



Hausdorff operators on some classical spaces of analytic functions

Huayou Xie and Qingze Lin

Abstract. In this note, we start on the study of the sufficient conditions for the boundedness of Hausdorff operators

$$(\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu}f)(z) := \int_{\mathbb{D}} K(w)f(\sigma_w(z))d\mu(w)$$

on three important function spaces (i.e., derivative Hardy spaces, weighted Dirichlet spaces, and Bloch type spaces), which is a continuation of the previous works of Mirotin et al. Here, μ is a positive Radon measure, K is a μ -measurable function on the open unit disk \mathbb{D} , and $\sigma_w(z)$ is the classical Möbius transform of \mathbb{D} .

1 Introduction

Denote by \mathbb{D} the open unit disk in the complex plane, and let $\partial\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$ be the unit circle. Define $H(\mathbb{D})$ as the space of all analytic function on \mathbb{D} .

The set of all conformal automorphisms of \mathbb{D} forms a group which is called the Möbius group and is denoted by $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$. It is well known that φ belongs to $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if there exists a real number θ and a point $a \in \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$\varphi(z) = e^{i\theta}\sigma_a(z),$$

where $\sigma_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{D}$.

Let μ be a positive Radon measure, and let K be a μ -measurable function on \mathbb{D} , the Hausdorff operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu}$ is defined by

$$(\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu}f)(z) := \int_{\mathbb{D}} K(w)f(\sigma_w(z))d\mu(w).$$

The original Hausdorff operator on the real line \mathbb{R} was introduced by Georgakis in [10]. Since then, the boundedness of such operators had attracted lots of attentions of analysts from harmonic analysis (see the survey article [2] for some developments in the theory of Hausdorff operators). In [18, 19], Lerner and Liflyand investigated the boundedness of the multidimensional Hausdorff operators on real Hardy spaces over \mathbb{R}^n (see [20–22] for more research on this line). Some of the above results were

Received by the editors November 29, 2023; revised February 1, 2024; accepted February 4, 2024.

Published online on Cambridge Core February 29, 2024.

Qingze Lin is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Jiaying (Grant No. 2023AY40003).

AMS subject classification: 47G10, 30H05.

Keywords: Hausdorff operator, weighted Dirichlet space, derivative Hardy space, Bloch type space, boundedness.



generalized to locally compact groups by Mirotin [28]. Among them, Mirotin found that for the circle group \mathbb{T} , this definition leads to Hausdorff operators being almost trivial due to the almost triviality of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{T})$. Therefore, Mirotin [29] introduced a new definition of the Hausdorff operator (it is such operator we study here) on the unit disk and discussed the boundedness of this operator on some classical function spaces, including Hardy spaces, Bergman spaces, and Bloch spaces. Quickly later, the boundedness of such Hausdorff operator on all Möbius invariant spaces in the unit disk was investigated in [30]. Later, Karapetyants and Mirotin [16] continued to study the Hausdorff operators and presented the boundedness, compactness, and nuclearity of these operators on some Banach spaces of analytic functions on the unit disk. In addition, they called these operators “Hausdorff–Zhu operators” because their definitions are similar to those of the Hausdorff–Berezin operators introduced by Karapetyants, Samko, and Zhu [17]. Following the works of them, Bonet [1] discussed the continuity of Hausdorff operators on weighted Banach spaces of holomorphic functions of type H^∞ . For some applications of the Hausdorff–Zhu operators, we refer the readers to the interesting paper [14].

Motivated by the works mentioned above, we mainly investigate the boundedness of the Hausdorff operators on some important function spaces in this paper, which is a continuation of the works of Mirotin et al. The content structure of this article is as follows.

In Section 2, we give some definitions of function spaces and collect some lemmas that will be used throughout the paper.

In Section 3, we explore the boundedness of the Hausdorff operators on weighted Dirichlet spaces (see Theorem 3.1). Meanwhile, we also show sufficient conditions for the boundedness of such operators between different weighted Dirichlet spaces (see Theorem 3.3).

In Section 4, we consider the Hausdorff operators on derivative Hardy spaces. The boundedness of such operators on derivative Hardy spaces and between different derivative Hardy spaces will be shown in Theorems 4.1 and 4.3.

