality of the reparations awarded.
- 625. Third, the Chamber considers that victims are best placed to address their own immediate needs arising from the harm they have suffered. The Chamber is hopeful that this symbolic payment will facilitate victims' ability to address their basic needs, and in doing so, place them in a better position to contribute to and engage in the consultations required for the design, development, and implementation of the remaining modalities of the collective community-based reparations awarded, i.e. rehabilitation and other symbolic measures. The Chamber is optimistic that this approach would enhance a victim-centred approach to the design of the programme, which would result in the concrete realisation of the reparations principles for the victims of the case.
- 626. Fourth, as to the amount of the symbolic payment, in its discretion, the Chamber has considered that, in the circumstances of the present case, €750 EUR is a fair amount to be awarded as a symbolic payment to direct and indirect victims of the case. In reaching the above determination, the Chamber has considered, *inter alia*, the

symbolic payment awarded in the *Katanga* case. In that case, Trial Chamber II granted a 'symbolic award of USD 250 compensation' although noting that it 'was not intended as compensation for the harm in its entirety'. The Chamber underlines that the symbolic monetary payment awarded to the victims in the present case is not intended as restitution, nor as a compensation for the harm, as it was, at least in part, in the *Katanga* case. The Chamber has also considered the Appeals Chamber's clear indication that the amount awarded in the *Katanga* case 'should not be viewed as a precedent or indication of quantum when it comes to the determination of awards in future cases'. Consistent with the above, although not viewing it as precedent or indication of quantum, the Chamber has taken the \$250 USD symbolic compensation awarded in the *Katanga* case, among other factors, as a point of reference in its calculations.

627. Cognisant that the symbolic amount in the *Katanga* case was awarded in 2017 in.a case related to the situation in the Democratic Republic of Congo ('DRC'), the Chamber considers that, to serve as a point of reference, it should be first adjusted to its current value in Uganda. In order to achieve this, the Chamber has decided to rely on the nominal *per capita* gross domestic product ('GDP') growth and the difference in the costs of living between the DRC and Uganda, according to the information provided by the World Bank.²¹⁸⁴ In addition, because the last year on record in the World Bank's website is the year 2022, the Chamber has relied on the information provided by the Uganda Bureau of Statistics,²¹⁸⁵ in order to adjust (per inflation rates) the values until January 2024. Specifically, the Chamber has, as a first step, updated the *Katanga* amount according to the nominal GDP growth in the DRC between 2017 (the year of the *Katanga* Reparations Order) and 2022 (the last year on record on the World Bank's website). The nominal GDP per capita in the DRC in 2017 was \$451.1 USD while in 2022 it was \$653.7 USD, which amounts to a total growth of 45%.²¹⁸⁶ Accordingly, \$250 USD adjusted per nominal GDP *per capita* growth in the DRC between 2017 and 2022 (45%) results in \$362.5 USD. These calculations are illustrated below.

DRC	GDP per capita 2017	GDP per capita 2022	GDP growth
	\$451.1 USD	\$653.7 USD	45%

\$250 USD in $2017 \times 45\% = 362.5 USD in 2022

628. Since the calculations above were made using data relevant to the DRC, the Chamber considers it necessary to adjust this figure to reflect its value in Uganda. The nominal GDP *per capita* in Uganda in 2022 was \$964.4 USD, which compared to the \$653.7 USD nominal GDP *per capita* for the same year in the DRC, results in a 48%²¹⁸⁷ difference between the two countries. Accordingly, \$362.5 USD in the DRC in 2022 adjusted at its value in Uganda for the same year (48% difference) amounts to \$536.5 USD. These calculations are illustrated below:

Nominal GDP per capita 2022	DRC	Uganda	GDP difference
	\$653.7 USD	\$964.4 USD	48%

 $$362.5 \text{ USD (for DRC)} \times 48\% = $536.5 \text{ USD (for Uganda)}$

629. Since that the last year on record in the World Bank's website was 2022, the Chamber then adjusted the value to the current cost of living, which was done by relying on the information provided by the Ugandan Bureau of Statistics regarding inflation. The annual inflation in Uganda in January 2024 reached 2.8%. Accordingly, adjusting \$536.5 USD in Uganda in 2022 in.light of the annual inflation rate in the country during 2023 (2.8%), brings the sum to equate to approximately \$551.5 USD in January 2024. Lastly, converting this amount from USD to EUR at the official exchange rate between USD and EUR in February 2024 results in €508.5 EUR. In light of the above, the Chamber concludes that the symbolic amount of \$250 USD awarded in the *Katanga* case in 2017, currently in Uganda would equate to approximately €508.5 EUR.

300

- 630. As noted above, in reaching its determination as to the amount of the symbolic payment, the Chamber took into account the symbolic compensation granted in the Katanga case, as a point of reference only. However, the Chamber underlines that granting a higher symbolic amount in the present case shall not be understood as implying that victims in the Katanga case suffered less than the victims in the present case or that the Chamber considers the victims in this case to be of greater significance or importance than the victims in other cases. Reparations orders are distinct as they are designed based on the specific circumstances of each case and must therefore be viewed in their entirety to understand how each order addresses victims' harms. With this in mind, the Chamber notes that, in addition to the symbolic compensation of \$250 USD, in the Katanga case victims were also awarded 'collective reparations designed to benefit each victim, in the form of support for housing, support for an income-generating activity, support for education and psychological support'. 2190 In the case at hand, however, collective community-based reparations are awarded, which may not provide the same targeted individual support to repair individual harms that victims in the Katanga case were able to receive due to the small number of victims in that case. As such, taking into account the parties' and participants' submissions as to the individual costs of different services required to address the victims' harms. ²¹⁹¹ and acknowledging the victims' agency and capacity to make their own decisions regarding how to best address their own circumstances, the Chamber considers that a higher sum is justified in the present case.
- 631. Fifth, the Chamber notes that the symbolic payments ordered in the *Katanga* case were awarded as a form of individual reparation.²¹⁹² However, for the reasons stated above, namely the number of potentially eligible victims and the extent of the harm they suffered, the Chamber considers that the symbolic sum awarded in this case is part of the collective community-based award. The Chamber considers this symbolic payment to be communal in nature, as the same amount of money ought to be provided to each member of the community of eligible victims. The individual circumstances of the victims have no bearing on whether a victim is entitled to receive the monetary award or on the amount of such an award. The decision to provide this symbolic award is based solely on a victim's membership to the community of victims in this case.
- 632. The Chamber has considered the submission of the TFV that specific groups of victims should receive symbolic payments, such as victims of SGBC. While the Chamber acknowledges the distinct suffering of victims of SGBC, it is of the opinion, as explained above, that awarding a symbolic payment to only a certain groups of victims is contrary to the principle that all victims are to be treated equally and that reparations awards must avoid creating tensions, jealousy, or animosity. 2194
- 633. Sixth, the Chamber acknowledges that, in light of the convicted person's indigency, the payment of the symbolic award to victims will be subject to a corresponding decision of the TFV's Board of Directors and to the TFV's ability to complement the reparations award. As such, as discussed in more detail in the section below, the Chamber underlines that priorities will need to be established by the TFV in line with the Chamber's instructions, which implies that not all victims would receive the sum at the same time as payments would be issued depending on the victims' urgent needs and vulnerability and the availability of resources.
- 634. The Chamber also notes the LRVs' submission that in the event a monetary award is given to victims, they will require identity documents to be able to open bank accounts. The Chamber therefore instructs the TFV to take this into account when determining the way in which cash payments would be executed.

(ii) Other community-based satisfaction and symbolic measures

635. The Chamber also considers appropriate to include in the design of the collective reparations in the present case other community-based satisfaction or symbolic measures. Such measures could include apologies from Mr Ongwen or the Government of Uganda, to the extent that such apologies are voluntary and accepted by the victims. The TFV could consider implementing, based on its consultations with the victims, other symbolic measures such as those suggested by FJDI and WVCN as well as ALRPI, which may include: memorial centres; monuments; human rights sensitisation and training; community memorial ceremonies; memorial prayers; reconciliation and cleansing ceremonies. The Chamber finds merit in FJDI and WVCN's submission that memorials preserve the experiences of survivors and 'aid in healing communities, providing a basis for future peace and toleration providing a foundation for future advocacy and conflict prevention'. The Chamber further considers that these

measures could help alleviate tensions between victims in this case and victims of the war in general, who may be able to incidentally benefit from these community-based symbolic measures only.²²⁰⁰ The Chamber wishes to be clear, however, that the fact that victims of the war in general may incidentally benefit from symbolic reparations has no impact on the liability of the convicted person.

- 636. Finally, the Chamber notes its intention that the recognition of the harm suffered by victims in this Order, the Conviction Judgment and the Sentence will serve as a satisfaction measure for victims in this case. The Chamber further notes that in this Order, it has assessed in great detail the different types of harms suffered by the direct and indirect victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The Chamber intends for the detailed accounting of the harm suffered by individual victims to serve a dual purpose of ensuring that reparations are not awarded to remedy harms beyond the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted, while also publicly acknowledging and recounting the harms the victims experienced as a result of Mr Ongwen's crimes. While the number of victims in this case makes collective reparations the only possible answer, the Chamber is hopeful that this public acknowledgment may play a role in repairing the harm suffered by individual victims.
- 637. The Chamber also expects that the collective community-based reparations awarded to victims in the present case have a transformative value. Reparation measures should indeed strive to tackle the cultural meaning and understanding of violence as well as the structural barriers leading to victims' stigmatisation, for instance, to enable all victims of sexual violence to come forward, seek help, and engage in the reparations process, which in turn should contribute to undermine the underlying causes of violence.²²⁰⁴
- 638. Lastly, acknowledging the TFV's limitations in terms of available resources, the Chamber considers that the payment of symbolic monetary awards should be prioritised over rehabilitation and other symbolic measures, given the victims' submissions.

. . .

ii. Chamber's determination

- As noted above, the Chamber has adopted the *Ntaganda* Principles, including the principle of Prioritisation, which indicates that while all victims are to be treated fairly and equally, priority may need to be given to certain victims who are in a particularly vulnerable situation or require urgent assistance. As a result, when determining prioritisation, in the *Ntaganda* case Trial Chamber VI decided that 'priority should be given to individuals who require immediate physical and/or psychological medical care, victims with disabilities and the elderly, victims of sexual or gender-based violence, victims who are homeless or experiencing financial hardship, as well as children born out of rape and sexual slavery and former child solders'. 2246 656. The Chamber finds that the categories of victims prioritised in the *Ntaganda* case are indeed in a particularly vulnerable situation and should therefore also receive prioritisation in the present case. However, the Chamber finds merit in the TFV's submission that it may not be feasible to implement this approach in the present case due to the large number of potential beneficiaries. In particular, the Chamber notes that applying such priorities, without any further refinement, would result in all thematic potential beneficiaries in this case falling within the categories of prioritised victims, which may amount to several thousand individuals. 2248
- 657. The Chamber also finds merit in the submissions of the victims' representatives²²⁴⁹ that the most vulnerable victims who require urgent assistance should be prioritised first and benefit from reparations as expeditiously as possible. The Chamber therefore, while recognising that the vulnerable victims identified in the *Ntaganda* Reparations Order²²⁵⁰ should indeed be also prioritised in the present case, considers it necessary to establish additional prioritisation requirements specifically tailored to this case. This approach is taken in light of the particular circumstances of the present case, in order to ensure that the most urgent needs of victims are addressed as much as possible in a timely manner, while managing victims' expectations and minimising the potential for disappointment and diminished confidence in the Court and the reparations proceedings as a whole.
- 658. In determining the further prioritisations set out below, the Chamber highlights that it does not consider that the harm suffered by a certain category of victims is greater, more important, or more significant than others. Rather,

in making its determination, the Chamber merely endeavours to provide a workable framework in the circumstances of the present case, ²²⁵¹ while ensuring that victims who are in the most *urgent* need of dire assistance, whose lives are at an increased risk of danger, receive particular attention in the near term.

- 659. In accordance with the foregoing, the Chamber considers that <u>first priority</u> should be given to the <u>vulnerable victims</u> who are in dire need of urgent assistance. Accordingly, victims experiencing life-threatening needs deriving from the harms caused by the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted should be the first to benefit from reparations. Here, the Chamber adopts the approach taken in the *Ntaganda* case and considers that 'urgent needs' for prioritisation purposes are those for which the 'victims need to receive immediate physical and/or psychological medical care, and/or support due to financial hardship that endangers the person's life'. ²²⁵² These individuals are those whose very survival is at stake and who, as a result, need immediate attention to appropriately address and mitigate the potentially life-threatening effects of the harm they suffered.
- 660. Second, the Chamber considers that <u>vulnerable direct participating victims</u>, should receive priority. In the view of the Chamber, for as long as the urgent needs of vulnerable victims, direct or indirect, are first addressed, it seems reasonable to focus afterwards on the *direct* victims, i.e. those whose harm was a direct result of the commission of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted, and who have *participated* in the Court's proceedings. The Chamber recalls the large scale and significant magnitude of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted and the multifaceted nature of the harms suffered by the direct victims. The Chamber further notes that, as reflected in the Sample, the overlap between direct and indirect victims in the present case is substantial and only a marginal number of eligible victims in the Sample, only 1.01%, 2255 would qualify as indirect victims-only. At the same time, the Chamber notes that the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted were committed two decades ago, and victims who have participated in the Court's proceedings have done so for nearly a decade, making important contributions to the search for truth and justice through their active participation before the Court. As such, the Chamber considers that these victims should also receive priority.
- 661. Third, <u>all remaining vulnerable victims</u> should receive priority, including direct and indirect victims and regardless of their participation in the Court's proceedings.
- 662. Lastly, all remaining non-vulnerable victims should receive reparations.

4. Conclusion

- 663. Having considered the factors set out under rule 98(3), the Chamber considers that collective community-based reparations are the most appropriate type of reparations to address the harm suffered by the victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. The Chamber notes that the primary reason why collective community-based reparations are appropriate and necessary in this case is the high number of potential beneficiaries and the extent of the harm they suffered.
- Regarding the modalities of reparations, the Chamber considers that the only way to address the harms in a concrete, effective, and timely manner is through collective community- based reparations focused on rehabilitation and symbolic/satisfaction measures. Specifically, the Chamber considers that the following modalities should be included: (i) Rehabilitation measures, consisting of collective community-based rehabilitation programmes directed at rectifying all types of harm identified by the Chamber, i.e. physical, moral, material, community, and transgenerational harms; and (ii) Symbolic and satisfaction measures, including a) a symbolic award of €750 EUR for all eligible victims; and b) other community symbolic and or satisfaction measures. The Chamber also recalls that its detailed recounting of the harm suffered in this Order serves as a satisfaction measure in this case. Lastly, acknowledging the TFV's limitations in terms of available resources, the Chamber considers that the payment of the symbolic monetary awards should be prioritised over the rehabilitation and other symbolic measures, given the victims' submissions.
- 665. With respect to prioritisation among victims, the Chamber considers that: first priority should be given to vulnerable victims who are in dire need of urgent assistance; second priority should be given to vulnerable direct participating victims; and third priority should be given to all remaining vulnerable victims. Lastly, all remaining non-vulnerable victims should receive reparations.

E. FIFTH ELEMENT: AMOUNT OF LIABILITY

1. General considerations

At the outset, the Chamber notes that Mr Ongwen was convicted for crimes committed jointly with others in relation to (i) Counts 1 to 5 and 8 and 9, i.e. crimes committed within the context of the attack on the Pajule IDP Camp;²²⁵⁹ (ii) Counts 11 to 17 and 20 to 23 i.e. crimes committed within the context of the attack on the Odek IDP Camp;²²⁶⁰ (iii) Counts 61 to 68, i.e. SGBC crimes not directly perpetrated by Mr Ongwen;²²⁶¹ and (iv) Counts 69 and 70, i.e. conscription and use in armed hostilities of children under the age of 15 years.²²⁶²

Regarding the shared liability of Mr Ongwen and his co-perpetrators relating to the crimes for which he was convicted, the Chamber notes that they are all jointly liable *in solidum* to repair the full extent of the harm caused to the victims. However, the Chamber underlines that this does not diminish Mr Ongwen's liability to repair *in full* the harm caused to all victims of the crimes for which he was convicted. To the contrary, Mr Ongwen and his coperpetrators are jointly and severally liable to repair in full the harm suffered by the overlapping victims and all remain liable to reimburse the funds that the TFV may eventually use to complement the reparation awards for their shared victims.

668. In the sections below, the Chamber details its assessment of the factors the Court's jurisprudence has considered when determining the amount of the convicted person's financial liability for reparations.

. . .

2. Estimated number of victims potentially eligible for reparations

669. In this section, the Chamber details the parties' and participants' submissions and observations on the estimated number of direct and indirect victims potentially eligible for reparations, and the Chamber's determination thereon.

ii. Chamber's determination

701. In making an order for reparations to, or in respect of, victims pursuant to article 75 of the Statute, a trial chamber does not have to set out the precise number of beneficiaries. ²³⁹⁵ It is permissible for the order for reparations instead to set out eligibility criteria from which victims can be identified. ²³⁹⁶ Nevertheless, establishing the number of beneficiaries to be repaired by the award, even where collective reparations are ordered, will often be a fundamental parameter in determining what reparations are appropriate and the amount of the award. ²³⁹⁷ Indeed, in the *Lubanga* case, the Appeals Chamber emphasised that one of the factors that a trial chamber must consider in determining what reparations are appropriate for the purposes of article 75(2) of the Statute is how many victims are likely to come forward and benefit from collective reparations programmes during the implementation phase. ²³⁹⁸ Similarly, in the *Ntaganda* case, the Appeals Chamber ruled that in the circumstances of the case—where collective reparations with individualised components were awarded—the Trial Chamber had a duty to establish an actual, or estimated number of victims of the award. ²³⁹⁹ The Appeals Chamber underscored that, if the trial chamber resorts to estimates as to the number of victims, such estimates must be as concrete as possible, based on a sufficiently strong evidential basis, with any uncertainties to be resolved in favour of the convicted person. ²⁴⁰⁰ Where there is uncertainty as to the number of victims, the Court should ensure a collective approach that ensures reparations reach those victims who are currently unidentified. ²⁴⁰¹

702. The Chamber recalls that in the 6 May 2021 Order, it directed the parties, Registry and TFV, and invited the Prosecutor and the Republic of Uganda, to makes submissions on the number of direct and indirect victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted who may potentially be eligible for reparations, ²⁴⁰² and instructed the Registry, with the assistance and cooperation of the victims' representatives, to undertake a comprehensive mapping of potentially eligible victims. ²⁴⁰³ Notwithstanding the continuing challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic, for which the Chamber made appropriate allowances, ²⁴⁰⁴ the Chamber afforded the parties and participants ample opportunity to gather data and generate figures to enable them to make informed submissions as to the number of potential beneficiaries. On 16 December 2022, the Chamber invited the parties, the Registry, the TFV and the

Prosecutor to make additional submissions on the estimated total number of potential beneficiaries of reparations and the methodology used to make such calculations. The Chamber notes, however, that only the Registry, victims' representatives and Uganda proffered any independent estimates as to the potential number of victims of the attacks on the IDP camps, while the Registry alone offered baseline estimates as to the potential number of victims of thematic crimes.

- 703. The Chamber acknowledges the challenges experienced by the parties and participants in identifying potential victims, noting that many of the estimates provided were conservative, or not conclusive, and that further inquiries were recommended.²⁴⁰⁶ The Chamber recognises the possibility that additional as yet unidentified potential victims may come forward throughout the implementation process, ²⁴⁰⁷ and notes, for example, the Registry's suggestion that ongoing efforts of the victims' representatives to determine the overall size of communities in the camps where their clients (used to) reside would further inform and corroborate estimates. ²⁴⁰⁸ However, the Chamber recalls that at this stage of proceedings, establishing the number of potential beneficiaries to be repaired by the award, even where collective reparations are ordered, is a fundamental parameter in determining what reparations are appropriate and the amount of the award. ²⁴⁰⁹
- 704. The Chamber considers it is not strictly obligated to identify the precise number of potential beneficiaries in the circumstances of the present case, where collective community-based reparations are being awarded. However, noting the recent approach of the Appeals Chamber, and in an effort to avoid lengthy litigation, the Chamber sets out below its determination as to the estimated number of potential beneficiaries of the: (i) attacks on the IDP camps; and (ii) thematic crimes. Having regard to the foregoing considerations, the Chamber emphasises that the figures detailed below are purely estimates, grounded on the strongest evidential basis available to the Chamber, for the purposes of assessing Mr Ongwen's liability for reparations. Having resolved any discrepancies and uncertainties in these estimates in favour of Mr Ongwen, high should not be understood as a definitive determination as to the number of beneficiaries eligible for reparations, nor should they be seen to limit the number of potential beneficiaries who may come forward to be considered eligible to benefit from reparations.
- 705. The Chamber emphasises the importance of proactive and diligent efforts to identify potential beneficiaries as early as possible in proceedings, and to ensure, to the extent possible, that supporting records are preserved. Indeed, in future, the Chamber would encourage the Registry, assisted by the parties, where applicable, to endeavour to fully map, or at least trace, the relevant potential victim population by the time the parties have concluded their closing statements. As the Appeals Chamber observed, rule 94(2) of the Rules and regulation 56 of the Regulations of the Court in fact suggest this approach and aim to advance reparations proceedings with all expedition. 2412

a) Direct victims of the attacks

706. The Chamber notes that the Registry, the CLRV, the TFV, and the Prosecutor appear to largely concur as to the total estimated range of potential victims of the attacks on the IDP camps. Specifically, the Registry's Mapping Report concludes that an approximate overall number of victims residing in the IDP camps at the time of the attacks can presently be fixed at a range between 41,000 and 50,000 individuals. 2413 However, the Chamber observes that the collective total of the individual figures provided by the Registry for each IDP camp, as further detailed in its subsequent Additional Information submissions, is 'up to' 56,700 individuals.²⁴¹⁴ The CLRV agrees that a range of between 41,000 and 50,000 individuals is a reasonable estimate, 2415 notwithstanding the considerably smaller number of potential beneficiaries she identified (totalling 1,351 households of between 1 and 15 members, 2416 together with an additional 100 beneficiaries²⁴¹⁷) as a result of her preliminary inquiries.²⁴¹⁸ The TFV provides no independent figures, submitting that it has no reason to doubt the estimate advanced by the Registry, noting that it is highly likely that all the residents at the camps at the time of the attacks are potential beneficiaries, and concluding that the figure of 50,000 constitutes an approximate 'minimum number' of victims of the attacks. 2419 The Prosecutor similarly does not offer any estimates or analysis, deferring to the victims' representatives, the Registry and the TFV, without distinction, as to the estimated number of victims who may benefit from reparations, and observing that the Conviction Judgment and Sentence provide guidance to identify many of the victims of Mr Ongwen's crimes.²⁴²⁰

- 707. For the purposes of reaching a more concrete estimate, and noting the differences in the maximum number of potential victims identified by the Registry (50,000²⁴²¹ and 56,700²⁴²²), the Chamber turns to assess the specific submissions of the Registry, victims' representatives and Defence with respect to the number of potential victims of the attacks on each IDP camp for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.
- 708. In determining the number of potentially eligible victims of the attacks on each IDP camp, the Chamber has remained mindful of the Defence's suggestion that the Sample indicates that some IDP camp residents had more than one home or hut, 'meaning that the household estimates determined by the Registry would be inflated.'²⁴²³ However, the Chamber finds no information to suggest that a resident's affiliation with multiple huts equates to an inflated 'household' estimate. Rather, household estimates appear to be calculated with reference to the individual head of a 'household' or family group and their associated household members, irrespective of the number of huts in which their household reside.²⁴²⁴ Further, as already observed, the Chamber considers that contrary to the Defence's inference, whether or not potential victims are beneficiaries of compensation awarded by the High Court of Uganda²⁴²⁵ is immaterial to their eligibility as victims in this case.²⁴²⁶
- 709. Recalling the eligibility criteria established by the Chamber delineating the categories of eligible victims entitled to benefit from reparations in the present case, ²⁴²⁷ the Chamber similarly dismisses Uganda's recommendation to use the 2014 statistics for the total populations in the victim regions of Acholi, Teso and Lango to determine the number of victims of the attacks potentially eligible for reparations. ²⁴²⁸

(i) Pajule IDP camp

- 710. The Chamber recalls its findings in the Conviction Judgment that an estimated 15,000 to 30,000 people lived in the Pajule IDP camp—which comprised both the Pajule and Lapul IDP camps²⁴²⁹—at the time of the attack. While the Registry's mapping exercise conducted in 2021 identified approximately 23,800 residents of the Pajule IDP camp²⁴³¹ at the relevant time, in its subsequent submission of Additional Information on victims, the Registry refers to, and relies upon the 'rough estimate' it purportedly provided in its Mapping Report of 'up to 30,000' victims. The Registry provides no additional support for the figure of 30,000, beyond referencing a footnote in its prior submissions which cited the findings of the Chamber in the Conviction Judgment. The Defence offers no independent figures or calculations, but submits that the figure of 30,000 victims is 'extremely high', insisting that the Chamber should not entertain any increase in the number of potential victims beyond the estimate of 23,800 residents of the camp. The Chamber is unpersuaded by the Defence's suggestion that any estimate above the number of 23,800 residents may include persons who were abducted during different attacks beyond the October 2003 attack for which Mr Ongwen was convicted, reiterating that the information received by the Registry in relation to abductees appears to be immaterial to the Registry's overall calculation of the number of victims of the attack on the Pajule IDP camp.
- As previously noted, the remaining parties²⁴³⁸ and participants largely defer to the total number of victims of the IDP camp attacks proffered by the Registry.²⁴³⁹ In this regard, the Chamber emphasises that although the CLRV identifies a relatively low figure of 908 households (of between 1 and 15 family members)²⁴⁴⁰ from the Pajule area as potential 'additional beneficiaries', the CLRV submits that this number is indicative of 'a very minimum',²⁴⁴¹ concurring that the Registry's estimated range of the total number of victims present in all IDP camps at the time of the attacks is reasonable.²⁴⁴²
- 712. The Chamber has considered the available information, including the evidence submitted at trial. The Chamber finds particularly compelling the list of residents of the Pajule/Lapul IDP camps, dated 30 November 2003, signed and stamped by the Camp Leader of the Pajule IDP camp, who testified that he compiled the list from information provided to him by the block leaders of the Pajule side and from the Camp Leader of the Lapul side of the Pajule IDP camp. This list records a total of 31,142 residents of the Pajule IDP camp as of November 2003 (17,432 residents from the Pajule side and 13,710 residents from the Lapul side). These figures are also largely consistent with a handwritten list compiled by the Lapul Camp Leader, dated 26 November 2003, indicating that the Lapul side of the camp had a 'population' of 14,155. The Chamber notes that these signed, stamped, and dated lists are contemporaneous records, prepared some six weeks after the October 2003

attack, by the camp commandants, who the Chamber considers were well placed to quantify the number of residents of the Pajule IDP camp. The figure of 23,800 initially proffered by the Registry, on the other hand, was based on 'limited information related to numbers' conveyed to the Registry during meetings with unidentified 'intermediaries and local leaders' in 2021, some 18 years after the attacks. Further, the Registry concedes that this figure was only partly supported by documents and or corroborated by other sources, and would benefit from further confirmation, noting that its efforts to liaise with the former leadership of the camps and the competent Ugandan authorities to obtain records and lists had proven unsuccessful. Having regard to the foregoing, the Chamber estimates that the number of potentially eligible victims of the attack on the Pajule IDP camp amounts to approximately 30,000 individuals in total.

713. The Chamber takes note of the Registry's submission that a 'large number' of visitors were also present in Pajule IDP camp celebrating Uganda's Independence Day at the time of the attack on 10 October 2003. However, in the absence of any estimate of the number of visitors purportedly present, the Chamber resolves this uncertainty in favour of Mr Ongwen and makes no additional allowance for visitors in its determination of the number of victims of the attack on the Pajule IDP camp. The Chamber emphasises, however, that this conclusion does not render visitors to Pajule IDP camp at the time of the attack ineligible for reparations. As to the Defence's submission that some residents may equally have been absent celebrating with friends and family elsewhere at the time of the attack, the Chamber recalls its finding that residents of the camp, even if not physically present during the attack, may still be considered victims of the attack.

(ii) Odek IDP camp

- 714. The Chamber recalls its findings in the Conviction Judgment that the evidence indicates that there were between 2,000 and 3,000 residents in the camp at the time of the attack. Specifically, the Chamber notes witness statements on the record wherein the Camp Leader of Odek IDP camp estimated there were about 2,000 residents in the camp, and a block leader estimated there were approximately 3,000 residents. Similarly, a contemporaneous post-attack incident report of an Internal Security Organisation ('ISO') officer, dated 'May '04', which was prepared based on information gathered from camp leaders and survivors of the attack, placed the number of residents of the Odek IDP camp at 2,600. The Chamber also considered extracts from two notebooks provided by the Camp Leader, with the date '13/5/2005', which itemised the number of households and population of each block of the Odek IDP, totalling over 6,000 residents. While the Camp Leader gave evidence that the data contained in the notebooks was based on information collected from the Odek IDP camp in January and February 2004, and the Camp Leader's prior statement estimating there were 2,000 residents in the Odek IDP camp, the Chamber ultimately afforded greater weight to the aforementioned witness evidence.
- 715. Turning to the submissions of the parties and participants, the Chamber observes that the Registry's Mapping Report indicates that during focus group interviews different figures were provided ranging from 6,800 to 7,500 residents of the Odek IDP camp at the time of the attack. Considering that these figures are only partly corroborated by unspecified documents and or other sources, that the interlocutors of these interviews recommended that the Registry consult former block leaders who kept records at the time of the events, and that the Registry's efforts to obtain these records have been unsuccessful, the Chamber finds little support for adopting the comparatively 'high' estimated number of residents advanced by the Registry. In this regard, the Chamber can envisage the possibility that such estimates may be inflated without any official records, as the Defence suggests.
- 716. In contrast, the data gathered by the LRVs and their intermediaries in the field in consultation with former camp leaders and or officials²⁴⁷¹—which identified 2,419²⁴⁷² former residents of the Odek IDP camp as potential beneficiaries—is consistent with the range provided by the Chamber in the Conviction Judgment.²⁴⁷³ Notably, it is particularly proximate to the figure specified in the contemporaneous ISO incident report prepared shortly after the attack.²⁴⁷⁴ Although the LRVs emphasise that the list, while comprehensive, is not conclusive and some further improvement on the data may be needed,²⁴⁷⁵ the Chamber considers that the figure of 2,419 provides a reasonable estimate of potential victims of the attack on the Odek IDP camp, particularly when viewed in the context of the other evidence on the trial record.

- 717. Although the CLRV identified a considerably smaller number of potential beneficiaries for the Odek IDP camp, numbering some 191 households of 1 to 15 members, ²⁴⁷⁶ noting that the CLRV's identification efforts were preliminary and her estimate conservative, ²⁴⁷⁷ the Chamber finds the figure advanced by the LRVs and supported by more comprehensive data to be more compelling. The Chamber also notes it has no information as to the extent to which there is any overlap between the potential beneficiaries identified by the LRVs and CLRV. Accordingly the Chamber estimates that the number of potentially eligible victims of the attack on the Odek IDP camp amounts to approximately 2,419 individuals in total.
- 718. Finally, in the absence of any further information in relation to the 100 to 200 individuals from neighbouring villages the Registry reports were visiting the camp on the day of the attack, the Chamber makes no allowance for visitors in its estimated number of potential beneficiaries. The Chamber emphasises, however, that this conclusion does not render visitors of the Odek IDP camp ineligible for reparations. As to the Defence's submission that it is just as likely that some residents were not at the camp during the attack because they were visiting friends and family elsewhere, the Chamber recalls its finding that residents of the camp, even if not physically present during the attack, may still be considered victims of the attack.

(iii) Lukodi IDP camp

- 719. The Chamber recalls its findings in the Conviction Judgment that a 'large contingent' of civilians lived in the camp at the time of the attack. However, in light of the significant disparity in the witnesses' evidence as to the number of residents of the camp, the Chamber concluded that the exact number of victims of the attack was not possible to determine. Specifically, a police investigator who examined Lukodi in the aftermath of the attack testified that the Lukodi camp leader told him that the camp had around 7,000 residents at the time of the attack; a local councillor of Lukodi testified that although it was not easy to know the exact number, he estimated the camp had about 4,000 residents at the time of the attack; and another witness who spent time in Lukodi estimated that roughly 400-500 people lived in the camp.
- 720. The Chamber has also considered two notebooks which were in evidence at trial, labelled 'Rwot Kweri Laco-Anga'²⁴⁸⁷ and 'Rwot Kweri Lukodi', ²⁴⁸⁸ containing handwritten lists which purport to record the number of households and their members in these areas of the Lukodi IDP camp. ²⁴⁸⁹ However, considering *inter alia* that the date of compilation of the lists in these notebooks is unknown beyond that it predates the attack, ²⁴⁹⁰ that some data is missing from the lists, ²⁴⁹¹ that one of the Lukodi camp leaders testified that at least one of notebooks was never used because the format did not correspond to the agreed format, ²⁴⁹² and that the lists record data for only two of several areas of the Lukodi IDP camp, ²⁴⁹³ the Chamber does not find them to be probative for the purposes of establishing the number of victims of the Lukodi IDP camp.
- The submissions of the parties and participants as to the number of potential beneficiaries for the Lukodi IDP camp are similarly varied. The Registry's Mapping Report indicates that the consensus in the groups the Registry consulted was that there were around 6,000 residents in the Ludoki IDP camp during the attack, with one camp leader specifying that there were between 800 and 1000 households in the camp at the time of the attack, with families having on average, 6 to 8 members. In contrast, based on data gathered by the LRVs and their intermediaries in the field in consultation with former camp leaders and or officials, albeit 'not conclusive', the LRVs identify 3,248²⁴⁹⁷ residents of the Lukodi IDP camp as potential beneficiaries. The CLRV identifies an even smaller figure of 143 households of between 1 and 15 members, noting, however, that her efforts are preliminary and the estimate remains conservative. The Chamber notes it has no information as to the extent to which there is any overlap between the potential beneficiaries identified by the LRVs and CLRV.
- 722. While the Registry indicates that the LRVs agree that an approximation of 6,000 may represent the 'upper limit' of potential beneficiaries, ²⁵⁰⁰ and the CLRV supports the total estimated range of victims advanced by the Registry, ²⁵⁰¹ the Chamber takes note of the Defence's submissions that the Registry's estimate is proportionately high and may be inflated without any official records. ²⁵⁰² Considering the disparity in estimates, and observing that the Registry indicates its figures are only partly supported by unspecified documents and or other sources and that efforts to obtain camp records and lists have proven unsuccessful, ²⁵⁰³ the Chamber resolves the uncertainty in favour of Mr Ongwen in opting for the number of potential victims identified by the LRVs, which has a stronger evidentiary

basis.²⁵⁰⁴ The Chamber, therefore, estimates that the number of potentially eligible victims of the attack on the Lukodi IDP camp amounts to approximately 3,248 individuals in total.

(iv) Abok IDP camp

- 723. The Chamber recalls its findings in the Conviction Judgment referring to estimates ranging from at least 7,000 to just over 13,000 residents in the Abok IDP camp at the time of the attack. The Chamber notes that the evidence presented at trial, while not uniform, suggests that the number of residents of the Abok IDP camp fluctuated significantly, beginning at around 7,000 and increasing to 13,000 sometime before the attack, before diminishing to some 9,000 to 10,000 residents after the attack. Resources were scarce and lists of residents, which were compiled by the camp's 'block leaders' and conveyed to the camp secretary, were updated infrequently, as a result of which periodic variations in numbers of residents were not necessarily captured as people moved in and out of the camp.
- 724. Although the Registry's Mapping Report, which identifies approximately 13,000 residents in the Abok IDP Camp at the time of the attack, ²⁵⁰⁹ is consistent with the upper range specified in the Conviction Judgment, it appears that this figure is based on limited information related to numbers and only partly corroborated by unspecified documents and or sources. ²⁵¹⁰ As such, the Chamber finds the LRV's identification of 11,231²⁵¹¹ potential named beneficiaries, based on lists of individuals and their household members who lived in the Abok IDP camp at the time of the attack, ²⁵¹² more probative. In this regard, the Chamber finds that there is merit in the Defence's suggestion that the Registry's estimates may be inflated without any official records. ²⁵¹³ While the Registry indicates that the LRVs concur that an approximation of 13,000 may represent the 'upper limit' of potential beneficiaries from the Abok IDP camp, ²⁵¹⁴ and the LRVs emphasise that their lists, while comprehensive, are not conclusive and may require further improvement, ²⁵¹⁵ the Chamber resolves the discrepancy in estimates in favour of Mr Ongwen by opting for the lower figure. ²⁵¹⁶ Accordingly, the Chamber estimates that the number of potentially eligible victims of the attack on the Abok IDP camp amounts to approximately 11,231 individuals in total.
 - (v) Estimated total number of potentially eligible victims of the attacks on the IDP camps
- Passed on the Chamber's foregoing assessment, the Chamber estimates that the number of potentially eligible victims of the attacks on the IDP camps amounts to approximately: 30,000 individuals for Pajule; 2,419 individuals for Odek; 3,248 individuals for Lukodi; and 11,231 individuals for Abok. Accordingly, the Chamber estimates that the total number of potentially eligible victims of the attacks on the IDP camps for which Mr Ongwen was convicted is approximately 46,898 individuals.

Estimated number of potentially eligible victims of the attacks on the IDP camps				
Pajule	Odek	Lukodi	Abok	Total
30,000	2,419	3,248	11,231	46,898

b) Direct victims of thematic crimes

726. The Chamber notes that the parties and participants appear to concur that there is a dearth of reliable information available to inform a determination as to the number of potential victims of the thematic crimes (child soldiers and SGBC) for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. Specifically, the Chamber recalls that according to the Registry, none of the interlocutors consulted was in a position to provide estimate numbers. Further, the Registry observes that there are no specific records on the number of child abductions and recruitments by the Sinia Brigade during the time relevant to Mr Ongwen's convictions, and records are scarce and incomplete as

regards SGBC and children born out of such crimes within the temporal and geographic confines of the Conviction Judgment. The lapse of time is a compounding factor for all of the above. 2521

- 727. The Chamber takes note of the Registry's submission that providing estimate numbers that are not properly corroborated in the field can be problematic as it may close the door to potential further victims eligible for reparations. On the other hand, as the CLRV submits, further mapping at this stage of proceedings will delay the implementation of reparations and is unlikely to generate more accurate data than that already provided by specialised experts and reviewed by the Registry in reaching its conservative estimate. 2523
- 728. The Chamber has carefully weighed the importance of generating estimates of potential beneficiaries which are as accurate and inclusive as possible against the need to ensure the timely and effective implementation of reparations. The Chamber has remained particularly mindful of its obligation to consider, in determining what reparations are appropriate for the purposes of article 75(2) of the Statute, the number of victims likely to come forward and benefit from collective reparations programmes during the implementation phase. While, as the CLRV suggests, a more accurate estimate could be achieved at the time of the implementation of reparations when the eligibility of each victim will be assessed, in light of the Appeals Chamber ruling the Chamber cannot defer its determination of the number of potential victims until this phase. Further, the Chamber emphasises that these victim estimates are based on projections used for the purposes of calculating Mr Ongwen's liability and do not limit the number of eligible beneficiaries who can come forward to benefit from the reparations ordered.
- 729. The Chamber has considered the LRV's request for authorisation to generate information on the group of victims falling under the thematic crimes in lieu of a deliberate mapping. The Chamber is unclear as to the distinction the LRVs draw between 'generating information' and 'deliberate mapping'. The Chamber notes that it indeed would have been of assistance to the Chamber had the LRVs made efforts to generate information in relation to victims of thematic crimes (beyond the 327 potential victims of thematic crimes it documented through engagement with participating victims²⁵²⁹) in response to the Chamber's repeated instructions. However, in the Chamber's view, in the interests of the victims, it is imperative that there be no further delay in the order and implementation of reparations in this case. Accordingly, the Chamber proceeds to make its estimations as to the approximate number of potentially eligible victims of thematic crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted based on the information presently at its disposal.

