

## SEPARATING SINGULARITIES OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

JÜRGEN MÜLLER AND JOCHEN WENGENROTH

**ABSTRACT.** We present a short proof for a classical result on separating singularities of holomorphic functions. The proof is based on the open mapping theorem and the fusion lemma of Roth, which is a basic tool in complex approximation theory. The same method yields similar separation results for other classes of functions.

**1. Separating singularities in open sets.** There are various elementary results in function theory concerning the separation of singularities. Simple examples are the Laurent decomposition of holomorphic functions in a ring domain or the partial fractions decomposition of rational functions. A general result of this type is due to Aronszajn [1]. It can be viewed as a special case of the Cousin-I-problem (see *e.g.* [7, Theorem 1.4.5]).

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  be open in the extended complex plane  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Every holomorphic function  $f$  on  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$  can be written as  $f = f_1|_{\Omega} - f_2|_{\Omega}$  with  $f_j$  holomorphic in  $\Omega_j$ .*

The usual modern proof [7, Theorem 1.4.5] uses the surjectivity of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -operator and a partition of unity. We give a different proof based on the

**FUSION LEMMA (ROTH [10]).** *For every pair  $K_1, K_2$  of disjoint compact sets in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  there exists a constant  $\alpha = \alpha(K_1, K_2)$  such that for every compact  $K \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ , every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every pair of rational functions  $r_1, r_2$  with  $\|r_1 - r_2\|_K < \varepsilon$  there is a rational function  $R$  with*

$$\|R - r_j\|_{K_j \cup K} < \alpha\varepsilon \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2$$

(where throughout  $\|f\|_A = \sup_{z \in A} |f(z)|$ ).

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.** For an open set  $G \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  we endow the space

$$H(G) = \{f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ holomorphic, } f(\infty) = 0 \text{ if } \infty \in G\}$$

with its usual Fréchet space topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $G$ . Since we may assume  $f \in H(\Omega)$ , we have to show that the continuous linear operator

$$T: H(\Omega_1) \times H(\Omega_2) \rightarrow H(\Omega), \quad T(f_1, f_2) := f_1|_{\Omega} - f_2|_{\Omega}$$

is surjective, which is—by a version of the open mapping theorem which is stated *e.g.* in [8, p. 9]—equivalent to  $T$  being almost open, *i.e.*, the closure of  $T(U_1 \times U_2)$  is a neighbourhood of 0 in  $H(\Omega)$  for all 0-neighbourhoods  $U_j$  in  $H(\Omega_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .

---

Received by the editors April 30, 1997; revised December 10, 1997.

AMS subject classification: 30E99, 30E10.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 1998.

Let  $U_j$  be 0-neighbourhoods in  $H(\Omega_j)$  which can be assumed to be of the form

$$U_j = \{f \in H(\Omega_j) : \|f\|_{K_j} < \varepsilon\}$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and compact sets  $K_j \subset \Omega_j$ . Let  $M \subset \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$  be compact with  $K_1 \cup K_2 \subset M$  and such that each component of  $M^c$  contains a point of  $(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)^c$ . Choosing an open set  $U \supset M \setminus \Omega_1$  with  $\bar{U} \subset \Omega_2$ , and letting  $\tilde{K}_1 = K_1 \cup (M \setminus U)$  and  $\tilde{K}_2 = K_2 \cup (M \cap \bar{U})$ , we have  $K_j \subset \tilde{K}_j \subset \Omega_j$  and  $\tilde{K}_1 \cup \tilde{K}_2 = M$ . Let now  $K \subset \Omega$  be compact with  $\tilde{K}_1 \cap \tilde{K}_2 \subset K^\circ$ , set  $L_j = \tilde{K}_j \setminus K^\circ$  and choose  $\alpha = \alpha(L_1, L_2)$  according to the fusion lemma. Then we have

$$V = \left\{f \in H(\Omega) : \|f\|_K < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\alpha}\right\} \subset \overline{T(U_1 \times U_2)}.$$

Indeed, by Runge's theorem it is enough to decompose rational functions  $R \in V$  as  $R = T(f_1, f_2)$  with  $f_j \in U_j$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . Clearly, we can write  $R = R_1 - R_2$  with  $R_j \in H(\Omega_j)$ . Hence, by the fusion lemma, there exists a rational function  $S$  with

$$\|S - R_j\|_{K \cup \tilde{K}_j} < \alpha \frac{\varepsilon}{2\alpha} = \varepsilon/2.$$

Applying again Runge's theorem, we find a function  $\tilde{S} \in H(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)$  with  $\|S - \tilde{S}\|_{\tilde{K}_1 \cup \tilde{K}_2} < \varepsilon/2$ . Finally, the functions  $f_j = R_j - \tilde{S}$  satisfy  $f_j \in U_j$  with  $T(f_1, f_2) = R$ . ■

**REMARKS.** 1. The kernel of the operator  $T$  in the previous proof is isomorphic to  $H(\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2)$ . In particular, if  $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 = \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ , then  $T$  is injective and  $H(\Omega) = H(\Omega_1) \oplus H(\Omega_2)$  is the topological direct sum of the spaces  $H(\Omega_j)$ . In this case the unique decomposition  $f = f_1|_\Omega - f_2|_\Omega$  depends in a continuous linear way on  $f$ .

