

Results: Waist/height ratio (W/h) is greater in M general sample ($P=0.01$) but also in POST-F:PRE-F ($P=0.05$) and in PRE-M:PRE-F ($P=0.0001$). At large, blood glucose was higher in M, independently from puberty ($P=0.01$), while insulin was similar in F/M. After sub-grouping, insulin was higher in post-F/M (both $P=0.0001$) *v.* PRE, while glucose was higher in POST-F:POST-M ($P=0.01$).

Similar behaviour for insulin resistance-homeostasis model assessment (IR-HOMA): higher in POST-F/M *v.* PRE (both $P=0.0001$). Besides, IR-HOMA >2.5 risk is

higher in POST (whole sample, F, M), but POST-M have a greater risk (OR = 2.11 POST:PRE, $P=0.0001$; OR=2.45 POST-M:PRE-M, $P=0.02$; OR = 1.94 POST-F:PRE-F, $P=0.01$).

Conclusions: M attending our outpatients service seems in poorer nutritional (higher W/h) and metabolic conditions (higher pathologic IR-HOMA risk) than F, with a slight indication that abdominal fat distribution might not be the only explanation for IR appearance: other factors should be considered and studied.

doi:10.1017/S1368980012002030

29 – Insulin resistance risk among ex-preterm overweight/obese patients

A Piedimonte, A Mosca, AM Caiazzo, D Guttadoro, A Cafarotti, CM L'Amante, R Mercurio, RE Papa and A Vania

Centro di Dietologia e Nutrizione Pediatrica, I Facoltà di Medicina e Chirurgia, 'Sapienza' Università di Roma, Italy

Introduction: According to the 'thrifty phenotype' hypothesis, ex-preterm (ExP) children, if overfed in infancy, show a greater insulin resistance (IR) risk than AGA (appropriate gestational age) children. ExP also shows a larger waist circumference (W), due to greater extent of abdominal fat: this might trigger off IR.

Method: 655 valid overweight/obese patients (F 356, M 309; average age = 10.43 (SD 2.84) years) were considered: ExP 118 (F 62, M 56), AGA 547 (F 294, M 253). Anthropometric indexes studied were W and waist/height ratio (W/h). Insulin resistance-homeostasis model assessment (IR-HOMA), studied in 569 patients (ExP 102: F 54, M 48; AGA 467: F 254, M 213), led to sub-grouping them in: IR-HOMA >2.5 (ExP 53: F 26, M 27; AGA 226:

F 129, M 97) and IR-HOMA <2.5 (ExP 49: F 28, M 21; AGA 241: F 125, M 116). Statistical analysis used Student's *t* and χ^2 tests.

Results: W was $>95^{\text{th}}$ C in 97.4% of ExP *v.* 91.4% of AGA; W/h was pathologic (>0.5) in 92.4% of ExP *v.* 89.0% of AGA. ExP have a higher risk of W $>95^{\text{th}}$ C and W/h >0.5 than AGA (W OR: F = 5.33, M = 2.23; W/h OR = 1.5 in both F/M, respectively). ExP also have IR-HOMA >2.5 more frequently, with higher risk for M (OR: F = 0.9; M = 1.5).

Conclusions: In our experience, ExP of both genders show a greater extent of W $>95^{\text{th}}$ C and W/h >0.5 than AGA, but an IR risk just slightly higher (OR = 1.25). M ExP seems to be at higher risk than F: literature lacks of data about this point.

doi:10.1017/S1368980012002042

30 – Relationship among insulin resistance, blood lipids and blood pressure in a population of paediatric overweight/obese patients

A Mosca, A Piedimonte, AM Caiazzo, RE Papa, D Guttadoro, A Grimaldi, S Zorkadis, A Vania

Centro di Dietologia e Nutrizione Pediatrica, I Facoltà di Medicina e Chirurgia, 'Sapienza' Università di Roma, Italy

Introduction: Within the ample debate about Metabolic Syndrome, its *primum movens* and its pathophysiology, a

relationship among high insulin resistance-homeostasis model assessment (IR-HOMA), blood lipids (BL: triglycerides