(i) Former child soldiers

- As a basis for the Chamber's preliminary calculations, and noting that the Defence does not in principle object, ²⁵³⁰ the Chamber turns to the figures advanced in the Berkeley Report proffered by the Registry. ²⁵³¹ The Chamber observes that the Berkeley Report analyses data gathered from eight out of the nine 'reception centres' operating in Uganda in early 2006, which receive and assist children, youth and adults who escaped from the LRA, or were captured in battle. ²⁵³² Although the data contained in the report is imperfect and incomplete, ²⁵³³ the Chamber considers it provides a reasonable foundation for the purposes of extrapolating estimates in order to inform the Chamber's determination as to the number of potentially eligible victims in order to set the amount of liability, which is preferable to the alternatives advanced. In this regard, the Chamber observes that although Expert Witness Professor Allen agreed that the figure of 66,000 abductees from northern Uganda advanced by researchers Christopher Blattman and Jeannie Annan was 'probably accurate', ²⁵³⁴ this figure appears to apply to the period from 1989-2004. ²⁵³⁵ Equally, the Chamber notes that there is insufficient supporting information included in the report of the Parliament of the Republic of Uganda on the performance of the Amnesty Commission from 2002 to 2008, to which the LRVs refer, to enable the figure of 12,772 demobilised former LRA fighters to be of any utility to the Chamber's analysis. ²⁵³⁶
- 731. Without wishing to reiterate the Registry's analysis of the Berkeley Report, which is detailed in the overview of submissions above, the Chamber recalls that based on the data contained in the Report, the Registry proposes to take a rough estimate of a total of 41,000²⁵³⁸ individuals (comprised of 22,000 children²⁵³⁹ and 19,000 adults, which 'would include SGBC victims and child soldiers' who were abducted by the LRA from 2002 to April 2006, as the basis for its calculations. Noting that there are no specific records on the

amount of child abductions and recruits by the Sinia Brigade during the time relevant to Mr Ongwen's conviction, the Registry divides the figure of 41,000 individuals (which does not distinguish between adults and children) between the four LRA brigades to provide a 'rough indicator' rounded down to 10,000 individuals abducted by the Sinia brigade between 2002 and April 2006.²⁵⁴³

- While the Registry's analysis provides a helpful starting point, given that not all adults who were abducted by the LRA were victims of SGBC, the Chamber considers that the inclusion of adults in the Registry's base figure artificially inflates its calculations as to the number of victims of thematic crimes. The Chamber further observes that the figure of 22,000 children included in the body of the Berkeley Report relates to children under the age of 18, whereas Mr Ongwen was convicted for crimes perpetrated against children under the age of 15. Based on additional data included in Annex 1 to the Berkeley Report, which provides a further breakdown by age group for each reception centre, the Chamber calculates that, on average, 37.4% of all abductees were under the age of 15. Accordingly, for the purposes of the Chamber's further analysis in relation to child soldiers, the Chamber adopts the figure of 15,334 children under the age of 15 (reflecting 37.4% of the previously advanced total of 41,000 adult and child abductees abductees that the percentage of child soldiers under the age of 18 is nearly the same (15,334: 22,000 = 0.697, i.e. 69.7 %) as the percentage calculated in the *Lubanga* reparation order (71%), although with a different method. The Chamber considers SGBC separately.
- 733. The Chamber notes that Mr Ongwen was convicted of conscription and use in hostilities of children under the age of 15 specifically, regarding the 'large number of children under the age of 15 years abducted during the four attacks relevant to the charges and generally between 1 July 2002 and 31 December 2005 in.Northern Uganda and assigned to service in the Sinia Brigade'. However, the figure adopted by the Registry is generated by data for the period between '2002 and April 2006'. Noting that the Berkeley Report does not specify the month of commencement in 2002, the Chamber assumes, for Mr Ongwen's benefit, that the data applies to the period commencing in January 2002. Therefore, the Chamber considers it appropriate to discount the figure by six months for the period from January until July 2002, and a further four months for the period from January to April 2006, to reflect the period applicable to Mr Ongwen's conviction. The Chamber will therefore apply a total reduction of 19.23%, 2548 as detailed below.
- 734. In the same vein, the Chamber dismisses the Defence's submission that the figure should be discounted by 52.38% to reflect the 22 month period of the jurisdiction of the case during which Mr Ongwen controlled the Sinia Brigade, ²⁵⁴⁹ reiterating that Mr Ongwen's conviction for conscripting and use in hostilities of children under the age of 15 pertained to the period between 1 July 2002 and 31 December 2005. The Chamber similarly dismisses the Defence's suggestion that the Registry should have applied a divisor of six, rather than four, LRA brigades to the overall number of abductees to reflect a number representative of those abducted by the Sinia brigade. ²⁵⁵¹ In this respect, the Chamber recalls its findings in the Conviction Judgment that the LRA was divided into four brigades: Sinia, Stockree, Gilva and Trinkle. 2552 In contrast, Control Altar was described as the 'overall group' and headquarters of the LRA, 'where the most senior commanders are', 2553 while 'Jogo' appears to have been a similarly overarching 'division'. 2554 While the Defence asserts that the 'Control Altar and Jogo Division operated the same as the Sinia, Trinkle, Stockree and Gilva Brigades', inferring that they too 'carried out operations, abducted persons and distributed women as wives within the LRA', ²⁵⁵⁵ it cites no evidence or information to support this proposition. The Chamber also notes that there is evidence on the record to suggest that after being abducted and trained by one of the four brigades, some child soldiers were then brought to Joseph Kony/Control Altar, ²⁵⁵⁶ further supporting a divisor of four. Nevertheless, in applying a divisor of four, the Chamber has remained mindful of the Registry's observations regarding the absence of information as to whether some of the LRA brigades were more active than others during the relevant period, and that most abductees spent time in at least two LRA brigades.²⁵⁵⁷
- 735. Applying the foregoing analysis to the data included in the Berkeley Report, the Chamber considers that an estimate of the number of potentially eligible victims of the crime of conscripting and use in hostilities of children under the age of 15 could be calculated as follows: the Chamber first reduces the figure of 15,334 children under the age of 15²⁵⁵⁸ by 19.23% for the months that are outside the scope of the conviction (i.e. 2,949), which equates to 12,385 children. The Chamber then divides this figure of 12,385 children by the four LRA brigades (to approximate those abducted by the Sinia brigade), which equals an estimated 3,096 potentially eligible former child soldier

victims. The Chamber emphasises that this is a conservative estimate, noting that the Berkeley Report indicates that the prevalence of abduction figures collected among the population suggests that the number of abductees is higher. ²⁵⁶⁰ In reaching this estimate, the Chamber also reiterates the limitations of these calculations, based on estimates and extrapolated data.

(ii) SGBC victims

736. Turning to SGBC victims, the Chamber acknowledges that some of the potentially eligible former child soldiers victims identified above may have also been subject to SGBC for which Mr Ongwen was convicted, or may be the children thereof. In this regard, the Chamber notes that 4% of abductees were born in captivity, ²⁵⁶¹ suggesting that they were born of SGBC. Applying the figures and analysis detailed above, the Chamber calculates that 4% of 41,000 abductees equates to 1,640 children born of SGBC. When this figure is multiplied by 19.23%, consistent with the temporal scope of the conviction, and divided by four LRA brigades, it equates to approximately 79 children born of SGBC, of the 3,096 potentially eligible former child soldier victims already counted above. So as not to double count victims for the purposes of its determination of the amount of Mr Ongwen's liability, the Chamber focuses its analysis on SGBC victims who fall outside the scope of the Chamber's previous calculations, namely women over the age of 15. The Chamber will first focus its analysis on women aged between 15 and 18, and thereafter on women aged over 19 years old.

According to the Berkeley Report, 24% of all abductees were female. This means that of the aforementioned total of 41,000 abductees (adult and child), approximately 9,840 were female. Based on additional data included in Annex I to the Berkeley Report, the Chamber determines that an average of 33.41% of 3.41% of 3.41% of 9,840), the Chamber calculates that approximately 3,288 abductees were females aged between 15 and 18. Applying the same analysis detailed above, the Chamber first reduces the figure of 3,288 abducted women aged between 15 and 18 by 19.23% of 18. The Chamber then divides this figure of 2,656 by four (to approximate those abducted by the Sinia brigade), which amounts to an estimated 664 women aged between 15 and 18 abducted by the Sinia brigade during the period applicable to the conviction.

According to the Berkeley Report, approximately 5.5% of all former abductees were women over the age of 19.²⁵⁶⁷ The Chamber calculates that 5.5% of the previously advanced figure of 41,000 abductees²⁵⁶⁸ equates to 2,255 female abductees over the age of 19. Applying the same analysis detailed above, the Chamber first reduces the 2,255 women by 19.23%²⁵⁶⁹ (i.e. 434) to reflect the period applicable to the conviction, which equates to 1,821 women. The Chamber then divides this figure by four (to approximate those abducted by the Sinia brigade), which equals an estimate of approximately 455 women over the age of 19 abducted by the Sinia brigade during the period applicable to the conviction. 739. The foregoing calculations indicate that approximately 1.119 women aged over 15 years old were abducted by the Sinia brigade in the period between 1 July 2002 and 31 December 2005. The Chamber notes that it cannot definitively conclude that all abducted women over the age of 15 were subject to SGBC. However, the Chamber recalls its findings from the Conviction Judgment that the principal aim of the LRA's abduction of women and girls in Northern Uganda was for them to serve as so-called 'wives' and domestic servants, 2570 and that Joseph Kony, Mr Ongwen and the Sinia brigade leadership engaged in a coordinated and methodical effort to abduct women and girls and to force them to serve in the Sinia brigade for these very purposes.²⁵⁷¹ Moreover, the evidence demonstrates that Sinia brigade members regularly forced abducted women and girls who had been 'distributed' to them to have sexual intercourse. 2572 The Chamber also recalls its conclusion that the evidence from both victims and former LRA fighters and commanders gives rise to a powerful inference that almost all the abducted women and girls in the Sinia Brigade had broadly similar experiences of victimisation.²⁵⁷³ While the evidence varied as to whether female abductees were distributed to men immediately upon abduction, or a short period thereafter, ²⁵⁷⁴ and younger abducted girls were frequently used as household servants, referred to as ting tings, until they were considered mature enough to become so-called 'wives', 2575 the evidence overwhelming indicates that the vast majority of female abductees were subject to some form of SGBC. Indeed, the evidence suggests that even women who served as LRA fighters also served as so-called 'wives'. 2576

- 740. In arriving at a number which reasonably reflects the estimated number of potentially eligible victims of SGBC, the Chamber has also remained mindful of its finding in the Conviction Judgment that 'at any time' in the period between 1 July 2002 and 31 December 2005, there were over one hundred abducted women and girls in the Sinia brigade. P-0205 testified that there were close to 50 women in the Oka battalion, more than 50 in the Terwanga battalion, and more than 30 in the Siba battalion; P-0374 estimated that there were 200-300 so-called 'wives' and *ting tings* in Sinia; and P-0142 stated that in 2003-2004 there were around 100 so-called 'wives' in Sinia, and 30 to 70 'young girls who were not yet ready to marry'. In this regard, the Chamber notes that the conviction spanned a period of three and a half years, during which time the Chamber considers there would have been some turnover in both the members of the Sinia brigade, and in the so-called 'wives' and *ting tings*. The Chamber has also had regard to the Registry's submissions indicating that 145 participating victims reported being victims of SGBC. However, the Registry further observes that the number of participating victims who reported suffering harm as a result of these types of crimes is extremely low, despite information that the number of these victims is actually much higher, onting that this may arise from inhibitions of these victims to come forward due to potential social stigma.
- 741. Taking all of the foregoing into consideration, mindful of the Chamber's obligation to resolve any uncertainty in favour of Mr Ongwen, the Chamber determines that a conservative estimate of the approximate number of potentially eligible victims of SGBC, excluding those victims already accounted for in its calculations of former child soldiers, is approximately 1,000. This brings the total estimate of potentially eligible direct victims of thematic crimes to 4,096 individuals.

c) Total estimated number of potentially eligible direct victims

- 742. As detailed above, the Chamber has determined that the estimated number of potentially eligible victims of the attacks on the IDP camps would amount to approximately 46,898 individuals, and the number of potentially eligible victims of thematic crimes would amount to approximately 4,096 individuals, equating to a total estimated number of victims of approximately 50,994 individuals. However, in addition to the aforementioned overlap between potential former child soldiers victims and SGBC victims, the Chamber notes that there is also an overlap between the victims of thematic crimes and the victims of the attacks on the IDP camps, ²⁵⁸⁴ for which appropriate deductions must also be made.
- 743. Extrapolating from the Registry's data identifying the number of overlapping *participating* victims who are both victims of the attacks on the IDP camps and victims of thematic crimes, the Chamber estimates that approximately 2,144 *potentially* eligible victims would also qualify as both victims of the attacks on the IDP camps and victims of thematic crimes, as detailed below.

IDP Camp		Participating Victims ²⁵⁸⁵			Estimated Number of Potentially Eligible Direct Victims	
	A. Victims of thematic crimes ²⁵⁸⁶	B. Victims of the attack (including victims of thematic crimes) ²⁵⁸⁷	C. Percentage of victims of <i>both</i> the attack and thematic crimes ²⁵⁸⁸ (A / B = C)	D. Estimated number of potential victims of the attack ²⁵⁸⁹	E. Estimated number of potential victims of <i>both</i> the attack and thematic crimes ²⁵⁹⁰ (C x D = E)	
Pajule	37	794	4.66%	30,000	1,398	
Odek	34	692	4.91%	2,419	119	
Lukodi	9	1,653 ²⁵⁹¹	0.54%	3,248	18	
Abok	48	885	5.42%	11,231	609	
TOTAL	128	4,024		46,898	2,144	

- 744. By way of explanation, the Chamber notes that from the pool of *participating* victims who were victims of the attacks on the IDP camps, the Chamber identifies for each IDP camp: (i) the number of participating victims who are victims of thematic crimes; and (ii) the total number of participating victims who are victims of the attack (including victims who are also victims of thematic crimes). The Chamber then divides figure (i) by figure (ii) to ascertain the percentage of *participating* victims who are *both* victims of the attack *and* victims of thematic crimes ('dual victims'). The Chamber then applies these percentages to the estimated number of *potentially* eligible victims of each IDP camp attack to ascertain the number of *potentially* eligible 'dual victims' for each IDP camp. Tallying the numbers for each camp, the total number of *potentially* eligible 'dual victims' equates to approximately 2,144 individuals. As a corollary, deducting the 2,144 potential 'dual victims' from the estimated total of 4,096 potential victims of thematic crimes, the Chamber concludes that approximately 1,952²⁵⁹² potentially eligible victims would be exclusively victims of thematic crimes.
- 745. So as not to double count these potentially eligible 'dual victims', the Chamber deducts the 2,144 potentially eligible dual victims from the estimated overall total of 50,994 potentially eligible victims, and determines that the approximate number of potentially eligible direct victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted for the purposes of calculating his liability for reparations is approximately 48,850 individuals.

Estimated Number of Potentially Eligible Direct Victims				
Victims of the IDP camp attacks	Victims of thematic crimes (only)	Total number of direct victims		
46,898	1,952	48,850		

d) Total estimated number of potentially eligible indirect victims

- 746. As to the number of exclusively indirect victims of the attacks on the IDP camps, the Chamber notes the Registry's submission that considering that entire families were residing in the four IDP camps, with only isolated instances of family members living outside the camps, the number of family members of direct victims who were not present in the camps on the day of the attacks would be relatively insignificant. Consistent with the Registry's observations, the Chamber recalls its finding that, as reflected in the Sample, there is a significant overlap between direct and indirect victims of the attacks on the IDP camps in the present case. Extrapolating from the Sample, which identified only $1.06\%^{2595}$ of victims as exclusively indirect victims of the attacks on the IDP camps, the Chamber estimates that there would be approximately 497^{2596} potentially eligible indirect victims of the attacks on the IDP camps.
- 747. With respect to indirect victims of the thematic crimes, the Registry provides no basis for assessment, submitting that the categories of indirect victims and their approximate number can be provided once the approximate number of direct victims is established. The Chamber notes that of the small number of victims of exclusively thematic crimes reviewed as part the Sample, no victims were identified as indirect victims only. However, noting the sample size, the Chamber does not consider that this is representative of the overall number of indirect victims of exclusively thematic crimes. In the absence of any relevant data in the present case, for the purposes of estimating the approximate number of indirect victims of thematic crimes, the Chamber adopts an average of the percentage of the total number of victims found eligible to qualify as indirect victims in the *Lubanga* case (23%) and the estimate used in the *Ntaganda* case (20.5%), the Chamber therefore estimates that the approximate number of potentially eligible indirect victims of the thematic crimes would amount to 21.75% of the total estimate of 1,952 individuals, equating to approximately 425 indirect victims.

Estimated Number of Potentially Eligible Indirect Victims				
Victims of:	Estimated number of direct victims	Percentage of indirect victims	Estimated number of indirect victims	
IDP camp attacks	46,898	1.06%	497	
Thematic crimes (only)	1,952	21.75%	425	
TOTAL			922	

e) Conclusions as to the total estimated number of potentially eligible victims

748. Having regard to the submissions of the parties and participants and the evidence detailed herein, and explicitly resolving all uncertainties mentioned in this section in favour of Mr Ongwen, the Chamber estimates that the total number of potentially eligible direct and indirect victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted for the purposes of determining Mr Ongwen's liability for reparations is approximately 49,772 victims, comprised of approximately: (i) 48,850 direct victims, of whom approximately: (a) 46,898 are direct victims of the attacks on the IDP camps; and (b) 1,952 are direct victims of thematic crimes (only); and (ii) approximately 922 indirect victims, of whom approximately: (a) 497 are indirect victims of the attacks on the IDP camps; (iv) 425 are indirect victims of thematic crimes (only).

	Estimated Total I (unified of I)	otentially Eligible Direct and Indire	
Victims of:	Direct Victims	Indirect Victims	Total Victims
OP camp attacks	46,898	497	47,395
hematic crimes (only)	1,952	425	2,377
OTAL	48,850	922	49,772

ii. Chamber's determination

769. The Chamber notes that, in line with the Court's jurisprudence, ²⁶⁸⁴ in its determination of the total amount of Mr Ongwen's financial liability for reparations, it has taken into account four key considerations, namely: (i) the type and extent of the harms suffered by the victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted ('first consideration: harm'); (ii) the estimated number of potential beneficiaries to be repaired by the award ('second consideration: number of victims'); (iii) the types and modalities of reparations considered to be the most appropriate in the circumstances of the present case ('third consideration: types and modalities'); and (iv) the costs to repair the harm of the victims in this case in light of the reparations awarded ('fourth consideration: cost to repair').

770. The Chamber stresses that it has aimed at setting an amount that is fair and properly reflects the rights of the victims, while also bearing in mind the rights of the convicted person. The Chamber has also considered, with caution, whether to rely on estimates, including with respect to the costs of reparations programmes, while making every effort possible to obtain estimates that are 'as accurate as possible in the circumstances of the case'. Lastly, the Chamber has weighed the need for accuracy against the goal of awarding reparations without delay. 2687

a) First consideration: harm

771. As to the harm suffered by the victims, the Chamber recalls that, as detailed above, ²⁶⁸⁸ consistent with the Court's jurisprudence, ²⁶⁸⁹ it conducted an in-depth analysis of all relevant information before it, including the submissions and observations of parties and participants, the Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the evidence in the

case file, and a representative Sample of victims' dossiers.²⁶⁹⁰ This assessment has allowed the Chamber to clearly define the harms that resulted from the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. Further, the strong evidentiary basis supporting the findings above as to harm suffered by the victims²⁶⁹¹ allows the Chamber, by extrapolation, to reach valid conclusions regarding the entire universe of victims entitled to benefit from reparations in the present case.

- 772. The Chamber underscores that, consistent with its findings beyond reasonable doubt in the Conviction Judgment and Sentence, the re-assessment of the evidence and consideration of the Sample conducted during this reparations proceeding has allowed the Chamber to confirm 'the serious, multiple, long-lasting and diverse consequences of the harms inflicted by the crimes', as underscored by the Prosecutor. The evidence indeed overwhelmingly demonstrates that entire families and the community of victims of the attacks on the four IDP camps as a whole tens of thousands of individuals suffered tremendous harm due to the unimaginable atrocities committed during and in the aftermath of the four attacks for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. Similarly, over one hundred women and girls and thousands of children boys and girls under the age of fifteen suffered profound multifaceted harm as a result of being kidnapped. Many were later subject to SGBC and or forced to serve as LRA soldiers, being kept in captivity under cruel methods of physical and psychological coercion.
- 773. As a result, the Chamber has found that the direct victims of the attacks, direct SGBC victims, children born out of SGBC, and former child soldiers suffered serious and long-lasting physical, moral, and material harm, while the indirect victims suffered moral and material harm. In addition, the entire community of victims suffered community harm and children of direct victims and children born out of SGBC suffered transgenerational harm. The extent of the harm caused by the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted is indeed profound and multi-layered. Most, if not all victims are not only direct victims of a multiple heinous crimes but are, at the same time, also indirect victims of their entire family and many others who suffered as much or even worse harm. The whole community of victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted also suffered community harms.
- 774. As detailed above, ²⁶⁹⁷ entire communities and families personally experienced the attacks, in which many of their family members, neighbours, friends, and others in their community were severely mistreated and or killed. Houses were destroyed and burnt, some with their residents still inside while everything else, including all aid food stocks, was looted or destroyed. Some civilians managed to escape the attacks but most of those who survived were then forced to walk next to the bodies scattered through the camps. These individuals were abducted and forced to carry heavy loads of looted goods and injured fighters for long distances, while tied to each other, barefooted, and mistreated in order to force them walk faster and prevent them from escaping. They suffered great physical and psychological abuse during the walk out of the camps. The examples are distressing: some were beaten to death, some were forced to kill other abductees, some children were taken from their mothers if they cried or caused their mothers to slow down, and some were thrown in pits and left to die. When reaching the locations of LRA forces where other abductees had been brought, women and girls were 'distributed' to LRA soldiers who subjected them to SGBC and children under the age of 15 were integrated into the LRA forces. Some were kept for years, while others never returned home.
- 775. As such, the Chamber finds merit in the Defence's submission regarding the difficulties in 'attempting to monetize the individual harms to individual persons'. ²⁶⁹⁸ In effect, the estimates provided by the parties and participants only refer to certain services or monetary equivalents per certain harms, but are not fully comprehensive as to all harms or the complete amount needed to individually repair the totality of the harms suffered by the victims, as identified in this Order. This should not be understood as a criticism, as the Chamber does not fault the parties and participants for not providing more details. The lack of available information that the Chamber can rely upon only reinforces the Chamber's conclusion that the diverse and multi-dimensional harms the victims suffered are so interlinked that endeavouring to value each harm in order to provide restitution or compensation to each individual victim is simply an impossible undertaking. On this point, the Chamber recalls the LRVs' submission that '[t]he victims acknowledge that indeed no amount of reparations can repair the harm they have suffered'. ²⁶⁹⁹ In effect, their suffering can never be fairly valued financially. Accordingly, while the Chamber commends the parties and participants for their efforts in trying to provide some estimates as to the cost of certain services per victim or the monetary equivalent per harm, ²⁷⁰⁰ the Chamber will not take these individual compensatory values into consideration when determining the amount of liability, as it has decided to focus on the global costs for rehabilitation and symbolic measures included the reparations awarded in this Order, as discussed below.

b) Second consideration: number of victims

- As to the number of victims, the Chamber recalls that, as found above, the estimated number of direct and indirect victims of the case would be approximately **49,772** individuals in total, broken down as follows:
 - a. Direct victims of the attacks, approximately 46,898 individuals;
 - b. Indirect (only) victims of the attacks, approximately 497 individuals;
 - c. Direct thematic victims (SGBC victims and former child soldiers), approximately 1,952 individuals; and
 - d. Indirect (only) thematic victims, approximately 425 individuals;
- 777. The Chamber underlines that the estimates above are based on projections and that it should not be understood as a limit on the maximum number of individuals who may come forward and be considered eligible to benefit from the award.

c) Third consideration: types and modalities of reparations

778. As to the types and modalities of reparations, the Chamber notes that, as ruled above, considering the extent of the harm caused by the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted and the large number of potential beneficiaries in the case, it has decided to award collective community-based reparations focused on rehabilitation and symbolic/satisfactory measures.²⁷⁰¹ As to the specific modalities awarded, the Chamber discusses these below when assessing the costs of repair.

d) Fourth consideration: costs of repair

779. The Chamber assesses below the cost of repair in light of the collective community- based reparations awarded which, as noted above, include rehabilitation measures in the form of collective programmes and symbolic/satisfaction measures in the form of a cash payment and other satisfaction measures.

(i) Rehabilitation measures

- 780. As part of the rehabilitation measures, the Chamber awarded collective community-based programmes aimed at rectifying all types of harm identified by the Chamber, meaning: physical, moral, material, community, and transgenerational harms. ²⁷⁰² As to the costs required to implement such measures, the Chamber has considered in detail the submissions of parties and participants and decides as follows:
- 781. The Chamber first commends the CLRV's work in providing detailed submissions regarding organisations that currently or recently have implemented projects in Northern Uganda and in submitting details regarding the scope and types of the programmes that can be implemented in the region. However, the Chamber notes that the CLRV indicates that not all organisations provide details as to the specific costs per programme. and there is no indication as to the approximate number of victims who are or have been benefited by such programmes. Accordingly, the Chamber cannot rely on these values to estimate the costs associated with repairing the harms caused to the victims in the present case.
- Regarding Uganda's suggestion for the Chamber to be guided by the budget of the various programmes implemented through the PRDP and the DINU,²⁷⁰⁵ the Chamber first notes that Uganda did not provide any further information as to such programmes. After a search of publicly available sources and information, the Chamber has concluded that the programmes to which Uganda refers do not provide a sufficient or relevant basis to make estimations in the present case,²⁷⁰⁶ because they are directed at addressing other needs and not the type of harms suffered by the victims in the present case. For example, the Chamber notes that the DINU's general objective is to 'consolidate stability in Northern Uganda, poverty and under-nutrition and strengthen the foundations for sustainable and inclusive socio-economic development'.²⁷⁰⁷ Although such a mandate may appear somewhat

relevant to the rehabilitation of material harm in the present case, when paying closer attention to the specific objectives implemented within the project, which include improving access to finance, improving the stock and quality of district roads, increasing local fiscal space, and enhancing local service delivery of core government functions, it is evident that the figures are not relevant to estimating the costs to repair the harms caused to the victims in this case.

- 783. Similarly, the Chamber considers that the estimated costs provided by the ICTJ and UVF which focus on setting up and maintaining a health centre and primary and vocational schools, ²⁷⁰⁹ are again not relevant for the estimation of the costs to repair the harms caused to the victims in this case. The Chamber underscores that the role of the Court in providing reparations is victim-centred and victim-focused and shall not be confused with that of development agencies.
- 784. The Chamber notes, however, that the CLRV highlights that since 2008, the TFV has been implementing several programmes in Uganda as part of its assistance mandate, developing a wide range of activities in support of the victims of the conflict, and providing them with physical, psychological, and economic assistance. The CLRV notes that information available indicates that from 2008 until April 2021, the TFV has implemented 28 projects through 16 partners at an overall cost of around €9,606,389.63 EUR. Regarding concrete estimates as to the costs to repair the harms in the present case, the LRVs also referred to the TFV's submissions 'given their experience in executing its assistance mandate'. 2712
- 785. The Chamber has therefore duly considered the TFV's submissions regarding the costs of the rehabilitation programmes implemented in Northern Uganda as part of its assistance mandate. The Chamber underscores that both victims' representatives consider the TFV's expenditure in assistance programmes in Uganda as a reliable source for estimating the costs of repair in the present case. In addition, in light of the large number of victims, the overwhelming multi-layered harms, and the types and modalities of reparations awarded in the case, i.e. collective community-based reparations focused on rehabilitation and symbolic/satisfaction measures, the Chamber considers that the costs of the assistance programmes implemented to date in the same region by the TFV's partners constitute the most reliable basis for calculations of the costs to repair currently available to the Chamber.
- 786. The Chamber recalls that it has awarded collective community rehabilitation programmes directed at rectifying all types of harm identified by the Chamber, i.e. physical, moral, material, community, and transgenerational harms. According to the information provided by the TFV, the types of support provided by the TFV's assistance programmes in Uganda include physical rehabilitation, psychological rehabilitation, the claim of the chamber rehabilitation services to SGBC survivors, and peacebuilding activities. The Chamber notes that the specific services provided under the different types of support mentioned above are indeed comparable to those the Chamber would expect to be included in the design of the collective community-based rehabilitation reparations programmes required to address the multiplicity of harms suffered by the victims in the present case. As such, the costs of these programmes are a reliable basis for calculating the costs to repair in the present case.
- 787. The Chamber notes that the TFV indicates that the rehabilitative assistance provided in Northern Uganda between 2008 and 2021 benefited more than 60,000 victims at an estimated cost of €12 million EUR. ²⁷¹⁹ The CLRV notes that the public information indicates that these programmes reached approximately 351,665 direct beneficiaries and 574,511 indirect beneficiaries. ²⁷²⁰ On this point the Chamber does not consider that the number of victims who have benefited directly or indirectly from the assistance programmes, which are collective community by nature, is relevant to the calculation of the costs of repair. In effect, the Chamber notes that when referring to collective community programmes, the Chamber cannot estimate costs by simply dividing the total expenditure by the number of beneficiaries, as they are not individual or individualised awards. ²⁷²¹
- 788. Accordingly, the Chamber considers that the costs of these programmes made by the TFV are a relevant consideration in order to estimate the cost to repair the victims in this case, with reparations that 'focus on recognition, rehabilitation, and symbolic/satisfaction measures'. The Chamber notes that the TFV indicated that is 'in a position to implement an adequately responsive, community-based and victim-driven *reparation programme* for an estimated 60,000 victims to be implemented over a ten year period'. The TFV appears to indicate that the costs of such programme should be estimated at €15 million EUR. However, the Chamber notes that the way in which the TFV presents its calculations is unclear as it indicates that it considers it 'to be a reasonable ambition . . . to

complement [the proposed programme] at a value of EUR 15 million',²⁷²⁵ but later it acknowledges that, 'in an optimal funding situation' the programme could 'ideally' be conducted 'with a significantly higher financial ambition, for instance at the double value'.²⁷²⁶ Nevertheless, the Chamber considers that the €15 million EUR originally indicated appears more in line with the approximate €12 million EUR the TFV indicated to have spent on the assistance programmes that serve as the point of reference for the TFV estimations. As such, and resolving this particular discrepancy in favour of the convicted person, the Chamber estimates that the cost of implementing the collective community rehabilitation programmes ordered in the present case would amount to approximately €15 million EUR.

(ii) Symbolic and satisfactory measures

- 789. As part of the symbolic and satisfactory measures, the Chamber awarded (i) the payment of a symbolic award of €750 EUR for each eligible direct and indirect victim;²⁷²⁷ and (ii) other community symbolic and satisfaction measures.²⁷²⁸
- 790. Regarding the cost of the symbolic award, the Chamber recalls that this is part of the collective community-based programme and, as such, is awarded to the benefit of all eligible victims without distinction as to their type of victimhood or harm. In order to calculate the amount required to provide this symbolic payment the Chamber relies on its estimation as to the total number of victims in the case, which amounts to approximately 49,772 individuals. As such, the total amount required to provide victims with this symbolic payment is €37,329,000 EUR.
- 791. Regarding the costs to implement other community-based symbolic and satisfaction measures, the Chamber notes that, with the exception of ARLPI, ²⁷²⁹ parties and participants do not provide estimates as to the costs required to implement these type of measures. The Chamber recalls, however, that the Defence welcomed ARLPI's observations on traditional ceremonies ²⁷³⁰ and indicated that this *amicus* 'offered the most insightful information from the *amici* about how to handle reparations'. ²⁷³¹ The TFV also refers to these estimates to indicate that the costs of symbolic and satisfaction measures may be relatively modest. ²⁷³² In the absence of other estimates it can rely upon, the Chamber has considered the estimated costs of the symbolic measures suggested by ARLPI.
- 792. The Chamber notes, in particular, the estimated costs for some of the rehabilitation, satisfaction measures, and human right training proposed by the *amicus*. Memorial prayers, cleansing ceremonies, and reconciliation ceremonies are estimated to cost, in total 260,000,000 UGX (equivalent to €62,908.30 EUR). Four monuments are estimated to cost, in total 28,000,000 UGX (equivalent to €6,774.74 EUR). The Chamber notes that ARLPI also suggests as a measure of satisfaction the construction of a museum. However, the Chamber does not consider it to be appropriate for it to request the TFV to undertake projects of that kind as part of the reparations ordered in the present case, as the Court cannot guarantee their future maintenance. As such, this item will not be considered as part of the present calculations.
- 793. ARLPI also provides estimates for a series of activities related to sensitisation and training on human rights, production and dissemination of information materials, radio talks shows, and radio spot jingles, estimated to cost in total 491,500,000 UGX (equivalent to €118,920.88 EUR). The Chamber notes that an important part of the proposed activities in this section are included as peacebuilding activities the TFV indicates are part of the assistance programmes that will be reflected in the collective community reparations to be designed by the TFV. An important part of the costs identified by ARLPI should thus be considered to have been already accounted for in the estimated cost of implementing the collective community-based rehabilitation programmes ordered in the present case. Accordingly, the Chamber considers that from the estimate provided by ARLPI for sensitisation and training on human rights, only 25% of the costs and activities should be accounted for as extras to be included in the Chamber's estimation.
- 794. In light of the above, the Chamber considers it fair and appropriate to estimate the costs of implementing other community symbolic and satisfaction measures, including, *inter alia*, apologies ceremonies, monuments, memorial prayers, cleansing ceremonies, reconciliation ceremonies, and other activities related to sensitisation and training on human rights at a total of €100,000.00 EUR.

4. Conclusion as to the total amount of Mr Ongwen liability for reparations

795. In light of the above, as consolidated and itemised in the table below, the Chamber estimates that the total amount required to provide the reparations awarded in this case to the direct and indirect victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted would be approximately €52,429,000 EUR.

Total Amount of Mr Ongwen's Liability for Reparations			
Modality	Total		
Rehabilitation Programmes	€15,000,000 EUR		
Symbolic Awards	€37,329,000 EUR		
Other Community/Symbolic Measures	€100,000 EUR		
TOTAL	€52,429,000 EUR		

796. Accordingly, the Chamber is satisfied that setting the amount of Mr Ongwen's liability for reparations at an amount of $\mathbf{\xi}\mathbf{52,429,000}$ EUR (approximate equivalent to 216,689,057,000.00 UGX)²⁷³⁷ is fair, equitable, and appropriate, and takes into account the rights of the victims and those of the convicted person.

VII. IMPLEMENTATION

A. PROCEDURE FOR THE ADOPTION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

- 797. Pursuant to rule 98(3) of the Rules and regulations 54, 57, and 69 of the Regulations of the TFV, the Chamber hereby instructs the TFV to prepare a DIP with the details of the rehabilitation and symbolic measures to be included within the collective community-based reparations awarded and submit it for the Chamber's approval within six months from the delivery of this Order. The Chamber reiterates that, as part of its limited oversight during the administrative stage of implementation and execution of the Reparations Order, the Chamber will rule on the TFV's DIP. The Chamber invites the parties and the Registry to provide observations on the DIP to be submitted by the TFV within 30 days from its submission.
- 798. The Chamber instructs the TFV to include the following elements in the DIP: (i) the reparation projects and measures that the TFV intends to develop under each modality; (ii) the corresponding harm that each project intends to remedy (physical, moral, material, community and or transgenerational harm); and (iii) a brief overview of the steps required for implementation of each project, including an estimated timeline for the implementation of each project and measure.
- 799. The Chamber notes that consultations with victims should take place for the purposes of designing and implementing reparations awards. In line with regulation 70 of the Regulations of the TFV, the Chamber instructs the TFV to consult with the victims on the nature of the collective community-based awards and the methods of implementation. The TFV shall take into account the victims' views and proposals when designing the proposed projects. The TFV shall ensure that consultations are conducted in compliance with the Principles on Reparations, as adopted in this Order, including the 'do no harm' principle, guarantee accessibility and meaningful participation of victims, respect for their diversity as to their particular needs and interests, including gender-specific considerations, and take into account any obstacles victims may face in coming forward and expressing their views. 2740
- 800. For clarity and efficiency purposes, the Chamber considers that the DIP shall be completed in the form of a chart, which is attached as Annex III to this Decision. The Chamber is of the opinion that Annex III will expedite the DIP approval process by eliciting the necessary information from the TFV regarding the proposed projects and any concise objections or observations that the parties and the Registry may raise in relation to each proposal. The Chamber notes that if the TFV considers it strictly necessary, it may submit a cover filing of not more than 20 pages pursuant to regulation 37 of the Regulations. With that said, the Chamber expects that all information regarding the three required elements of the DIP²⁷⁴¹ be contained solely in the Annex III chart.
- 801. The Chamber has taken this decision having considered the significant time, submissions, and judicial decisions that were required to review and approve DIPs in past cases. For instance, the Chamber notes that it took one

and a half years from the date the Reparations Order was issued and two decisions before final approval of the DIP in the *Al Mahdi* case;²⁷⁴² almost seven years from the date the initial Reparations Order was issued and multiple decisions for approval of the DIP in the *Lubanga* case;²⁷⁴³ and numerous judicial decisions before all aspects of the DIP were approved in the *Katanga* case.²⁷⁴⁴ Further, the Chamber notes that the DIP in the *Ntaganda* case is still not fully approved almost three years after the Reparations Order was issued, despite the TFV having submitted three filings with additional information²⁷⁴⁵ and three decisions issued so far by the Chamber on the content of the DIP.²⁷⁴⁶

- 802. The Chamber expects the chart format to reduce delays that are caused by multiple rounds of submissions, which should result in in a faster approval process by the Chamber and the faster implementation of reparations for victims. The Chamber is also of the opinion that having the TFV present its proposals for the reparations programme in this format will make it easier for victims to meaningfully engage in the design of the plan and provide their perspectives on the TFV's proposals.
- 803. The Chamber acknowledges that previous chambers have requested that the TFV provide additional information in the DIP.²⁷⁴⁷ However, the Chamber finds that the three elements identified in this Order provide sufficient information for victims to engage in the design of the plan and be meaningfully consulted on proposed projects. The Chamber also notes that the elements allow the Chamber to review the proposals in order to ensure that they adequately repair the harm caused to victims and respond to the types and modalities of reparations ordered. The Chamber finds that taking a high-level approach to the approval of the proposed projects and measures will provide the TFV with sufficient certainty as to the status of each proposal, which will allow it to commence the detailed work of implementation in a more timely manner and result in the more efficient delivery of reparations to victims. The Chamber notes that this approach 'makes implementation more flexible so that the TFV may have a continuing dialogue with all concerned within the scope of the selected projects approved by the Chamber'.²⁷⁴⁸
- 804. The Chamber further finds that this approach is consistent with that articulated by Trial Chamber VIII in the *Al Mahdi* case and Trial Chamber II in the *Ntaganda* case, stressing that they would only 'render an overall decision to approve, modify or reject proposed measures'. These trial chambers acknowledged that each proposed project would 'subsequently entail a series of further consultations and modalities in order to ensure [their] execution' and as such the Chamber would 'not seek to regulate all aspects of the proposed measures, nor [would] it specify the exact funds required to ensure their proper implementation'. Overall, the Chamber is of the view that this method is supported by the need to proceed expeditiously, efficiently, and effectively, taking into account the victims' right to prompt reparations considering that they have also waited two decades for reparations in this case. 2751
- 805. The Chamber notes the argument of the victims' representatives that an initial draft implementation plan ('IDIP') is appropriate in this case. The Chamber has considered this proposal, but has opted to rely on the TFV's submissions that implementation will be more expeditious as the TFV will be able to focus its efforts and resources on the prompt implementation of reparations. More importantly, the Chamber considers that because the reparations ordered in this case are collective community-based reparations and the Chamber has already provided specific guidance with respect to prioritisation, an IDIP is unnecessary. The Chamber recalls the rules of prioritisation regarding modalities and victims discussed above, which should serve to ensure that victims in dire need are prioritised when distributing first the symbolic cash payment part of the collective community-based reparations.
- 806. Finally, the Chamber reiterates that a symbolic initial cash payment for direct and indirect victims of the case has been awarded as part of the collective community-based reparations granted in this Order. As such, details as to the delivery of this payment do not need to be part of the consultations required in order to design the collective community-based symbolic measures and rehabilitation programmes to be included in the DIP. Accordingly, the TFV may begin distributing the symbolic cash payment without waiting for the approval of the DIP, as soon as practicable after it receives the relevant information regarding eligible victims from the VPRS.