In Section 5, we discuss the boundedness of the Hausdorff operators on Bloch type spaces. We consider two cases for the spaces \mathcal{B}^α : $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $1 < \alpha$ (see Theorem 5.1). For boundedness of Hausdorff operators between different Bloch type spaces, see Theorem 5.2.

Throughout this paper, for any two positive functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, we write $f \lesssim g$ if $f \leq Cg$ holds, where C is a positive constant independent of the variable x . We write $f \approx g$ whenever $f \lesssim g \lesssim f$. Moreover, the value of C may vary from line to line but will remain independent of the main variables.

2 Preliminaries

For $0 < p < \infty$, the Hardy space H^p is the space consisting of all analytic functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$\|f\|_{H^p}^p = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta < \infty.$$

If $p = \infty$, H^∞ is the space of bounded analytic functions f in $H(\mathbb{D})$ with

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in \mathbb{D}\}.$$

The derivative Hardy space S^p is defined by

$$S^p = \{f \in H(\mathbb{D}) : \|f\|_{S^p} := |f(0)| + \|f'\|_{H^p} < \infty\}.$$

For more results about the derivative Hardy spaces, we refer the readers to [3–5, 7, 15, 23, 25, 27, 32] and the references therein.

For $0 < p < \infty$ and $-1 < \alpha < \infty$, the weighted Bergman space A_α^p consists of all functions f analytic in \mathbb{D} such that

$$\|f\|_{A_\alpha^p}^p = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(w)|^p dA_\alpha(w) < \infty,$$

where $dA(w) = (1/\pi)dx dy$ is the normalized Lebesgue area measure on \mathbb{D} and $dA_\alpha(w) = (1 + \alpha)(1 - |w|^2)^\alpha dA(w)$ is the weighted Lebesgue measure (see [8] for more information about Bergman spaces).

For $0 < p < \infty$ and $-1 < \alpha < \infty$, the weighted Dirichlet space \mathcal{D}_α^p consists of those functions f analytic on \mathbb{D} that satisfy

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p} = \left(|f(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(w)|^p dA_\alpha(w) \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

It is clearly that $f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha^p$ if and only if $f' \in A_\alpha^p$. When $p < \alpha + 1$, the weighted Dirichlet space \mathcal{D}_α^p coincides with the weighted Bergman space $A_{\alpha-p}^p$ with equivalence of norms. If $p > \alpha + 2$, then the weighted Dirichlet space \mathcal{D}_α^p is contained in the space H^∞ (see [33, Theorem 4.2]). Readers who interested in weighted Dirichlet spaces are referred to [9, 11–13].

For $\alpha > 0$, the Bloch type space \mathcal{B}^α is defined to be the space of analytic functions f on \mathbb{D} such that

$$\|f\|'_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} = \sup\{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |f'(z)| : z \in \mathbb{D}\} < +\infty.$$

It can be easily verified that $\|\cdot\|'_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}$ is a complete semi-norm on \mathcal{B}^α , and \mathcal{B}^α can be made into a Banach space by introducing the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} = |f(0)| + \|f\|'_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}.$$

When $\alpha = 1$, $\mathcal{B}^1 = \mathcal{B}$ is the classic Bloch space. Readers who interested in Bloch type spaces are referred to [35].

First, we state the following lemma, which is one of the key tools to prove the boundedness of the Hausdorff operators.

Lemma 2.1 [28, Lemma 2] *Let (X, m) be a measure space, $\mathcal{F}(X)$ be some Banach space of m -measurable functions on X , let (Ω, μ) be a σ -compact quasi-metric space with positive Radon measure μ , and let $F(w, z)$ be a function on $\Omega \times X$. Assume that:*

- (i) *the convergence of a sequence in norm in $\mathcal{F}(X)$ yields the convergence of some subsequence to the same function for m -a.e. $z \in X$;*
- (ii) *$F(w, \cdot) \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ for μ -a.e. $w \in \Omega$;*

(iii) the map $w \mapsto F(w, \cdot) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ is Bochner integrable with respect to μ .

Then for m -a.e. $z \in X$, one has

$$\left((B) \int_{\Omega} F(w, \cdot) d\mu(w) \right) (z) = \int_{\Omega} F(w, z) d\mu(w).$$

Remark 2.2 Indeed, by examining the proof of [28, Lemma 2] carefully, we can see that [28, Lemma 2] is also true for quasi-Banach spaces (see [34, p. 31] for related definitions). In particular, when $p \in (0, 1)$, it is easy to verify that $\|\cdot\|_{S^p}$ is a quasi-norm, so does for the case of weighted Dirichlet spaces.