2. Let  $P(D)$  be a homogeneous polynomial elliptic partial differential operator with constant coefficients on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and call a  $C^\infty$ -function on an open set  $P$ -holomorphic if it belongs to the kernel of  $P(D)$  ( $\bar{\partial}$ -holomorphic functions are holomorphic in the usual sense and  $\Delta$ -holomorphic functions are harmonic if  $\Delta$  denotes the Laplace-operator). Since there are "elliptic analogues" of the tools used in the proof above, namely an elliptic fusion lemma [2] and an elliptic Runge theorem [3], we can extend Theorem 1 to  $P$ -holomorphic functions. The harmonic case is already included in [1].

**THEOREM 2.** *For each pair  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  of open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , every  $P$ -holomorphic function  $f$  on  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$  can be written as  $f = f_1|_\Omega - f_2|_\Omega$  where the functions  $f_j$  are  $P$ -holomorphic on  $\Omega_j$ .*

**2. Separating singularities in compact sets.** For a compact set  $K \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  we denote  $A(K) = \{f \in C(K) : f|_{K^\circ} \in H(K^\circ), f(\infty) = 0\}$ . One may ask for an analogue result to Aronszajn's theorem:

If  $K = K_1 \cap K_2$  is the intersection of two compact sets, is it true that every  $f \in A(K)$  can be decomposed as  $f = f_1|_K - f_2|_K$  with  $f_j \in A(K_j)$ ? In general, the answer is no, and in many cases, the decomposability can be characterized by a "fusion property". Let  $R(K)$  be the space of all  $f \in A(K)$  that can be uniformly approximated by rational functions.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $K = K_1 \cap K_2$  be the intersection of two compact sets  $K_j \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  with  $R(K) = A(K)$  and such that every function which is continuous on  $K_1 \cup K_2$  and holomorphic in  $K_1^\circ \cup K_2^\circ$  is holomorphic on  $(K_1 \cup K_2)^\circ$ .*

(a) *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every  $f \in A(K)$  can be decomposed as  $f = f_1|_K - f_2|_K$  with  $f_j \in A(K_j)$ .*
- (2) *There is a constant  $a > 0$  such that for every pair  $u_j \in A(K_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , there exists a function  $f \in A(K_1 \cup K_2)$  with*

$$\|f - u_j\|_{K_j} \leq a\|u_1 - u_2\|_K.$$

(b) *If, moreover,  $A(K_1 \cup K_2) = R(K_1 \cup K_2)$ , then condition (2) is equivalent to:*

- (3) *There is a constant  $b > 0$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every pair  $r_1, r_2$  of rational functions with  $\|r_1 - r_2\|_K < \varepsilon$  there exists a rational function  $R$  with*

$$\|R - r_j\|_{K_j} < b\varepsilon.$$

**PROOF.** Assume that condition (1) holds, which means, that the continuous linear operator

$$T: A(K_1) \times A(K_2) \rightarrow A(K), \quad T(f_1, f_2) = f_1|_K - f_2|_K$$

is surjective (where we endow  $A(K_j)$  and  $A(K)$  with the Banach space topologies given by the sup-norm). By the open mapping theorem,  $T$  is open. Given  $u_j \in A(K_j)$  we therefore can decompose  $h = u_1|_K - u_2|_K$  as  $h = f_1|_K - f_2|_K$  with  $f_j \in A(K_j)$  and  $\|f_j\|_{K_j} \leq a\|h\|_K$  for some constant  $a > 0$  depending only on  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ .

Since  $f_1 - f_2 = u_1 - u_2$  on  $K$ , the function  $f = u_1 - f_1 = u_2 - f_2$  is consistently defined on  $K_1 \cup K_2$ , continuous there and holomorphic in  $K_1^\circ \cup K_2^\circ$  and thus,  $f \in A(K_1 \cup K_2)$  with

$$\|f - u_j\|_{K_j} = \|f_j\|_{K_j} \leq a\|u_1 - u_2\|_K.$$

To prove that (2) implies (1), we have to show that the operator  $T$  above is surjective or—equivalently—almost open. Given  $h \in A(K)$  with  $\|h\|_K < 1$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there is a rational  $R \in A(K)$  with  $\|h - R\|_K < \varepsilon$ . Clearly  $R = R_1 - R_2$  with  $R_j \in A(K_j)$ . Applying (2), we find  $f \in A(K_1 \cup K_2)$  such that

$$\|f - R_j\|_{K_j} \leq a\|R_1 - R_2\|_K = a\|R\|_K \leq 2a.$$

With  $f_j = R_j - f \in A(K_j)$  we get  $\|f_j\|_{K_j} \leq 2a$  and

$$\|h - T(f_1, f_2)\|_K = \|h - R\|_K < \varepsilon.$$

This proves that  $T$  is almost open.