B. Administrative eligibility assessment

807. The Chamber notes the submissions of the TFV,²⁷⁵⁶ the LRV²⁷⁵⁷ and the Registry²⁷⁵⁸ regarding the process for the administrative eligibility assessment. The Chamber further recalls that these submissions were made in advance of a decision in the *Ntaganda* case in which Trial Chamber II established the administrative eligibility process that has since been implemented in that case.²⁷⁵⁹ While the Chamber commends the parties and participants for their

suggestions regarding the administrative eligibility assessment, it has decided, for the same reasons articulated by Trial Chamber II, ²⁷⁶⁰ to adopt the eligibility process designed by Trial Chamber II in the *Ntaganda* case. ²⁷⁶¹

808. As such, the Chamber considers that the eligibility process shall be divided into two stages: (i) the identification of potential beneficiaries; and (ii) the eligibility assessment. These two processes are described in greater detail below. 2762

809. The Identification of Potential Beneficiaries:

- a. <u>Outreach</u>. The outreach campaign will be designed and conducted by the Registry, through the Public Information and Outreach Section ('PIOS'). The communication materials to be provided to victims and activities to be conducted will be designed by the PIOS after engaging in consultations with the parties, the TFV, the VPRS, the OPCV, and the Country Office. Outreach will be conducted throughout the duration of the administrative eligibility process.
- b. <u>Identification</u>. The Registry, through the VPRS, will be responsible for the identification of victims. The Chamber finds merit in the LRVs' submission that the identification of potential beneficiaries should commence prior to the approval of the DIP in order to expedite the implementation of reparations and therefore instructs the VPRS to commence the identification of victims as soon as practicable. ²⁷⁶³
- c. <u>Collection of Information</u>. The Registry, through the VPRS, will be responsible for the collection of information from beneficiaries. The Chamber notes that, as decided in the present Order, having awarded collective community-based reparations, there is no need to rule on the merits of individual applications for reparations. Accordingly, the VPRS shall devise a simplified system that allows it to collect the information necessary to make determinations of victims' eligibility.

810. The Eligibility Assessment:

- a. The Registry, through the VPRS, will be responsible for carrying out the administrative eligibility assessment, using the eligibility requirements set out in the present Order and summarised below. Contrary to the submission of the CLRV, every potential beneficiary, including those who participated at trial, are subject to the administrative eligibility assessment.
- b. In the process of conducting the administrative eligibility assessment the VPRS shall take into account and proceed in line with the prioritisation principles articulated in the present Order.²⁷⁶⁶ Specifically, the VPRS should:
 - i. first, ensure that as many victims of the first priority group (vulnerable victims in dire need of urgent assistance) are assessed as to their eligibility and urgent needs²⁷⁶⁷ and referred to the TFV as soon as practicable and on a rolling basis. Accordingly, the victims' representatives shall provide the VPRS with the list of the victims they represent who are considered to be in dire need of urgent assistance, in order for the VPRS to assess eligibility and the dire need of urgent assistance threshold of all participating victims as soon as practicable. In addition, any non-participating victim in dire need of urgent assistance identified by the VPRS during the identification process, shall be assessed as to their eligibility and whether they are in dire need of urgent assistance and, if qualifying for urgent assistance, transmitted to the TFV as soon as practicable;
 - ii. second, conduct eligibility assessments of all participating victims and transmit information on eligible beneficiaries to the TFV as soon as practicable and on a rolling basis, with a clear indication as to whether eligible participating victims qualify to be considered as part of the second group of priority victims (vulnerable direct participating victims) or the third group of priority victims (vulnerable indirect victims);
 - iii. third, conduct eligibility assessments of all remaining non-participating victims identified by the VPRS during the identification process and transmit eligible beneficiaries to the TFV, with a clear

indication as to whether eligible victims qualify to be considered as part of the third group of priority victims (all remaining vulnerable victims).

- c. The Chamber instructs the VPRS to provide an estimate as to the time it requires to assess eligibility and urgent needs of all participating victims, within one month of the issuance of the present Order.
- d. If a potential beneficiary is found not to be eligible, the VPRS will notify the potential beneficiary and the OPCV, to explain that the person has 30 days from the date of the decision, or the date the person was contacted, to provide supplementary information.
- e. Within 30 days after having received additional information, the VPRS will review the eligibility determination based on the supplementary information received.
- f. When a person is found to be eligible to benefit from reparations, the VPRS shall inform the person that the TFV will get in touch within 30 days to provide the beneficiary with sufficient information as to the steps to follow and the expected timeline for the implementation of reparations.
- 811. Consistent with the fact that collective reparations have been ordered in the present case, and the administrative nature of the implementation phase of reparations proceedings, the Chamber does not consider it necessary to be involved in the eligibility determinations.²⁷⁶⁸
- 812. As to the parties' involvement in the process of eligibility determinations the Chamber decides as follows:
 - a. The Chamber considers that the involvement of the Defence is not required as Mr Ongwen's interests at this stage of the proceedings are limited.²⁷⁶⁹ In effect, the Chamber has already set Mr Ongwen's monetary liability and the results of the eligibility process will have no impact on his rights. Noting that no individual applications will be needed in order to determine eligibility of victims for the collective community reparations awarded in the case, the Chamber rejects the Defence's submission that Mr Ongwen should be granted access to 'application forms' of potential beneficiaries so that he may challenge their claims.²⁷⁷⁰
 - b. With respect to the victims' representatives' involvement, the Chamber notes that no legal representation of potential beneficiaries is required outside the context of judicial proceedings.²⁷⁷¹ Nevertheless, OPCV may provide general support and assistance to any potential beneficiary during the administrative eligibility assessment, particularly regarding those assessed as non-eligible.²⁷⁷² The Chamber therefore rejects the submission of the CLRV that victims, or new potential beneficiaries, will remain represented by counsel of their choice throughout the administrative stage of the reparation proceedings.²⁷⁷³
- 813. The Chamber considers it is paramount that the administrative eligibility process including outreach, identification, and eligibility assessment is executed within a reasonable timeframe. The Chamber considers that, consistent with the approach of Trial Chamber II in the *Ntaganda* case, ²⁷⁷⁴ two years from the date of this Order is a reasonable timeframe in which to complete the administrative eligibility process. The Chamber instructs the VPRS and the PIOS to work together to ensure that the administrative eligibility process is completed within two years of this Order.

C. ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

- 814. The Chamber recalls, as articulated above, ²⁷⁷⁵ the eligibility criteria that the VPRS must apply when carrying out the administrative eligibility assessment. Specifically, in order to be found eligible to benefit from reparations, victims must establish the following:
 - a. first requirement: their identity.
 - b. second requirement:
 - i. for direct victims: whether the person has established, on a balance of probabilities, to be a direct victim of at least one of the crimes committed in the context of the attacks against the Pajule,

Lukodi, Odek or Abok IDP camps, and for which Mr Ongwen was convicted; and or of at least one of the thematic crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.

ii. for indirect victims:

- 1. whether the person has established, on a balance of probabilities, the victim status of the direct victim; and
- 2. whether the person has established, on a balance of probabilities, to fall within at least one of the four categories of indirect victims recognised by the Chamber.
- c. third requirement: whether the person has established, on a balance of probabilities, the existence of the alleged harm; and
- d. fourth requirement: whether the victim has established, on a balance of probabilities, the causal link between the alleged harm and the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted.

D. MR ONGWEN'S INDIGENCE AND MONITORING OF HIS FINANCIAL SITUATION

- 815. The Chamber notes that Mr Ongwen was found indigent for the purposes of the proceedings instituted against him, and that, according to the latest information provided by the Registry, no property and assets belonging to Mr Ongwen have been identified to date. Accordingly, the Chamber finds Mr Ongwen indigent for the purposes of reparations.
- 816. Pursuant to regulation 117 of the Regulations, the Presidency is responsible for monitoring, with the assistance of the Registrar as appropriate, the financial situation of Mr Ongwen on an ongoing basis, including following completion of a sentence of imprisonment, in order to enforce the present Order. The Chamber notes that the Presidency may also consider in due course whether it needs to seek the assistance of States Parties to give effect to the Order, pursuant to article 75(4) of the Statute. The Chamber stresses that, pursuant to Parts IX and X of the Statute, States Parties have a duty to cooperate fully with the Court.
- 817. The Chamber takes note of the competences of the TFV's Board of Directors, as stipulated in the Regulations of the Trust Fund for Victims, adopted by the Assembly of States Parties, ²⁷⁷⁷ on the basis of Article 79(3) of the Statute. The Chamber also underlines the competences of the Board of Directors over the use of the its 'other resources' and the Court's well-established jurisprudence around it. ²⁷⁷⁹ Although the complement to reparations by the TFV is legally only an '*advancement*' to be reimbursed by the convicted person, ²⁷⁸⁰ the experiences acquired during the past reparations procedures of the Court show that the chances of reimbursement are in reality very low, due to the high financial expenses of the reparation services and the continuing indigency or low income of the convicted persons.
- 818. In this context, the Chamber puts emphasis on the fact that the TFV's Board of Directors is entitled to shape the timing of the delivery of the different components of the reparation according to the results of its fundraising activities and the collected resources, which could have an impact on the implementation of the reparations awarded.
- 819. Accordingly, and noting Mr Ongwen's indigence, the Chamber acknowledges that it would be for the TFV's Board of Directors to determine whether and when to use its 'other resources' to complement the reparations awarded in the present case. The Chamber encourages the TFV to complement the reparation awards, to the extent possible, and engage in additional fundraising efforts to the extent necessary to complement the totality of the award. Nevertheless, the Chamber understands that, in order for the TFV to be able to fully complement the award, substantial fundraising will need to take place. The Chamber reiterates that, depending on the information to be provided by the TFV in its DIP, it may need to allow for a phased and flexible approach to the implementation of the collective community-based reparations awarded, including by allowing additional prioritisation and adjustments according to the availability of funds. The Chamber indeed acknowledges that even when duly observing the priorities established by the present Order, the TFV may need to establish additional 'sub- priorities'.

- 820. As such, the Chamber acknowledges once again that there will be a delay between the issuance of this Order and the commencement of implementation of both modalities of the collective community-based reparations awarded. Accordingly, the Chamber reiterates its previous statement that it welcomes the TFV's indication that it is prepared to integrate victims in dire need into the existing Uganda Programme, ²⁷⁸² for as long as such programme is available.
- 821. Further, the Chamber notes the importance of managing victims' expectations regarding the implementation of the Reparations Order. As such, the Chamber instructs the PIOS to inform victims that, while a symbolic initial cash payment has been awarded in this case, the PIOS and the VPRS require time to design and implement the outreach, identification, and eligibility processes to be conducted in order for such payments to be executed.²⁷⁸³ At the same time, victims should be made aware of the fact that, given Mr Ongwen's indigency, distribution of such cash payments and implementation of reparations in general, are subject to the availability of resources that the TVF might be able to raise and assign to complement the award. Accordingly, victims should be duly informed that it will take time before any payments are actually distributed to them and some victims may only get payments when sufficient funds may be raised. The Chamber also instructs the PIOS to inform victims of the prioritisation principles regarding modalities and victims as articulated in this Order to be implemented by the TFV, which means that certain victims may receive their payment before others based on their urgent needs, vulnerability, and availability of resources.²⁷⁸⁴
- 822. Lastly, the Chamber recalls that as soon as the TFV is able to commence implementing the reparations awarded in this Reparations Order, which the Chamber acknowledges may take time, priority shall be given to the payment of the symbolic monetary awards over the rehabilitation and other symbolic measures. The Chamber also reiterates that additional priorities have been established in this Order, which imply that not all victims would receive the symbolic amount at the same time and payments would be issued depending on the victims' urgent needs and vulnerability and the capacity of the TFV to complement the award depending on the availability of resources. As such, the Chamber underlines that victims cannot expect payments to be executed soon after the issuance of this Reparations Order. Moreover, in light of the convicted person's indigency, the Chamber acknowledges that there is the concrete risk that awards may not be paid if the TFV does not manage to raise sufficient funds to complement the award.
- 823. In light of the above, the Chamber takes the opportunity to encourage States, organisations, corporations, and private individuals to support the TFV's mission and efforts and contribute to its fundraising activities.

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS, THE CHAMBER HEREBY, UNANIMOUSLY

ADOPTS the *Ntaganda* Principles, with slight modifications regarding the principles related to Types and Modalities of Reparations and Child Victims;

ISSUES an Order for Reparations against Mr Ongwen;

AWARDS collective community-based reparations focused on rehabilitation and symbolic and satisfactory measures to the direct and indirect eligible victims in the case;

ESTIMATES the total number of potentially eligible direct and indirect victims in the case to be approximately 49,772 victims;

ASSESSES Mr Ongwen's liability for reparations at approximately €52,429,000 EUR;

INSTRUCTS the TFV to prepare a DIP pursuant to the requirements outlined in the present Order and submit it for the Chamber's approval by **3 September 2024**, at the latest;

INVITES the parties and the Registry to provide observations on the TFV's DIP, within 30 days from its submission;

INSTRUCTS the Registry, through the PIOS, to design and conduct, throughout the duration of the administrative eligibility process, the required outreach campaign for the purposes of this reparations proceedings, after engaging in consultations with the parties, the TFV, the VPRS, the OPCV, and the Country Office; **INSTRUCTS** the Registry, through the VPRS, to conduct the identification of potential beneficiaries and eligibility assessments pursuant to the instructions contained in the present Order, providing within thirty days from the issuance of this Order an estimate as to the time it requires to assess eligibility and urgency regarding all participating victims;

INSTRUCTS the Registry, through the VPRS to commence with the identification of potential beneficiaries as soon as possible, and prior to the approval of the DIP;

INSTRUCTS the VPRS and the PIOS to work together to ensure that the administrative eligibility process is completed within two years of the present Order;

FINDS Mr Ongwen indigent for the purposes of reparations at the time of the present Order;

ENCOURAGES the TFV to complement the reparation awards and engage in additional fundraising efforts to the extent necessary to complement the totality of the award; and

ENCOURAGES the States, organisations, corporations, and private individuals to support the TFV's mission and efforts and contribute to its fundraising activities.

Done in both English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Judge Bertram Schmitt, Presiding Judge

March 1

Judge Péter Kovács

21. 21 3

Judge Chang-ho Chung

Dated this Wednesday, 28 February 2024 At The Hague, The Netherlands

ENDNOTES

- To the extent that the present Order refers to confidential documents, the Chamber considers that the reference to these documents does not undermine the relevant classification of the information concerned.
- Trial Judgment ('Conviction Judgment'), 4 February 2021, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Conf, public redacted version filed on the same day ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red.
- 3 Sentence ('Sentence'), 6 May 2021, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Conf, public redacted version filed on the same day, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red (with Annex of Partly Dissenting Opinion of Judge Raul C. Pangalangan, ICC-02/04- 01/15-1819-Anx).
- For the purposes of reparations proceedings, the term 'parties' refers to the Defence and the victims' representatives. All other participants in the proceedings, including the Prosecutor,

- the Trust Fund for Victims (hereafter the 'TFV'), the Registry, Uganda, and the *amici curiae* are referred to hereafter as the 'participants'.
- In the present case, out of the 4,096 participating victims, Mr Joseph Akwenyu Manoba and Mr Francisco Cox (hereafter the 'LRVs'), represent 2,594 victims who appointed them as their legal representatives, and Ms Paolina Massidda (hereafter the 'CLRV'), as Counsel from the Office of the Public Counsel for Victims (hereafter the 'OPCV'), was appointed to represent 1,502 victims admitted to participate in the proceedings who were unrepresented. *See, inter alia*, Decision on contested victims' applications for participation, legal representation of victims and their procedural rights ('Decision on victims' participation November 2015'), 27 November 2015, ICC-02/04-01/15-350, paras 19-24; Decision on victims' participation ('Decision on victims'

- participation 15 December 2015'), 15 December 2015, ICC-02/04-01/15-369, paras 8-10; Second decision on contested victims' applications for participation and legal representation of victims ('Decision on victims' participation 24 December 2015'), 24 December 2015, ICC-02/04-01/15-384, paras 19-21; Decision concerning 300 Victim Applications and the Deadline for Submitting Further Applications, 26 September 2016, ICC-02/04- 01/15-543, para. 8; Decision Concerning 610 Victim Applications (Registry Report ICC-02/04-01/15-544) and 1183 Victim Applications (Registry Report ICC-02/04-01/15-586, paras 9, 15-16; Updated Consolidated List of Participating Victims, 29 June 2020, ICC-02/04-01/15-1746; Email from VPRS to the Chambers Legal Officer, 06 February 2024 at 12:19 hrs.
- The specific issues identified by the Chamber were: (i) the need for the Chamber to consider additional principles on reparations, apart from those already established by the consistent jurisprudence of the Court, as recently adapted and expanded in the case of The Prosecutor v. Bosco Ntaganda (the 'Ntaganda case'); (ii) the estimated total number of the direct and indirect victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted, who may be potentially eligible for reparations; (iii) any legal and factual issues relevant to the identification of eligible victims; (iv) any victims or groups of victims who may require prioritisation in the reparations process; (v) specification of the types and extent of the harm suffered by the victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted; (vi) whether recourse to factual presumptions should be considered; (vii) the types and modalities of reparations appropriate to address the harm suffered by the victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted. In particular, the suitability of collective reparations with individualised components, the appropriate modalities to be included therein, and whether certain modalities could be expeditiously implemented; (viii) concrete estimates as to the costs to repair the harms suffered by the victims in light of the appropriate modalities for repairing them, including costs of running rehabilitation programmes in the region with the potential to address multi-dimensional harm of individual beneficiaries for the purposes of reparations; (ix) information as to whether the victims of the crimes for which Mr Ongwen was convicted have received any form of compensation or reparations for the harm suffered as a result of these crimes; and (x) any additional information relevant to reparations. See Order for Submissions on Reparations ('6 May 2021 Order'), 6 May 2021, ICC-02/04-01/15- 1820, para. 5 (i).
- 7 6 May 2021 Order, ICC-02/04-01/15-1820.
- 8 Decision on requests for extension of time, 19 July 2021, ICC-02/04-01/15-1865.
- Decision on the Victims' Request for an extension of the time limit to submit their observations on reparation proceedings ('Decision on Victims' request for extension of time'), 18 November 2021, ICC-02/04-01/15-1910.
- Decision on the requests for leave to submit amicus curiae observations, 17 June 2021, ICC-02/04-01/15-1860.
- 139 See Trial Chamber I, The Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo, Decision establishing the principles and procedures to be applied to reparations ('Lubanga Reparations Decision'), 7 August 2012, ICC-01/04-01/06-2904, para. 181.
- 140 ASF et al.'s Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1971, para. 19.
- 141 Ntaganda First DIP Decision, ICC-01/04-02/06-2860-Red.

- 142 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2807-2817.
- 143 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2798-2806.
- 144 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 144, 1233, 2874, 3116 (p. 1068-1069).
- 145 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 159, 1429, 1435, 2927, 3116 (p. 1069-1070).
- 146 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 178, 1704, 2973, 3116 (pp. 1070-1072).
- 147 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 190, 1877, 1885, 3020, 3116 (pp. 1072-1073).
- 148 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2824, 2874, 3116 (p. 1068) (Pajule); paras 2876, 2927, 3116 (p. 1069) (Odek); paras 2929, 2973, 3116 (p. 1070) (Lukodi); paras 2975, 3020, 3116 (p. 1072) (Abok).
- 149 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2826-2827, 2874, 3116 (p. 1068) (Pajule); paras 2878-2880, 2927, 3116 (p. 1069) (Odek); paras 2931-2933, 2973, 3116 (p. 1071) (Lukodi); paras 2977-2979, 3020, 3116 (p. 1072) (Abok).
- Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2882-2883, 2927, 3116 (p. 1069) (Odek); paras 2935-2936, 2973, 3116 (p. 1071) (Lukodi); paras 2981-2982, 3020, 3116 (p. 1072) (Abok).
- 151 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2829-2833, 2874, 3116 (p. 1068) (Pajule); paras 2885-2889, 2927, 3116 (pp. 1069-1070) (Odek); paras 2938-2942, 2973, 3116 (p. 1071) (Lukodi); paras 2984-2988, 3020, 3116 (p. 1073) (Abok).
- 152 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2839-2840, 2874, 3116 (p. 1069) (Pajule); paras 2895-2896, 2927, 3116 (p. 1070) (Odek); paras 2948-2949, 2973, 3116 (p. 1071) (Lukodi); paras 2994-2995, 3020, 3116 (p. 1073) (Abok).
- Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2842-2844, 2874, 3116 (p. 1069) (Pajule); paras 2898-2900, 2927, 3116 (p. 1070) (Odek); paras 2951-2953, 2973, 3116 (p. 1072) (Lukodi); paras 2997-2999, 3020, 3116 (p. 1073) (Abok).
- 154 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2902-2904, 2927, 3116 (p. 1070) (Odek).
- 155 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2955-2957, 2973, 3116 (p. 1072) (Lukodi); paras 3001-3004, 3020, 3116 (p. 1073) (Abok).
- Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2846-2849, 2874, 3116 (p. 1069) (Pajule); paras 2906-2908, 2927, 3116 (p. 1070) (Odek); paras 2959-2961, 2973, 3116 (p. 1072) (Lukodi); paras 3006-3008, 3020, 3116 (p. 1073) (Abok).
- 157 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 3116 (pp. 1073-1075).
- 158 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3022-3026, 3116 (pp. 1073-1074).
- 159 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3028-3034, 3116 (p. 1074).
- 160 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3040-3043, 3116 (p. 1074).
- 161 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3045-3049, 3116 (pp. 1074-1075).

- 162 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3052-3055, 3116 (p. 1075).
- 163 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3057-3062, 3116 (p. 1075).
- 164 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3064-3068, 3116 (p. 1075).
- 165 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3100, 3116 (pp. 1075-1076).
- 166 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3070-3071, 3100, 3116 (pp. 1075-1076).
- 167 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3073-3077, 3100, 3166 (p. 1076).
- 168 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3080, 3100, 3116 (p. 1076).
- 169 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3082-3082, 3100, 3116 (p. 1076).
- 170 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3086-3087, 3100, 3116 (p. 1076).
- 171 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3102-3104, 3115, 3116 (p. 1076).
- 172 Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 55; and Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 5.
- 173 Trial Chamber VI, The Prosecutor v. Bosco Ntaganda, Reparations Order ('Ntaganda Reparations Order'), 8 March 2021, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 30-103; see also Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC-01/04- 01/06-3129-AnxA, paras 1-49; Katanga Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3728-tENG, paras 29-30; Al Mahdi Reparations Order, ICC-01/12-01/15-236, paras 26-50.
- 174 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 31-40.
- 175 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 41-44.
- 176 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 45-49.
- 177 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 50-52.
- 178 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 53-59.
- 381 ASF *et al.*'s Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1971, para. 32.
- 382 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 45-49.
- 383 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 78-88.
- 384 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 87.
- 385 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 203.
- 386 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 203.
- 387 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 85.
- 388 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 53-59.
- 389 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 53; Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC- 01/04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 23.

- 390 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 53; Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC- 01/04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 23, referring to The Principles and Guidelines on Children Associated with Armed Forces or Armed Groups ('Paris Principles'), February 2007, Principle 4.0.
- 391 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 54, referring to Convention on the Rights of the Child, adopted and opened for signature, ratification and accession by General Assembly Resolution No. 44/25 of 20 November 1989, entry into force 2 September 1990, in accordance with article 49 ('Convention on the Rights of the Child').
- 392 Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 2.
- 393 Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3(1).
- 394 Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 6.
- 395 Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 12.
- 396 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 54, referring to Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 3; and Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 24.
- 397 Convention on the Rights of the Child, preamble; The Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC), General Comment No.13: 'The right of the child to freedom from all forms of violence' ('CRC General Comment No. 13'), 18 April 2011, CRC/C/GC/13, paras 59, 72(a).
- 398 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 55; Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC- 01/04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 25; Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 39.
- 399 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 55; Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC- 01/04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 25; Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 39.
- 400 For a similar approach see Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 56, referring to Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 29; Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129- AnxA, para. 26; see also CRC General Comment No. 13, CRC/C/GC/13, para. 52.
- For a similar approach see Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 59; see also United Nations Security Council, Resolution 2427 ('UNSC Resolution 2427'), 9 July 2018, S/RES/2427 (2018), para. 26; Paris principles, principles 3.1-3.3; Joint Statement by the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) and the Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC): 'Ensuring prevention, protection and assistance for children born of conflict related rape and their mothers' ('CEDAW-CRC Joint Statement'), 19 November 2021, pp. 4-5, Section 5. Combat stigma and social exclusion.
- 402 CRC General Comment No. 13, CRC/C/GC/13, para. 72 (g).
- 403 Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 7(1).
- 404 Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 24.
- 405 Convention on the Rights of the Child, articles 28, 29(1).
- 406 Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 26(1).
- 407 UNSC Resolution 2427, S/RES/2427 (2018), para. 26; Paris principles, principles 7.30-7.84; CEDAW-CRC Joint Statement, pp. 3-6, Sections 2, 4, 6. Access to health, education and childcare; Identification of children and right to

- nationality; Rehabilitation and reintegration for victims and survivors.
- 408 For a similar approach see Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 56, referring to Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 26; Convention on the Rights of the Child, article 29; see also CRC General Comment No. 13, CRC/C/GC/13, para. 52.
- 409 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 57; Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC- 01/04-01/ 06-3129-AnxA, para. 27.
- Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para.
 58; Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC- 01/04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 28; see also Convention on the Rights of the Child, articles 12; UNSC Resolution 2427, S/RES/2427 (2018), para. 23; CRC General Comment No. 13, CRC/C/GC/13, paras 52, 63; Paris principles, principles 3.14; CEDAW-CRC Joint Statement, pp. 5-6, Section 7. Participation in building strategies and decision-making.
- 411 For a similar approach see Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 58; see also United Nations General Assembly, Resolution 72/245 Rights of the Child, 23 January 2018, A/RES/72/245, para. 15.
- 412 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 58; Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC- 01/04-01/ 06-3129-AnxA, para. 28.
- 413 For a similar approach see Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 59; see also CRC General Comment No. 13, CRC/C/GC/13, paras 52, 62; UNSC Resolution 2427, S/RES/2427 (2018), para. 26, 27.
- 414 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 59; Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, para. 38.
- 415 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 59.
- 416 Katanga Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red, para. 70; see also Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, para. 78.
- 417 This includes the decision on conviction, sentencing decision, submissions by the parties or amici curiae, expert reports and the applications by the victims for reparation. See Katanga Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC- 01/04-01/07-3778-Red, para. 70; see also Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06- 3466-Red, paras 78-79.
- 418 *Lubanga* Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 200.
- 419 Katanga Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red, para. 72.
- 420 *Katanga* Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red, para. 72.
- 421 Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 32; Katanga Reparations Order, ICC-01/04- 01/07-3728-tENG para. 31; Al Mahdi Reparations Order, ICC-01/12-01/15-236, para. 38. The Chamber notes that, for clarity purposes, the order of the elements has been amended in the present Reparations Order.
- 422 Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 34.
- 423 Defence's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1917, para. 60.

- 424 CLRV's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1990, para. 25.
- 425 CLRV's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1990, para. 25.
- 426 CLRV's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1990, para. 26.
- 427 CLRV's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1990, para. 27.
- 428 *Lubanga* Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 70.
- 429 Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 70.
- 430 *Lubanga* Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 76.
- 431 Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 99.
- 432 See Section VI.D.1. Type of reparations below.
- 433 Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 205.
- 434 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 106, referring to Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 65; Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 20.
- 435 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 3116; para. 2874 (Pajule); para. 2927 (Odek); para. 2973 (Lukodi); para. 3020 (Abok); paras 3026, 3034, 3043, 3049, 3055, 3062, 3068 (Ongwen's SGBC); para. 3100 (LRA SGBC); para. 3115 (Child soldiers).
- 436 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 144, 1233.
- 437 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 144, 1172.
- 438 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 144, 1173.
- 439 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 144, 1174.
- 440 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 159, 1429, 1435.
- 441 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 159, 1384.
- 442 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 178, 1704.
- 443 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 178,
- 444 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 178, 1644
- 445 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 190, 1877, 1885.
- 446 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 190,
- 447 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 144, 147, 1233-126, 2824, 2874, 33116 (p. 1068); Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, paras 149-150, noting the magnitude of the attack considering that an estimated 15,000 to 30,000 people lived in the camp at the time.

- 448 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 159, 163-164, 1429-1457, 2876, 2927, 3116 (p. 1069); Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 185, *noting* the magnitude of the attack, with reference to an estimated 2,000 to 3,000 people living in the camp at the time.
- 449 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 178-181, 1704-1724, 2973, 2929, 3116 (p. 1070).
- 450 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 190, 193-194, 1877-1899, 2975, 3020, 3116 (p. 1072).
- 451 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2826-2827, 2874, 3116 (p. 1068).
- 452 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2878-2880, 2927, 3116 (p. 1069).
- 453 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2973, 2931-2933, 3116 (p. 1071).
- 454 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2977-2979, 3020, 3116 (p. 1072).
- 455 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 152, 1308-1325.
- 456 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 167, 174, 1473, 1476, 1492-1505, 1550.
- 457 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 168, 1506-1533, 1538, 1541-1544, 1546-1547.
- 458 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 174-175, 1571, 1594-1608.
- 459 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 182-183, 188, 1746-1747, 1779.
- 460 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 183, 1755-1761, 1763-1774, 1776.
- 461 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 188, 1831-1837.
- 462 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 197, 1926, 1929-1937, 1941, 1960-1961, 1978-1979, 1994-1996.
- 463 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 198, 1948-1957.
- 464 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3116 (p. 1069), 1473, 2882-2883, 2927.
- 465 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3116 (p. 1071), 2935-2936, 2973.
- 466 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3116 (p. 1072), 2981-2982, 3020.
- 467 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 167, 169, 1473, 1501, 1505, 1511-1512, 1534-1537, 1539-1540, 1545.
- 468 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 184, 1757-1758, 1762, 1773, 1775, 1777, 1779.
- 469 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 199, 202, 1928, 1938-1941, 1958-1959, 1992.
- 470 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2829-2833, 2874, 3116 (p. 1068).
- 471 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2885-2889, 2927, 3116 (pp. 1069-1070).
- 472 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2938-2942, 2973, 3116 (p. 1071).
- 473 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2984-2988, 3020, 3116 (p. 1073).

- 474 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 153-154, 156, 1326-1355, 1365.
- 475 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 164, 166, 171-173, 1454, 1471-1472, 1554-1564, 1593.
- 476 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 187, 1796-1830.
- 477 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 201-203, 1972-1993, 2000.
- 478 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2839-2840, 2874, 3116 (p. 1069).
- 479 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2895-2896, 2927, 3116 (p. 1070).
- 480 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2948-2949, 2973, 3116 (p. 1071).
- 481 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2994-2995, 3020, 3116 (p. 1073).
- 482 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 153-154, 1326-1355; Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, paras 158, 164, noting the high number of victims.
- 483 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 171-172, 176, 1554-1593, 1609-1614.
- 484 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 187, 1796-1830.
- 485 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 201-203, 1972-2000.
- 486 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 150, 155, 1289-1300, 2842-2844, 2874, 3116 (p. 1069).
- 487 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 165, 1458-1470, 2898-2900, 2927, 3116 (p. 1070).
- 488 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 185, 1780-1784, 2951-2953, 2973, 3116 (p. 1072).
- 489 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 195, 1900-1909, 2997-2999, 3020, 3116 (p. 1073).
- 490 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2902-2904, 2927, 3116 (p. 1070).
- 491 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 173, 1565-1568, 1586-1588.
- 492 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 186, 1785-1795, 2955-2957, 2973, 3116 (p. 1072).
- 493 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 196, 1910-1925, 3001-3004, 3020, 3116 (p. 1073).
- 494 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 140, 1092-1147.
- 495 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras, 144, 147, 150, 152, 153, 156, 1233-1263, 1289-1300, 1308-1365, 2846-2849, 2874, 3119 (p. 1069).
- 496 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 159, 163-169, 171-176, 1429-1473, 1476, 1492-1547, 1550, 1554-1614, 2906-2908, 2927, 3116 (p. 1070).
- 497 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 179-188, 1704-1733, 1746-1779, 1785-1837, 2973, 2959-2961, 3116 (pp. 1070-1072).
- 498 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 190, 193-199, 201-203, 1877-1962, 1972-2000. 3006-3008, 3020, 3116 (pp. 1072-1073).

- 499 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 205-206, 211, 2011-2023, 2028-2040, 3022-3026,3116 (pp. 1073-1074).
- 500 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 205-208, 2071-2082, 3028-3034, 3116 (p. 1074).
- 501 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 206-207, 2041, 2045-2049, 2051-2059, 3040-3043, 3116 (p. 1074).
- 502 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 206-208, 2028-2041, 2045-2059, 2064-2070, 3045-3049, 3116 (pp. 1074-1075).
- 503 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 205-206, 211, 2011-2012, 2025-2033, 2036, 2071-2072, 2079-2082, 2085-2087, 2092-2093, 3051-3055, 3116 (p. 1075).
- 504 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras, 206-207, 2068-2070, 3057-3062, 3116 (p. 1075).
- 505 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 209-210, 2083-2085, 3064-3068, 3116 (p. 1075).
- 506 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 213-220, 2124-2142, 2202-2247, 2275-2288, 3070-3071, 3100, 3116 (pp. 1075-1076).
- 507 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 213-221, 2124-2309, 3073-3077, 3100, 3116 (p. 1076).
- 508 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 218, 2256-2274, 3079-3080, 3100, 3116 (p. 1076).
- 509 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 214-215, 218, 220, 2143-2191, 2256-2274, 2289-2308, 3082-3084, 3100, 3116 (p. 1076).
- 510 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 215, 217, 220, 2248-2255, 2289-2308, 3086-3087, 3100, 3116 (p. 1076).
- 511 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2041.
- 512 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 207, 2069-2070, 3057; see also Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, paras 292, 317-318.
- 513 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2271.
- 514 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 122-123; see also UN Basic Principles on Reparations, UN Doc A/RES/60/147, para. 22.
- See LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, para. 23; CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 44; Prosecutor's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15- 1976, para. 26; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 6; ASF et al.'s Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1971, para. 35.
- 516 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 223-225, 2329-2402, 2415-2447, 3102-3104, 3115, 3116 (p. 1076).
- 517 Defence's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1917, paras 32, 36.
- 518 Defence's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1917, para. 38.
- 519 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 27.
- 520 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 69.

- 521 Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 6(b); Trial Chamber VI, The Prosecutor v. Bosco Ntaganda, Decision on the First Report, 15 December 2020, ICC-01/04-02/06-2630, paras 52-56; Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 124-128.
- 522 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 128; see also Trial Chamber I, The Prosecutor v. Thomas Lubanga Dyilo, Redacted version of 'Decision on 'indirect victims' ('Lubanga Decision on Indirect Victims'), 8 April 2009, ICC-01/04-01/06-1813, para. 49.
- 523 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 483 (fn. 837).
- 524 Prosecutor's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1976, para. 28.
- 525 TFV's Observations relevant to Reparations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 15.
- 526 CLRV's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1990, para. 32.
- 527 LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, para. 44.
- 528 Uganda's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1978, para. 25.
- 529 Defence's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1991-Red-Corr, para. 44.
- 530 Defence's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1991-Red-Corr, paras 44-45, 47.
- 531 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 124, referring to EAAC, Le Procureur v. Hissein Habré, para. 586.
- 532 See, inter alia, LRVs' Preliminary Submissions on Reparations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, para. 44.
- 533 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 125; Katanga Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red, para. 115; Lubanga Judgment on Victims' Participation, ICC-01/04-01/06-1432, para. 32.
- 534 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 125; Katanga Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red, para. 116.
- 535 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 125; see also Prosecutor's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1976, para. 29.
- 536 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 126; see also paras 41-44; LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, para. 44.
- 537 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 126.
- 538 See Defence's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-1917, para. 42.
- 539 See also Prosecutor's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/ 04-01/15-1976, para. 29; CLRV's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1990, para. 23.
- 540 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 127; Lubanga Decision on Indirect Victims, ICC- 01/ 04-01/06-1813, para. 49.
- 541 Uganda's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1978, paras 14-16.
- 542 Uganda's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1978, para. 15.

- 599 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1105.
- 600 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 130.
- 601 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 130, referring to Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 184.
- 602 See para. 59 above, referring to Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 68-75.
- 603 Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 254.
- 604 Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 254; Lubanga Decision on the Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, para. 184.
- 605 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 70.
- 606 Al Mahdi Reparations Order, ICC-01/12-01/15-236, para. 85.
- 607 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 183(a)(iv), 183(b)(iv), 183(c), 183(d)(v).
- 608 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 72, 183(a)(ix), 183(b)(viii).
- 609 Lubanga Reparations Decision, ICC-01/04-01/06-2904, para. 230 (c).
- 610 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 183(a)(v-vi), 183(b)(v), 183(c), 183(d)(v).
- 611 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 71, 74, 75, 183(a)(x).
- 612 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 73, 182, 183(d)(vi).
- 613 Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, para. 493.
- 614 Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, para. 495.
- 615 See Decision on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2024, para. 31(b).
- 616 Defence's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2030
- 617 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033.
- 618 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red.
- 619 TFV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2032.
- 620 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035.
- 621 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 24.
- 622 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, paras 24-27.
- 623 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 27.
- 624 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 25.
- 625 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 8.
- 626 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 9.