Next, the estimations of the growth rates of functions on \mathcal{D}_{α}^p , S^p and \mathcal{B}^{α} are given in the following lemmas, respectively, which are needed in the later proofs of the main results.

Lemma 2.3 [24, Lemma 4.1] Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha > -1$. If $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^p$, then:

- (1) $|f(z)| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^p}}{(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2-p}{p}}}$, whenever $p < \alpha + 2$;
- (2) $|f(z)| \lesssim \left(\log \frac{2}{1-|z|^2}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^p}$, whenever $p = \alpha + 2$;
- (3) $|f(z)| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^p}$, whenever $p > \alpha + 2$.

Lemma 2.4 [25, 26] Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. For any $f \in S^p$, then $|f(z)| \leq \pi \|f\|_{S^p}$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$. If $0 < p < 1$, then

$$|f(z)| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{S^p}}{(1-|z|^2)^{1/p-1}}.$$

Lemma 2.5 [31] Let $0 < \alpha < \infty$. If $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\alpha}$, then:

- (1) if $0 < \alpha < 1$, $|f(z)| \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\alpha}}$;
- (2) if $\alpha = 1$, $|f(z)| \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\alpha}} \log \frac{2}{1-|z|^2}$;
- (3) if $\alpha > 1$, $|f(z)| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\alpha}}}{(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha-1}}$.

We need two more lemmas which are concerned with the boundedness of the composition operators on Hardy spaces and Bergman spaces.

Lemma 2.6 [36, Theorem 11.6, p. 308] If $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is analytic and $p > 0$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\varphi(z))|^p dA_{\alpha}(z) \leq \left(\frac{1+|\varphi(0)|}{1-|\varphi(0)|}\right)^{2+\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p dA_{\alpha}(z)$$

for all analytic functions f on \mathbb{D} .

Lemma 2.7 [36, Theorem 11.12, p. 317] If $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is analytic and $p > 0$, then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(\varphi(e^{i\theta}))|^p d\theta \leq \frac{1+|\varphi(0)|}{1-|\varphi(0)|} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta$$

for all $f \in H^p$.

3 The Hausdorff operators on weighted Dirichlet spaces \mathcal{D}_α^p

In this section, we will be concerned with the boundedness of Hausdorff operators on weighted Dirichlet spaces \mathcal{D}_α^p . For the conditions in Lemma 2.1, we shall consider the case when $X = \Omega = \mathbb{D}$, $m = dA$ and μ is positive Radon measure (the same case is discussed in the next two subsections). The main results read as follows.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $0 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha > -1$. If the function K satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|K(w)|}{(1-|w|)^{\frac{p+2+\alpha}{p}}} d\mu(w) < \infty,$$

then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu}$ is bounded on $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover,

(1) *for $p \leq \alpha + 2$,*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu}\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|K(w)|}{(1-|w|)^{\frac{p+2+\alpha}{p}}} d\mu(w);$$

(2) *for $p > \alpha + 2$,*

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu}\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p} \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| \left[1 + \left(\frac{1+|w|}{1-|w|} \right)^{p+\alpha+2} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(w).$$

Proof First, we need to show that all the conditions of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied for $\mathcal{F}(X) = \mathcal{D}_\alpha^p$ and $F(w, z) = K(w)f(\sigma_w(z))$. To this end, we split the proof into three cases.

Case 1: $p < \alpha + 2$.

Let $f_n \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha^p$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = 0$ in the norm of \mathcal{D}_α^p . Then by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$|f_n(z)| \lesssim \frac{\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p}}{(1-|z|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2-p}{p}}} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Clearly, (i) in Lemma 2.1 holds.