The same proof yields that (3) implies (1). To show that (2) implies (3) we first exclude exactly as in [4, p. 247] the case that the  $r_j$  have poles in  $K_j$ . Hence we may assume  $r_j \in A(K_j)$ . Now, we just approximate the function  $f$  given by (2) by a rational function  $R$  on  $K_1 \cup K_2$  to obtain

$$\|R - r_j\|_{K_j} \leq \|R - f\|_{K_1 \cup K_2} + \|f - r_j\|_{K_j} < a\varepsilon + a\|r_1 - r_2\|_K \leq 2a\varepsilon.$$

Thus we may take  $b = 2a$ . ■

REMARKS. 1. For compact sets  $K_1, K_2 \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  with  $\infty \notin \partial K_j$ , the condition that every function which is continuous on  $K_1 \cup K_2$  and holomorphic in  $K_1^\circ \cup K_2^\circ$  is holomorphic in  $(K_1 \cup K_2)^\circ$  is satisfied if and only if  $\alpha(\partial K_1 \cap \partial K_2 \cap (K_1 \cup K_2)^\circ) = 0$ , where  $\alpha(E)$  denotes the continuous analytic capacity of a set  $E \subset \mathbb{C}$  (see [6, Chap. I]). For example, this is the case if  $\partial K_1 \cap \partial K_2 \cap (K_1 \cup K_2)^\circ$  is a countable union of sets of finite one-dimensional Hausdorff measure [6, Corollary 2.4] and thus, in particular, if  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  have smooth boundary. Sufficient conditions for  $R(K) = A(K)$  are well known (see for example [5]). In particular,  $A(K) = R(K)$  holds if  $K^c$  has only finitely many components.

2. If  $K = K_1 \cap K_2$  is infinite, then the condition (3) is equivalent to:

(3') There is a constant  $c > 0$  such that for every pair  $r_j$  of rational functions there exists a rational function  $R$  with

$$\|R - r_j\|_{K_j} \leq c \|r_1 - r_2\|_K.$$

3. The question under which condition (3) holds was first investigated by Gauthier (cf. [4] or [5]), who gave an example where (3) fails (in this example,  $A(K_1 \cup K_2) = R(K_1 \cup K_2)$  is violated). Much simpler examples were obtained by Gaier in [4], where he asked for conditions under which the strong version (3) of the fusion lemma is true. Several results can be found in [9], [12].

4. The kernel of  $T$  in the situation of Theorem 3 is isomorphic to  $A(K_1 \cup K_2)$ . In particular, if  $K_1 \cup K_2 = \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ , then  $T$  is injective, hence a topological isomorphism, and this implies  $A(K) = A(K_1) \oplus A(K_2)$  if condition (1) holds. For  $K_1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$  and  $K_2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \geq \varrho\} \cup \{\infty\}$ , where  $\varrho \leq 1$ , we easily see by Laurent decomposition that  $A(K) = A(K_1) \oplus A(K_2)$  holds true if  $\varrho < 1$ . On the other hand, for  $\varrho = 1$ , this would imply that the disc algebra  $A(K_1)$  is complemented in the space of continuous functions on  $\{|z| = 1\}$ , which is not true [11, Example 5.19]. Hence we have another simple situation where the strong form of the fusion lemma fails.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Aronszajn, *Sur les décompositions des fonctions analytiques uniformes et sur leur applications*. Acta Math. **65**(1935), 1–156.
2. A. Bonilla and J. C. Fariña, *Elliptic fusion lemma*. Math. Japon. **41**(1995), 441–445.
3. A. Dufresnoy, P. M. Gauthier and W. H. Ow, *Uniform approximation on closed sets by solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*. Complex Variables Theory Appl. **6**(1986), 235–247.
4. D. Gaier, *Remarks on Alice Roth's fusion lemma*. J. Approx. Theory **37**(1983), 246–250.
5. ———, *Lectures on Complex Approximation*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1987.
6. J. Garnett, *Analytic Capacity and Measure*. Springer, Berlin, 1972.
7. L. Hörmander, *An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables*. 3rd edn, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990.
8. N. J. Kalton, N. T. Peck and J. W. Roberts, *An F-Space Sampler*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.
9. A. Nersesjan, *Alice Roth's fusion lemma*. Soviet J. Contemporary Math. Anal. **23**(1988), 34–47.
10. A. Roth, *Uniform and tangential approximation by meromorphic functions on closed sets*. Canad. J. Math. **28**(1976), 104–111.

11. W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
12. G. Schmieder, *Fusion lemma and boundary structure*. J. Approx. Theory **71**(1992), 305–311.

*Fachbereich IV, Mathematik  
Universität Trier  
D-54286 Trier  
Germany  
email: [jmueller@uni-trier.de](mailto:jmueller@uni-trier.de)  
[wengen@uni-trier.de](mailto:wengen@uni-trier.de)*