- 627 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 10.
- 628 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, paras 11-12.
- 629 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 12.
- 630 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 13.
- 631 TFV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2032, para. 19.
- 632 TFV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2032, para. 19.
- 633 TFV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2032, paras 20-21.
- 634 Defence's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2030, para. 22.
- 635 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 31.
- 636 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 31.
- 637 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, paras 31-33.
- 638 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 34.
- 639 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 35.
- 640 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 36.
- 641 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 36.
- 642 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 37.
- 643 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, paras 24-27.
- 644 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 10.
- 645 TFV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2032, para. 22.
- For a similar approach, see Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 174 referring to Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 73; see also Trial Chamber II, The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga, Decision on the Matter of the Transgenerational Harm Alleged by Some Applicants for Reparations Remanded by the Appeals Chamber in its Judgment of 8 March 2018 ('Katanga Decision on Transgenerational Harm'), 19 July 2018, ICC-01/04-01/07-3804-Red-tENG, para. 10; Katanga Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3728-tENG, para. 132.
- 647 Katanga Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3728-tENG, para. 132; Katanga Decision on Transgenerational Harm, ICC-01/04-01/07-3804-Red-tENG, paras 10-14; Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 73; Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, paras 175-180, fns 416-423.
- As for the literature cited in Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, see, inter alia, at fn 53, Gunnar Kaati, Lars Olov Bygren, Marcus Pumbrey and Michael Sjöström,

'Transgenerational response to nutrition, early life circumstances and longevity', in European Journal of Human Genetics (2007), 15, 784-790, available online, which is a study on whether nutrition might induce epigenetic or other changes that could be transmitted to the next generation impacting on health; the study also aims to investigate whether gene selection through differential survival to maturity and or early life experiences is the main determinant as opposed to transgenerational responses (e.g. epigenetic inheritance) to such conditions, p. 784, noting that the study's results 'strengthen the theory of a transgenerational mechanism, which appears to capture nutritional information in a sensitive period of mid-childhood from the previous generation(s), p. 789; at fn 55, D. Devakumar, M. Birch, D. Osrin, E. Sondorp, J. CK Wells, 'The Intergenerational Effects of War on the Health of Children', in BMC Medicine (2014), 12:57, 1-15, available online, which is a study that considers the intergenerational effects of four features of conflict - violence, challenges to mental health, infection, and malnutrition - and discusses the multiplicative effects of ongoing conflict when hostilities are prolonged, p. 1, but that also notes that, while maternal physiology and behaviour can buffer their offspring against ecological stresses, exposure to conflict in one generation may potentially propagate adverse effects to subsequent generations, such that greater awareness of such consequences may lead to their recognition and improved diagnosis and response, p. 2.

As for the literature cited in LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, see, inter alia, at fn 25, V.R. Nelson, J.H. Nadeau, 'Transgenerational Genetic Effects', Epigenomics, National Institute of Health (December 2010), 2(6), 797-806, available online, which notes that accumulating evidence raises the possibility that transgenerational effects contribute significantly to heritable phenotypic variations; at fn 26, D. Devakumar, M. Birch, D. Osrin, E. Sondorp, J. CK Wells, 'The Intergenerational Effects of War on the Health of Children', in BMC Medicine (2014), 12:57, 1-15, available online, which is a study that considers the intergenerational effects of four features of conflict - violence, challenges to mental health, infection, and malnutrition - and discusses the multiplicative effects of ongoing conflict when hostilities are prolonged, p. 1, but that also notes that, while maternal physiology and behaviour can buffer their offspring against ecological stresses, exposure to conflict in one generation may potentially propagate adverse effects to subsequent generations, such that greater awareness of such consequences may lead to their recognition and improved diagnosis and response, p. 2; at fn 28, M. A. Rothstein, H.L. Harrel, G. E. Merchant, 'Transgenerational Epigenetics and Environmental Justice', Environmental Epigenetics (2017), Vol. 3, No. 3, 1-12, available online, noting that, '[t]hus far, no exposures have been widely accepted to cause transgenerational epigenetic effects in humans. Nonetheless, because single generational (F0 in females and males) and multigenerational (F1 and F2 in females; F1 in males) epigenetic effects already have been observed in humans and the same exposures are known to cause transgenerational (F3 in females; F2 in males) epigenetic effects in other species, in the future, it is possible that some exposures will be determined to cause transgenerational effects in humans', pp. 1-2; at fn 31, I. Karpin, 'Vulnerability and the Intergenerational Transmission of Psychosocial Harm, Emory Law Journal (2018), Vol. 67, Issue 6, 1115, available online, pointing to new research and noting that, 'the significant element of this research, however, is that the transference of "disorders" from one generation to the next, rather than being determined by genetic traits, can be traced instead to the way the body responds to environmental factors where the "environment" is construed broadly as capturing not just the material world but the psychosocial as well', p. 1122.

As for the additional literature (not referred to in the Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06- 2858-Red, fns 416-423) cited in the CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, see, inter alia, at fn 5, M. Bosquet Enlow, B. Egeland, E. Carlson, E. Blood, R. Wright, 'Mother-Infant Attachment and the Intergenerational Transmission of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder', Development and Psychopathology, Vol. 26(1), 2014, pp. 41-65, available online, highlighting studies that have found that '[a]n insecure mother-infant attachment relationship that results from repeated exposures to such insensitive caregiving behaviours may then increase the child's PTSD vulnerability by permanently programming the epigenetic expression of genes involved in the physiological stress response, shaping nonoptimal neurological patterns of stress reactivity, and establishing maladaptive emotional and behavioural styles of coping in response to challenge', p. 58; P. Bith-Melander, N. Chowdhury, Ch. Jindal, T.J. Efird, 'Trauma Affecting Asian-Pacific Islanders in the San Francisco Bay Area', International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, Vol. 14(9), pp. 1053 et seq., available online, a study done on three focus groups of Asian-Americans living in San Francisco, California, who were receiving trauma and mental health services, for the purpose of obtaining qualitative data on trauma as a transgenerational process that affects the ability of family members to cope with life stressors; K. Weingarten, 'Witnessing the effects of political violence in families: Mechanisms of intergenerational transmission and clinical intervention', Journal of Marital and Family Therapy, Vol. 30(1), 2004, pp. 45-59, available online, a study that considers both the psychological and biological mechanisms of transmission of trauma between mothers and their infants, when such mothers have endured political violence and conflict, and which notes research that the development of PTSD is facilitated by a failure to contain the biologic stress response as the time, resulting in a cascade of alterations that lead to intrusive recollections of the event, avoidance of reminders of the event, and symptoms of hyperarousal, including cortisol which can account for both the development of trauma and its transmission across generations; at fn 6, see, inter alia, T.E. Bale, 'Epigenetic and transgenerational reprogramming of brain development', Nature Reviews Neuroscience, Vol. 16, 2015, pp. 332-344, available online, a study indicating the importance of the prenatal period and reporting an association between maternal stress that is experienced during the first trimester of pregnancy and an increased risk of psychological disorders in offspring; at fn 7, K. Grunberg, F. Markert, 'A psychoanalytic grave walkscenic memory of the Shoah. On the transgenerational transmission of extreme trauma in Germany', American Journal of Psychoanalysis, Vol. 72(3), 2012, pp. 207-222, available online, a study of a daughter of Holocaust survivors which focuses on the transmission of extreme trauma handed down to children by their parents; at fn 8, see G. Winship, J. Knowles, 'The trans-generational impact of cultural trauma: linking phenomena in treatment of third generation survivors of the Holocaust', British Journal of Psychotherapy, Vol. 13(2), 1996, pp. 259-266, available online, a study of three children of Holocaust survivors, each of whom suffered from extreme trauma and exhibited self-destructive behaviour,

- for the purpose of highlighting the benefits of certain types of psychotherapeutic intervention.
- As for the additional literature, (not referred to in the Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06- 2858-Red, fns 416-423), cited in TFV's January 2023 Submissions, ICC-01/04-02/06-2819, see, inter alia, at fn 22, R. Yehuda and A. Lehrner, "Intergenerational Transmission of Trauma Effects: Putative Role of Epigenetic Mechanisms", 17 World Psychiatry (2018), pp. 243-257, available online, noting the existing of '[e]vidence supporting the idea that offspring are affected by parental trauma exposures occurring before their birth, and possibly even prior to their conception. On the simplest level, the concept of intergenerational trauma acknowledges that exposure to extremely adverse events impacts individuals to such a great extent that their offspring find themselves grappling with their parents' post-traumatic state. A more recent and provocative claim is that the experience of trauma – or more accurately the effect of that experience – is "passed" somehow from one generation to the next through non-genomic, possibly epigenetic mechanisms affecting DNA function or gene transcription', p. 243, but also highlighting that, '[g]iven the paucity of human studies and the methodological challenges in conducting such studies, it is not possible to attribute intergenerational effects in humans to a single set of biological or other determinants at this time', p. 243; at fn 27, M. Bosquet Enlow, B. Egeland, E. Carlson, E. Blood, R. Wright, 'Mother-Infant Attachment and the Intergenerational Transmission of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder', Development and Psychopathology, Vol. 26(1), 2014, pp. 41-65, available online, highlighting studies that have found that '[a]an insecure mother-infant attachment relationship that results from repeated exposures to such insensitive caregiving behaviours may then increase the child's PTSD vulnerability by permanently programming the epigenetic expression of genes involved in the physiological stress response, shaping nonoptimal neurological patterns of stress reactivity, and establishing maladaptive emotional and behavioural styles of coping in response to challenge', p. 58.
- V-0001 at T-174; PCV-0001 at T-175; PCV-0002 at T-176; 652 PCV-0003 at T-177, pp. 29-32; PCV-0003, Transcript of Hearing, 17 May 2018, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-178-ENG ET, (T-178). The Chamber notes that Dr Michael Wessels stated that the phenomenon of 'intergenerational transmission of trauma' is well-substantiated and that 'mothers who experience trauma actually transmit some of their stress and trauma to their offspring through hormonal mechanisms', and added that 'there is also evidence of genetic transmission', see PCV-0002 at T-176, pp. 22-23. Another expert witness, Professor Reicherter, stated that women who are rape survivors and women who have PTSD often have a very different parenting style than those who are not rape survivors or do not have PTSD, see PCV-0001 at T-175, p. 31. Dr Seggane Musisa, testified both the social and epigenetic theories confirm that the effects of war on individuals have lasting effects long after a conflict has ended, and noted that, per the latter theory, it is known that chemicals such as cortisol are overly secreted in traumatised people and that studies have been done on the effects that excessive levels of cortisol do to infants before and after birth, see PCV- 0003 at T-177, pp. 30-31.
- 653 See Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, fns 422-423.
- 654 Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 175, referring to Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 73.

- 655 Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 176.
- 656 Katanga Decision on Transgenerational Harm, ICC-01/04-01/ 07-3804-Red-tENG, paras 11-14.
- 657 *Katanga* Decision on Transgenerational Harm, ICC-01/04-01/07-3804-Red-tENG, para. 11.
- 658 Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 176.
- 659 See Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 176, referring to J. Švorcová, 'Transgenerational Epigenetic Inheritance of Traumatic Experience in Mammals' in Genes (2023), 14, 120:1-20, available online, p. 10; and S.A. Ridhuan et al, 'Advocating for a Collaborative Research Approach on Transgenerational Transmission of Trauma' in Journal of Child & Adolescent Trauma (2021) 14:527–531, available online, p. 529.
- 660 J. Švorcová, 'Transgenerational Epigenetic Inheritance of Traumatic Experience in Mammals' in *Genes* (2023), 14, 120:1-20, available online, p. 10.
- S.A. Ridhuan et al, 'Advocating for a Collaborative Research Approach on Transgenerational Transmission of Trauma' in Journal of Child & Adolescent Trauma (2021) 14:527–531, available online, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8172554/pdf/40653_2021_Article_369.pdfp. 529, referring to, inter alia, P. Gluckman, M. Hanson, 'Developmental origins of health and disease.' Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (2006).
- 662 See Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 73; Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC- 01/04-02/ 06-2858-Red, para. 177.
- 663 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 38.
- 664 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 30.
- 665 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 32.
- 666 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 36.
- 667 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 37.
- 711 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 44.
- 712 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 31.
- 713 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 32, referring to Katanga Decision on Transgenerational Harm, ICC-01/04-01/07-3804-Red-tENG, paras 16-17.
- 714 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, paras 33-35.
- 715 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 36.
- 716 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 36.
- 717 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 34.
- 718 TFV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2032, para. 34.

- 719 TFV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2032, para. 34.
- 720 Defence's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2030, para. 39.
- 721 Defence's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2030, para. 39.
- 722 Defence's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2030, para. 39.
- 723 Defence's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2030, para. 40.
- 724 Defence's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2030, para. 41.
- 725 Defence's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2030, para. 42.
- 726 See Section VI.C.4. Causal link and standard of proof below.
- 727 Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 195.
- 728 Defence's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1917; Defence's March 2022 Submissions, ICC- 02/04-01/15-1991-Red-Corr; Defence's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2030; Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035.
- 729 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 384.
- 730 The Chamber recalls that in the context of the attack on the Pajule IDP camp, it was estimated that 15,000 to 30,000 people lived in the camp at the time, see Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 150. In the context of the attack on the Odek IDP camp, the Chamber found that between 2,000 and 3,000 people lived in the camp at the moment of the attack, see Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 185. In the context of the attack at the Lukodi IDP camp, the exact number of victims of the attack was not possible to determine, but the Chamber was satisfied that a large contingent of civilians lived in there at the time of the attack, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 178, 1644. In the case of the Abok IDP Camp, the Chamber found that at least 7,000 to just over 13,000 civilians resided in the camp, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1858.
- 731 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, paras 143, 149 (Pajule); para. 185 (Odek); para. 223 (Lukodi); paras 258-259 (Abok).
- 732 See Section IV. SCOPE OF REPARATIONS IN THE ONGWEN CASE above.
- 733 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2824, 2874 (Pajule); paras 2876, 2927 (Odek); paras 2929, 2973 (Lukodi); paras 2975, 3020 (Abok).
- 734 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2826-2827, 2874 (Pajule); paras 2878-2880, 2927 (Odek); paras 2931-2933, 2973 (Lukodi); paras 2977-2979, 3020 (Abok).
- 735 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2882-2883, 2927 (Odek); paras 2935-2936, 2973 (Lukodi); paras 2981-2982, 3020 (Abok).
- 736 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2829-2833, 2874 (Pajule); paras 2885-2889, 2927 (Odek); paras 2938-2942, 2973 (Lukodi); paras 2984-2988, 3020 (Abok).
- 737 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2839-2840, 2874 (Pajule); paras 2895-2896, 2927

- (Odek); paras 2948-2949, 2973 (Lukodi); paras 2994-2995, 3020 (Abok).
- 738 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2842-2844, 2874 (Pajule); paras 2898-2900, 2927 (Odek); paras 2951-2953, 2973 (Lukodi); paras 2997-2999, 3020 (Abok).
- 739 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2846-2849, 2874 (Pajule); paras 2906-2908, 2927 (Odek); paras 2959-2961, 2973 (Lukodi); paras 3006-3008, 3020 (Abok).
- 740 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2902-2904, 2927 (Odek).
- 741 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2955-2957, 2973 (Lukodi); paras 3001-3004, 3020 (Abok).
- 742 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 149 (Pajule); para. 185 (Odek); para. 223 (Lukodi); para. 258 (Abok).
- 743 The Chamber also notes that, in general, the submission and observations made by parties and participants also addressed the types of harm suffered by the victims of the attacks as a whole.
- 744 LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, para. 64 (iv); ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC- 02/04-01/15-1974, para. 20; ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, p. 6.
- 745 LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, para. 64 (iv); ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC- 02/04-01/15-1974, para. 20.
- 746 LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, para. 64 (iv).
- 747 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17; ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, p. 6.
- 748 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17.
- 749 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04- 01/15-1974, para. 20.
- 750 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para, 17.
- 751 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17.
- 752 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17.
- 753 LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, paras 61-72; LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(ii); ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974 para. 21.
- 754 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(ii).
- 755 ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, p. 6.
- 756 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(ii).
- 757 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, paras 20-21.
- 758 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 21.
- 759 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52(i).

- 760 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 21; ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, p. 6.
- 761 FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1947, p. 12, para. 3.4(a); CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52(i).
- 762 ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, p. 6.
- Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 164, 167, 169 (Odek); paras 182, 184, 1757, 1759, 1763, 1765, 1766, 1768, 1770, 1774 (Lukodi); paras 197, 1932, 1934-1935, 1948, 1950-1954, 1961 (Abok).
- 764 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 153 (Pajule); paras 173, 1454 (Odek); paras 182, 184, 1723, 1730, 1758 (Lukodi); paras 197, 201, 1906, 1933, 1955, 1961 (Abok); see also Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 158 (Pajule); para. 185 (Odek); para. 223 (Lukodi); para. 259 (Abok).
- 765 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1498 (Odek); paras 188, 1746, 1761, 1837 (Lukodi).
- 766 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1730, 1732, 1766 (Lukodi).
- 767 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1500 (Odek); paras 1731, 1741-1746, 1755, 1758, 1760, 1762, 1770 (Lukodi); paras 1945, 1957, 1962 (Abok); Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 223 (Lukodi); para. 259 (Abok).
- 768 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 153-154 (Pajule); paras 171-174 (Odek); paras 201-202, 2015 (Abok); paras 187, 1799 (Lukodi).
- 769 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1309 (Pajule); para. 1493 (Odek); paras 1674, 1681, 1722, 1724, 1725 (Lukodi); para. 1926 (Abok).
- 770 For victims who died because of gunshots, cuts, stabbing wounds, *see* Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15- 1762-Red, paras 1311, 1314, 1319 (Pajule); paras 167, 1496, 1498, 1502, 1506-1507, 1509-1516, 1518-1519, 1521, 1523-1529, 1532, 1538, 1541, 1543, 1547 (Odek); paras 182, 1725, 1730-1732, 1756-1757, 1759, 1761, 1763, 1765-1766, 1768-1769, 1774 (Lukodi); paras 197, 1931, 1933, 1935, 1949-1954, 1961-1962 (Abok); for victims who died as a result of beatings, *see* Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1498 (Odek); para. 1758 (Lukodi); paras 197, 1931-1934, 1937, 1955, 1957, 1961-1962 (Abok).
- 771 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1318-1323.
- 772 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1314; *see also* P-0067, Transcript of Hearing, 7 November 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-125-Red2-ENG, (T-125), p. 18, lns 9-18; P-0067, Transcript of Hearing, 8 November 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-126-Red2-ENG, (T-126), p. 7, ln 25 to p. 8, ln 5; Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1933.
- 773 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1324; see also P-0061, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-0840, para. 45.
- 774 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 155.
- 775 P-0061, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-0840, para. 21.
- 776 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1492.

- 777 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1506, 1532.
- 778 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1513-1514, 1523, 1528.
- 779 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 182, 184, 1730, see also P-0330, Transcript of Hearing, 13 March 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-52-Red2-ENG, (T-52), p. 26, lns 19-21.
- 780 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 189.
- 781 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 167; Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 188.
- 782 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2931-2932.
- 783 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2938-2942.
- 784 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1938, 1959.
- 785 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1932, 1934-1935, 1948, 1950-1954, 1961.
- 786 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1906, 1906-1907, 1937, 1955, 1962, 2975, 2977; the Chamber recalls that P-0281, a resident of the Abok IDP camp testified that the rebels who entered her house during the attack all carried guns; they asked her father for money and one of them began to beat him with the butt of a gun. P-0281 also testified that the rebel fighter was beating her father so much, that she thought he would be killed, *see* Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 259.
- 787 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1931, 1961, 1991.
- 788 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1933, 1955, 1961.
- 789 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1875.
- 790 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1933.
- 791 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 197. In addition, the Chamber recalls the testimony of one of Abok's camp leaders, P-0284 who testified that the morning after the attack, he and other camp officials walked through the camp and found many dead bodies; children, women and men. Some of the dead bodies were inside the houses, others were on the doorsteps as they tried to run and others were in the remains of burnt huts, *see* Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1934; *see also* P-0284, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283- 1355, paras 36-37.
- In the context of the attack on the Pajule IDP camp, the Chamber recalls that the evidence presented during trial did 'sugges[t] that the LRA did burn down a limited number of civilian huts within the camp', see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1260. In the course of the attack on the Odek IDP camp, the Chamber notes its previous finding that 'at least some civilians were burnt in their homes', see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1500 (Odek); para. 1731 (Lukodi); paras 1875, 1945-1957, 1962 (Abok); see also Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 223 (Lukodi); para. 259 (Abok).
- 793 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1741-1745. See, inter alia, camp resident P-0018

- stated that LRA fighters sent people into their houses including children, locked the doors and set the houses on fire with people inside the houses, P-0018, Transcript of Hearing, 2 September 2016, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-69-Red, (T-69), p. 12, lns 2-8.
- 794 See, inter alia, the testimony of P-0406, an LRA fighter who stated that during the attack on the Abok IDP camp, an LRA commander bolted the door of a house from the outside and set the house on fire with the people still inside. P-0406 also testified that the LRA fighters went to another hut and set it on fire with a number of people within that house, a mixture of children and adults, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1928- 1929; P-0406, Transcript of Hearing, 19 February 2018, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-154-Red2-ENG, (T-154) p. 71, lns 9-19, p. 72, lns 10-15; see also Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 182, 1725, 1731, 1741-1745, 1752, 1755-1756, 1758, 1767, 1770 (Lukodi); paras 197, 1929-1933, 1935, 1937, 1956, 1961-1962 (Abok); see also Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 227 (Lukodi); para. 263 (Abok).
- Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1238, 1239, 1311 (Pajule); paras 1510, 1515, 1546, 1599 (Odek); paras 1750-1751, 1755, 1757, 1758, 1768, 1771 (Lukodi); paras 1934-1935, 1938 (Abok).
- 796 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1239 (Pajule); paras 1510, 1546, 1599 (Odek); paras 1750, 1755, 1757-1758, 1768 (Lukodi); para. 1938 (Abok).
- 797 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1238, 1311, 1314 (Pajule); paras 1510, 1546, 1599 (Odek); paras 1749, 1751, 1768, 1770, 1771 (Lukodi); paras 1934, 1935 (Abok).
- 798 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1239 (Pajule); paras 1507, 1510, 1546, 1548 (Odek); paras 1755, 1757, 1768 (Lukodi); para. 1935 (Abok).
- 799 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1750, 1758 (Lukodi); para. 1995 (Abok). The Chamber recalls the testimony of Witness P-0187 a Lukodi resident, who testified that some children were put in a polythene bag and beaten to death, whilst others were locked inside their house and burnt, *see also* Conviction Judgment ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1750 (Lukodi).
- 800 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1742, 1749-1750, 1755, 1770 (Lukodi); paras 1934-1935 (Abok).
- 801 For example, during the evidence assessment, the Trial Chamber considered as convincing evidence the postmortem report made by witness P-0036, a government pathologist who examined the persons deceased at the Lukodi IDP camp. In his post-mortem report he identified that two out of four of the bodies examined corresponded to children of one and a half years old and four years old who were first shot and then burnt to death, *see* Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1756-1758; *see also* Conviction Judgment, ICC- 02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1773.
- Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1750. See also P-0187, Transcript of Hearing, 22 March 2018, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-164-Red-ENG, (T-164) p. 24 lns 14-19.
- 803 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1768.
- 804 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1507, 1509, 1515, 1521, 1524, 1541.

- 805 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1571 (Odek).
- 806 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1770 (Lukodi).
- 807 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1766 (Lukodi).
- 808 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1509, 1515 (Odek).
- 809 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2882-2883 (Odek); paras 2935-2936 (Lukodi); paras 2981-2982 (Abok).
- 810 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2885-2889.
- 811 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 173, 2886-2889.
- 812 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1471-1472, 2885-2889.
- 813 See, inter alia, Odek IDP camp leader P-0274 who stated that after the attack, he walked around the camp and saw a woman who had been shot on the lower part of her cheek. He testified that the bullet came out the back of her neck, and that the woman was 'still alive but weak'. He further stated that when he found this woman, she had a baby on her back who fortunately was not injured, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1545; P-0274, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1307, para. 34.
- 814 See, inter alia, P-0282, a resident of the Abok IDP camp, who testified that he saw some of the injured had cuts on their heads and others had gunshot wounds at the hospital, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762- Red, para. 1940; P-0282, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1346, para. 30.
- 815 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1940; P-0282, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1346, para. 30.
- 816 See, inter alia, Odek IDP camp leader P-0274 who testified that he had saw the body of another civilian resident who had been shot in the chest, and had a broken arm, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1514, see, inter alia, P-0274, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1307, para. 37.
- 817 See, inter alia, P-0274 also testified that he saw another resident who had two of his toes cut off by a bullet, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1535, see also P-0274, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283- 1307, para. 48.
- 818 See, inter alia, P-0274 who testified that he saw a resident who was injured on his thigh and later had to have his leg amputated, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1535, see also P-0274, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1307, para. 48.
- 819 See, inter alia, P-0218 a local teacher living in Odek IDP camp who testified about a civilian who was shot in the neck during the attack and survived, but still has scars from the injury, see P-0218, Statement, UGA-OTP- 0238-0720-R01, para. 30.
- 820 See, inter alia, P-0282 a civilian resident of the Abok IDP camp, who testified that he was shot in the leg during the attack, and his knee was dislocated so he could not walk for a week, see P-0282, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283- 1346, paras 31-32.
- 821 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1758.

- 822 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1808.
- 823 See, inter alia, P-0196 a Lukodi camp resident, who testified that he was taken by the LRA soldiers and thrown into a burning hut as one of the attackers said that he was interfering with the movement of the abductees. He further testified that he survived and was later taken to a hospital in Gulu where he spent three months recovering from burn wounds on his left leg and stomach, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 184, 1762; Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 231.
- 824 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1570 (Odek); para. 1775 (Lukodi).
- 825 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1566-1568 (Odek); paras 1821-1822, 1826 (Lukodi).
- 826 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1566-1567, 1580 (Odek); paras 1822, 1826 (Lukodi).
- 827 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1826 (Lukodi); see also P-0187 at T-164, p. 25, lns 2-9.
- 828 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1822 (Lukodi).
- 829 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1580 (Odek).
- 830 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1799, 1805 (Lukodi); paras 201, 1987 (Abok).
- 831 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2840 (Pajule); para. 1571 (Odek); paras 2984-2988 (Abok); *see, inter alia,* P-0081 a resident of the Pajule IDP camp, testified that he was forced to carry an injured soldier, during which his leg was pierced by a log whilst in the bush, P-0081, Statement, UGA-OTP-0070-0029- R01, para. 11; also P-0249 testified that he was abducted during the attack on the Pajule IDP camp and sustained injuries on his shoulders from being forced to carry an injured fighter on a stretcher, P-0249, Transcript of Hearing, 5 June 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-79-Red2-ENG, (T-79), p. 47, ln 21 to p. 28, ln 1.
- 832 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1799-1801, 1805.
- 833 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, paras 190, 195 (Odek); para. 228 (Lukodi); para. 264 (Abok).
- 834 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1336, 1338, 1340, (Pajule); paras 173, 1560, 1564, 1570-1571, 1576-1577, 1579, 1987, 1993 (Odek); paras 187, 1800, 1805, 1808 (Lukodi); paras 1927, 1978-1979, 1993 (Abok); see also Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 158 (Pajule); para. 195 (Odek); para. 233 (Lukodi); para. 268 (Abok).
- 835 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 153 (Pajule); paras 174-175, 1553, 1602, 1605-1608 (Odek); paras 1797, 1831-1837 (Lukodi); paras 1973, 1994-1995 (Abok); Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, paras 154-155 (Pajule); paras 172-174 (Odek); para. 228 (Lukodi); para. 264 (Abok).
- 836 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 153-154 (Pajule); paras 171-174 (Odek); paras 187, 1797-1800 (Lukodi); paras 201-202, 2015, 2984 (Abok); Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 268, 270 (Abok); see, inter alia, P-0275 civilian resident of Odek IDP camp who was abducted during the attack, testified that he witnessed

- an LRA soldier beat another abductee to death with the back of a hoe because the abductee's feet were swollen and pus was coming from her wounds so she could no longer walk. See Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, Conviction para. 1571; also P-0279 an elderly women who was abducted from the Abok camp was beaten, strangled, cut with a machete, hit and left to die after she could not bear the weight she was carrying but she managed to crawl back to the camp, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 202; P- 0061 a civilian resident of the Pajule IDP camp, testified that he became ill whilst in captivity. He recalled one occasion when he became weak and stated that he had worms in his body, suffered from diarrhoea, and was beaten by one of the rebels for lying down, P-0061, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-0840, para. 83.
- 837 See, inter alia, P-0006 a resident of the Pajule IDP camp, testified that when she returned from the bush she suffered from stomach infections and ring worms, see P-0006, Statement, UGA-OTP-0144-0072-R01, para. 63.
 - See, inter alia, P-0006, who testified that after she was abducted during the attack on Pajule IDP camp she was beaten on her back with a stick, and forced to carry 'extremely heavy' items. P-0006 stated that she also witnessed other abductees being beaten on their backs, necks and buttocks, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15- 1762-Red, para. 1340l; P-0006, Transcript of Hearing, 30 November 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-140-Red2-ENG, (T-140), pp. 9-12; P-0268 a civilian resident of the Odek IDP camp who was abducted during the attack, testified that one of the rebels hit her leg with the butt of his gun, and explained that she still experiences leg pain when she does a lot of work, see P-0268, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1285, para. 27; P-0249 a former Pajule IDP camp resident testified that he was abducted during the attack on the Pajule IDP camp and that he and his wife were 'kicked and beaten' whilst walking with other abductees. P-0249 also testified that he was 'beaten on the chest, on the leg and everywhere else', that he has 'many scars' and still experiences chest pain today, see P-0249 at T-79, p. 53, lns 20-25, p. 79, lns 5-11. In a similar context, findings on the Judgment related to abductees from the Abok IDP camp recall that LRA fighters beat civilians as a means of punishment for not being able to continue walking and to intimidate other abductees to continue without stopping or resisting. LRA fighters forced an abductee to kill another abductee with a club, as a lesson to others who were thinking of escaping, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2984.
- Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1957; P-0304 resident of the Abok IDP camp who was abducted during the attack on the camp, testified about how he was bound at his waist by a rope stained with blood and tied to other abductees, and that during the retreat, he walked barefoot and shirtless in the bush, he was cold, hungry and it was difficult to get water. He also stated that he was forced to carry things including a goat on his back and that there were a lot of beatings along the way. He was hit on the side of his head with a bayonet for moving too slowly, *see* Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1987.
- 840 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1816, 2938.
- 841 See, inter alia, P-0269 a civilian resident of the Odek IDP camp testified that she was abducted and sustained an injury to her ribs as a result of being forced to carry luggage, which she described as being 'so heavy, see P-0269, Transcript

- of Hearing, 13 June 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-85-Red2-ENG, (T-85), p. 53, lns 19-24.
- 842 P-0406 at T-154, p. 86, lns 17, 25.
- 843 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1578; see also P-0268, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1285, para. 57; P-0304, Transcript of Hearing, 20 November 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-133-ENG, (T-133), p. 30, lns 15-19 (Abok).
- 844 See, inter alia, P-0006, a resident of the Pajule IDP camp who testified that she sustained injuries on her feet during her time in captivity. She explained to the Trial Chamber that her injuries were sustained by hitting tree stumps, stepping on sharp tree stumps and splinters, see P-0006 at T-140, p. 21, lns 9-16; see also in the Sentence the witness was noted to provide evidence of the lasting consequences the abduction had for the victims and their families, Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, paras 165-166.
- 845 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1808; P-0024, Transcript of Hearing, 1 June 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-77-Red-ENG, (T-77), p. 21, ln 16.
- 846 P-0406 at T-154, p. 30, lns 3-5.
- 847 P-0406 at T-154, p. 86, ln 25 to p. 87, ln 2.
- 848 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1806.
- 849 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 160; see also P-0006 resident of the Pajule IDP camp, who testified that some of the splinters in her feet 'up to now some of them have not yet been removed', P-0006 at T- 140, p. 21, lns 9-16; also P-0249 a former resident of the Pajule IDP camp testified that whilst being forced to carry an injured rebel, he stepped on a tree stump as he could not see where he was walking and the 'stump remained in [his] foot until [he] came back home', see P-0249 at T-79, p. 53, lns 19-23.
- See, inter alia, A/01442/16, A/01456/16, A/01459/16, A/01494/16, A/01528/16, A/01533/16, A/01608/16, A/01610/16, A/01736/16, A/01777/16, A/01907/16, A/01910/16, A/01938/16, A/06812/15, A/06837/15, A/30000/13.
- 851 See, inter alia, A/01760/16, A/06837/15.
- 852 See, inter alia, A/01485/16, A/01832/16, A/30007/12, A/30007/13, A/01085/16, A/01343/16, A/06929/15, A/00052/16, A/02069/16, A/02109/16, A/00048/16.
- 853 See, inter alia, A/01812/16, A/02037/16, A/01833/16.
- 854 See, inter alia, A/01645/16.
- 855 See, inter alia, A/01516/16, A/01466/16, A/02006/16.
- 856 See, inter alia, A/01688/16, A/02006/16, A/00052/16, A/00088/16, A/00360/16, A/00161/16, A/00432/16, A/00534/16.
- 857 The Chamber recalls that expert witness Dr Atim testified live before the Court and that she also provided a joint expert report which was submitted under rule 68(3) of the Rules. Dr Atim's expert report is based on the results gathered from interviews (Victim Assessment survey) carried out on 396 victims participating in the present case. The Chamber notes that the LRVs provided the Expert's research team with a list of victims, and the research team then randomly selected participants from the list to interview. The Chamber notes that a member of the LRV team was present during field interviews to confirm both the identity of the interviewees and that the interviewees were participating victims in the present case, see Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 19.

- Lastly, the Chamber notes that the Expert report did not cover the Pajule IDP camp population. However, due to the similarity of the attacks, the evidence presented during trial, and the submissions made by the parties with regards to reparations, the Chamber considers that the findings made by the Expert in her report relating to the harm suffered by victims of the attacks in the Odek, Abok and Lukodi IDP camps, can equally apply to the victims of the attack in the Pajule IDP Camp.
- 858 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 52.
- 859 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 52.
- 860 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 55.
- 861 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17.
- 862 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17; CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 24.
- 863 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52.
- 864 ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, p. 6.
- 865 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 24.
- 866 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27; *see also* ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, p. 6; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 24.
- 867 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 26.
- 868 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/ 04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 869 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17.
- LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977,
 para. 17; FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC- 02/04-01/15-1947,
 pp. 14-15; ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925,
 p. 6.
- 871 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 24.
- 872 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1347, fn. 3514; see also P-0218, Statement, UGA-OTP-0238-0720-R01, para. 25.
- 873 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1347; see also P-0293, Transcript of Hearing, 28 November 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-138-Red-ENG, (T-138), p. 44, lns 7-10.
- 874 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, fn. 3514; see also P-0218, Statement, UGA-OTP-0238- 0720-R01, para. 25.
- See, inter alia, P-0218, Statement, UGA OTP-0238-0720-R01; see also P-0293 at T-138, p. 44, lns 7-10.
- See, inter alia, P-0218, Statement, UGA OTP-0238-0720-R01; see also P-0293 at T-138, p. 44, lns 7-10.
- 877 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 155 (Pajule); para. 191 (Odek); para. 229 (Lukodi); para. 265 (Abok).
- 878 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 155 (Pajule); paras 189-190 (Odek); para. 228 (Lukodi), paras 263-265 (Abok).