By Lemmas 2.3 and 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \circ \sigma_w\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p}^p &= |f \circ \sigma_w(0)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(\sigma_w(z))|^p |\sigma'_w(z)|^p dA_\alpha(z) \\ &= |f(w)|^p + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(\sigma_w(z))|^p \left| \frac{1-|w|^2}{(1-\bar{w}z)^2} \right|^p dA_\alpha(z) \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p}^p}{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha+2-p}} + \left(\frac{1+|w|}{1-|w|} \right)^p \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(\sigma_w(z))|^p dA_\alpha(z) \\ &\leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p}^p}{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha+2-p}} + \left(\frac{1+|w|}{1-|w|} \right)^{p+\alpha+2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|^p dA_\alpha(z) \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha+2-p}} + \left(\frac{1+|w|}{1-|w|} \right)^{p+\alpha+2} \right] \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p}^p, \end{aligned}$$

which ensures that (ii) and (iii) in Lemma 2.1 hold true.

From Lemma 2.1, we know that for dA -a.e. $z \in \mathbb{D}$

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_{K, \mu} f(z) = (B) \int_{\mathbb{D}} K(w) f \circ \sigma_w d\mu(w)(z),$$

where the right-hand side is an analytic Bochner integral since f is analytic. Thus, we need to show that the left-hand side of (3.1) is continuous (and so $\mathcal{H}_{K, \mu} f \in H(\mathbb{D})$).

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$|f(\sigma_w(z))| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p}}{(1 - |\sigma_w(z)|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2-p}{p}}}.$$

Since

$$1 - |\sigma_w(z)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |w|^2)(1 - |z|^2)}{|1 - \bar{w}z|^2} \gtrsim (1 - |w|)(1 - |z|^2),$$

it follows that

$$|f(\sigma_w(z))| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p}}{(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{\alpha+2-p}{p}} (1 - |w|)^{\frac{\alpha+2-p}{p}}}.$$

Thus, by choosing an arbitrary point $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ and a compact neighborhood $S \subset \mathbb{D}$ of z_0 , we have

$$|K(w)| |f(\sigma_w(z))| \lesssim \frac{|K(w)|}{(1 - |w|)^{\frac{\alpha+2-p}{p}}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p} \leq \frac{|K(w)|}{(1 - |w|)^{\frac{\alpha+2+p}{p}}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p}$$

for all $z \in S$. According to the hypothesis and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, it follows that the left-hand side of (3.1) is continuous; and therefore, $\mathcal{H}_{K, \mu} f \in H(\mathbb{D})$.

At last, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_{K, \mu} f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| \cdot \|f \circ \sigma_w\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p} d\mu(w) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| \left[\frac{1}{(1 - |w|^2)^{\alpha+2-p}} + \left(\frac{1 + |w|}{1 - |w|} \right)^{p+\alpha+2} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(w) \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p} \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| \left[\frac{1}{(1 - |w|)^{\alpha+2-p}} + \frac{1}{(1 - |w|)^{p+\alpha+2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(w) \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p} \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| \frac{1}{(1 - |w|)^{\frac{p+\alpha+2}{p}}} dA(w) \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Case 1.

Case 2: $p = \alpha + 2$.

The proof is almost identical to Case 1, with the main change being the utilization of the following inequality:

$$|f(z)| \lesssim \left(\log \frac{2}{1 - |z|^2} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p} \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p}}{(1 - |z|^2)^{(p-1)/p}} \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p}}{(1 - |z|^2)^{(p+\alpha+2)/p}}$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha^p$.

Case 3: $p > \alpha + 2$.

The proof is also analogously to Case 1. Unlike the previous two cases, we can obtain a more precise estimate of the norm in this case. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu}f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| \cdot \|f \circ \sigma_w\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p} d\mu(w) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| \left[1 + \left(\frac{1+|w|}{1-|w|} \right)^{p+\alpha+2} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(w) \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}_\alpha^p}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the whole proof. ■

A natural question comes to mind: how to characterize the boundedness of Hausdorff operators between different weighted Dirichlet spaces? To solve this problem, we need to use the following lemma, which shows the sufficient and necessary conditions for the boundedness of weighted composition operators between different weighted Bergman spaces.