- 879 P-0061, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-0840, para. 21; see also P-0009 stated that he heard people screaming and crying during the attack on the Pajule IDP camp, Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1347; P-0009, Transcript of Hearing, 7 June 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-81-ENG, (T-81), p. 11, ln 25, p. 12, ln 3.
- 880 P-0218, Statement, UGA OTP-0238-0720-R01, para. 25; see also P-0275, a civilian resident of Odek IDP camp who testified that he heard people 'wailing and crying' as he entered the camp the morning after the attack on the Odek IDP camp, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, fn. 3514.
- 881 P-0293 at T-138, p. 44, lns 7-10.
- 882 P-0293 at T-138, p. 44, lns 7-10.
- 883 P-0024 at T-77, p. 25, lns 3-8. In the Conviction Judgment, the Chamber found that her testimony was convincing and that her personal experience was still deeply disturbing to her, see Conviction Judgment, ICC- 02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1812.
- 884 See, inter alia, A/01832/16, A/01214/16, A/05413/15, A/06659/15, A/00064/16.
- 885 See, inter alia, A/02041/16, A/02109/16.
- 886 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 163 (Pajule); para. 233 (Lukodi); para. 270 (Abok).
- 887 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2995.
- 888 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 157 (Pajule); para. 195 (Odek); para. 233 (Lukodi); para. 268 (Abok).
- 889 onviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2830 (Pajule); para. 2886 (Odek); para. 2939 (Lukodi); para. 2985 (Abok).
- 890 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 153, 156, 172, 187, 201, 1334, 1340-1341, 1343-1347, 1350, 1352-1353, 2829 (Pajule); paras 172-173, 1554, 1560-1562, 1580, 1582-1583, 1585-1586, 1589, 2885 (Odek); paras 187, 1797, 1805-1807, 1813, 2938 (Lukodi); paras 201, 1973-1974, 1976, 1979, 1982-1985, 1987, 2984 (Abok); see also Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para 158 (Pajule); para. 233 (Lukodi); para. 268 (Abok).
- See, inter alia, P-0269 civilian resident of the Odek IDP camp who was abducted, testified that as she was leaving the camp, her child and another child she was caring for ran after her and were crying. Whilst testifying about her time in captivity, P-0269 explained to the Trial Chamber that 'we were so scared and we thought we were going to be killed', P-0269 at T-85, p. 49, ln 2. In addition, P-0275, a civilian resident of Odek IDP camp who was abducted by the LRA, testified that he witnessed an LRA soldier beat another abductee with the back of a hoe to death. He explained to the Trial Chamber that he 'was not merely afraid, but the fact that [he] could see somebody who had just been killed, somebody who was covered in blood was extremely painful for [him]', see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1571; P-0275, Transcript of Hearing, 6 November 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-124-Red2-ENG, (T-124), p. 16, lns 12-15; also P-0280 a former Abok IDP camp resident, was forced to beat to death another abductee who tried to escape while an armed LRA rebel armed watched, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1979, 2984; finally, Lukodi IDP camp resident P-0187 stated 'they told us that if anyone tried to run, they would be shot. I was afraid and was shaking. [...] I was shaking', see Conviction

- Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1813; P-0187 at T-164, p. 11, lns 1-10.
- 892 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 48-50.
- 893 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para 173; Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, paras 206-208.
- 894 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2902-2903.
- 895 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1571.
- 896 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1571.
- 897 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 208.
- 898 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1566-1567 (Odek); paras 1728, 1753 (Lukodi).
- 899 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1566-1567 (Odek); paras 187, 1821-1827 (Lukodi).
- 900 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2902-2903.
- 901 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1821-1826 (Lukodi).
- 902 See P-0024 stated that 'There was one man, one elderly man who was pleading and saying, "Please, the lady is just crying because she's trying to save her baby". But the younger ones were not listening, they said "No, we'll take the baby and throw the baby". They told me that "If you keep on talking you're going to face the consequences later. I did not have anything to say, so I just decided to keep quiet', P-0024, Transcript of Hearing, 2 June 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-78-Red-ENG, (T-78), p. 51, lns 4-12; see also Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para 1821.
- 903 P-0024 at T-77, p. 64, lns 13-17.
- 904 P-0024 at T-77, p. 41, lns 3-11.
- 905 See, inter alia, P-0006 resident of the Pajule IDP camp who testified that she was abducted and spent a considerable time in the LRA, and based on the things that '[she] saw in the bush, [she] personally feel[s] that at times [she is] tired' and 'confused'. She also testified that she isolates herself and adds that although she has received psychological treatment, she does not think she is 'fully recovered'. She further explained that she still thinks about 'a lot of things' which really upsets her and gives her bad headaches, P-0006 at T-140, pp. 29-30. ⊃906 See, inter alia, P-0009 a local chief in Acholiland who explained that the attack on the Pajule IDP camp, which he experienced, was 'extremely traumatic'. He added that 'people were extremely upset' and 'sad'. When asked about what consequences the attack on the Paiule IDP camp had on his family, P-0009 testified that 'most of the people that are living in Pajule are not happy, because everyone was affected in one way or another', P-0009 at T-81, pp. 79-81. Similarly, D-0083, a member a Ugandan NGO, testified that a lot of people in his community 'are mentally traumatised because of what they saw'. She affirmed that almost everybody, 60 to 70 per cent of the people in Northern Uganda are mentally traumatised because of what they saw and how they were never prepared to return to normal life without clear deliberate programmes and policies, D-0083, Transcript of Hearing, 23 May 2019, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-217-ENG, (T-217), p. 39, lns 8-14. Witness P-0024 explained to the Chamber during trial that the attack left her traumatised. She

- explained that she was still suffering, that the images of what took place still come to her mind, and she suffers shock as a result, P-0024 at T-77, p. 66, lns 6-10, 15-18. Finally, V-0004, a local councillor in Lukodi, testified extensively about to the impact of the attack on the Lukodi community, V-0004, Transcript of Hearing, 3 May 2018, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-173-Red-ENG, (T-173), p. 23, lns 9-12.
- 907 See, inter alia, P-0293 a camp leader in Abok who testified that even up to now people who survived the attack still have nightmares. He added that these people 'would dream about it and even shout and cry at night that there is fighting again, and yet indeed there is no more fighting', P-0293 at T-138, p. 42, lns 21-24.
- 908 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010.
- 909 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 51.
- 910 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 50.
- 911 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 50.
- Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 42. See, inter alia, P-0252 explained that cen is an 'evil spirit that possesses you after you kill somebody. If you kill somebody and do not bury them, their evil spirits haunt you', see P-0252, Transcript of Hearing, 19 June 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-88-Red-ENG, (T-88), p. 38, lns 5-8; also P-0138 testified that cen represents the spirit of a person who has been killed innocently, and explained that those affected by it may experience nightmares, P-0138, Transcript of Hearing, 30 October 2017, ICC-02/ 04-01/15-T-120-Red2-ENG, (T-120), p. 75, lns 2-7. P-0009, a local chief in Acholiland, testified that 'cen' is 'a spirit that comes and possesses you'. He further explained that it is the 'spirit of a dead person', sometimes, the spirit of a family member; P-0009 at T-81, p. 92, lns 2-6. Similarly, P-0252, an abductee from the Odek attack who was kept captive in the LRA, explained that the spirits 'still distur[b] [him] up to now' and that he feels 'haunted'; P-0252 at T-88, p. 29, lns 23-24.
- 913 In her report, the Expert also mentions that these spirits reveal one's experience with death, either as a killer or witness, and if not treated using traditional healing practices can result in stigma, disruptions in the home, and long-term suffering, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 40; Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 42.
- 914 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 40.
- 915 The Chamber recalls that one of the survey participants in Dr Atim's report explains that her husband who had remained in rebel captivity for four years, has nightmares which leads him to shout, run and hit himself on the wall. The interviewee also explained that her husband cries a lot, and that he believes that he is going to die as the spirits continue to attack him, *see* Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 41. Another interviewee in Dr Atim's report, explained that her husband is also haunted by the spirits, and that sometimes he becomes aggressive and is unable to work. The interviewee states that her husband is attacked by two different spirits, *see* Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 42.
- 916 See, inter alia, A/01682/16, A/01674/16, A/01727/16, A/01760/16, A/01777/16, A/00802/16, A/01165/16.
- 917 See, inter alia, A/01167/16.
- 918 See, inter alia, A/01682/16, A/01727/16, A/00052/16, A/00055/16, A/00357/16, A/00620/16, A/01170/16.

- 919 See, inter alia, A/01466/16, A/01608/16, A/01643/16, A/01645/16, A/01777/16, A/01902/16, A/01938/16, A/01949/16, A/02037/16, A/02063/16, A/02092/16, A/02109/16, A/00831/16, A/01170/16, A/00038/16, A/00499/16, A/00534/16.
- 920 See, inter alia, A/01742/16, A/01760/16, A/01777/16, A/01962/16, A/01170/16, A/01214/16, A/00052/16, A/00055/16, A/00357/16, A/00620/16.
- 921 See, inter alia, A/01528/16, A/01626/16, A/01639/16.
- 922 See, inter alia, A/30006/13.
- 923 See, inter alia, A/01639/16, A/00008/16, A/00052/16, A/00181/16, A/00477/16.
- 924 See, inter alia, A/30003/13.
- 925 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 926 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17.
- 927 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17.
- 928 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 25.
- 929 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 25.
- 930 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27; CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52; ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, pp. 6-7.
- 931 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52.
- 932 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52; FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1947, p. 11; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 23, 25.
- 933 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 934 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/ 04-01/15-1974, para. 23.
- 935 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 23.
- 936 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 22.
- 937 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2842-2844, 2874 (Pajule); paras 2898-2900, 2927 (Odek); paras 1781-1784, 2951-2953, 2973 (Lukodi); paras 2997-2999, 3020 (Abok).
- 938 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1290-1291, 1293-1294, 1296-1299, 2842 (Pajule); paras 1459-1466, 2898 (Odek); paras 1781-1784, 2951 (Lukodi); paras 1901-1904, 1907, 2997 (Abok); Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 170 (Pajule); para. 201 (Odek); para. 240 (Lukodi); para. 273 (Abok).
- 939 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 170; Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2842.
- 940 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 170. See, inter alia, P-0047, explained that the looted goods were 'important items' for the civilians in the Pajule IDP camp, which they

- 'used in their daily lives'. The goods and items looted represented 'the basic means of survival for the population' living in the Pajule IDP camp, P-0047, Transcript of Hearing, 27 September 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-114-ENG, (T-114), p. 38, lns 1-6.
- 941 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2898-2899.
- 942 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 238 (Lukodi); para. 273 (Abok).
- 943 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 169 (Pajule); para. 201 (Odek); para. 238 (Lukodi); para. 273 (Abok).
- 944 V-0004 at T-173, p. 26, lns 8-13.
- 945 V-0004 at T-173, p. 26, ln 23 to p. 27, ln 10.
- 946 P-0293 at T-138, p. 26, lns 17-19.
- 947 P-0306, Transcript of Hearing, 15 November 2017, ICC-02/ 04-01/15-T-130-ENG ET, (T-130), p. 25, lns 8-10.
- 948 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 59.
- 949 See, inter alia, A/01442/16, A/01949/16, A/02069/16, A/01936/16, A/01840/16.
- 950 See, inter alia, A/01688/16, A/01760/16, A/01952/16.
- 951 See, inter alia, A/01952/16, A/5397/15.
- 952 See, inter alia, A/01760/16, A/0195/16, A/01916/16, A/0149/16, A/01952/16.
- 953 See, inter alia, A/01509/16, A/02109/16, A/06812/15, A/06826/15, A/00428/16, A/00360/16.
- 954 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1781-1784, 2955-2957, 2973 (Lukodi); paras 3001-3004, 3020 (Abok).
- 955 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2955-2957, (Lukodi); paras 3000-3003 (Abok).
- 956 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2955-2957, (Lukodi).
- 957 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3000-3003 (Abok).
- 958 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2955-2957, (Lukodi)
- 959 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1785-1786, 1791-1795, 2955 (Lukodi); paras 196, 1910-1915, 1917, 1923-1924, 3001 (Abok); see also Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 243 (Lukodi); para. 277 (Abok).
- 960 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1260; Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 150.
- 961 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 189.
- 962 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 245.
- 963 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1794.
- 964 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1791.
- 965 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1795
- 966 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1915.
- 967 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1872.

- 968 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1912; P-0293 at T-138, p. 24, lns 1-3.
- 969 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 59.
- 970 See, inter alia, A/01936/16, A/01354/16.
- 971 See, inter alia, A/06812/15.
- 972 See, inter alia, A/01427/16, A/05397/15, A/06660/15.
- 973 See, inter alia, A/05158/15, A/05220/15, A/05523/15, A/05578/15
- 974 See, inter alia, A/05675/15.
- 975 See, inter alia, A/05769/15, A/05739/15.
- 976 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 60.
- 977 About the impact of the Lukodi attack, see V-0004 at T-173, p. 23, lns 12-19; see also testimony of P-0009 in relation to the Pajule attack, P-0009 at T-81, p. 86, lns 14-17.
- 978 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 53.
- 979 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 52.
- 980 V-0001 at T-174, p. 29, ln 21 to p. 30, ln 2. *See also* P-0009 at T-81, p. 90, lns 4-18; P-0293 at T-138, p. 47, ln 21 to p.48, ln 3
- 981 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 62.
- 982 See, inter alia, A/01423/16, A/01608/16, A/01610/16, A/01665/16, A/01688/16, A/01832/16, A/01938/16, A/02069/16, A/06883/15, A/01085/16, A/06929/15, A/00161/16, A/00369/16, A/00394/16, A/00861/16, A/02099/16.
- 983 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 9.
- 984 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 9.
- 985 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 16.
- 986 See para. <u>245</u> above, where the Chamber found that victims suffered severe economic consequences as a result of the widespread looting and pillaging across the four IDP camps; see also P-0306 at T-130, p. 25, lns 9-10.
- 987 See para. 242 above, where the Chamber found that the victims of attacks suffered from long-lasting moral harm. See, inter alia, P-0006 who was abducted and spent a considerable time in the LRA, and testified that based on the things that '[she] saw in the bush, [she] personally feel[s] that at times [she is] tired', and 'confused'. She also testified that she isolates herself and adds that although she has received psychological treatment, she does not think she is 'fully recovered'. P-0006 at T-140, p. 29, lns 17-20, p. 30, lns 3-8.
- 988 See para. 230 above, where the Chamber found that the physical harm suffered by the victims of the attacks had long-lasting consequences. See also Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 52-53.
- 989 See para. 245 above, where the Chamber found that the victims suffered severe economic consequences as a result of the widespread looting and pillaging across the four IDP camps. See, inter alia, V-0004, a civilian resident of the Lukodi IDP camp, who testified that 'after the attack [p]eople are desperate, desperately in a poor, living in a poor condition. People are not able to farm and get enough money to pay for the school fees', V-0004 at T-173, p. 26, lns 8-13.
- 990 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 500.

- 991 V-0002, Transcript of Hearing, 1 May 2018, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-171-Red-ENG, (T-171), p. 29, lns 8-13.
- 992 See, inter alia, A/01938/16, A/01515/16, A/01522/16, A/01605/16, A/01674/16.
- 993 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52.
- 994 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52.
- 995 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-1923-Red, para. 52.
- 996 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52.
- 997 TFV's December 20221 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 71.
- 998 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-1923-Red, para. 52; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27 (iii).
- 999 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52; TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 71.
- 1000 TFV's December 20221 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 71.
- 1001 See para. 128 above.
- 1002 See paras 215-224 above.
- 1003 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1471, 2885.
- 1004 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1471.
- 1005 P-0281, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1336, para. 21.
- 1006 P-0281, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1336, paras 21-22.
- 1007 P-0281, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1336, para. 24.
- 1008 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 1504; Sentence, para. 150; see, inter alia, witness P-0195, a Lukodi resident, testified that when she returned to the camp after being abducted, she saw children who had been killed, including her sister in law's child and other children who was shot in the mouth, P-0195, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1263, para. 27; P-0218, a teacher in Odek, mentioned that he saw dead bodies in the camp after the attack, including his uncles', P-0218, Transcript of Hearing, 10 July 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-90-ENG, (T-90), p. 2, lns 19-21, p. 79, lns 1-3.
- 1009 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1314 (Pajule); *see also* P-0067 testified that he saw the dead body of the woman whose three kids were around her crying '[o]ur mother has been killed', P-0067 at T-125, p. 18, lns 12-18.
- 1010 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 483.
- 1011 P-0270, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1297, paras 40-41.
- 1012 P-0270, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1297, paras 40-41.
- 1013 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 165.
- 1014 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 166.
- 1015 See, inter alia, P-0009, a local chief in in Pajule, who testified that upon his return 'people were extremely upset. People were sad [...]. People's children had not come back [...]. So people were extremely angry, people were not happy about the event,

- in the way that things had happened', P-0009 at T-81, p. 79, lns 10-13. V-0004, a local councillor in Lukodi, testified that families of individuals who were abducted and have not yet returned 'are in pain, and they think that their children have all died', V-0004 at T-173, p. 29, lns 10-13.
- Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 165; P-0081,
 Transcript of Hearing, 4 October 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-118-Red, (T-118), p. 17, ln 18, p. 19, ln 10.
- 1017 P-0196, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1277, para. 24.
- 1018 P-0196, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1277, para. 24.
- 1019 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, pp. 24-26.
- 1020 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 24.
- 1021 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 25.
- 1022 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 25.
- 1023 PCV-0002 at T-176, p. 25, lns 10-12.
- 1024 PCV-0002 at T-176, p. 25, lns 11-15; see also Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 28.
- 1025 PCV-0003 at T-177, p. 16, lns 15-19.
- 1026 PCV-0003 at T-177, p. 18, lns 1-3.
- 1027 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 37.
- 1028 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, pp. 41-42.
- 1029 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 42.
- 1030 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 42.
- 1031 See para. 128 above.
- 1032 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1316-1320 (Pajule); para. 1571 (Odek); paras 1743-1745, 1750 (Lukodi); paras 1978, 1996 (Abok).
- 1033 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 150.
- 1034 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 150.
- 1035 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1571 (Odek). See also witness P-0275 a civilian resident of Odek IDP camp at the time of the attack, who described that 'the fact that I could see somebody who had just been killed, somebody who was covered in blood was extremely painful for me', P-0275 at T-124, p. 16, lns 12-15.
- 1036 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1571; Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 207.
- 1037 See, inter alia, P-0067, a resident in Pajule, who stated during trial that while abducted he saw someone being shot dead, P-0067 at T-125, p. 27, lns 14-17. In the Sample, victims A/01466/16 and A/00064/16 recounted their experiences having to witness murders and the effect it has on them.
- 1038 P-0006 at T-140, p. 25, ln 22 to p. 26, ln 4.
- 1039 P-0006 at T-140, p. 29, lns 17-20.
- 1040 P-0306 at T-130, p. 66, lns 1-6.
- 1041 P-0306 at T-130, p. 26, lns 15-18.
- 1042 P-0067 at T-125, p. 18, lns 12-18
- 1043 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 188.
- 1044 See Annex II, p. 5.
- 1045 See, inter alia, A/01643/16, A/01645/16, A/01737/16, A/01777/ 16, A/01795/16, A/01902/16, A/00052/16, A/01914/16, A/00055/16.

- 1046 See, inter alia, A/01910/16, A/00064/16, A/01149/16, A/01910/16.
- 1047 See, inter alia, A/01608/16, A/01907/16, A/00802/16, A/00654/16.
- 1048 See, inter alia, A/01427/16, A/01456/16, A/01485/16, A/01509/16, A/01533/16, A/01643/16, A/01688/16, A/01736/16, A/01737/16, A/01742/16, A/01762/16, A/01795/16, A/01833/16, A/01840/16, A/01873/16, A/01902/16, A/01891/16, A/01907/16, A/01910/16, A/01914/16, A/01952/16.
- 1049 See, inter alia, A/01423/16, A/01522/16, A/01625/16, A/01674/16, A/01736/16, A/01760/16.
- 1050 See, inter alia, A/00052/16, A/06719/15.
- 1051 See, inter alia, A/01515/16.
- 1052 See, inter alia, A/01515/16, A/01528/16, A/01610/16, A/01873/16, A/02067/16, A/00521/16.
- 1053 The Chamber has also taken into consideration the previous jurisprudence of the Court; see Katanga Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3728-tENG, paras 121, 147; Katanga, Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red, para. 126; Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 177, referring to Ntaganda Sentencing Judgment, ICC-01/04-02-06-2442, para. 44.
- 1054 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para 27(iii); TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 71; FJDI, WVCN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1922, para. 22(g); ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 23.
- 1055 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 23.
- 1056 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 23.
- 1057 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 23.
- 1058 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 242.
- 1059 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 245.
- 1060 P-0060, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-0826, paras 63, 66.
- 1061 P-0060, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-0826, para. 66.
- 1062 Lubanga, Judgment on Victims' Participation, ICC-01/04-01/ 06-1432, para. 32; see also Lubanga Decision on Indirect Victims, ICC-01/04-01/06-1813, para. 50; Katanga, Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3728-tENG, para. 137.
- 1063 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 480; P-0218 at T-90, p. 21, lns 8-15.
- 1064 P-0218 at T-90, p. 21, lns 8-15.
- 1065 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 455-461.
- 1066 P-0306 at T-130, p. 26, lns 15-18.
- 1067 P-0306 at T-130, p. 24, lns 16-17.
- 1068 See, inter alia, A/01427/16, A/01442/16, A/01576/16, A/01737/16, A/01914/16.
- 1069 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 53.
- 1070 See, inter alia, A/01427/16, A/01576/16, A/01936/16, A/01986/16, A/01137/16, A/00831/16, A/00838/16, A/01249/16, A/05739/15.
- 1071 See, inter alia, A/01485/16, A/01645/16, A/01891/16, A/01914/16, A/01167/16.
- 1072 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 205, 212.
- 1073 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 212.

- 1074 The Chamber notes that these include P-0101 between 1 July 2002 and July 2004; P-0214 between September 2002 and 31 December 2005; P-0226 between 1 July 2002 and sometime in 2003; P-0227 between approximately April 2005 and 31 December 2005; and over one hundred civilian women and girls abducted by the Sinia brigade, from at least 1 July 2002 until 31 December 2005, *see* Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3021, 3027, 3035, 3044, 3070, 3072, 3078, 3081, 3116.
- 1075 Specifically, P0226 sometime in 2002 or early 2003 close to Patongo, Northern Uganda; and P-0235 sometime in late 2002 or early 2003 at an unspecified location in Northern Uganda, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04- 01/15-1762-Red, paras 3063-3068, 3116.
- 1076 Specifically, P-0101, two pregnancies, between 1 July 2002 and July 2004; and P-0214 sometime in 2005, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3056-3062, 3116.
- 1077 These include P-0099 between 1 July 2002 and September 2002; P-0235 from September 2002 to 31 December 2005; P-0236 between September 2002 and 31 December 2005; and civilian women and girls abducted by the Sinia brigade, who were not yet subject to institutionalised sexual abuse, but enslaved by been deprived of their personal liberty, restricted and dictated on their movement, including by threats and subjecting them to armed guard, subjected to forced labour, and physical and psychological abuse, from at least 1 July 2002 until 31 December 2005, *see* Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3050-3055, 3086, 3116.
- 1078 P-0099 between 1 July 2002 and September 2002 (in relation to forced marriage, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-1762-Red, paras 3021-3026, 3116.
- 1079 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27; CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15- 1923-Red, para. 49; TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 74; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 26; FJDI, WVCN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1922, para. 21 (p. 12).
- 1080 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23; UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15- 1972, para. 10; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 26.
- 1081 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23; ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04- 01/15-1925, para. 5(e) (4).
- 1082 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 10.
- 1083 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 10; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 26.
- 1084 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 10; CLRV's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15- 1990, para. 60; TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 74.
- 1085 ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, para. 5(e) (4).
- 1086 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 26.
- 1087 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1088 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 26.
- 1089 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 13; FJDI, WVCN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1922, para. 21 (p. 12).

- 1090 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1091 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 26; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC- 02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1092 CLRV's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1990, para. 60; UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 13.
- 1093 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1094 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1095 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 26.
- 1096 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2309.
- 1097 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 340.
- 1098 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2309, 3073.
- 1099 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2124-2142.
- 1100 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1367-1368.
- 1101 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 1611-1612.
- 1102 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1998.
- 1103 See, inter alia, P-0374 who recalled the moment of her abduction when she was hiding with her brothers and an LRA member took them, pulled them up and forced them to go with him. She stated that she tried to resist but the soldier kicked her, see P-0374, Statement, UGA-OTP-0263-0023-R01, para. 25. In addition, the Chamber notes that P-0351 indicated that she was abducted from her house by one LRA soldier. P-0351 stated that if you tried to run away from the soldiers they would beat you and bring you back, see P-0351, Statement, UGA-OTP- 0263-0002-R01, paras 15, 18. Finally, P-0396 also provided relevant information about the violent context in which she was abducted, and testified that an LRA came into her hut asking for money, beat her mother with a stick and took her with him, see P-0396, Statement, UGA-OTP-0367-0246-R01, para. 16.
- 1104 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 3023 (Ongwen's 'wives'); para. 3070 (Sinia 'wives').
- 1105 See testimony provided by P-0226, Transcript of Hearing, 15 September 2019, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-8-Red2- ENG, (T-8), p. 33, lns 1-6.
- 1106 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 216-221.
- 1107 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 217, 3082 (Sinia 'wives').
- 1108 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2082, 3045 (Ongwen's 'wives'); para. 3082 (Sinia 'wives').
- 1109 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 215, 3045 (Ongwen 'wives'); para. 3082 (Sinia 'wives').
- 1110 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3045 (Ongwen 'wives'); para. 3082 (Sinia 'wives'). See also the evidence provided during trial by P-0099 who stated that on one

- occasion she was beaten for refusing to carry out tasks such as cooking. During her testimony, P-0099 also stated that the consequences for Mr Ongwen's wives who disobeyed his instructions included being 'beaten badly or killed', *see* P-0099, Transcript of Hearing, 10 November 2015, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-14-Red-ENG, (T-14), p. 40, ln 4 to p. 41, ln 3.
- 1111 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 206, 215, 2029.
- 1112 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 206, 3023, 3045, 3058, 3097 (Ongwen 'wives'); paras 215, 3073, 3082 (Sinia 'wives'); see also P-0214 who testified 'when you're in the bush regardless of whether you think of escaping, it's impossible to escape because when you do try to escape, when you attempt to escape, they follow you and you are taken back and you may actually be killed as well', see P-0214, Transcript of Hearing, 11 November 2015, ICC-02/ 04-01/15-T-15-Red-ENG, (T-15), p. 28, lns 15-18. See also P-0352 who testified that the day she was abducted she was told that if she tried to escape and was re-captured, she would be killed, see P-0352, Statement, UGA-OTP-0260-0315-R01, para. 44. P-0396, also testified that a group of girls she was with was told by Mr Ongwen that if anyone tried to escape, they would chase her and kill her, see P-0396, Statement, UGA-OTP-0267-0246-R01, para. 68.
- 1113 See, inter alia, P-0352 who testified that she was beaten after she had a conversation with another abductee from her village. The rebels suspected that the victim and the other abductee were thinking about escaping, so she was told to lie down on her stomach, and a soldier proceeded to sit on her back, whilst another sat on her legs so that she couldn't move. She further explained that a third soldier started to beat her on her buttocks with a stick, and she was then given 50 strokes, which she described as being 'very painful'. She added that she had bruises on her buttocks as a result of the beating, see P-0352, Statement, UGA-OTP-0260-0315-R01, para. 51.
- 1114 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 295.
- 1115 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 295.
- 1116 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 295.
- 1117 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2075; P-0226 at T-8, p. 44, ln 25 to p. 45, ln 2; P-226, Transcript of Hearing, 16 September 2015, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-9-Red-ENG, (T-9), p. 5, ln 10 to p. 6, ln 1.
- 1118 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2309.
- 1119 See, inter alia, P-0351, Statement, UGA-OTP-0263-0002-R01, para. 33; P-0351, Transcript of Hearing, 14 November 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-129-Red2-ENG, (T-129), p. 10, lns 15-22. Also, P-0352 stated 'my legs were injured and I could not really walk. One leg was swollen and the other one had wounds from the dry grass that had become septic', she also testified that she did not have medication but massaged her legs with water, P- 0352, Statement, UGA-OTP-0260-0315-R01, para. 45. Furthermore, P-0374 who testified 'my legs were really swollen and I had injuries from sticks and thorns', P-0374, Statement, UGA-OTP -0263-0023-R01, para. 89.
- 1120 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2019.
- 1121 See, inter alia, P-0374 who testified that she sustained injuries on her buttocks from the beatings, and that 'we did not have any drugs for treatment. You would just live with the injury until eventually it gets cured without any medication

- attention', see P-0374, Transcript of Hearing, 30 January 2018, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-150-Red- ENG, (T-150), p. 14, lns 5-20.
- 1122 See, inter alia, P-0351 who testified that her feet became swollen after she was abducted, and that it was difficult for her to continue walking, so she had to be carried by other abductees on their shoulders. See P-0351, Statement, UGA-OTP-0263-0002-R01, para. 33; P-0351 at T-129, p. 10, lns 15-22.
- 1123 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2309; see also P-0352 who testified that her legs were injured and she could not walk since once of her legs was swollen and the other one had wounds that had become septic. She also testified that she did not have medication but massaged her legs with water, P-0352, Statement, UGA-OTP-0260-0315-R01, para. 45.
- 1124 See, inter alia, P-0101 at T-13, p. 11, lns 22-24; P-0366, Transcript of Hearing, 24 January 2018, ICC-02/04- 01/15-T-147-Red2-ENG, (T-147), p. 19, ln 14; P-0227 at T-10, p. 58, lns 1-10; P-0351, indicated in her statement sometimes they would move for two days without eating and at times they had no water, see P-0351, Statement, UGA-OTP-0263-0002-R01, para. 54.
- 1125 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 218; see, inter alia, P-0099 at T-14, p. 3, lns 8-15. See also P-0214, who testified that she did not want to sleep with Mr Ongwen but she saw three security guards with guns 'so [she] obeyed'. P-0214 also testified about the forced sexual intercourse she had with Mr Ongwen, she testified 'I tried to push him away but he told me to stop. He was heavy. It did not take long', see P-0214 at T-15, p. 24, lns 1-6, 18-23.
- 1126 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 218.
- 1127 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 218.
- 1128 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 3086.
- 1129 See, inter alia, P-0101 who testified that she was only 15 years old at the time of her abduction and when Mr Ongwen raped her stated 'it was so painful and for the first time in [her] life [she] experienced a very great suffering'. She further stated that her 'vagina was extremely sore' after being forced to have sexual relations with Mr Ongwen, see P-0101, Transcript of Hearing, 9 November 2015, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-13-Red-ENG, (T-13), p. 19, lns 14-18, p. 50, ln 25 to p. 51, ln 1. See also P-0396 who stated that she suffered from pain while having forced intercourse. According to this victim, she was in a lot of pain and was bleeding from her vagina, Statement, UGA-OTP-0267-0246-R01, P-0396, paras 80-81. The Chamber also notes the evidence provided by P-0227, who testified that she was abducted at the age of approximately 14 years old and became one of Mr Ongwen's wives. In her testimony, this victim said that after being forced to engage in sexual intercourse with Mr Ongwen she felt as though '[her] whole body was being torn apart' and that she 'felt a lot of pain, excruciating pain' and that every time she went to the bathroom she felt pain, see P-0227, Transcript of Hearing, 18 September 2015, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-10-Red-ENG, (T-10), p. 39, lns 7-11, p. 40, Ins 14-21. See also by P-0099 testified that after she was forced to have sexual intercourse with Mr Ongwen, she told him that he had hurt her, see P-0099 at T-14, p. 32, lns 23-25. See also P-0226, who stated that her vagina was torn during the intercourse and she was bleeding as a result. See

- P-0226 at T-8, p. 41, lns 12-17. P-0226, further testified that she sustained injuries to her vagina as a result of being forced to have sexual intercourse with Mr Ongwen as '[she] was young and he was much older than [her]', see P-0226 at T-9, p. 7, lns 9-14. See also P-0374, who testified that she sustained injuries to her private parts and that she experienced pain every time she tried to walk, which meant she could not 'walk freely'. P-0374 also testified to having pain in her lower abdomen, see P-0374 at T-150, p. 14, ln 24 to p. 15, In 4. See also by P-0448 who testified that it took her three days to be able to walk properly after being raped by her socalled husband, she stated 'I would walk with my legs apart [...] I feel a lot – I feel a lot of pain, even up to now as an older person', see P-0448, Transcript of Hearing, 21 February 2018, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-156-Red- ENG, (T-156), p. 41, lns 6-12. See also P-0351's statement where she recalled that having forced sexual intercourse was very painful for her, see P-0351, Statement, UGA-OTP-0263-0002- R01, para. 76.
- 1130 See, inter alia, P-0101 who testified that if she refused to have sex with Mr Ongwen, 'he would beat [her]; and he beat [her] a number of times for refusing to let him have sex with [her]', P-0101 at T-13, p. 21, lns 4-6. Also, P-0374 stated about her experience and recalled 'when he was raping me, he was beating me, he kept on slapping me, he kept on beating me', P-0374, at T-150, p. 14, lns 24-25. P-0226 testified that at approximately 12 years of age, she became Mr Ongwen's so-called 'wife'. The victim stated that she refused to have sex with Mr Ongwen and as a consequence she was repeatedly beaten and still has chest pains because of the number of strokes she incurred. She also stated that upon being told by Mr Ongwen that he wanted to have sex with her, she ran out and one of his escorts caught her and beat her. She further testified that the beating went on 'for about a week', P-0226 at T-8, p. 38, ln 21 to p. 39, ln 19, p. 39, ln 19 to p. 40, lns 21.
- 1131 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2041, 3056-3062.
- 1132 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2271.
- 1133 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2748.
- 1134 P-0214 testified that she was impregnated four times during her time in captivity, her first baby was born without medical attention, her second baby died immediately after birth and she had a miscarriage during her third pregnancy, P-0214, T-15, p. 28, ln 23 to p. 30, ln 5.
- 1135 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2275-2288, 2748.
- 1136 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 206, 2037, 3023.
- 1137 Conviction, Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2037, 2285.
- 1138 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2185.
- 1139 See, inter alia, P-0374, who testified that she sustained injuries to her genitalia and lower abdomen, she states that 'even right now I still experience the pain on my belly. I keep on experiencing that pain. It comes on and off and I persevere because I do not have any way of dealing with this. Sometimes I take drugs, but the pain does not cease entirely'. See P-0374 at T-150, p. 15, lns 6-9.

- 1140 See, inter alia, P-0226 at T-8, p. 40, lns 15-16.
- 1141 See, inter alia, P-0352, who testified during trial that she sustained vaginal tears until then, she indicated 'the injuries were bad. Because having had sexual intercourse by force, forcibly with a man, while I was in the bush did cause problems', see P-0352, Transcript of Hearing, 1 May 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-67-Red-ENG, (T-67), p. 40, lns 2-8.
- 1142 See, inter alia, P-0366 testified 'I did not sustain any injury during battle. But I was beaten. I had injuries or I had marks from being beaten', see P-0366 at T-147 p. 96, ln 25 to p. 97, ln 1.
- 1143 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 9.
- 1144 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 9.
- 1145 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 9.
- 1146 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 9.
- 1147 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, pp. 19-20.
- 1148 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, pp. 19-20.
- 1149 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, pp. 19-20.
- 1150 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, pp. 19-20.
- 1151 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 9; Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA- PCV-0001-0020, pp. 19-20; Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 45.
- 1152 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 45.
- 1153 See, inter alia, A/00346/16.
- 1154 See, inter alia, A/00346/16, A/01421/16, A/2101/16, A/07032/15, A/07093/15.
- 1155 See, inter alia, A/01247/16.
- 1156 See, inter alia, A/02101/16.
- 1157 See, inter alia, A/02112/16, A/02119/16.
- 1158 See, inter alia, A/07032/15, A/00610/16.
- 1159 See, inter alia, A/07093/15.
- 1160 See, inter alia, A/00346/16, A/01421/16.
- 1161 See, inter alia, A/07032/15.
- 1162 See, inter alia, A/01421/16.
- 1163 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27; ICTJ, UVF's Observations ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 27; TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 75.
- 1164 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27; UN's Observations, ICC- 02/04-01/15-1972, para. 8.
- 1165 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1166 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 8; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 26.

- 1167 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 12; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 27.
- 1168 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 12.
- 1169 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17; FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC- 02/04-01/15-1947, pp. 11, 13; UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 8; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 28; TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 74, 77, 80-81, 83.
- 1170 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, paras 75-76.
- 1171 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 76.
- 1172 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23; ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04- 01/15-1925, para. 5(e); Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 24.
- 1173 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 22, 76; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 26; FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1947, pp. 14-15; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 24, 27.
- 1174 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 50.
- 1175 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 12.
- 1176 FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1947, pp. 11, 13; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 28.
- 1177 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 8.
- 1178 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 12; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 27.
- 1179 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27; FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1947, p. 13.
- 1180 CLRV's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1990, para. 60; TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 83; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1181 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 28; TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC- 02/04-01/15-1920, paras 74-79.
- 1182 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 8.
- 1183 CLRV's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1990, para. 60; LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, paras 28-33; UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, paras 16-17.
- 1184 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2309.
- 1185 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2309.
- 1186 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2114-2142.
- 1187 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2143-2182.
- 1188 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2183-2191.

- 1189 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2082 (Ongwen's wives); paras 2253, 2275, 2289-2308. (Sinia 'wives').
- 1190 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2192-2195.
- 1191 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 206, 215, 2029.
- 1192 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 3073; Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 340.
- 1193 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 340.
- 1194 See, inter alia, P-0351 recalls that she was given as a wife to one of the commanders and she could not refuse because '[she] feared they would kill [her], P-0351 at T-129, p. 7, ln 22 to p. 8, ln 6.
- 1195 See, inter alia, P-0101 who was one of Ongwen's wives recalls being beaten if she refused to have sex with him, P-0101 at T-13, p. 21, lns 4-10. P-0226 who was also one of Ongwen's wife was beaten by his escorts for refusing to have sex with him. She indicated that while being beaten Ongwen was watching. She further recalled that she was beaten for a about a week since she continue refusing to have sleep with Ongwen, P-0226 at T-8, p. 38, ln 21 to p. 40, ln 2; P-0045, Transcript of Hearing, 12 September 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-103-Red2-ENG, (T-103), p. 79, lns 9-11; P-0351 at T-129, p. 11, ln 24 to p. 12, ln 1.
- 1196 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2210; see also P-0374, Statement, UGA-OTP-0263-0023-R01, para. 101.
- 1197 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2210; see also P-0374, Statement, UGA-OTP-0263-0023-R01, para. 101.
- Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, 1198 Conviction paras 2256, 2258; see also P-0351, who testified that during her first night in one of the LRA soldier's tent, she was forced to sleep with him and noted that she was crying and felt fearful that if she said anything or refused she would be killed, see P-0351, Statement, UGA-OTP-0263-0002-R01, para. 75. Furthermore, P-0352 testified that an LRA soldier told her that she was going to be his wife from then on, and that she should remove her clothes and lie down, she stated that she followed his instructions as she was scared that if she refused she would be killed because her so-called husband had a gun, see P-0352, Statement, UGA-OTP-0260-315-R01, paras 66-67. Also, P-0101 who testified that when she was taken to Mr Ongwen's tent she was 'extremely scared' she had tears 'rolling down [her] face'. The escorts took her and Mr Ongwen said to her 'have you seen this gun, if you refuse to sleep here, then you're going to face the consequences'. P-0101 also testified that '[she] did not have a choice. He forced [her] because [she] was not yet at the age where [she] could have sexual relations. He forced [her]. It wasn't [her] choice', P-0101 at T-13, p. 17, lns 19-24, p. 19, lns 11-12.
- 1199 P-0214 at T-15, p. 23, ln 24 to p. 24, ln 1.
- 1200 P-0214 at T-15, p. 24, lns 1-2.
- 1201 P-0214 at T-15, p. 24, lns 10-21.
- 1202 P-0119, Transcript of Hearing, 13 November 2018, ICC-02/ 04-01/15-T-196-Red2-ENG, (T-196), p. 20, ln 8.
- 1203 P-0119 at T-196, p. 20, lns 8-9; see also P-0374 testified that the man she had been distributed to told her not to cry during

- the forced sexual intercourse but she cried silently, see P-0374, Statement, UGA-OTP-0263-0023- R01, para. 103.
- 1204 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2041, 3056-3062.
- 1205 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2271.
- 1206 See, inter alia, P-0101 at T-13, p. 43, lns 14-18; P-0099 at T-14, p. 59, lns 21-25.
- 1207 See, inter alia, P-0006 testified that her child who was born in the bush cannot live with her as her new partner does not want to be with the child and the child is now being raised by her parents, she states 'it's extremely painful for me that I'm separated from my child. It's very painful for me', P-0006 at T-140, pp. 31, lns 11-20.
- 1208 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 215, 3082; Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 349.
- 1209 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 209-210.
- 1210 See, inter alia, P-0366 testified that she was forced to kill people while abducted. During trial she stated 'when I was still there, I would it would disturb me and I would feel as if they would also kill me, because you don't know why that person was killed', P-0366 at T-147, p. 21, lns 23-24.
- 1211 P-0235, Transcript of Hearing, 17 November 2015, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-17-Red-ENG, (T-17), p. 25, lns 17-20.
- 1212 P-0235 at T-17, p. 25, lns 17-20.
- 1213 P-0226 at T-8, p. 59, ln 8.
- 1214 P-0226 at T-8, p. 63, lns 21-22.
- 1215 P-0226 at T-9, p. 61, lns 3-9.
- 1216 P-0366 at T-147, p. 12, lns 18-22.
- 1217 P-0366 at T-147, p. 12, lns 18-22.
- 1218 P-0374 at T-150, p. 15, lns 18-22.
- 1219 See, inter alia, A/01149/16, A/02112/16.
- 1220 See, inter alia, P-0235 who testified that when she joined Mr Ongwen's home she didn't really talk to the other victims as she was 'thinking so much about home'. She testified that she 'would always be sad' and that she was 'thinking about [her] mother all the time'. She added that she often thought about the way in which she was removed from her family, and that it was 'difficult for [her] to know whether [she] would go back one day or not'. She further testified that she was upset that she could no longer see her family, and that she often cried because of it, see P-0235 at T-17, p. 9, ln 17 to p. 10, ln 3.
- 1221 See, inter alia, P-0009 testified that several rituals had to take place in order to 'cleanse' the abducted girls who were forcefully married. She testified that '[t]hey will have to kill a goat even if the husband is not there. They will also get some grasses and they will get that girl, get some blood from the goat and put it on the chest of the girl and some blood will be poured on the grass and the grass would be thrown away to mean that all the bad things that happened to the girl should be cast away', P-0009 at T-81, p. 82, lns 20-25.
- 1222 See, inter alia, P-0374, testified that when she returned from the bush many of the people feared living with her. When people realised she was a returnee, they started stigmatising her, they did not want her to play with their children as they feared she had 'evil spirits' or that she could kill their children, P-0374 at T-150, p. 16, ln 5 to p. 17, ln 6.