Lemma 3.2 [6, Theorem 1] *Let u be an analytic function on \mathbb{D} , and let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . Let $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, and $\alpha, \beta > -1$. Then the weighted composition operator uC_φ is bounded from A_α^p into A_β^q if and only if*

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}\varphi(w)|^2} \right)^{(2+\alpha)q/p} |u(w)|^q dA_\beta(w) < \infty.$$

Theorem 3.3 *Let $0 < p \leq q < \infty$, $\alpha, \beta > -1$. Assume that*

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\sigma'_w(z)|^q \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}\sigma_w(z)|^2} \right)^{\frac{(2+\alpha)q}{p}} dA_\beta(z) < \infty.$$

- (1) *For $p < \alpha + 2$. If the function K satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)|(1 - |w|)^{-(\alpha+2-p)/p} d\mu(w) < \infty$, then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu} : \mathcal{D}_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\beta^q(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded.*
- (2) *For $p = \alpha + 2$. If the function K satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| \cdot |\log(1 - |w|)|^{(p-1)/p} d\mu(w) < \infty$, then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu} : \mathcal{D}_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\beta^q(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded.*
- (3) *For $p > \alpha + 2$. If the function K satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| d\mu(w) < \infty$, then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu} : \mathcal{D}_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\beta^q(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded.*

Proof The proof can be accomplished by using Lemma 3.2 and the similar proof of Theorem 3.1, so we leave it to the interested readers. ■

4 The Hausdorff operators on derivative Hardy spaces $S^p(\mathbb{D})$

In this section, we obtain sufficient conditions for the boundedness of Hausdorff operators on derivative Hardy spaces.

Theorem 4.1 Let $0 < p < \infty$. If the function K satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|K(w)|}{(1 - |w|)^{\frac{1+p}{p}}} d\mu(w) < \infty,$$

then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu}$ is bounded on $S^p(\mathbb{D})$. Moreover,

(1) for $1 \leq p < \infty$,

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu}\|_{S^p} \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\left(\frac{1 + |w|}{1 - |w|} \right)^{\frac{1+p}{p}} + \pi \right) |K(w)| d\mu(w);$$

(2) for $0 < p < 1$,

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu}\|_{S^p} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|K(w)|}{(1 - |w|)^{\frac{1+p}{p}}} d\mu(w).$$

Proof Since the proofs for cases $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 < p < 1$ are similar, we only give the proof for case $1 \leq p < \infty$.

First, we need to verify that (i) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied for $(X, m) = (\mathbb{D}, dA)$ and $(\Omega, \mu) = (\mathbb{D}, \mu)$. Fix $f_n \in S^p(\mathbb{D})$ and $f_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly, then we see that for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_n(z)| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi \|f_n\|_{S^p} = 0$$

by Lemma 2.4, which implies that (i) holds.

Next, we need to show that (ii) and (iii) both hold. It is easy to see that $|1 - \bar{w}z| \geq 1 - |w|$ for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$. By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \circ \sigma_w\|_{S^p} &= |f \circ \sigma_w(0)| + \|(f \circ \sigma_w)'\|_{HP} \\ &= |f(w)| + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(\sigma_w(e^{i\theta}))|^p |\sigma_w'(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \pi \|f\|_{S^p} + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(\sigma_w(e^{i\theta}))|^p \left| \frac{1 - |w|^2}{(1 - \bar{w}e^{i\theta})^2} \right|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \pi \|f\|_{S^p} + \left(\frac{1 + |w|}{1 - |w|} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(\sigma_w(e^{i\theta}))|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \pi \|f\|_{S^p} + \left(\frac{1 + |w|}{1 - |w|} \right)^{\frac{p+1}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(e^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left[\pi + \left(\frac{1 + |w|}{1 - |w|} \right)^{\frac{p+1}{p}} \right] \|f\|_{S^p}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields that (ii) and (iii) hold.

According to Lemma 2.1, it follows that for dA -a.e. $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{H}_{K,\mu} f(z) = \left((B) \int_{\mathbb{D}} K(w) f \circ \sigma_w d\mu(w) \right) (z),$$

where the right-hand side is an analytic Bochner integral since f is analytic. Thus, to show that $\mathcal{H}_{K, \mu} f$ is analytic, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{H}_{K, \mu} f$ is continuous.

Applying Lemma 2.4, we have

$$|f(\sigma_w(z))| \leq \pi \|f\|_{S^p},$$

which gives that for any $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$|K(w)| |f(\sigma_w(z))| \leq \pi \|f\|_{S^p} |K(w)|.$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| d\mu(w) \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|K(w)|}{(1 - |w|)^{\frac{1+p}{p}}} d\mu(w) < \infty,$$

it follows that $\mathcal{H}_{K, \mu} f$ is continuous.