- 1223 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2749.
- 1224 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2748.
- 1225 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 45.
- 1226 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 22.
- 1227 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2748.
- 1228 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2750.
- 1229 P-0006 at T-140, p. 30, lns 23-25.
- 1230 P-0006 at T-140, p. 30, lns 23-25.
- 1231 P-0006 at T-140, p. 31, lns 13-20.
- 1232 V-0001 at T-174, p. 22, lns 7-11.
- 1233 V-0001 at T-174, p. 22, lns 7-13.
- 1234 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 33.
- 1235 See, inter alia, P-0227, testified about the psychological consequences she experienced as a result of being in the bush with Mr Ongwen, stating 'yes. I think a lot, I think a lot about what happened and it pains me', P-0227 at T-10, p. 62, lns 3-7. P-0351 testified that the events she witnessed during her time in the bush affected her psychologically. She also testified that when she returned to her community she 'was not very free' and was not able to 'live comfortably' with her peers who had not been abducted, see P-0351 at T-129, p. 11, lns 12-19.
- 1236 See, inter alia, P-0366, who testified that when she is reminded of her experiences in the bush she becomes emotional, and it makes her sad. She also testified that she still experiences nightmares, P-0366 at T-147, p. 28, lns 10-25.
- 1237 P-0374 at T-150, p. 15, ln 11.
- 1238 P-0374 at T-150, p. 15, ln 13.
- 1239 P-0374 at T-150, p. 15, lns 18-22.
- 1240 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 44.
- 1241 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 44.
- 1242 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 9.
- 1243 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 9.
- 1244 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 9.
- 1245 See, inter alia, A/01149/16.
- 1246 See, inter alia, A/01149/16, A/00610/16.
- 1247 See, inter alia, A/01149/16, A/02112/16, A/02119/16.
- 1248 See, inter alia, A/01149/16, A/01421/16, A/02112/16.
- 1249 See, inter alia, A/02112/16.
- 1250 See, inter alia, A/00610/16, A/01421/16, A/02119/16, A/07053/15.
- 1251 See, inter alia, A/01247/16, A/07053/15.
- 1252 See, inter alia, A/02101/16, A/07053/15.
- 1253 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17(xvi).

- 1254 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23; UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15- 1972, para. 8; 23 (iii.c); TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 77.
- 1255 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 8; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04- 01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i).
- 1256 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, paras 23(iii); Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i).
- 1257 LRVs' March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1993, paras 16, 31; CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 22; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i); UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 8; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15- 1974, para. 28; FJDI, WVCN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1922, para. 21 (p. 11).
- 1258 See, inter alia, P-0235 at T-17, p. 3, lns 15-19; P-0227 at T-10, p. 5, lns 20-25; P-0374 at T-150, p. 17, lns 5-10. 24.
- 1259 P-0227 at T-10, p. 5, lns 20-24.
- 1260 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 403.
- 1261 P-0351 at T-129, p. 12, lns 11-15.
- 1262 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 34.
- 1263 See, inter alia, P-0374 at T-150, p. 17, lns 8-9; P-0366 at T-147, p. 28, lns 5-14; P-0351 at T-129, p. 12, lns 11-15.
- 1264 P-0351 at T-129, p. 12, ln 13.
- 1265 P-0351 at T-129, p. 12, ln 14.
- 1266 P-0236, Transcript of Hearing, 16 November 2015, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-16-Red-ENG, (T-16), p. 37, lns 3-4.
- 1267 See P-0374 at T-150, p. 17, lns 7-9.
- 1268 See, inter alia, P-0366 at T-147, p. 28, lns 8-14.
- 1269 P-0049, Transcript of Hearing, 23 September 2019, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-234-Red2-ENG, (T-234), p. 71, lns 2-4.
- 1270 P-0049 at T-234, p. 70, ln 25.
- 1271 P-0049 at T-234, p. 71, lns 2-3.
- 1272 P-0049 at T-234, p. 71, ln 4.
- 1273 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 38.
- 1274 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23(iii.d).
- 1275 V-0001 at T-174, p. 22, lns 7 -13.
- 1276 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 98.
- 1277 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 63.
- 1278 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 63.
- 1279 P-0006 at T-140, p. 32, lns 3-5.
- 1280 P-0006 at T-140, p. 31, lns 4-10.
- 1281 See, inter alia, A/02101/16, A/01149/16, A/02112/16, A/07090/15.
- 1282 See, inter alia, A/02112/16.
- 1283 See, inter alia, A/01149/16, A/02119/16.
- 1284 See, inter alia, A/07053/15.
- 1285 See, inter alia, A/2101/16.

- 1286 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, fn 19 (p. 7).
- 1287 ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, p. 6.
- 1288 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 29.
- 1289 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 221.
- 1290 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 123.
- 1291 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 395, 3023.
- 1292 P-0101 at T-13, p. 43, ln 16.
- 1293 P-0101 at T-13, p. 43, lns 14-18.
- 1294 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red. paras 205-206, 395, 3023.
- 1295 P-0099 at T-14, p. 59, lns 21-25.
- 1296 P-0099 at T-14, p. 59, ln 23.
- 1297 See, inter alia, A/02119/16.
- 1298 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 17; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 30-31.
- 1299 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 51.
- 1300 Prosecutor's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1976, para. 12.
- 1301 ASF *et al.*'s Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1971, para. 51; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15- 1974, para. 31.
- 1302 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 51; LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, para. 72(iv); Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15- 1919-AnxII, para. 9; Prosecutor's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1976, para. 26; FJDI, WVCN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1922, para. 23(b) (p. 10); UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 17.
- 1303 Prosecutor's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1976, para. 26.
- 1304 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 76.
- 1305 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 76.
- 1306 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 76; ARLPI's Observations, ICC- 02/04-01/15-1925, p. 6; UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 17.
- 1307 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-1923-Red, para. 76.
- 1308 LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, para. 72(iv).
- 1309 LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, paras 64(viii), 72(iv).
- 1310 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 18; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 32.
- 1311 ASF *et al.*'s Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1971, para. 51; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15- 1974, para. 32.
- 1312 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 18; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 33.
- 1313 FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1947, p. 14.

- 1314 FJDI, WVCN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1922, para. 23(b) (p. 10).
- 1315 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 51.
- 1316 ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, p. 6.
- 1317 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 9.
- 1318 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 123.
- 1319 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 6.
- 1320 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 37
- 1321 Expert Report Professor Musisi, UGA-PCV-0003-0046, p. 31.
- 1322 Expert Report Professor Musisi, UGA-PCV-0003-0046, p. 31.
- 1323 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 462.
- 1324 P-0006 at T-140, p. 30, lns 23-25.
- 1325 P-0006 at T-140, p. 30, lns 23-25.
- 1326 P-0006 at T-140, p. 31, lns 11-20.
- 1327 P-0187 at T-164, p. 7, lns 5-9.
- 1328 PCV-0003 at T-177, p. 24, lns 3-6.
- 1329 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 33.
- 1330 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 33.
- 1331 PCV-0001 at T-175, p. 28, lns 15-16.
- 1332 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, pp. 5, 33.
- 1333 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, pp. 5, 33.
- 1334 PCV-0002 at T-176, p. 9, lns 8-9.
- 1335 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 44.
- 1336 See, inter alia, A/01149/16.
- 1337 See, inter alia, A/02101/16.
- 1338 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 433.
- 1339 D-0049, Transcript of Hearing, 23 September 2019, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-243-Red-ENG WT, (T-243), p. 71, lns 4-12.
- 1340 D-0049 at T-243, p. 71, lns 6-12.
- 1341 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 76; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 31; FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1947, p. 15.
- 1342 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 76; LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, para. 64(viii); ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, p. 6; UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 17.
- 1343 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 30.
- 1344 ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, p. 6; FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1947, p. 15.
- 1345 FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1947, p. 15.
- 1346 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 31.
- 1347 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 31.

- 1348 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 30.
- 1349 LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, para. 64(viii).
- P-0045, Transcript of Hearing, 13 September 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-104-Red-ENG WT, (T-104), p. 17, lns 8-15;
 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 33; PCV-0001 at T-175, p. 29, lns 10-12.
- 1351 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 266.
- 1352 P-0045 at T-104, p. 17, lns 8-9.
- 1353 P-0045 at T-104, p. 17, lns 12-15.
- 1354 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 33.
- 1355 PCV-0001 at T-175, p. 29, lns 10-12.
- 1356 See, inter alia, A/07093/15.
- 1357 PCV-0003 at T-177, p. 39, lns 5-6.
- 1358 PCV-0003 at T-177, p. 38, lns 12-13.
- 1359 PCV-0003 at T-177, p. 38, lns 16-17.
- 1360 PCV-0003 at T-177, p. 39, ln 7.
- 1361 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 51; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i); ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 17.
- 1362 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 51; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i).
- 1363 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 51; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i).
- 1364 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i).
- 1365 ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, para. 5(e)(6) (p. 7).
- 1366 See para. 128 above.
- 1367 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 165.
- 1368 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 310 (Ongwen's wives); para. 352 (Sinia's wives).
- 1369 See, inter alia, P-0226 at T-8, p. 11, ln 24 to p. 12, ln 2; P-0227 at T-10, p. 7, lns 2-4; P-0235 at T-17 p. 4, lns 7-9, p. 6, lns 11-13.
- 1370 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2017.
- 1371 P-0226 at T-8, p. 14, ln 9. See also P-0227, who referred to the pain suffered by her mother when abducted. She recalled 'My mother tried to cry my mother tried to cry, and then they said if my mother continued crying that meant she wanted to see me die, so my mother had to keep quiet', P-0227 at T-10 p. 7, lns 2-4; Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2021. P-0235 also stated that her mother tried to intervene s when she was abducted, but they threatened her. She recalled that in that moment her mother stated, 'why are you taking this child? She is still young. Then they started moving with me and my mother remained there outside the house'. She further explained 'my mother told them to leave me and they said they will beat my mother, so my mother left us', P-0235 at T-17 p. 4, lns 7-8, p. 6, lns 11-13; Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2025.

- 1372 See, inter alia, P-0366 at T-147, p. 26, ln 24 to p. 27, ln 2; P-0366 at T-147, p. 26, ln 24 to p. 27, ln 2.
- 1373 P-0366 at T-147, p. 26, ln 24 to p. 27, ln 2. See also, P-0374 testified about the mental health problems her mother developed after she was abducted and her father was killed, she explained, 'When I returned from the bush, my mother was still supportive of me. She wouldn't talk the kind of things she began saying later. But even then at the time when my father was killed and at the time I was abducted, she developed some mental problems. And sometimes when she is annoyed she starts, you know, talking all the things that I went through in the bush, she would abuse me', P-0374 at T-150, p. 55, ln 23 to p. 56, ln 2.
- 1374 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 10.
- 1375 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 27.
- 1376 P-0366 at T-147 p. 27, lns 3-14.
- 1377 P-0366 at T-147 p. 27, lns 12-14.
- 1378 V-0003, Transcript of hearing, 2 May 2018, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-172-Red-ENG (T-172), p. 28, lns 8-12.
- 1379 V-0003 at T-172, p. 28, lns 12-13.
- 1380 V-0003 at T-172, p. 21, lns 5-7.
- 1381 PCV-0003 at T-177, p. 28, lns 15-17. The Chamber further notes that women and girls victims of SGBC were forced to beat or kill other abductees whilst on captivity, see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 215, 3082; Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 349. See, also P-0366, who testified about her return and stigmatization from her community, she recalled 'people used to assume that when you are in the bush you would you committed atrocities, so when you come back home you're likely to commit the same atrocities against people back home. So, yeah, people used to talk about it', P-0366 at T-147, p. 27, lns 5-10.
- 1382 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 30.
- 1383 P-0187 at T-164, p. 7, lns 5-9.
- 1384 P-0187 at T-164, p. 7, lns 5-9.
- 1385 P-0187 at T-164, p. 7, lns 5-9.
- 1386 P-0187 at T-164, p. 7, lns 5-9.
- 1387 P-0422 at T-28, p. 85, ln 5 to p. 86, ln 11.
- 1388 P-0422 at T-28, p. 85, lns 5-8.
- 1389 P-0422 at T-28, p. 85, lns 5-16.
- 1390 See, inter alia, A/01490/16.
- 1391 See, inter alia, A/01566/16.
- 1392 See, inter alia, A/01566/16.
- 1393 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i).
- 1394 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 77.
- 1395 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 77.
- 1396 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 26.
- 1397 See paras 309-314 above.

- 1398 See, inter alia, A/01490/16.
- 1399 See para. 338 above.
- 1400 P-0187 at T-164, p. 7, lns 5-9.
- 1401 V-0003 at T-172, p. 28, lns 8-13.
- 1402 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 26.
- 1403 P-0422 at T-28, p. 76, lns 5-8.
- 1404 P-0422 at T-28, p. 85, lns 5-16.
- 1405 See, inter alia, A/01566/16.
- 1406 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 357; see also, Ntaganda Sentencing Judgment, ICC-01/04-02- 06-2442, para. 179.
- 1407 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 357; see also, Nta-ganda Sentencing Judgment, ICC-01/04-02- 06-2442, para. 179.
- 1408 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 360.
- 1409 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 360.
- 1410 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 360.
- 1411 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 85.
- 1412 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 85; CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 46.
- 1413 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 85.
- 1414 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 46; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i).
- 1415 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 46.
- 1416 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i); ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/ 04-01/15-1974, para. 38.
- 1417 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i); ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 38.
- 1418 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 38.
- 1419 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 38.
- 1420 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 38.
- 1421 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 38.
- 1422 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 38.
- 1423 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i).
- 1424 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i); ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/ 04-01/15-1974, para. 38.
- 1425 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i); TFV's December 2021 Observations ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 85.
- 1426 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i).
- 1427 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i).
- 1428 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 17.

- 1429 UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 19.
- 1430 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 38.
- 1431 See, inter alia, P-0307, a former LRA child soldier, stated that he was shot in the stomach and on his shoulder, hitting his bone, and explained 'every time here and there [he] experience[s] some pain on the shoulder', see P- 0307, Transcript of Hearing, 01 February 2018, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-152-Red2-ENG, (T-152), p. 74, lns 12-15.
- 1432 See, inter alia, P-0097, Transcript of Hearing, 19 September 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-108-Red2-ENG,(T- 108), p. 69, ln 10; P-0252, a former LRA child soldier who was 11 at the time of his abduction, testified that he sustained injuries on both legs and his arm during his time in the bush, P-0252, Transcript of hearing, 16 June 2015, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-87-Red2-ENG, (T-87), p. 55, ln 24, p.56, lns 14-15. P-0264, a former LRA child soldier, who stated that he was beaten with a machete 'after beating me with the machete they beat me on my back, they beat me on my buttocks... my buttocks were bleeding, my check my back was bleeding as well', P-0264, Transcript of Hearing, 3 April 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-64-Red2-ENG, (T-64), p. 21, lns 13-15.
- 1433 See, inter alia, P-0307, a former LRA child soldier, who stated that he was caned and had bruises on his buttocks, so he could hardly sit afterwards, P-0307, Statement, UGA-OTP-0266-0425-R01, para. 58.
- 1434 See, inter alia, P-0097 at T-108, p. 69, ln 25 to p. 70, ln 2.
- 1435 See, inter alia, P-0314, a former LRA fighter and an LRA escort, recounted that 'if, for example, someone is extremely tired and because sometimes people would walk for long distances carrying heavy luggage, if your feet are swollen, if you are tired, yeah, the people would disappear', P-0314, Transcript of Hearing, 29 May 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-74-Red-ENG, (T-74), p. 29, ln 24 to p. 30, ln 3. P-0340, a former LRA abductee and fighter, who stated that 'the children that we were abducted with, their feet were swollen, they were weak, there was no food because we did not have enough food', P-0340, Transcript of Hearing, 11 September 2017, ICC-02/04- 01/15-T-102-Red-ENG, (T-102), p. 18, lns 12-13.
- 1436 See, inter alia, P-0252 at T-87, p. 55, lns 15-19; P-0307 at T-152, p. 68, lns 5-7.
- 1437 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2341.
- 1438 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2373-2379; see also P-0252 at T-87, p. 50, lns 8-11.
- 1439 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 360; see also P-0264 at T-64, p. 20, ln 19 to p.21, ln 16.
- 1440 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 364; see also P-0307, Statement, UGA-OTP-0266-0425-R01, para. 49; P-0307 at T-152, p. 74, lns 12-15.
- 1441 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2373-2379.
- 1442 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 365, referring to Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1239; see also P-0097 at T-108, p. 72, lns 15-19.
- 1443 P-0307, Statement, UGA-OTP-0266-0425-R01, para. 58.
- 1444 P-0097 at T-108, p. 68, lns 11-25.
- 1445 See, inter alia, P-0097 at T-108, p. 68, lns 24-25; P-0252 at T-87, p. 77, lns 21-22.
- 1446 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 360.

- 1447 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2373; Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 360.
- 1448 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 323.
- 1449 P-0252 at T-87, p. 50, ln 8.
- 1450 P-0252 at T-87, p. 50, ln 11.
- 1451 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 298.
- 1452 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2376. See also P-0307, a former LRA child soldier, testified that when abductees were recruited into the army, they would give you 'uncountable strokes of the cane' to 'take away the civilian life from [you]', P-0307, Transcript of Hearing, 2 February 2018, ICC-02/04-01/15-T- 153-Red-ENG, (T-153), p. 23, lns 8-11; P-0330, a former LRA child soldier testified that after he had been recruited into the army, they told him to 'kneel down and touch [his] nails and [he] was beaten with a wire lock', P-0330, Transcript of Hearing, 10 March 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-51-Red2-ENG, (T-51), p. 57, lns 8-10.
- 1453 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 360.
- 1454 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 360; see, inter alia, P-0307, Statement, UGA-OTP-0266-0425- R01, para. 58. Also, P-0264, a former LRA child soldier abducted at age 11, who testified that he was severely beaten after trying to escape. He explained that after he was caught, he was taken to an operation room, where his hands were bound behind his back and he was blindfolded. The rebels started rubbing his neck with cassava stems and then beat him with a machete, P-0264 at T-64, p. 21, lns 1-16. P-0233, a former LRA member, testified that other children had been beaten to death for trying to escape. When asked how he knew whether the children had been killed, he responded 'because they were beaten, their skulls were smashed and their brains were all littering outside', P-0233, Transcript of Hearing, 22 September 2017, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-T-111-Red2-ENG, (T-111), p. 10, lns 19-25; P-0252, a former child soldier who was 11 at the time of his abduction, also testified that he was told he would be burnt with hot water if he tried to escape, he stated 'they said they would put hot water to boil and then use that to burn me', P-0252 at T-87, p. 40, lns 3-4.
- 1455 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 330.
- 1456 P-0264 at T-64, p. 21, lns 12-13.
- 1457 P-0264 at T-64, p. 21, lns 13-16.
- 1458 See, inter alia, P-0307, Statement, UGA-OTP-0266-0425-R01, para. 49; P-0097, an abductee and former LRA child soldier testified that while in captivity he was shot by government soldiers when he was sent to search for food and sustained a serious injury on his shoulder, P-0097, Statement, UGA-OTP-0258-0489-R01, para. 29; P- 0097 at T-108, p. 69, ln 25 to p. 70, ln 2, p. 72, lns 18-19; P-0379, a former LRA fighter, testified that during the attack on the Pajule IDP camp, he witnessed a very young boy, who appeared to be a rebel, being shot around his shoulders and on his head, he stated 'he fell lying on his back', P-0379, Transcript of Hearing, 20 March 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-57-Red2-ENG, (T-57), p. 27, lns 9-13; P-0307 at T-152, p. 74, lns 12-15.
- 1459 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2419; P-0252 at T-87, p. 55, lns 15-19.
- 1460 See, inter alia, P-0264, a former LRA fighter abducted at age 11, who was severely beaten after trying to escape and forced

- to carry a bag, recalled during trial 'I was given a bag to carry. I told them, "I am unable to carry this bag". They asked me, "Do you want to die?" I was in extreme pain and I was afraid so I ended up carrying the bag. My back was all—my back was all split, my buttocks were painful and my throat was extremely painful. I carried the bag, I walked for a little bit and fell down', P-0264 at T-64, p. 22, lns 6-10; P-0410, a former LRA child soldier abducted at 13, testified that he had chest pains all the time because of carrying heavy luggage, P-0410, Transcript of Hearing, 31 January 2018, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-151-Red2-ENG, (T-151), p. 80, lns 23-24.
- 1461 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 298-299.
- 1462 P-0097 at T-108, p. 68, lns 11-25; see also P-0330, Transcript of Hearing, 14 March 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15- T-53-Red-ENG, (T-53), p. 37, lns 4-6.
- 1463 See, inter alia, A/02099/16, A/02100/16.
- 1464 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 8.
- 1465 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 8.
- 1466 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 32.
- 1467 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 8.
- 1468 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 8.
- 1469 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 9.
- 1470 See, inter alia, P-0097 at T-108, p. 69, ln 25 to p. 70, ln 2.
- 1471 See, inter alia, P-0330 stated during trial that until today he has chest problems and will 'cough out blood because [he] carried the PK tin for a long time', see P-0330 at T-53, p. 37, lns 4-6.
- 1472 See, inter alia, P-0307, a former LRA fighter abducted before he was 15 years old, who stated that he was shot in the stomach and on his shoulder, the latter even hitting his bone, and testified that 'every time here and there [he] experience[s] some pain on the shoulder', see P-0307 at T-152, p. 74, lns 12-16.
- 1473 See, inter alia, P-0252, who was abducted age 11, during his testimony on trial recalled that '[he] was hit on [his] chest with a big stick. [He] still have the scar up to now', see P-0252 at T-87, p. 82, lns 24-25.
- 1474 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 9.
- 1475 PCV-0002 at T-176, p. 46, lns 14-16.
- 1476 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 46; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1477 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 46; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 39.
- 1478 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 39.
- 1479 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 46; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.

- 1480 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 46; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1481 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 46; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1482 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 46; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1483 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 46.
- 1484 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 39.
- 1485 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 46;Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1486 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 46; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1487 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 46; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1488 See, inter alia, P-0252, who was 11 at the time of his abduction, testified about how he was forced to beat a person until death and reflected 'I saw things in the bush and all those things have completely traumatised me. The things that I did in the bush have completely traumatised me', see P-0252 at T-87, p. 71, lns 1-3.
- 1489 See, inter alia, P-0252 testified about spirits haunting him after his return home, he explained 'When I was causing all these atrocities, killing people, I lost consciousness and I did not feel anything. But when I returned home it still disturbs me up to now, I still feel haunted. Sometimes I leave home and just wander and vanish. It doesn't happen to you immediately when you are in the bush. It can haunt you briefly but you gain the strength to go on and keep doing the same thing and you become confident and brave enough to do whatever you are asked to do. But when you return home you start getting haunted and they all come back to you'. See P-0252 at T-88, p. 29 ln 22 to p. 30, ln 4.
- 1490 See, inter alia, P-0097 at T-108, p. 77, lns 19-22; P-0309, a former LRA fighter who was abducted at age 13 testified that 'sometimes when I'm sleeping or when I'm just sitting, I – I visualise the things that happened in the bush, I see them, they always come, they always spring up in my mind', see P-0309, Transcript of Hearing, 29 March 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-61-Red-ENG, (T-61), p. 60, lns 1-5; P-0410, a former LRA fighter abducted at 13, testified that 'all the kind of things that happened to me was not there, the nightmares, the bad dreams that I have now, were not there'. See P-0410, Transcript of Hearing, 1 February 2018, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-152-Red- ENG, (T-152), p. 3, lns 14-24; P-0330, who was abducted as a child under the age of 15, testified that 'I also have a nightmare. There are particular months which I have these problems and I cry in the night alone'. He also testified that 'Also I have other terrible nightmares. Sometimes I wake up out of – because of the nightmares and I fail to sleep again'. See P-0330 at T-53, p. 38 lns 4-6, 14-15.
- 1491 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2341; see also, P-0097 at T-108, p. 9, lns 2-7; P- 0252, who was 11 at the time of his abduction, testified that he was forced to kill an abducted man. The witness testified

- that he beat the man very severely with a log as the LRA commanders instructed him to beat him until he cracked the man's skull completely. *See* P-0252 at T-87, p. 70, ln 9 to p. 71, ln 3.
- 1492 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 362.
- 1493 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 362. See also P-0252, who was 11 at the time of his abduction testified that while in captivity 'you are not allowed to introduce yourself to each other. If you meet somebody that you know, you just have to pass that person and pretend that you do not know that person'. See P-0252 at T- 88, p. 34, ln 18 to p. 35, ln 2.
- 1494 See, inter alia, P-0097, an abductee and former LRA child soldier, testified that 'there was a lot of stigmatisation. People would say that this so-and-so was abducted, he was a rebel, he killed, killed people'. See P-0097 at T-108, p. 77, lns 9-11; P-0410, a former LRA fighter abducted at age 13, testified that 'life is not easy for me in the community where I live', and he clarified the meaning of this by saying 'people still looked at us as rebels up till now. When you want to say something or contribute towards a discussion, they will say, "this is a returnee. Sometimes he's still mentally disturbed" or they will say this or that about us'. See P-0410 at T-151, p. 81, lns 6-8; P-0307, a former LRA fighter abducted before he was 15 years old, testified that, 'Sometimes somebody does not talk about directly to you, but they keep talking about that to other people. They would be saying this person returned from the bush and stigmatisation is the order of the day'. See P-0307 at T-152, p. 75, lns 3-5; P-0252 testified that 'there was a lot of stigmatisation, there were a lot of insults. They would tell you that is you don't watch out, this person would kill you'. See P-0252 at T-88, p. 36, lns 4-6.
- 1495 See, inter alia, P-0309, a former LRA soldier abducted at age 13, testified 'my abduction and staying in the bush changed my life because I was not able to complete my education, and I lost a lot of friends, I no longer know the friends that I grew up'. See P-0309 at T-61, p. 60, lns 9-14; P-0307 testified that he feels he is left behind, saying 'my abduction at a young age and my stay in the bush interrupted my life because, if I compare my life with that of my colleagues with whom we were living together, I, I am behind. If I had continued studying, I would have gone so far now. Even right now in terms of academic excellence I cannot excel because of the things that happened to me when I was in the bush. So I am lagging behind'. See P-0307 at T-152, p. 74, lns 20-25.
- 1496 See, inter alia, P-0309, a former LRA soldier who was abducted at age 13, stated 'My abduction and staying in the bush changed my life because I was not able to achieve my ambitions'. See P-0309 at T-61, p. 60, lns 9-14.
- ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, 1497 Conviction Judgment, para. 2341. See also P-0070, a former battalion commander of the LRA, indicated during his testimony on trial 'Newly abducted people are fearful, very fearful, because they are not used to gunshots' P-0070, Transcript of Hearing, 15 September 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T- 106-Red-ENG, (T-106), p. 61, lns 24-25; P-0314, who was abducted when he was under 15, was questioned during trial about his abduction by recalling is victims application which was read during trial and indicated 'I walked with them in fear from that moment until about 4 p.m. of the next day where we were joined with a larger group', P-0314, Transcript of Hearing, 30 May 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-75- Red-ENG, (T-75), p. 62, ln 25 to p. 63, ln 3; P-0264 at T-64, p. 18, lns 2-3.

- 1498 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 298-299; Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 359.
- 1499 P-0097 at T-108, p. 7, ln 10-11.
- 1500 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2341; Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 359.
- 1501 P-0097 at T-108, p. 9, lns 2-3.
- 1502 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 360.
- 1503 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 360.
- 1504 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2345.
- 1505 P-0309, Transcript of Hearing, 28 March 2017, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-T-60-Red-ENG, (T-60), p. 39, lns 21-24, p. 40, lns 10-12.
- 1506 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 916.
- 1507 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 917; P-0314 at T-74, p. 29, ln 20 to p. 30, ln 3. *See also* P-0264 testified that he and other new abductee were forced to beat an old man to death and were told to put the old man's blood on his forehead. He stated 'We were still new, we were civilians, we were scared, there was blood, there was brains', *see* P-0264 at T-64, p. 17, ln 24 to p. 19, ln 3; P-0252 at T-87, p. 70, ln 9 to p. 71, ln 3.
- 1508 P-0314 at T-75, p. 47, lns 4-5.
- 1509 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 362. See also P-0314 testified that forming friendships in the bush 'was not allowed'. See P-0314 at T-75, p. 52, lns 8-16; P-0330 testified that it was not easy to make strong friendships in the LRA, if you developed friendships, you will 'be suspected to be planning to escape, and that might bring problems to you'. See P-0330 at T-53, p. 47, lns 10-15; P-0252 at T-88, p. 34, ln 14 to p. 35, ln 2.
- 1510 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 362.
- 1511 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076,
- 1512 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 362.
- 1513 P-0309 at T-61, p. 60, lns 11-14.
- 1514 P-0309 at T-61, p. 61, lns 15-17.
- 1515 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 367. See also P-0410 at T-152, p. 3, lns 12-15; P-0330 at T-53, p. 38, lns 4-6, 12-16; P-0252, who testified that that after returning home, he felt haunted by the spirits after he had to kill and commit atrocities. See P-0252 at T-88, p. 29, ln 18 to p. 30, ln 4.
- 1516 P-0097 at T-108, p. 77, lns 20-21.
- 1517 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 366; see also P-0309 at T-61, p. 59, ln 20 to p. 60, ln 5.
- 1518 See, inter alia, P-0097, an abductee and former LRA child soldier, testified that people in his community would call him a rebel and say that he killed people, which made his life difficult, see P-0097 at T-108, p. 77, lns 9-11; P-0410 testified that people in his community still looked at him as a rebels up till now and when he tries to contribute to a discussion, people say things like, this is a returnee, see P-0410 at T-151, p. 81, lns 6-8.
- 1519 See, inter alia, P-0252 stated during his testimony, 'life was very difficult afterwards, especially with regards to other people [...] they were insulting us constantly'. See P-0252 at T-88, p. 35 lns 23-25; P-0307 at T-152, p. 75, lns 3-5.

- 1520 See, inter alia, P-0252 at T-88, p. 36, lns 5-6.
- 1521 See, inter alia, P-0309 at T-61, p. 60, lns 9-14; P-0252 testified that 'being in the bush has wasted a lot of my time. [...] being in the bush made me lose everything'. See P-0252 at T-87, p. 35, lns 8-10.
- 1522 See, inter alia, A/02099/16, A/02100/16.
- 1523 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 11.
- 1524 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, pp. 11-12.
- 1525 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, pp. 11-12.
- 1526 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076,p. 12.
- 1527 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, pp. 13-14.
- 1528 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, pp. 14- 15.
- 1529 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 14.
- 1530 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 6.
- 1531 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 25.
- 1532 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, paras 17; CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 46; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 40.
- 1533 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1534 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 40.
- 1535 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 46; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 43.
- 1536 See, inter alia, P-0252, was abducted at age 11, stated that '[he] was in primary 4', and '[his] parents were very proud of [him], they were very proud of [his] studies, they pay [his] school fees knowing they are not wasting their money'. See P-0252 at T-87, p. 70, ln 24 to p. 71, ln 1; P-0097, an abductee and former LRA child soldier, testified that 'In the bush there was no school, there was no form of education'. He further testified 'When I was abducted I lost between one or two years of education'. See P-0097 at T-108, p. 71, ln 21, p. 78, lns 15.
- 1537 P-0309 at T-61, p. 60, lns 9-10.
- 1538 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076,p. 15.
- 1539 See, inter alia, A/02099/16, A/02100/16.
- 1540 P-0097 at T-108, p. 13, lns 7-10.
- 1541 P-0097 at T-108, p. 50, lns 11-17.
- 1542 P-0097 at T-108, p. 70, lns 1-2.
- 1543 P-0097 at T-108, p. 77, lns 12-14.

- 1544 See, inter alia, P-0252 at T-88, p. 35, lns 3-7; P-0309 at T-61, p. 60, lns 9-14, 61, lns 15-18.
- 1545 See, inter alia, P-0252 at T-88, p. 35, lns 3-7; P-0314 at T-75, p. 46, ln 19 to p. 47, ln 20.
- 1546 P-0252 at T-88, p. 35, lns 3-7.
- 1547 P-0314 at T-75, p. 46, ln 19 to p. 47, ln 20.
- 1548 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 15.
- 1549 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, pp. 15-16.
- 1550 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 48.
- 1551 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 48.
- 1552 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 48.
- 1553 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 48.
- 1554 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 36. The Chamber notes ICTJ limits the cases, in which the court should issue a reparation order for psychological damage of indirect victims of crime against child soldiers, to cases in which the child never returned.
- 1555 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 37.
- 1556 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 37.
- 1557 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 37.
- 1558 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 37.
- 1559 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/ 04-01/15-1974, para. 36.
- 1560 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27.
- 1561 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 362.
- 1562 See, inter alia, P-0410, a former LRA child soldier abducted at 13, testified about the relationship with his family and stated that 'They were in pain and wondered whether I was still alive. But when I came back, they were happy'. T-151, p. 81, lns 11-13; P-0330, a former LRA child soldier, testified that when he escaped from the bush and saw his mother, she said 'Thank you for coming back, my child. I thought you were dead already', T- 53, p. 36, lns 13-14.
- 1563 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 25.
- 1564 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 25.
- 1565 See paras 366-367 above.
- 1566 V-0003 at T-172, p. 18, ln 18 to p. 19 ln 4.
- 1567 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 48.
- 1568 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 48.
- 1569 See paras <u>371-376</u> above.

- 1570 See, inter alia, P-0097, an abductee and former LRA child soldier, stated that after his abduction he was unable to engage in hard physical work which has also impacted his family. He further explained that because of his injuries, and his father's health state, his family can no longer run the shop they had before and they can only do peasantry farming. P-0097 at T-108, p. 79, lns 6-14.
- 1571 P-0275 at T-124, p. 20, lns 2-6.
- 1572 P-0275 at T-124, p. 20, lns 10-11.
- 1573 P-0275 at T-124, p. 20, lns 6-9.
- 1574 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 9.
- 1575 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 53.
- 1576 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 53.
- 1577 ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, para. 5(e)(7) (p. 7).
- 1578 FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1947, p. 11.
- 1579 FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1947, p. 10.
- 1580 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2955-2957 (Lukodi); paras 3001-3004 (Abok).
- 1581 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 53; ARLPI's Observations, ICC- 02/04-01/15-1925, p. 7; FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1947, p. 11.
- 1582 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2955-2957 (Lukodi); paras 3001-3004 (Abok).
- 1583 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 10.
- 1584 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2848, 2898, 2951, 2997.
- 1585 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 165, 1462, 2898; Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 201.
- 1586 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1645.
- 1587 P-0024 at T-78, p. 23, lns 1-5.
- 1588 P-0024 at T-78, p. 34, lns 9-10.
- 1589 P-0024 at T-78, p. 24, lns 22-23.
- 1590 P-0026, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-0093, para. 29.
- 1591 P-0293 at T-138, p. 26, lns 5-7.
- 1592 P-0293 at T-138, p. 26, lns 5-7.
- 1593 See A/01592/16.
- 1594 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2842-2844, 2874 (Pajule); paras 2898-2900, 2927 (Odek); paras 2951-2953, 2973 (Lukodi); paras 2997-2999, 3020 (Abok).
- 1595 P-0325, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1374, para. 45; see also Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 201.
- 1596 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 201.
- 1597 See, inter alia, A/01592/16.
- 1598 See, inter alia, A/01952/16, A/05397/15, A/01576/16, A/01736/16, A/06719/15.
- 1599 *See* Section IV. <u>SCOPE OF REPARATIONS IN THE ONGWEN CASE above.</u>

- 1600 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-1923-Red, para. 52.
- 1601 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 59.
- 1602 ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, para. 5(e)(7), p. 7.
- Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 150
 (Pajule); para. 165 (Odek); para. 185 (Lukodi); para. 195
 (Abok).
- 1604 V-0004 at T-173, p. 26, lns 22-23.
- 1605 V-0004 at T-173, p. 27, ln 6.
- 1606 V-0004 at T-173, p. 27, lns 1-3.
- 1607 V-0004 at T-173, p. 27, lns 4-6.
- 1608 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, pp. 36-37.
- 1609 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, pp. 36-37.
- 1610 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 51.
- 1611 See, inter alia, A/06812/15, A/01592/16, A/06660/15.
- 1612 V-0004 at T-173, p. 27, lns 1-23.
- 1613 V-0004 at T-173, p. 30, lns 10-20.
- 1614 V-0004 at T-173, p. 30, lns 18-19.
- 1615 V-0004 at T-173, p. 30, ln 22.
- 1616 V-0004 at T-173, p. 30, lns 22-23.
- 1617 V-0004 at T-173, p. 31, lns 1-7.
- 1618 The Chamber also notes that in his testimony, Expert Witness states that 'cen' can cause problems for one's families and community and that that its consequences are collective in nature, see PCV-0002 at T-176, p. 39, lns 8-15.
- 1619 PCV-0003 at T-177, p. 15, lns 5-19.
- 1620 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23(v)(a) (p. 10); CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52(iii).
- 1621 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-1919-AnxII, p. 25; FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1947, p. 10.
- 1622 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23(v)(a) (p. 10); CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52.
- 1623 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23(v)(a) (p. 10).
- 1624 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52(viii).
- 1625 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, p. 25.
- 1626 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, p. 25.
- 1627 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-1919-AnxII, p. 24.
- 1628 FIDA-Uganda's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1947, p. 10.
- 1629 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 41.
- 1630 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-1923-Red, para. 46; TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 74; UN's Observations, ICC-02/ 04-01/15-1972, para. 8; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/ 04-01/15-1974, paras 28, 30.