By the definition of Hausdorff operators, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_{K, \mu} f\|_{S^p} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| \cdot \|f \circ \sigma_w\|_{S^p} d\mu(w) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| \left[\pi + \left(\frac{1 + |w|}{1 - |w|} \right)^{\frac{p+1}{p}} \right] d\mu(w) \|f\|_{S^p}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired result. ■

Moreover, we also give the sufficient conditions for the boundedness of Hausdorff operators between different derivative Hardy spaces. However, we need to use the following lemma to complete our proof.

Lemma 4.2 [6, Theorem 4] *Let u be an analytic function on \mathbb{D} , and let φ be an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} . Let $0 < p \leq q < \infty$. Then the weighted composition operator uC_φ is bounded from H^p into H^q if and only if*

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}\varphi(w)|^2} \right)^{q/p} |u(w)|^q d\sigma(w) < \infty,$$

where $d\sigma$ is the normalized arc length measure on $\partial \mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $0 < p \leq q < \infty$ and assume that*

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} |\sigma'_w(z)|^q \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}\sigma_w(z)|^2} \right)^{q/p} d\sigma(w) < \infty.$$

- (1) *For $1 \leq p < \infty$. If the function K satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| d\mu(w) < \infty$, then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{K, \mu} : S^p(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow S^q(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded.*
- (2) *For $0 < p < 1$. If the function K satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)|(1 - |w|)^{1/p-1} d\mu(w) < \infty$, then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{K, \mu} : S^p(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow S^q(\mathbb{D})$ is bounded.*

Proof Following the ideas in Theorem 4.1 and combining them with Lemma 4.2, we can complete the proof of the theorem. Since the proof is similar, we omit it. ■

5 The Hausdorff operators on Bloch type spaces \mathcal{B}^α

In this section, we investigate the Hausdorff operators on Bloch type spaces \mathcal{B}^α . However, we only need to focus on two cases. For the case $\alpha = 1$, this is given in [29, Theorem 1].

Theorem 5.1 *Let $0 < \alpha < \infty$. Then the following statements are true:*

(1) *If $1 < \alpha < \infty$ and the function K satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|K(w)|}{(1 - |w|)^{\alpha-1}} d\mu(w) < \infty,$$

then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu}$ is bounded on \mathcal{B}^α .

(2) *If $0 < \alpha < 1$ and the function K satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| d\mu(w) < \infty$, then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu}$ is bounded on \mathcal{B}^α .*

Proof Since the proofs of (1) and (2) are similar, we only give the proof of (1). For any $f_n \in \mathcal{B}^\alpha$, if f_n strongly convergence to 0, then by Lemma 2.5, we have

$$|f_n(z)| \lesssim \frac{\|f_n\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}}{(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha-1}} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that the condition (i) in Lemma 2.1 holds for $(X, m) = (\mathbb{D}, dA)$, $\mathcal{F}(X) = \mathcal{B}^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$, and $F(w, z) = K(w)f(\sigma_w(z))$. According to the definition of \mathcal{B}^α , it follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \circ \sigma_w\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} &= |f(w)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha |f'(\sigma_w(z))| \cdot |\sigma'_w(z)| \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}}{(1 - |w|^2)^{\alpha-1}} + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}}{(1 - |\sigma_w(z)|^2)^\alpha} \cdot |\sigma'_w(z)| \\ &\leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}}{(1 - |w|^2)^{\alpha-1}} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \frac{4^{\alpha-1}}{(1 - |w|^2)^{\alpha-1}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}}{(1 - |w|^2)^{\alpha-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the condition (ii) holds.

For (iii), by Lemma 2.5, we get that

$$|f(\sigma_w(z))| \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}}{(1 - |\sigma_w(z)|^2)^{\alpha-1}} \lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}}{(1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha-1}(1 - |w|)^{\alpha-1}}.$$

Hence, all conditions of Lemma 2.1 hold true.

Note that for dA -a.e. $z \in \mathbb{D}$

$$\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu} f(z) = \left((B) \int_{\mathbb{D}} K(w) f \circ \sigma_w d\mu(w) \right) (z),$$

where the right-hand side is an analytic Bochner integral since f is analytic. Thus, to show that $\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu} f$ is analytic, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu} f$ is continuous, but its proof is just similar to the previous ones and we leave it to the interested readers.