- 1631 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 74.
- 1632 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 74.
- 1633 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 85.
- 1634 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 153-154 (Pajule); paras 171-174 (Odek); paras 187, 1799 (Lukodi); paras 201-203 (Abok).
- 1635 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 5.
- 1636 See, inter alia, P-0270 who testified about the abduction and killings of her sons, 'the pain is so intense, seeing one of your children having been killed. You lose strength and people have to hold you', see P-0270, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1297, paras 40-41; see also A/06838/15, A/06856/15, A/06719/15, A/06968/15.
- 1637 See, inter alia, P-0066 who testified that since the abduction and killing of his brother, life has become painful, he stated 'it was extremely painful, because this is somebody that was always in my life, somebody I saw everyday, somebody I knew very well, somebody who I knew right from childbirth. . . . if the person leaves you, it's painful because they always leave a gap', see D-0066, Transcript of Hearing, 30 April 2019, ICC-02/04-01/15- T-214-ENG, (T-214), p. 34, ln 22 to p. 35, ln 8; see also A/06890/15, A/02141/16, A/00405/16, A/01494/16, A/01737/16, A/01742/16.
- 1638 See, inter alia, A/00838/16, A/00357/16, A/01645/16, A/01891/16, A/01914/16.
- 1639 See, inter alia, A/06856/15, A/06719/15.
- 1640 See, inter alia, P-0306 testified that 'many of the people who died left children who were now orphans', P- 0306 at T-130, p. 24, lns 16-17.
- 1641 See, inter alia, A/00432/16, A/00559/16.
- 1642 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 34.
- 1643 The Chamber recalls that one of the survey participants referred to in Dr Atim's expert report, indicated that he lost 40 people from his close family during the attack, and that the attack destroyed 'the entire community', see Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 51. The Chamber also recalls that a similar account was provided by another survey participants from the Lukodi IDP camp, who reported that his 'entire household was destroyed' during the attack, and that his family has 'disintegrated', see Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 34.
- 1644 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 362.
- 1645 See, inter alia, P-0218 testified that following the attack on the IDP camp, people who lost family members were then 'left with a burden of taking care of the children or the orphans', see P-0218 at T-90, p. 22, lns 1-3. See also testimony given by P-0269 who during his testimony stated 'in Acholi, life is led communally'. P-0269 explained to the Court that orphaned children were being supported by relatives, see P-0269 at T-85, p. 63, lns 15-17.
- 1646 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 166.
- 1647 See, inter alia, P-0218 at T-90, p. 22, lns 1-3; P-0269 at T-85, p. 63, lns 12-17.
- 1648 The Chamber recalls that in Dr Atim's report, one of the survey participants explained that, since his son was abducted,

- he no longer had the necessary labour to carry out the work required to sustain his family. Another of Dr Atim's survey participants stated that because there were no men left in her family to help her, they couldn't produce enough food for the family, Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 66.
- 1649 See, inter alia, V-0002 testified that he was abducted during the Abok IDP camp and experienced difficulties re-integrating into his family. He testified that before his abduction, he and his dad had a 'very good and strong relationship' but after his return, his father was 'feeling a bit scared' of him as he felt that his 'mind had changed'. He also explained that he isn't allowed to play with children in the community, as other members said that he would 'teach their children with rebels activities', V-0002 at T-171, p. 18, lns 3-5, p. 27, lns 10-11, p. 36, lns 6- 9; see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2749; see also Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819- Red, para. 290, where it was noted that victims of forced marriage experienced ostracization. See, inter alia, P-0252 who testified that when he returned to his community, he experienced stigmatisation when he attended his school, he notes 'my peers at the time saw a difference between us and the people who came from the bush', P- 0252 at T-88, p. 36, lns 1-6. Also, P-0097 who stated 'when I escaped from the bush, life was not easy for me, especially when I had just returned. But as time went on, I went back home and I got used, I started living normally. But there was a lot of stigmatisation. People would say that this so-and-so was abducted, he was a rebel, he killed, killed people, and that made my life a bit difficult. It didn't continue much, but then I continued living my life', P-0097 at T-108, p. 77, lns 7-14.
- 1650 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 74; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC- 02/04-01/15-1974, para. 41.
- 1651 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010; Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020; Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076.
- 1652 Expert Report Dr Atim, UGA-V40-0001-0010, p. 45.
- 1653 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 32.
- 1654 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 28.
- 1655 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076,p. 28.
- 1656 Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 28.
- 1657 See, inter alia, A/01610/16, A/01986/16, A/01998/16, A/01421/16, A/01247/16, A/01427/16, A/01509/16, A/01515/16, A/01528/16, A/01533/16, A/01643/16, A/01688/16, A/01736/16.
- 1658 See, inter alia, A/01986/16, A/07005/15, A/00261/16, A/06719/15.
- 1659 See, inter alia, A/01566/16, A/02101/16, A/00654/16.
- 1660 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 52; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(iii); ASF et al.'s Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1971, para. 48; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 60.
- 1661 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, paras 49-51; Registry's December 2021

- Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i); ASF *et al.*'s Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1971, para. 48.
- 1662 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, paras 46-48; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 27(i); ASF et al.'s Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1971, para. 48; ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 60.
- 1663 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, paras 28-29; ASF et al.'s Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1971, para. 48.
- 1664 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 32.
- 1665 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 32.
- 1666 ASF et al.'s Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1971, para. 48.
- 1667 *See* paras <u>206</u> above.
- 1668 See Sections VI.C.3.i.b.(ii) <u>Indirect victims of the attacks</u> above; VI.C.3.ii.c.(ii) <u>Indirect SGBC victims.</u> above; VI.C.3.iii.b.(ii) Indirect victims. above.
- 1669 V-0001 at T-174; PCV-0001 at T-175; PCV-0002 at T-176; PCV-0003 at T-177; PCV-0003 at T-178.
- 1670 PCV-0002 at T-176, p. 22, ln 20 to p. 23, ln 6; Expert Report Professor Wessells, UGA-PCV-0002-0076, p. 26-28.
- 1671 PCV-0002 at T-176, p. 24, lns 2-4.
- 1672 PCV-0003 at T-177, p. 30, ln 13 to p. 32, ln 11.
- 1673 PCV-0001 at T-175, p. 28, lns 22-25; Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, p. 8.
- 1674 PCV-0001 at T-175, p. 31, lns 12-17.
- 1675 Expert Report Professor Reicherter, UGA-PCV-0001-0020, pp. 31-32.
- 1676 V-0001 at T-174, p. 30, ln 25 to p. 31, ln 14.
- 1677 V-0001 at T-174, p. 31, lns 12-14.
- 1678 Defence's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1917, para. 59.
- 1679 Defence's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-1917, para. 59; Defence's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1991-Red-Corr, para. 55.
- 1680 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 43.
- 1681 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 43.
- 1682 LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, para. 40.
- 1683 LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, para. 45.
- 1684 LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, para. 46.
- 1685 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 131; Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC- 01/04-01/ 06-3129, para. 80.
- 1686 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 132; Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC- 01/04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 59; in line with Prosecution's Observations on Reparations, 28 February 2020, ICC-01/04-02/06-2478, para. 16; The Registry's Observations on

- Reparations in the *Ntaganda* Case, 28 February 2020, ICC-01/04-02/06-2475-AnxI, para. 48.
- 1687 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 133; Al Mahdi Reparations Order, ICC-01/12- 01/15- 236, para. 44; see also Katanga Decision on Transgenerational Harm, ICC-01/04-01/07-3804-Red-tENG, para. 16.
- 1688 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 135; Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC- 01/04-01/ 06-3129, para. 81.
- 1689 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 136.
- 1690 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 136; Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC- 01/04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 65; Katanga Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3728-tENG, paras 49-51; Al Mahdi Reparations Order, ICC-01/12-01/15-236, para. 44; see also Katanga Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red, para. 42.
- 1691 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 137; Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC- 01/ 04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 22; Katanga Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3728-tENG, para. 45.
- 1692 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 137.
- 1693 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 137; Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC- 01/ 04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 57.
- 1694 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 55; LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, para. 47.
- 1695 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 139.
- 1696 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 139.
- 1697 See Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 139; Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, para. 204; Trial Chamber II, Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga, Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute ('Katanga Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute'), 7 March 2014, ICC-01/04-01/07-3436-tENG, para. 110; see also Public Redacted Version of the "Final Observations on Reparations of the Common Legal Representative of the Victims of the Attacks" (ICC-01/04-02/06-2633-Conf), 21 December 2020, ICC-01/04-02/06-2633-Red, para. 36.
- 1698 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 140. Katanga Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3728-tENG, para. 60; Lubanga Decision on the Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, para. 61.
- 1699 Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 149; Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, paras 87-88.
- 1700 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 140.
- 1701 See paras 9-12 above.
- 1702 Decision on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2024, paras 17-24, 26, 27(c).
- 1703 Decision on the Registry Transmission, ICC-02/04-01/15-2027, para. 9.
- 1704 Decision on the Registry Transmission, ICC-02/04-01/15-2027, paras 8-9.

- 1705 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 30.
- 1706 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, paras 31-33.
- 1707 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 34.
- 1708 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 34.
- 1709 Annex 1 to CLRV's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2041-Conf-Exp-Anx1-Red.
- 1710 See Annex 2 to CLRV's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2041-Conf-Exp-Anx2-Red; Annex 3 to CLRV's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2041-Conf-Exp-Anx3-Red; Annex 4 to CLRV's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2041-Conf-Exp-Anx4-Red; Annex 5 to CLRV's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2041-Conf-Exp-Anx5-Red; Annex 6 to CLRV's Submissions on the Sample, ICC- 02/04-01/15-2041-Conf-Exp-Anx6-Red; Annex 7 to CLRV's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15- 2041-Conf-Exp-Anx7-Red; Annex 8 to CLRV's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2041-Conf-Exp-Anx8-Red.
- 1711 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-2050-Corr-Red, para. 21, referring to Public Annex A to Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-2050-AnxA.
- 1712 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-2050-Corr-Red, paras 22-103, 107.
- 1713 See Section VI.C.5. Causal link and standard of proof above.
- 1714 See para. 422 above; see also Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 35.
- 1715 See para. 419 above.
- 1716 See para. 419 above.
- 1717 See para. <u>420</u> above; *see also Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 133.
- 1718 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 16.
- 1719 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 17.
- 1720 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 16.
- 1721 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 19.
- 1722 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 19.
- 1723 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 19.
- 1724 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 21.
- 1725 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 21.
- 1726 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 21.
- 1727 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 22.

- 1728 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 22.
- 1729 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 22.
- 1730 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 23.
- 1731 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 18.
- 1732 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 19.
- 1733 See Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 53; Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782 para. 510-512; Lubanga Decision on Size of the Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-CorrtENG, para. 65; Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04- 01/06-3466-Red, paras 203-204.
- 1734 Uganda's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1978, para. 31.
- 1735 Uganda's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1978, para. 31.
- 1736 Uganda's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1978, para. 31.
- 1737 Prosecutor's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1976, para. 33.
- 1738 Except for the specific issues dealt with in paras $\underline{450-451}$ below.
- 1739 Note that by 'additional supporting documents' the Chamber means any document that holds evidentiary value to prove the victimhood or the harm suffered by the alleged victim. In this regard, documentation that aims to prove an individual's identity is not considered to be an additional supporting document. *See* paras 448-455 below for issues related to identity documents.
- 1740 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-2040, para. 18; see also CLRV's December 2021 Submissions ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 58.
- 1741 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 20.
- 1742 Defence's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1917, para. 40.
- 1743 Defence's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1991-Red-Corr, para. 32.
- 1744 Defence's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1991-Red-Corr, para. 32.
- 1745 Defence's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1991-Red-Corr, para. 32.
- 1746 Defence's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1991-Red-Corr, para. 32.
- 1747 Defence's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1917, para. 40.
- 1748 Uganda's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1978, para. 31.
- 1749 Uganda's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1978, para. 31.
- 1750 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 10.

- 1751 See Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 137.
- 1752 See Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 137; Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 57.
- 1753 The two potential beneficiaries that did not submit any proof of identity are A/30006/13 and A/40007/14.
- 1754 Namely, victims A/30000/13, A/30006/13 and A/40007/14.
- 1755 *See* Section VI.B.2.i. Victims of the attacks at or near the IDP camps of Pajule, Odek, Lukodi, and Abok above.
- 1756 See Section VI.C.5.iii.a. Supporting documentation above.
- 1757 Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, paras 70, 91; Lubanga Decision on Size of the Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, para. 90; Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, paras 24-25.
- 1758 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2822-3020.
- 1759 See Lubanga Decision on Size of the Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, para. 89.
- 1760 *See* para. <u>422</u> above.
- 1761 See Lubanga Decision on Size of the Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, para. 64; Katanga Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3728-tENG, para. 70.
- 1762 *See* Section VI.B.2.ii.a. <u>Counts 50 to 60 SGBC directly perpetrated by Dominic Ongwen</u> above.
- 1763 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2050-Corr-Red, para. 39, referring to Decision on the confirmation of charges against Dominic Ongwen ('Confirmation of charges decision'), 23 March 2016, ICC- 02/04-01/15-422-Red, paras 118-124.
- 1764 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2050-Corr-Red, para. 39, referring to Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2094-2095.
- 1765 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2050-Corr-Red, para. 41.
- 1766 Confirmation of charges decision, ICC-02/04-01/15-422-Red, pp. 99-102.
- 1767 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 218, 2143-2182, 3093, 3097; as well as paras 3070, 3073, 3080, 3082, and 3086 referring to each one of the Counts; Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, paras 325-355.
- 1768 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 19.
- 1769 Prosecutor's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1976, para. 22.
- 1770 See Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 139; Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, para. 714; see also Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04- 01/06-3466-Red, para. 204; Katanga Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute, ICC-01/04-01/07-3436- tENG, para. 110.
- 1771 Rule 63(4) of the Rules: Without prejudice to article 66, paragraph 3, a Chamber shall not impose a legal requirement that corroboration is required in order to prove any crime within the jurisdiction of the Court, in particular, crimes of sexual violence.

- 1772 See Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 139; Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, para. 714; see also Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04- 01/06-3466-Red, para. 204; Katanga Judgment pursuant to Article 74 of the Statute, ICC-01/04-01/07-3436- tENG, para. 110.
- 1773 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2050-Corr-Red, para. 20.
- 1774 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3069-3100.
- 1775 See Section VI.B.2.ii.c. Children born out of forced marriage, forced pregnancy, rape, and sexual slavery above.
- 1776 See Lubanga Decision on Size of the Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, para. 78; Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 68.
- 1777 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, paras 24-25.
- 1778 Appeals Chamber, Judgment on the appeal of Mr Thomas Lubanga Dyilo against his conviction, 1 December 2014, ICC-01/04-01/06-3121-Red, para. 198; see also Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858- Red, para. 70.
- 1779 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, paras 24-25.
- 1780 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 25.
- 1781 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2050-Corr-Red, para. 39, referring to Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2310-2311.
- 1782 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3101-3115.
- 1783 Lubanga Decision on Size of the Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, para. 89; see also Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 74.
- 1784 Lubanga Decision on Size of the Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, para. 90; Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 75.
- 1785 Lubanga Decision on Size of the Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, para. 90; Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 75.
- 1786 See Annex I, eligibility assessment for potential beneficiaries A/02099/16 and A/02100/16.
- 1787 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 3101-3115.
- 1788 Ntaganda Conviction Judgment, ICC-01/04-02/06-2359, para. 1104; Lubanga Conviction Judgment, ICC- 01/04-01/ 06-2842, para. 618.
- 1789 Lubanga Decision on Size of the Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, para. 89; see also Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 78.
- 1790 Lubanga Decision on Size of the Reparations Award, ICC-01/ 04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, para. 89; see also Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 78.
- 1791 Lubanga Decision on Size of the Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, para. 94; see also Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 79.

- 1792 (i) The family members of direct victims; (ii) anyone who attempted to prevent the commission of one or more of the crimes under consideration; (iii) individuals who suffered harm when helping or intervening on behalf of direct victims; and (iv) other persons who suffered personal harm as a result of these offences.
- 1793 See para. 435 above.
- 1794 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2050-Corr-Red, para. 18.
- 1795 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2050-Corr-Red, paras 15-16, referring to Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129-AnxA, paras 6-7.
- 1796 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2050-Corr-Red, para. 17.
- 1797 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2050-Corr-Red, para. 17.
- 1798 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2050-Corr-Red, para. 17.
- 1799 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2050-Corr-Red, para. 17.
- 1800 See Lubanga Decision on Size of the Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, paras 161, 163, 165; Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, paras 85, 106.
- 1801 *Lubanga* Decision on Size of the Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, para. 161.
- 1802 *Lubanga* Decision on Size of the Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, para. 161.
- 1803 See Lubanga Decision on Size of the Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-Red-Corr-tENG, paras 161, 163, 165; Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, paras 85, 106.
- 1804 *Katanga* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3728-tENG, para. 119.
- 1805 Uganda's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1978, para. 31.
- 1806 Namely, A/01456/16, A/01466/16, A/01485/16, A/01494/16, A/01576/16, A/01592/16, A/01605/16, A/01608/16, A/01626/ 16, A/01645/16, A/01737/16, A/01742/16, A/01760/16, A/01891/16, A/01907/16, A/01910/16, A/01914/16, A/01936/ 16, A/01962/16, A/02008/16, A/02067/16, A/06812/15, A/06826/15, A/06833/15, A/06838/15, A/06856/15, A/06876/ 15, A/06883/15, A/00802/16, A/00831/16, A/00838/16, A/00962/16, A/01085/16, A/01111/16, A/01120/16, A/01137/ 16, A/01138/16, A/01165/16, A/01167/16, A/01214/16, A/01219/16, A/01249/16, A/01294/16, A/01343/16, A/06929/ 15, A/06968/15, A/07005/15, A/02141/16, A/05158/15, A/05220/15, A/05523/15, A/05578/15, A/05675/15, A/05769/ 15, A/06344/15, A/06563/15, A/06657/15, A/06659/15, A/06660/15, A/00007/16, A/00038/16, A/00261/16, A/00335/ 16, A/00357/16, A/00360/16, A/00369/16, A/00387/16, A/00394/16, A/00428/16, A/00432/16, A/00448/16, A/00477/ 16, A/00499/16, A/00521/16, A/00534/16, A/00559/16, A/00602/16, A/00620/16, A/00743/16, A/06718/15, A/06719/ 15, A/06784/15, A/00252/16, A/00346/16, A/00405/16, A/00597/16, A/00861/16, A/01149/16, A/07041/15, A/07090/ 15, A/00654/16.
- 1807 See para. 128 above.
- 1808 See para. 128 above.

- 1809 See para. 414 above.
- 1810 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2050-Corr-Red, paras 17-18.
- 1811 See Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/ 06-2782, paras 608-640; Katanga Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red, para. 116.
- 1812 Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, para. 622; Katanga Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red, para. 116.
- 1813 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2050-Corr-Red, para. 17.
- 1814 This applies exclusively for the first category of indirect victims, i.e. family members of direct victims.
- 1815 See para. 128 above.
- 1816 Decision on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2024, paras 26, 27(c).
- 1817 See victims A/30000/13, A/30006/13, and A/40007/14.
- 1818 The Chamber notes that one of the victims also established her victimhood as a SGBC victim.
- 1819 See Section VI.C.3. <u>Definition of the types of harm suffered by</u> the victims above.
- 1820 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 17, referring to Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 141.
- 1821 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 17, referring to Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 143.
- 1822 Decision on the Registry Transmission, ICC-02/04-01/15-2027, paras 8-9.
- 1823 For a detailed account of the Chambers findings as to the harms suffered by the victims in the Sample, *see* Section VI.C.3. <u>Definition of the types of harm suffered by the victims above.</u>
- 1824 Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 149.
- 1825 See Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, paras 225-245.
- 1826 Including the three potential beneficiaries who have only provisionally established their identity.
- 1827 See Section VI.C.3. <u>Definition of the types of harm suffered by the victims</u> above.
- 1828 Defence's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1917, para. 59.
- 1829 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2050-Corr-Red, para. 20.
- 1830 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 3116.
- 1831 See Section VI.C.4. Causal link and standard of proof above.
- 1832 Defence's Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2050-Corr-Red, paras 29, 31.
- 1833 See Section VI.C.5.iii.a. Supporting documentation above.
- 1834 The majority of the potential beneficiaries that alleged to have suffered similar harms were not contested by the Defence, *see, inter alia*, A/01688/16, A/01085/16, A/30007/12.
- 1835 Including the potential beneficiaries who have only provisionally established their identity.
- 1836 See Section VI.C.4. Causal link and standard of proof above.

- 1837 See Annex II for the statistics reflecting the results of the assessment.
- 1838 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, paras 27.
- 1839 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, paras 27-28.
- 1840 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, paras 27-28.
- See Trial Chamber II, The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga, Decision on the Application for Resumption of Action brought by family members of deceased victim a/0195/08, 9 November 2021, ICC-01/04-01/07-3891, para. 5; Trial Chamber VIII, The Prosecutor v. Ahmad Al Faqi Al Mahdi, Decision on the Request of the Legal Representative of Victims for Resumption of Action for Deceased Victims a/11180/21 and a/11182/21, 21 December 2021, ICC-01/12-01/15-437, para. 5; Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 137.
- 1842 See Section VI.C.4. Causal link and standard of proof above.
- 1843 Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 139.
- 1844 A/01608/16, A/01952/16, A/02008/16, A/01167/16, A/05675/ 15, A/00038/16, A/00335/16, A/00521/16, A/00559/16, and A/00602/16.
- 1845 See Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/
 15-2040, para. 26. A/01456/16, A/01608/16, A/01952/16,
 A/02008/16, A/01167/16, A/05675/15, A/00038/16,
 A/00335/16, A/00521/16, A/00559/16, A/00602/16,
 A/00663/16, and A/00610/16.
- 1846 A/01608/16, A/01952/16, A/01167/16, A/00038/16, A/00335/16, A/00521/16, A/00559/16, and A/00602/16.
- 1847 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 29.
- 1848 Victims' Joint Submissions on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2040, para. 29.
- 2149 *See* para. <u>57</u> above.
- 2150 Sallet Reparations Appeal, Noo13-2023, paras 98-100.
- 2151 Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 200.
- 2152 Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 200.
- 2153 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 81; LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23; Defence's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1917, paras 53-55. Note that the Defence submits that compensation should be awarded but that restitution is not possible.
- 2154 See, inter alia, Prosecutor's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1976, para. 37; Uganda's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1978, para. 22; ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, pp. 7-9; UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 46.
- 2155 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 201.
- 2156 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 202.
- 2157 See paras 578, 580 above.

- 2158 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 97.
- 2159 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 203; Lubanga Amended Reparations Order, ICC- 01/ 04-01/06-3129-AnxA, para. 67(iii).
- 2160 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 203.
- 2161 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 203.
- 2162 See, inter alia, the types of services that the TFV has been able to provide as part of its assistance mandate (where programmes are community-collective in nature), in northern Uganda in the form of physical and psychological rehabilitation, material support activities, SGBC activities, and peace-building activities, see TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, paras 133, 155, 159.
- 2163 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 72(a).
- 2164 See, inter alia, FJDI and WVCN propose educational programmes for farmers in order to generate higher yields and higher values for crops to be sold on domestic and international markets. FJDI, WVCN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1922, para. 21(c) pp13-14; see also CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15- 1923-Red, para. 72(b).
- 2165 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, paras 41, 47.
- 2166 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, paras 142-143.
- 2167 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 167.
- 2168 FJDI, WVCN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1922, para. 21(c) p13. FJDI, WVCN explain the importance of distinguishing between Government of Uganda assistance projects and reparations.
- 2169 TFV's March 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1992, para. 44
- 2170 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 208.
- 2171 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 207.
- 2172 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23(i)-(ii), indicating that consistent with the Acholi/ Lango cultures, the perpetrator should be required to pay seven heads of cattle, or the monetary equivalent, for every life lost, noting that the monetary value per head of cattle is \$286 USD equivalent to 1 million UGX. Accordingly, seven heads of cattle would amount to \$2,002 USD equivalent to 7 million UGX.
- 2173 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23(iii), indicating they request a monetary award to support themselves in rebuilding their lives, with which they intend to construct a three-four roomed permanent house, and purchase two bulls and an ox plough for ploughing land, which they value at \$5,714 USD equivalent to 20 million UGX.
- 2174 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23(iv), indicating that they request a monetary award to enable them undergo skilling or support their children at school, valued between \$857 USD and \$5,714 USD equivalent to 3 million UGX and 20 million UGX.

- 2175 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23(v), indicating that, considering that they suffered different types of harm, they request monetary compensation in the sums between \$571 USD and \$14,286 USD, equivalent to 2 million UGX and 50 million UGX, that they would use to construct three-roomed permanent housing and purchase two or more bulls and an ox plough to aid their livelihoods through tilling land.
- 2176 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 74.
- 2177 Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 42; Registry's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1975, paras 21, 26.
- 2178 Defence's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1991-Red-Corr, para. 63.
- 2179 See, inter alia, Victims' Request for Urgent Support to Victims presenting with Mental Health Challenges and Other Victims Requiring Urgent Medical Intervention, 28 August 2023, ICC-02/04-01/15-2054-Red2; CLRV's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1990, para. 39.
- 2180 As detailed at paras <u>633</u>, <u>821</u> below.
- 2181 The Chamber has considered Trial Chamber II's reasoning to reject a similar proposal by the TFV for a socio- economic starter sum in the Ntaganda case, see Ntaganda First DIP ICC-01/04-02/06-2860-Red, paras However, the Chamber is of the view that the situation in the present case is substantially different and the reasoning can be distinguished. In the Ntaganda case, the TFV proposed that a starter sum be given to victims at the commencement of the physical, psychological, or socio-economic programming. However, as a result of the overlapping victims in the Lubanga and the Ntaganda cases, the programme in the Ntaganda case was already designed and was actually running for at least a sub-group of victims, i.e. child soldiers. Accordingly, Trial Chamber II was of the view that the objectives the TFV wanted to achieve through that cash payment would be better obtained through the successful and timely deployment of the reparations programmes already envisaged and in place for an important part of the victims. Similarly, considering the need to treat victims equally, Trial Chamber II clearly indicated that such cash payment could only be considered if it was also paid to the Lubanga victims. Awarding such payment in the Ntaganda case when it had not been awarded to the Lubanga victims would have created an unequal treatment between child soldiers who would have entered into the programme first as Lubanga victims. However, in the present case, the symbolic payment is intended to allow victims to engage in the required consultations to design and develop a programme that does not exist, unlike in Ntaganda, and there is no risk of differential treatment between victims as the sum is awarded to the benefit of all victims equally.
- 2182 *Katanga* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3728-tENG, para. 300.
- 2183 Appeals Chamber, Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga, Judgment on the appeals against the order of Trial Chamber II of 24 March 2017 entitled "Order for Reparations pursuant to Article 75 of the Statute" ('Katanga Appeals Judgment on Reparations Order'), 8 March 2018, ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red, para. 149.
- 2184 World Bank, 'GDP per Capita (1984-2022) in the Democratic Republic of the Congo', https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/

- NY.GDP.PCAP.CD?locations=CD, last visited 14 February 2024, at 18:38 hrs.
- 2185 Uganda Bureau of Statistics, 'Uganda Consumer Price Index' (January 2024), https://www.ubos.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/01/CPI-PUBLICATION-FOR-JANUARY-2024.pdf ('Uganda Consumer Price Index 2024'), last visited 14 February 2024, at 18:42 hrs.
- 2186 Approximated from 44.9124362669%.
- 2187 Approximated from 47.5294477589%.
- 2188 See Uganda Consumer Price Index 2024.
- 2189 European Commission, 'Exchange rate (InforEuro)', InforEuro, the exchange rate of the Euro currency (europa. eu), last visited on 27 February 2024 at 9:30 hrs, referring to European Central Bank, 'Euro foreign exchange reference rates', Euro foreign exchange reference rates (europa.eu), last visited on last visited on 27 February 2024 at 9:30 hrs.
- 2190 Katanga Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3728-tENG, paras 304, 306, and operative part p. 118. See also, Trial Chamber II, The Prosecutor v. Germain Katanga, Fifteenth quarterly update report pursuant to regulation 58 of the Regulations of the Trust Fund for Victims, 19 January 2024, ICC-01/04-01/07-3919, paras 9-12, detailing that 253 beneficiaries where provided with at least one item of their choice to perform income-generating activities, 56 beneficiaries were provided with housing support, and all eligible victims of the case present in Ituri (266) received psychological support.
- 2191 See, inter alia, CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, paras 97-100 and CLRV's Tables of Costs, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-AnxI; TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 164; FJDI, WVCN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1922, para. 22 (pp. 16-18).
- 2192 Katanga Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3728-tENG, paras 298-300.
- 2193 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, paras 99, 111.
- 2194 Ntaganda First DIP Decision, ICC-01/04-02/06-2860-Red, para. 94.
- 2195 See paras 658-662 below.
- 2196 LRVs' March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1993, para. 31.
- 2197 CLRV's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1990, para. 52; In its February 2022 Observations, the Registry notes that a 'small number of participants' said that an apology from Mr Ongwen would be beneficial. See Registry's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1975, para. 25; Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 45.
- 2198 FJDI, WVCN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1922, para. 21(d)(i); ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, pp. 9-12.
- 2199 FJDI, WVCN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1922, para. 21(d)(ii); Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 45; see also Registry's December 2021 Observations, ICC- 02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 47, in which the Registry states that indirect victims requested memory centres and or building monuments to commemorate the victims; see also FJDI, WVCN's Observations, ICC-02/04- 01/15-1922, para. 21(d)(ii)-(iii); UN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1972, para. 47.

- 2200 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, paras 99, 122. *Lubanga* Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, paras 214-215, in which the Appeals Chamber held that while community based reparations must only be granted to a group whose members meet the eligibility criteria to be considered a victim of the convicted person, this should 'not be seen as precluding other members of the affected communities from being able to benefit from activities undertaken by the Trust Fund in relation to its assistance mandate'.
- 2201 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 88. The Chamber in the Ntaganda Reparations Order held that convictions, sentences, and reparations orders, which contain an assessment of the types of harms, serve to raise awareness about the extent of the damage caused and result in a recognition thereof.
- 2202 See paras 166-414 above.
- 2203 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 130, referring to Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 184.
- 2204 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 209.
- 2245 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 92-93.
- 2246 *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 214.
- 2247 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 59.
- 2248 See Section VI.E.2.ii.b. <u>Direct victims of thematic crimes</u> below.
- 2249 See in particular, LRVs' December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1921, paras 66-68; CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 36.
- 2250 When referring to 'vulnerable victims' the Chamber means all victims prioritised in the *Ntaganda* Reparations Order, *see Ntaganda* Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 214.
- 2251 See, inter alia, TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 62, stressing that in light of the expected high number of beneficiaries in the case, the limited human resources in terms of specialists that can address the harm, and the limited financial resources of the TFV, prioritisation will be necessary.
- 2252 See Trial Chamber II, Prosecutor v. Bosco Ntaganda, Decision on the TFV's initial draft implementation plan with focus on priority victims, 23 July 2021, ICC-01/04-02/06-2696, para. 7.
- 2253 See Section VI.B.2. Direct victims above.
- 2254 See Sections VI.C.3.i.a. <u>Direct victims of the attacks</u>, VI.C.3.ii.a <u>Direct SGBC victims</u>, VI.C.3.ii.c <u>Children born out of forced marriage</u>, forced pregnancy, rape, and sexual <u>slavery</u>, and VI.C.3.iii.a <u>Direct victims</u>, former <u>child soldiers</u>, above.
- 2255 See Annex II, p. 5.
- 2256 See, inter alia, Decision on victims' participation November 2015, ICC-02/04-01/15-350; Decision on victims' participation 15 December 2015, ICC-02/04-01/15-369; Decision on victims' participation 24 December 2015, ICC-02/05-01/15-384.
- 2257 See para. 414 above.
- 2258 See paras 166-414 above.

- 2259 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2874, concluding that Mr Ongwen committed these crimes 'jointly with Vincent Otti, Raska Lukwiya, Okot Odhiambo, and other LRA commanders'.
- 2260 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2927, concluding that Mr Ongwen committed these crimes 'jointly with Joseph Kony and other Sinia Brigade leaders'.
- 2261 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 3100, concluding that Mr Ongwen committed these crimes 'jointly with Joseph Kony and the Sinia Brigade leadership'.
- 2262 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 3115, concluding that Mr Ongwen committed these crimes 'jointly with Joseph Kony and the Sinia Brigade leadership'.
- 2263 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 219. See, also, Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 271-273.
- 2264 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 221. See, also, Katanga Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red, para. 178; Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04- 01/06-3466-Red, para. 308.
- 2265 Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 221. See, also, Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, para. 272.
- 2395 Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, para. 150; see also Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, para. 92, referring inter alia to Lubanga Reparations Decision, ICC-01/04-01/06-2904, para. 219.
- 2396 Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, para. 151.
- 2397 Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 152, 155, 157; Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, paras 89, 223.
- 2398 Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, paras 89, 223.
- 2399 Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 157-159, 168, 172.
- 2400 For instance, by assuming a lower number of victims, or by discounting the amount of liability. *Lubanga* Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, paras 90, 223-224; *Ntaganda* Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 153, 165, 168, 171.
- 2401 *Lubanga* Reparations Decision, ICC-01/04-01/06-2904, para. 219; *see also Lubanga* Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, para. 92, stressing that '[t]his finding was not overturned by the Appeals Chamber'.
- 2402 6 May 2021 Order, ICC-02/04-01/15-1820, paras 5(i)-(ii).
- 2403 6 May 2021 Order, ICC-02/04-01/15-1820, para. 5(iv).
- 2404 Decision on requests for extension of time, 19 July 2021, ICC-02/04-01/15-1865; Decision on Victims' request for extension of time, 18 November 2021, ICC-02/04-01/15-1910.
- 2405 Decision on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2024, para. 31(a), p. 16.
- See, inter alia, Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, paras 27, 45; LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 16; CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923- Red, para. 24; CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red,

- para. 38; TFV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2032, para. 14.
- 2407 See, inter alia, TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, paras 43-44; CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, paras 6, 38.
- 2408 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 46.
- 2409 Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 152, 155, 157; Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, paras 89, 223.
- 2410 Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 152, 155, 157, 168; Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, paras 89, 223.
- 2411 See Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, paras 90, 223-224; Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 153, 165, 171.
- 2412 See Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, para. 340; Prosecutor v. Said, Decision on matters relating to the participation of victims during the trial, 13 April 2022, ICC-01/14-01/21-278, para. 88; Prosecutor v. Ali Muhammad Ali Abd-Al-Rahman, Second decision on the admission of victims to participate in trial proceedings, 3 October 2022, ICC-02/05-01/20-761, paras 13-20.
- 2413 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 31.
- 2414 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, paras 33, 36, 39, 43; Registry's Additional Information, ICC-02/04-01/15-2019, fns. 20-23, indicating that in the Mapping Report, it provided a rough estimate of the number of victims who suffered harm at 'up to': 30,000 for Pajule IDP camp; 7,700 for Odek IDP camp; 6,000 for the Lukodi IDP camp and 13,000 for the Abok IDP camp. See also Decision on the Sample, ICC- 02/04-01/15-2024, para. 22, which notes that the Mapping Report estimates the following figures: Pajule camp (30,000), Odek camp (7,700), Ludoki camp (6,000), Abok camp (13,000).
- 2415 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 38, referring to Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, paras 43-45 (Pajule); paras 36-38 (Odek); paras 31, 33-35 (Lukodi); paras 39-42 (Abok).
- 2416 This figure is comprised of 908 in the Pajule area, 191 in the Odek area, 143 in the Lukodi area, and 109 in the Gulu area. CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 24.
- 2417 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 37.
- 2418 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, paras 24-28.
- 2419 TFV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2032, paras 10-11, 13-14.
- 2420 Prosecutor's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1976, para. 20.
- 2421 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 31.
- 2422 Registry's Additional Information, ICC-02/04-01/15-2019, fns. 20-23, indicating that in the Mapping Report, it provided a rough estimate of the number of victims who suffered harm at 'up to': 30,000 for Pajule IDP camp; 7,700 for Odek IDP

365

- camp; 6,000 for the Lukodi IDP camp and 13,000 for the Abok IDP camp. *See also* Decision on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2024, para. 22.
- 2423 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, paras 16, 25, 28.
- 2424 See e.g. the manner in which households are identified by the LRVs in LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-2033, confidential ex parte annexes A, B and C.
- 2425 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 17, referring to Oneka Jackson & 5505 others v Attorney General, in the High Court of Uganda at Lira, Civil Suit No. 027 of 2016 annexed at Annex A, ICC- 02/04-01/15-2035-AnxA.
- 2426 See para. 49 above.
- 2427 See Section VII.C. Eligibility criteria below.
- 2428 *See* Uganda's February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1978, para. 30.
- 2429 The Chamber recalls that although Pajule and Lapul were registered as two separate IDP camps by the Ugandan government, in practice they were treated as one IDP camp, commonly referred to as the Pajule IDP camp. It further recalls that at trial the evidence showed that the LRA attacked the camp as one entity. Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 144, 1173-1174. See para. 98 above.
- 2430 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 144, 1174 (fn 2396), referring to P-0084, Statement, UGA-OTP-0139-0149-R01, at para. 66 (testifying that he believed there were over 15,000 people at Pajule in October 2003); P-0007, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-0037, at para. 55 together with Handwritten report, UGA- OTP-0147-0239, at 0239 (indicating that in November 2003 the Lapul side of the camp had a population of 14,155); P-0009 at T-81, p. 78, ln 22 to p. 79, In 6 (stating that there were three to four thousand people living in the camp); P-0008 lists of Pajule/Lapul residents, UGA-OTP-0137-0058, at 0058-9 (listing 17,432 residents on the Pajule side of the camp and 13,710 residents on the Lapul side as of November 2003). The Chamber notes that the document is stamped and signed by Okema John Brown (P-0008), as camp commandant of the Pajule IDP camp. In his testimony, Okema John Brown stated that he compiled the list from information provided to him by the block leaders of the Pajule side and from the camp commandant of the Lapul side (UGA-OTP-0283-0048, para. 60). The Chamber considers this list to be an authentic record created by P-0008 on 30 November 2003; see also Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 150.
- 2431 Excluding the unquantified 'large number' of visitors present in the camp on the day of the attack celebrating Uganda's Independence Day.
- 2432 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 43. The Chamber considers that the information received by the Registry indicating that 'thousands of boys and girls were abducted from Pajule at the time of the attacks on the camps' appears to be immaterial to the Registry's overall calculation of the number of victims of the attack on the Pajule IDP camp.
- 2433 Registry's Additional Information, ICC-02/04-01/15-2019, fn. 20.
- Registry's Additional Information, ICC-02/04-01/15-2019, fn.
 referring to Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, fn. 40, in which inter alia the Registry recalls the following numbers retained by the Chamber in the Trial

- Judgment, at para. 1174: 'The evidence indicates that an estimated 15,000 to 30,000 people lived within the entirety of Pajule IDP camp in October 2003'.
- 2435 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, paras 18-19.
- 2436 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 18.
- 2437 See footnote 2432 above.
- 2438 The Chamber recalls that the LRVs do not represent victims from Pajule and, therefore, do not make submissions on the number of victims of the attack on the Pajule IDP camp.
- 2439 See para. 706 above.
- 2440 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, paras 24, 28; CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 37. The Chamber recalls the Registry's observations that applying an average of 7.5 family members per household would lead to a figure of 'close to 7,000' (i.e. 6,810) potential further beneficiaries, see Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, fn. 44.
- 2441 The CLRV cites the challenges faced in the collection of information and the limitations thereof, noting it is expected that thousands more victims may come forward, see CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04- 01/15-1923-Red, para. 24; CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, paras 6, 37-38; see also Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 45.
- 2442 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 38, referring to Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, paras 43-45 (concerning Pajule).
- 2443 List of Pajule/Lapul residents, UGA-OTP-0137-0058; see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1174, fn. 2396.
- 2444 P-0008, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-0048, para. 60; see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1174, fp. 2396
- 2445 Lists of Pajule/Lapul residents, UGA-OTP-0137-0058; see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1174, fn. 2396.
- 2446 List of Lapul residents, UGA-OTP-0147-0239, at 0239; P-0007, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-0037, para. 55; see Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1174, fn. 2396.
- 2447 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, fn. 24.
- 2448 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 46.
- 2449 The Registry further notes with respect to information related to numbers gathered from interlocutors in the four former IDP camps, generally, 'In some cases the numbers received were not consistent and the Registry's efforts to obtain clarification and follow-up information/lists after the meetings were unsuccessful to date; efforts in this regard are ongoing', Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, fn. 24.
- 2450 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 46.
- 2451 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 45.
- 2452 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 46.

- 2453 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 43.
- 2454 The Registry notes that it has to date not been in a position to receive any estimates as to the approximate amount of visitors in the camp on that day, Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, fn. 41.
- 2455 Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, paras 90, 223-224; Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 153, 165, 171
- 2456 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 19.
- 2457 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 19.
- 2458 See para. 163 above.
- 2459 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 159, 1384, fn. 3185 referring to P-0274, First Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1307, para. 16; P-0325, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1374, para. 15; see also P-0301, Incident Report, UGA-OTP-0249-0438-R01, at 0438; Notebook, UGA-OTP-0267-0180-R01 at 0181; Notebook, UGA-OTP0267-0182-R01, at 0183; P-0274, Second Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1320, paras 17, 22; Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 185.
- 2460 P-0274, First Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1307, para. 16.
- 2461 P-0325, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1374, para. 15.
- 2462 P-0301, Incident Report, UGA-OTP-0249-0438-R01, at 0438; P-0301, Statement, UGA-OTP-0280-1051, para. 60.
- 2463 Notebook, UGA-OTP-0267-0180-R01 at 0181; Notebook, UGA-OTP0267-0182-R01, at 0183.
- 2464 P-0274, Second Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1320, paras 17, 22.
- 2465 See Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, fn 3185
- 2466 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 36.
- 2467 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 46.
- 2468 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 36.
- 2469 See Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 21.
- 2470 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 21.
- 2471 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 14.
- 2472 This figure is comprised of the 447 participating victims from the Odek IDP camp represented by the LRVs, together with 1,972 additional potential beneficiaries from the Odek IDP camp identified by the LRVs; see LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 15, with confidential ex parte annex C; Email from VPRS to the Chambers Legal Officer, 06 February 2024 at 12:19 hrs. The Chamber notes that there appears to be an inconsistency between these numbers and the total of 2,071 listed in the 'Family Size' column in confidential ex parte annex C to the LRVs' February 2023 Submissions. For the purposes of the Chamber's calculations, the Chamber defers to the figure included in the

- cover filing, further noting that this is the figure upon which the Defence has made submissions. *See* Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 21, fn. 40. For clarity, the Chamber observes that the figure of 2,628 cited by the Defence is comprised of the 1,972 additional potential beneficiaries from the Odek IDP camp identified by the LRVs, together with the 656 participating victims represented by *both* the LRVs and the CLRV (excluding victims of thematic crimes)
- 2473 P-0301, Incident Report, UGA-OTP-0249-0438-R01, at 0438, listing the population of the camp at 2,600 persons.
- 2474 P-0301, Incident Report, UGA-OTP-0249-0438-R01, at 0438, listing the population of the camp at 2,600 persons.
- 2475 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 16.
- 2476 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, paras 24-28.
- 2477 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 24; CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 38.
- 2478 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 36.
- 2479 See Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, paras 90, 223-224; Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 153, 165, 171.
- 2480 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 22.
- 2481 See para. 163 above.
- 2482 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 178, 1644.
- 2483 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1644. The Chamber noted that P-0017, a police investigator who examined Lukodi in the aftermath of the attack.
- 2484 P-0017, Statement, UGA-OTP-0280-0857, para. 201.
- 2485 V-0004 at T-173, p. 8, lns 9-13.
- 2486 P-0035, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-0102, para. 19.
- 2487 See List, UGA-OTP-0069-0054.
- 2488 See List, UGA-OTP-0069-0092.
- 2489 See P-0060, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-0826, paras 80-81, 83-84.
- 2490 See P-0060, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-0826, paras 80, 83 indicating only that 'the rwot kweri filled out the book, before the attack in May 2004'.
- 2491 See, inter alia, P-0060, List, UGA-OTP-0069-0092, missing entries 60-72, 182-197.
- 2492 See P-0060, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-0826, para. 81.
- 2493 Contra confidential ex parte annex B to LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, listing Laco Anga, Lagot Kicol, Lalweny, Loyoboo, Lukodi and Onyayo Rwot; see also P-0060, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-0826, para. 85.
- 2494 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 33.
- 2495 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 14.

- 2496 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 16.
- 2497 This figure is comprised of 1,236 participating victims from the Lukodi IDP camp represented by the LRVs, together with 2,012 additional potential beneficiaries from the Lukodi IDP camp identified by the LRVs, see LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 15, with confidential ex parte annex B; Email from VPRS to the Chambers Legal Officer, 06 February 2024 at 12:19 hrs. The Chamber notes that there appears to be an inconsistency between these numbers and the total of 3,154 (namely, 519 (Laco Anga); 697 (Lagot Kicol); 667 (Lalweny); 155 (Loyoboo); 518 (Lukodi); 598 (Onyayo Rwot)) listed in the 'Family Size' column in confidential ex parte annex C to the LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, p. 13, 30. 45. 49, 61. For the purposes of the Chamber's calculations, the Chamber defers to the figure included in the cover filing, further noting that this is the figure upon which the Defence has made submissions, see Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04- 01/15-2035, para. 24, fn. 43. For clarity, the Chamber observes that the figure of 3,656 cited by the Defence is comprised of the 2,012 additional potential beneficiaries from the Lukodi IDP camp identified by the LRVs, together with the 1,644 participating victims represented by both the LRVs and the CLRV (excluding victims of thematic crimes).
- 2498 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 24.
- 2499 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 24; CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 38.
- 2500 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 35.
- 2501 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 38, referring to Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, paras 31, 33-35 (concerning Lukodi)
- 2502 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 24.
- 2503 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 46. In this regard, the Chamber takes note of the Defence submission that such a large disparity suggests the Registry's estimates may be inflated without any official records.
- 2504 Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, paras 90, 223-224; Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 153, 165, 171.
- 2505 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1858, referring to P-0284, Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1355, para. 25; P-0293, Transcript of Hearing, 29 November 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-139-ENG ET, (T-139), p. 8, ln 18 to p. 11, ln 4; P-0306, Statement, UGA-OTP-0261-0277-R01, para. 15; P-0306 at T-130, p. 51, ln 21 to p. 53, ln 19; see P-0293, Population of Abok IDP camp, UGA-OTP-0244-1197; P-0306, Population of Abok IDP camp, UGA-OTP-0247-1269.
- 2506 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 1858.
- 2507 See P-0284 Statement, UGA-OTP-0283-1355, at para. 25; P-0293 at T-139, p. 8, ln 18 to p. 11, ln 4; P-0306, Statement, UGA-OTP-0261-0277-R01, para. 15; P-0306 at T-130, p. 51, ln 21 to p. 53, ln 19; P-0293, Population of Abok IDP camp,

- UGA-OTP-0244-1197; P-0306, Population of Abok IDP camp, UGA-OTP-0247-1269.
- 2508 See P-0293 at T-138, p. 11, ln 12 to p. 12, ln 12; P-0293 at T-139, p. 8, ln 18 to p. 11, ln 4.
- 2509 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 39; see also Registry's Additional Information, ICC-02/04-01/15-2019, fn. 23; Decision on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2024, para. 22.
- 2510 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, fn. 24, para. 46.
- 2511 This figure is comprised of the 884 participating victims from the Abok IDP camp represented by the LRVs, together with the 10,347 additional potential beneficiaries from the Abok IDP camp identified by the LRVs, see LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 15, with confidential ex parte annex A; Email from VPRS to the Chambers Legal Officer, 06 February 2024 at 12:19 hrs. The Chamber notes that there appears to be an inconsistency between these numbers and the total of 11,022 (namely, 2,550 (Ajerijeri); 1,853 (Ariba); 2,302 (Bar); 2,252 (Bario); 1,684 (Itubara); 381 (Various)) listed in the 'Family Size' column in confidential ex parte annex A to the LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, pp. 75, 127, 203, 276, 330, 345. For the purposes of the Chamber's calculations, the Chamber defers to the figure included in the cover filing, further noting that this is the figure upon which the Defence has made submissions, see Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-2035, para. 27, fn. 48. For clarity, the Chamber observes that the figure of 11,185 cited by the Defence is comprised of the 10,347 additional potential beneficiaries from the Abok IDP camp identified by the LRVs, together with the 838 participating victims represented by both the LRVs and the CLRV (excluding victims of thematic crimes).
- 2512 The Chamber notes the lists were recreated by intermediaries by consulting with the former camp leaders and/ or officials as well as any records available, including any lists previously used by the World Food Program for its food distribution in the IDP camps. LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, paras 13-14.
- 2513 Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 27.
- 2514 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 42. The Chamber further recalls that although the CLRV does not provide any independent figures for the Abok IDP camp, she supports the Registry's total estimated number of victims of the attacks on the IDP camps. See CLRV's February 2023 Submission, ICC- 02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 38, referring to Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, paras 39- 42 (concerning Abok).
- 2515 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 16.
- 2516 Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, paras 90, 223-224; Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 153, 165, 171.
- 2517 See Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, paras 49, 52-54; LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 18, 20, 22; CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, paras 7, 39; TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 46.
- 2518 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 49.

- 2519 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 49.
- 2520 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 54.
- 2521 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 54.
- 2522 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 59.
- 2523 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 40.
- 2524 See, inter alia, Al Mahdi Reparations Order, ICC-01/12-01/15-236, para. 33.
- 2525 Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 152, 155, 157, 165, 168-169; Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, paras 89, 223.
- 2526 CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 7.
- 2527 Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 168-169.
- 2528 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 23.
- 2529 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 21, confidential *ex parte* annex D.
- 2530 Defence's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2030, para. 19, adopting the figure of 40,000 as the basis for its calculations.
- 2531 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 52.
- 2532 Berkeley Report, pp. 2, 7, 20-21. Although one reception centre (Rachele) chose not to participate in the 'The Database Project', which forms the basis of the Berkeley Report, the aggregated data from that reception centre as to the total number of abductees was still included in the Berkeley Report.
- 2533 See e.g. Berkeley Report, pp. 9-10, 20-22.
- 2534 LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 18, referring to P-0422 at T-28, p. 62, lns 10-12 referring to UGA-OTP-0272-0002 at 0149, 0152.
- 2535 See UGA-OTP-0272-0002 at 0152.
- 2536 See LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 20, referring to Office of the Clerk to Parliament, "Report of the Standing Committee on Commissions, Statutory Authorities and State Enterprises on the Performance of the Amnesty Commission from 2002-2008, March 2010, p. 5. https://www.parliament.go.ug/cmis/browser?id=14006fa6-4346-4714-af7c-073398a045bf%3 B1.0.
- 2537 See paras 676-678 above.
- 2538 The Defence does not contest this figure, adopting a rounded figure of 40,000 as the basis for its calculations. See Defence's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2030, para. 19, referring to Decision on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2024, para. 22 and noting Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI.
- 2539 Data gathered from the reception centres indicates that 10,232 children passed through the reception centres from 2002 to 2006. Estimates of the rate of registration at the reception centres vary from 32% to 43% to 50-51% to

- 75% of all children abducted by the LRA (noting, for example, that many abductees have returned home without passing through a reception centre, while some have not returned). The figure of 'roughly' 22,000, adopted by the Registry, was extrapolated in the Berkeley Report by applying a registration rate of approximately 50% (Berkeley Report, pp. 2, 9, 20-22). Extrapolating a figure based on the registration rate at least partially addresses the concerns noted by the CLRV and Registry that certain individuals (such as those who were abducted by the LRA and have not returned or did not go through a reception centre) would not be accounted for. See CLRV's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2031-Red, para. 39; Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919- AnxI, para. 52, referring to Berkeley Report, p. 20.
- 2540 Data gathered from the reception centres indicates that 4,612 adults passed through the reception centres from 2002 to 2006. Estimates of the rate of registration at the reception centres vary from 19 to 25%, to 35% of all adults abducted by the LRA. The figure of 'roughly' 19,000, adopted by the Registry, was extrapolated in the Report by applying a registration rate of approximately 25% (Berkeley Report, pp. 9, 21-22).
- 2541 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 55.
- 2542 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, paras 52, 55, *referring to* Berkeley Report, pp. 21- 22.
- 2543 Registry's Additional Information, ICC-02/04-01/15-2019, fn. 24; Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04- 01/15-1919-AnxI, paras 31, 54-55; see also Decision on the Sample, ICC-02/04-01/15-2024, fn. 44.
- 2544 Annex I to the Berkeley Report sets out data from each of the nine reception centres indicating the percentage of abductees who passed through each reception centre by age group. This percentage of children under the age of 15 was determined by tallying the percentage of abductees in the age groups 0-4, 5-9 and 10-14 for each of the nine reception centres and then calculating the average percentage thereof, see Berkeley Report, Annex I, pp. 26, 28, 31, 33, 35, 38, 40-41 43
- 2545 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 55. *See* para. <u>731</u> above.
- 2546 Annex III to the *Lubanga* Decision on the Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3379-AnxIII, pp. 2, 13-14.
- 2547 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 223-225, 2329-2402, 2415-2447, 3102-3104, 3115, 3116 (p. 1076).
- 2548 This reflects 10 of the 52 month period for which data is available.
- 2549 Defence's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2030, paras 17, 19; Defence's March 2023 Response, ICC-02/04-01/15-2035, para. 14.
- 2550 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, p. 1076.
- 2551 Defence's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2030, paras 14-15, referring to Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 854-864, 1176-1177.
- 2552 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 123, 857.
- 2553 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 856-857, 862, 2799.

- 2554 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 862 referring to P-0070, Transcript of Hearing, 14 September 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-105-Red2-ENG WT, (T-105), p. 57, lns 7-11, p. 62, lns 15-20, testifying 'because in the army when there is a brigade then there also has to be a division' [...], the division commander 'was in charge of all the brigades' and was 'second-in-command after Control Altar'.
- 2555 Defence's February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2030, para. 15.
- 2556 See, inter alia, P-0209, Transcript of Hearing, 27 February 2018, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-160-ENG WT, (T-160), p. 9, lns 10-12; D-0134, Transcript of Hearing, 16 September 2019, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-240-Red-ENG WT, (T- 240), p. 32, lns. 18-20; see also P-0406 at T-154, p. 20, lns 19-22, p. 21, lns 21-23; P-0233 at T-111, p. 50, lns 2-9.
- 2557 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 53; see also LRVs' February 2023 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-2033, para. 20.
- 2558 Reflecting 37.4% of the total of 41,000 adult and children abductees, as described in paragraph 732 above.
- 2559 See para. 733 above.
- 2560 Berkeley Report, p. 22.
- 2561 Berkeley Report, p. 12.
- 2562 Berkeley Report, p. 11.
- 2563 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 55. See para. 731 above.
- 2564 Annex I to the Berkeley Report sets out data from each of the nine reception centres indicating the percentage of abductees who passed through each reception centre by age group. This percentage of women aged between 15 and 18 was determined by tallying the percentage of abductees in the 15-18 age group for each of the nine reception centres and then calculating the average percentage thereof, *see* Berkeley Report, Annex I, pp. 26, 28, 31, 33, 35, 38, 40-41, 43.
- 2565 This figure reflects 33.41% of 9,840.
- 2566 See para. <u>733</u> above.
- 2567 Berkeley Report, pp. 11-12. For completeness, the Chamber notes that according to the Berkeley Report, 4.8% of abductees were women aged 19-30, 0.6% of abductees were women aged 31-45, and 0.1% of abductees were women over the age of 45.
- 2568 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 55. *See* para. <u>731</u> above.
- 2569 See para. 733 above.
- 2570 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 2814; see also Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04- 01/ 15-1762-Red, paras 2100, 2217.
- 2571 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 212.
- 2572 See, inter alia, Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 218, 2265.
- 2573 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 2142.
- 2574 See, inter alia, Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 214, 2143, 2146-2147, 2153, 2163, 2173-2174, 2221.
- 2575 See, inter alia, Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, paras 217, 2143, 2205, 2249, 2252-2255, 2273.

- 2576 See, inter alia, Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, fn. 1731.
- 2577 Conviction Judgment, ICC-02/04-01/15-1762-Red, para. 213, 2141.
- 2578 P-0205, Transcript of Hearing, 7 March 2017, ICC-02/04-01/ 15-T-48-Red2-ENG WT, (T-48), p. 27, ln 16 to p. 28, ln 9.
- 2579 P-0374 at T-150, p. 7, lns 12-25.
- 2580 P-0142, Transcript of Hearing, 5 May 2017, ICC-02/04-01/15-T-71-Red2-ENG WT, (T-71), p. 40, lns 3-10.
- 2581 This figure includes victims who also suffered harm as a result of one of the four IDP camps attacks, *see* Registry's Additional Information, ICC-02/04-01/15-2019, p. 9.
- 2582 Registry's Additional Information, ICC-02/04-01/15-2019, para. 21.
- 2583 Registry's Additional Information, ICC-02/04-01/15-2019, para. 21.
- 2584 See, inter alia, Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 55.
- 2585 See Registry's Additional Information, ICC-02/04-01/15-2019, pp. 8-9, as updated in Email from VPRS to the Chambers Legal Officer, 06 February 2024 at 12:19 hrs.
- 2586 This column identifies the number of victims of each IDP camp attack who were also victims of thematic crimes.
- 2587 This column identifies the total number of victims of each IDP camp attack (including those who are also victims of thematic crimes).
- 2588 This column identifies the percentage of participating victims from each IDP camp who are also victims of thematic crimes (calculated by dividing the number of victims of thematic crimes by the total number of victims of the attacks).
- 2589 This column identifies the estimated number of potentially eligible victims of the attacks on each IDP camp, as calculated by the Chamber.
- 2590 This column identifies the estimated number of potentially eligible victims who may qualify as victims of *both* the attacks on the IDP camps and thematic crimes.
- This figure includes one application which was from an organisation. Registry's Additional Information, ICC- 02/04-01/15-2019, p. 8, as updated in Email from VPRS to the Chambers Legal Officer, 06 February 2024 at 12:19 hrs.
- 2592 For completeness, the Chamber notes that amongst the pool of participating victims, the Registry identified 72 victims of thematic crimes with no link to the attacks on the IDP camps. However, this does not change the Chamber's overall calculation of victims as indeed victims of the thematic crimes include other victims. See Email from VPRS to the Chambers Legal Officer, 06 February 2024 at 12:19 hrs.
- 2593 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 48.
- 2594 See para. 660 above.
- 2595 See Annex II, p. 5.
- 2596 This figure represents 1.06% of 46,898 (the total number of potential victims of the attacks on the IDP camps).
- 2597 Registry's Mapping Report, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxI, para. 56.
- 2598 See Annex II, p. 4.

- 2599 The Sample included 15 victims of thematic crimes only (as distinct from the further 16 victims included in the Sample who were victims of both thematic crimes and the attacks on the IDP camps).
- 2600 Ntaganda Reparations Addendum, ICC-01/04-02/06-2858-Red, para. 296, fn. 780, referring to Annex II, p. 2.
- 2682 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, para. 74 [emphasis added].
- 2683 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, pp. 19-20
- 2684 See, inter alia, Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 2, 10, 152, 246-247; Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, paras 89-90, 107-108, 224; Katanga Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red, paras 2, 72
- 2685 Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, para. 108.
- 2686 Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, para. 108; Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, para. 247.
- 2687 Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, para. 108.
- 2688 See Section VI.C.3. <u>Definition of the types of harm suffered by</u> the victims above.
- 2689 See, inter alia, Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 334-337, 341; Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, paras 80, 89; Katanga Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-01/07-3778-Red, paras 70-71.
- 2690 As to the representativeness of the Sample regarding gender, age, alleged harm, alleged crimes, and alleged locations where the crimes would have occurred, *see* Decision on the Registry Transmission, ICC-02/04-01/15- 2027, paras 8-9.
- 2691 See above, Section VI.C.3 Definition of the types of harm suffered by the victims
- 2692 Prosecutor's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1976, para. 39.
- 2693 Consistent with the findings in Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para 388.
- 2694 Consistent with the findings in Sentence, ICC-02/04-01/15-1819-Red, para. 360.
- 2695 See para. 414 above.
- 2696 See para. 414 above.
- 2697 *See* Section VI.B. <u>SECOND ELEMENT: VICTIMS</u> and Section VI.C. <u>THIRD ELEMENT: HARM</u> above.
- 2698 Defence's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1991-Red-Corr, para. 63.
- 2699 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 22.
- 2700 See, inter alia, CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, paras 93-105; CLRV's Tables of costs, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-AnxI; LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 23; TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 164; ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, pp. 12-16; FJDI, WVCN's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1922, para. 22 (pp. 16-18); ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, pp. 19-20.

- 2701 See paras 574, 613 above.
- 2702 See para. 617 above.
- 2703 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, paras 107-108; see CLRV's List of relevant existing programs, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Anx5.
- 2704 CLRV's List of relevant existing programs, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Anx5, fn 5.
- 2705 Uganda's February 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1978, para. 37.
- 2706 See, inter alia, https://www.uncdf.org/article/3365/development-initiative-for-northern-uganda-dinu, last visited 26 February 2024, at 16:30 hrs; https://www.parliament.go.ug/cmis/browser?id=6c65a93f-6d5d-475b-8d3a-6802b0243e26%3B1.0, last visited 26 February 2024, at 16:30 hrs.
- 2707 DINU Project Document, p. 7, available at : https://www.uncdf.org/article/3365/development-initiative-for-northern-uganda-dinu, last visited 26 February 2024, at 16:30 hrs.
- 2708 DINU Project Document, p. 7, available at : https://www.uncdf.org/article/3365/development-initiative-for-northern-uganda-dinu, last visited 26 February 2024, at 16:30 hrs.
- 2709 ICTJ, UVF's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1974, pp. 19-20
- 2710 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 109.
- 2711 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 110.
- 2712 LRVs' February 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1977, para. 36.
- 2713 See above, para. 617.
- 2714 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 133(a), indicating that it includes provision of reconstructive and general surgery, prosthetic and orthopaedic devices, bullet and bomb fragment removal, physiotherapy, post-operative care and follow-up, specialised services for SGBC survivors such as fistula repair, and chronic pain management.
- 2715 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 133(b), indicating that it includes provision of clinical counselling services for individuals, families, and small group trauma counselling to respond to mental health disorders such as PTSD, depression, anxiety disorders, etc. In addition, the TFV supports psychosocial programming to promote community reconciliation initiatives, which include music, dance, drama, and sports activities promoting healing and social cohesion. Psychosocial activities also include peacebuilding, community sensitisation campaigns and workshops, radio broadcasts concerning topics such as acceptance, mitigating stigma (SGBC, child soldiers), and promoting integration within communities.
- 2716 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 133(c), indicating that it includes material support activities that address the livelihood harm endured by victims through village savings and loan associations, income generating activities, vocational training, animal husbandry, and improved agriculture initiatives. Livelihood initiatives aim to revitalise local economies and rehabilitate household livelihoods.
- 2717 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 155, indicating that it includes medical treatments and therapies such as reconstructive or corrective surgeries for fistula repairs and the management of chronic pain

physiotherapy; victim mobilisation and referrals for specialised medical care at various healthcare facilities; mental health services including trauma-based counselling to individuals, couples, and families; psycho- education initiatives at the community level to raise awareness; and acceptance of mental health, causes, and mitigation measures. Therapeutic measures employed in the projects included CBT, controlled administration of pharmaceutical therapies in relation to mental trauma or illnesses resulting from violent experiences endured during conflict in either abduction and captivity by the LRA or during attacks in their communities during the conflict, livelihood support in the form of VSLAs, IGAs, and peace-building initiatives including the training of Community Support Structures at the community level to mediate conflicts including SGBC and land tenure disputes which greatly affect SGBC survivors.

- 2718 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, paras 157, 159, indicating that the TFV uses reconciliation and transitional justice approaches aimed at dealing with the consequences of the inter-ethnic conflict and legacies of systematic human rights violations and abuses so as to provide healing and redress for all victims and to promote peaceful co-existence. Through periodic community sensitisation meetings in the communities of northern Uganda, the TFV works together with local leaders to raise awareness in the community over issues including but not limited to: the consequences of war and the need and importance of physical and psychological rehabilitation for war victims. The sessions are designed to reduce stigma, promote rehabilitation, and inclusion of the persons living with physical disabilities and psychological trauma brought on by the conflict.
- 2719 TFV's March 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1992, para. 75.
- 2720 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 110.
- 2721 For a similar approach see Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, para. 158.
- 2722 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 127.
- 2723 TFV's March 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1992, para. 75 [emphasis added].
- 2724 TFV's March 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1992, para. 75.
- 2725 TFV's March 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1992, para. 75.
- 2726 TFV's March 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1992, para. 75.
- 2727 See para. 621 above.
- 2728 See para. 635 above.
- 2729 ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, pp. 13-14.
- 2730 Defence's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1991-Red-Corr, para. 26.
- 2731 Defence's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1991-Red-Corr, para. 23.
- 2732 TFV's March 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1992, para. 76, referring to ARLPI's Observations, ICC- 02/04-01/ 15-1925, p. 14.
- 2733 ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, p. 13. European Commission, 'Exchange rate (InforEuro)', InforEuro, the exchange rate of the Euro currency (europa. eu), last visited on 27 February 2024 at 9:30 hrs, referring

- to European Central Bank, 'Euro foreign exchange reference rates', Euro foreign exchange reference rates (europa.eu), last visited on last visited on 27 February 2024 at 9:30 hrs.
- 2734 ARLPI's Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1925, p. 14. European Commission, 'Exchange rate (InforEuro)', InforEuro, the exchange rate of the Euro currency (europa.eu), last visited on 27 February 2024 at 9:30 hrs, *referring to* European Central Bank, 'Euro foreign exchange reference rates', Euro foreign exchange reference rates (europa.eu), last visited on last visited on 27 February 2024 at 9:30 hrs.
- 2735 European Commission, 'Exchange rate (InforEuro)', InforEuro, the exchange rate of the Euro currency (europa. eu), last visited on 27 February 2024 at 9:30 hrs, referring to European Central Bank, 'Euro foreign exchange reference rates', Euro foreign exchange reference rates (europa.eu), last visited on last visited on 27 February 2024 at 9:30 hrs.
- 2736 See TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, paras 157, 159.
- 2737 European Commission, 'Exchange rate (InforEuro)', InforEuro, the exchange rate of the Euro currency (europa. eu), last visited on 27 February 2024 at 9:30 hrs, referring to European Central Bank, 'Euro foreign exchange reference rates', Euro foreign exchange reference rates (europa.eu), last visited on last visited on 27 February 2024 at 9:30 hrs. The Chamber has included the equivalent amount of liability in Ugandan Shillings today as a point of reference for the victims who are predominantly located in Uganda and use Ugandan currency. The Chamber wishes to be clear, however, that the inclusion of the amount of liability in Ugandan Shillings is for reference only. The award against Mr Ongwen is made in Euros.
- 2738 The Chamber notes the TFV's submission that it requires nine months to one year to develop the DIP and, following the approval by the Chamber of the DIP, another eight months to one year to secure the services of the implementing partner; see TFV's March 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1992, para. 22. While the Chamber will consider the estimated timeline for implementation in the context of its decision on the DIP, it considers that six months is an appropriate amount of time for the TFV to submit its DIP. The Chamber notes that the TFV was provided six months in the Ntaganda case to develop a DIP, which the Chamber expects was more intensive to plan considering the individualised nature of the programming. While the TFV required an extension of time to submit the DIP in Ntaganda, the Chamber notes that this was due to complications caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, which are not relevant in this case. As such, the Chamber considers six months to be reasonable and appropriate. See Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, para. 249; Trial Chamber II, The Prosecutor v. Bosco Ntaganda, Decision on the Trust Fund for Victims' Request to Vary the Time Limit to Submit Draft Implementation Plan, 23 July 2021, ICC-01/04-02/06-2697.
- 2739 *See* paras <u>38-41</u> above.
- 2740 See para. <u>57</u> above. See also Ntaganda Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2659, paras 50-52 (do no harm principle); 45-49 (guarantee accessibility and meaningful participation of victims); para. 47 (respect for diversity as to victims' particular needs and interests); paras 60-62 (gender specific considerations).
- 2741 See para. 798 above.
- 2742 Al Mahdi Reparations Order, ICC-01/12-01/15-236; Public redacted version of 'Decision on Trust Fund for Victims'

- Draft Implementation Plan for Reparations', 17 August 2017, ICC-01/12-01/15-236; Decision on the Updated Implementation Plan from the Trust Fund for Victims, 4 March 2019, ICC-01/12-01/15.
- 2743 See, inter alia, Lubanga Reparations Decision, ICC-01/04-01/06-2904; Order instructing the Trust Fund for Victims to supplement the draft implementation plan, 9 February 2016, ICC-01/04-01/06-3198-tENG; Order approving the proposed plan of the Trust Fund for Victims in relation to symbolic collective reparations, 21 October 2016, ICC-01/04-01/06-3251; Order approving the proposed programmatic framework for collective service-based reparations submitted by the Trust Fund for Victims, 6 April 2017, ICC-01/04-01/06-3289; Décision faisant droit à la requête du Fonds au profit des victimes du 21 septembre 2020 et approuvant la mise en œuvre des réparations collectives prenant la forme de prestations de services, 4 March 2021, ICC-01/04-01/06- 3495-Red.
- 2744 See, inter alia, Decision approving the Implementation of Individual Reparations and instructing the Trust Fund for Victims to Transmit to it Additional Information on the Implementation of Collective Reparations, 12 October 2017, ICC-01/04-01/07-3768-Conf-tENG; Order Directing the Trust Fund for Victims to File Information on the Modalities of Collective Reparations, 20 September 2018, ICC-01/04-01/07-3809-Conf- tENG; Order Directing the Trust Fund for Victims to File a Progress Report on the Implementation of Collective Reparations and the Next Steps and Activities Planned, 7 February 2019, ICC-01/04-01/07-3825-Conf-tENG.
- 2745 Trust Fund fo [sic] Victims' submission of Draft Implementation Plan, 17 December 2021, ICC-01/04-02/06- 2732, with 1 Confidential Annex, ICC-01/04-02/06-2732-Conf-AnxA; Trust Fund for Victims' second submission of Draft Implementation Plan, 24 March 2022, ICC-01/04-02/06-2750, with Annex 1, corrigendum to public redacted version filed on 14 April 2022, ICC-01/04-02/06-2750-Anx1-Red-Corr; Trust Fund for Victims' Submission of Additional Information on the Draft Implementation Plan, 3 November 2023, ICC-01/04-02/06-2877, with confidential Annex A, ICC-01/04-02/06-2877-Conf-AnxA, and confidential Annex B ICC-01/04-02/06-2877-Conf-AnxB.
- 2746 Decision on the 'Request of the Common Legal Representative of the Former Child Soldiers for an extension of the time limit to respond to the Trust Fund for Victims' Draft Implementation Plan' and additional request by the TFV, 21 January 2022, ICC-01/04-02/06-2739; Ntaganda First DIP Decision, ICC-01/04-02/06-2860-Red; Second Decision on the Trust Fund for Victims' Draft Implementation Plan for Reparations, 27 February 2024, ICC-01/04-02/06-2894-Conf.
- 2747 In the *Ntaganda* case, for example, Trial Chamber II approved the DIP having regard to the following elements: (i) the objectives, outcomes, and activities identified as necessary to give effect to the Reparations Order; (ii) the reparation projects the TFV intends to develop, indicating the details of the proposed collective awards, each of the collective projects with individualised components, and the modalities of reparations considered appropriate to address each of the harms; (iii) the methods of implementation, steps to be taken, direct and indirect costs, the expected amount that the TFV will use to complement the awards, and the expected timeline necessary for the projects' development and implementation; and (iv) a detailed proposal as to the way in which it expects to conduct the administrative eligibility assessment. *See*

- Ntaganda First DIP Decision, ICC-01/04- 02/06-2860-Red, para. 18.
- 2748 Ntaganda First DIP Decision, ICC-01/04-02/06-2860-Red, para. 20.
- 2749 Ntaganda First DIP Decision, ICC-01/04-02/06-2860-Red, para. 20.
- 2750 Ntaganda First DIP Decision, ICC-01/04-02/06-2860-Red, para. 20.
- 2751 Ntaganda First DIP Decision, ICC-01/04-02/06-2860-Red, para. 20.
- 2752 CLRV's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1990, para. 41; Victims' Request for Urgent Support to Victims presenting with Mental Health Challenges and Other Victims Requiring Urgent Medical Intervention, originally filed on 23 August 2023 as confidential *ex parte* Trust Fund for Victims only, ICC-02/04-01/15-2054- Conf-Exp. Confidential redacted and public redacted versions filed on 28 August 2023, ICC-02/04-01/15-2054- Conf-Red and ICC-02/04-01/15-2054-Red2, with Confidential Annex A and Confidential *ex parte* annex B, ICC- 02/04-01/15-2054-Conf-AnxA and ICC-02/04-01/15-2054-Conf-Exp-AnxB.
- 2753 TFV's December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 124.
- 2754 See para. 621 above.
- 2755 The Chamber reminds the VPRS and the TFV that payments must be made in the order established in the prioritisation section of this Order. As articulated below, it is the responsibility of the VPRS to apply the prioritisation principles when conducting the eligibility assessment and transmit to the TFV lists of victims to be prioritised for such payments.
- 2756 The TFV submits that the eligibility process should be the same as the programme it proposed in the *Ntaganda* case though notes though notes that it requires flexibility and thus intended to submit an eligibility model applicable to the *Ongwen* case in its DIP, see TFV's March 2022 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1992, para. 52; TFV December 2021 Observations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1920, para. 55.
- 2757 The LRVs submit that a practical approach to regarding eligibility would be for the TFV to work jointly with the LRVs to manage eligibility and identification of all potential beneficiaries. The LRVs submits that they are better placed to conduct eligibility and identification processes ordered by the Chamber while managing the expectations of victims, see LRVs' March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1993, para. 51.
- 2758 The Registry submits that in order to identify victims, an identification, registration and legal screening process is necessary in order to ensure a 'comprehensive, meaningful and successful reparations process.' The Registry notes, however, that due to the very high number of potentially eligible victims, a flexible approach should be considered, see Registry's Submission on Reparations, ICC-02/04-01/15-1919-AnxII, para. 13.
- 2759 Ntaganda First DIP Decision, ICC-01/04-02/06-2860-Red, paras 183-186.
- 2760 Ntaganda First DIP Decision, ICC-01/04-02/06-2860-Red, paras 179-181.
- 2761 Ntaganda First DIP Decision, ICC-01/04-02/06-2860-Red, paras 183-186.
- 2762 The Chamber notes that the identification of potential beneficiaries and the eligibility assessment are administrative in nature and thus not under the purview of the Chamber. The

- Chamber will therefore not address submissions of the parties and participants regarding the manner in which these administrative functions should be conducted. This is the responsibility of the entity assigned by the Chamber in this Order.
- 2763 LRVs' March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1993, paras 49-50.
- 2764 See Section VI.C.5.ii.c. Conditions of eligibility above.
- 2765 CLRV's December 2021 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1923-Red, para. 30.
- 2766 See above, Section VI.D.3. Prioritisation.
- 2767 The Chamber reiterates that 'urgent needs' for prioritisation purposes are those for which 'the victims need to receive immediate physical and or psychological medical care, and or support due to financial hardship that endangers the person's life', see para. 659 above.
- 2768 See Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, paras 7, 164, in which the Appeals Chamber held that 'when only collective reparations are awarded pursuant to rule 98(3) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, a Trial Chamber is not required to rule on the merits of the individual requests for reparations.' See also Lubanga Judgment on Size of Reparations Award, ICC-01/04-01/06-3466-Red, paras 86-88, 138; Al Mahdi Reparations Order, ICC-01/12-01/15-236, paras 145-146, in which the Chamber created an administrative eligibility screening mechanism only for individual reparations when collective and individual reparations were awarded by the Chamber.
- 2769 For a similar approach, see Ntaganda First DIP Decision, ICC-01/04-02/06-2860-Red, para. 186; Ntaganda Judgment on Reparations Order, ICC-01/04-02/06-2782, paras 367-368.
- 2770 Defence's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1991-Red-Corr, paras 49-51.
- 2771 Ntaganda First DIP Decision, ICC-01/04-02/06-2860-Red, para. 182. The Chamber acknowledges that the Legal Aid Policy of the International Criminal Court, which was adopted at the Assembly of States Parties in November 2023, contemplates funding for defence counsel and victims' counsel during the implementation phase of reparations proceedings. See International Criminal Court Assembly of States Parties, Draft Legal aid policy of the International Criminal Court, 22 November 2023, ICC-ASP/22/9, paras 41, 60, 62. While the Chamber in this case has ruled that no representation of victims or the convicted person is required outside the context of judicial proceedings, based on the availability of funding, the Chamber may request the intervention of the defence counsel or victims' representatives during the administrative implementation phase, whenever required in the interests of justice.
- 2772 Ntaganda First DIP Decision, ICC-01/04-02/06-2860-Red, para. 182.
- 2773 CLRV's March 2022 Submissions, ICC-02/04-01/15-1990, paras 14-16.

- 2774 Ntaganda First DIP Decision, ICC-01/04-02/06-2860-Red, para. 187.
- 2775 See Section VI.C.5.ii.c. Conditions of eligibility above.
- 2776 Updated Submission on Mr Ongwen's Financial Situation, 8 February 2024, ICC-02/04-01/15-2070-Red; Registry Submissions on Mr Ongwen's Financial Situation, ICC-02/04-01/15-1877; Uganda's Submission on Tracing, Freezing or Seizing of Assets and Properties, ICC-02/04-01/15-1994.
- 2777 ICC-ASP/4/Res.3 Regulations of the TFV https://asp.icc-cpi. int/sites/asp/files/asp_docs/Resolutions/ICC- ASP-ASP4-Res-03-ENG.pdf.
- 2778 See Regulation 56 of the Regulations of the TFV, stating: 'The Board of Directors shall determine whether to complement the resources collected through awards for reparations with "other resources of the Trust Fund" and shall advise the Court accordingly. Without prejudice to its activities under paragraph 50, sub-paragraph (a), the Board of Directors shall make all reasonable endeavours to manage the Fund taking into consideration the need to provide adequate resources to complement payments for awards under rule 98, sub-rules 3 and 4 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence and taking particular account of ongoing legal proceedings that may give rise to such awards'.
- 2779 See Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 4, stating: 'The determination, pursuant to regulation 56 of the Regulations of the Trust Fund, of whether to allocate the Trust Fund's "other resources" for purposes of complementing the resources collected through awards for reparations falls solely within the discretion of the Trust Fund's Board of Directors'.
- 2780 See Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, para. 5, stating: 'In cases where the convicted person is unable to immediately comply with an order for reparations for reasons of indigence, the Trust Fund may advance its "other resources" pursuant to regulation 56 of the Regulations of the Trust Fund, but such intervention does not exonerate the convicted person from liability. The convicted person remains liable and must reimburse the Trust Fund'.
- 2781 See Lubanga Judgment on Principles, ICC-01/04-01/06-3129, paras 107-114.
- 2782 The Chamber recalls that the TFV's Uganda Programme has operated in Uganda for nearly 15 years and provides medical, mental health, and livelihood support to victims affected by the crimes under the Court's jurisdiction. *See* Decision on the 'Victims' Request for Urgent Support to Victims presenting with Mental Health Challenges and Other Victims Requiring Urgent Medical Intervention', 29 September 2024, ICC-02/04-01/15- 2061, paras 13, 15.
- 2783 Additional information on the role of the PIOS and VPRS in the administrative eligibility process is described in Section VII.B. Administrative eligibility assessment below.
- 2784 See Section VI.D.3. Prioritisation above.