At last, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu} f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| \cdot \|f \circ \sigma_w\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} d\mu(w) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|K(w)|}{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha-1}} d\mu(w), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ■

In the following, we show the sufficient conditions for the boundedness of Hausdorff operators between different Bloch type spaces.

Theorem 5.2 *Let $0 < \alpha, \beta < \infty$. Suppose that for any $w \in \mathbb{D}$,*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{|1 - \bar{w}z|^{2\alpha-2}}{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha-1}(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha-\beta}} < \infty.$$

(1) *If $1 < \alpha < \infty$ and the function K satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{|K(w)|}{(1-|w|)^{\alpha-1}} d\mu(w) < \infty,$$

then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu} : \mathcal{B}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\beta$ is bounded.

(2) *If $\alpha = 1$ and the function K satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| \log \frac{2}{1-|w|} d\mu(w) < \infty,$$

then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu} : \mathcal{B}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\beta$ is bounded.

(3) *If $0 < \alpha < 1$ and the function K satisfies $\int_{\mathbb{D}} |K(w)| d\mu(w) < \infty$, then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{K,\mu} : \mathcal{B}^\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\beta$ is bounded.*

Proof The idea of the proof is analogous to that in Theorem 5.1, so we only give some key steps. For any $f \in \mathcal{B}^\alpha$, if $\alpha > 1$, by Lemma 2.5 and the assumptions,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f \circ \sigma_w\|_{\mathcal{B}^\beta} &= |f(w)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^\beta |f'(\sigma_w(z))| \cdot |\sigma'_w(z)| \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}}{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha-1}} + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1-|z|^2)^\beta \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}}{(1-|\sigma_w(z)|^2)^\alpha} \cdot |\sigma'_w(z)| \\ &\leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}}{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha-1}} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{|1 - \bar{w}z|^{2\alpha-2}}{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha-1}(1-|z|^2)^{\alpha-\beta}} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}}{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha-1}} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}}{(1-|w|^2)^{\alpha-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha = 1$, Lemma 2.5 now gives

$$\|f \circ \sigma_w\|_{\mathcal{B}^\beta} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \log \frac{2}{1-|w|^2} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \log \frac{2}{1-|w|^2}.$$

When $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have

$$\|f \circ \sigma_w\|_{\mathcal{B}^\beta} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha}.$$

Then the standard procedures, analogous to the previous ones, finish the proof. ■

References

- [1] J. Bonet, *Hausdorff operators on weighted Banach spaces of type H^∞* . Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 16(2022), no. 1, Article no. 12, 14 pp.
- [2] J. Chen, D. Fan, and S. Wang, *Hausdorff operators on Euclidean spaces*. Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. Ser. B 28(2013), no. 4, 548–564.
- [3] C. Cowen and D. MacCluer, *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995, xii+388 pp.
- [4] Ž. Čučković and B. Paudyal, *Invariant subspaces of the shift plus complex Volterra operator*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 426(2015), no. 2, 1174–1181.
- [5] Ž. Čučković and B. Paudyal, *The lattices of invariant subspaces of a class of operators on the Hardy space*. Arch. Math. 110(2018), 477–486.
- [6] Ž. Čučković and R. Zhao, *Weighted composition operators between different weighted Bergman spaces and different Hardy spaces*. Illinois J. Math. 51(2007), no. 2, 479–498.
- [7] P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 38, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [8] P. L. Duren and A. Schuster, *Bergman spaces*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 100, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [9] P. Galanopoulos, D. Girela, and J. Á. Peláez, *Multipliers and integration operators on Dirichlet spaces*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363(2011), no. 4, 1855–1886.
- [10] C. Georgakakis, *The Hausdorff mean of a Fourier–Stieltjes transform*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116(1992), no. 2, 465–471.
- [11] D. Girela and J. Á. Peláez, *Carleson measures, multipliers and integration operators for spaces of Dirichlet type*. J. Funct. Anal. 241(2006), no. 1, 334–358.
- [12] D. Girela and J. Á. Peláez, *Carleson measures for spaces of Dirichlet type*. Integral Equations Operator Theory 55(2006), no. 3, 415–427.
- [13] D. Girela and J. Á. Peláez, *Growth properties and sequences of zeros of analytic functions in spaces of Dirichlet type*. J. Aust. Math. Soc. 80(2006), no. 3, 397–418.
- [14] S. Grudsky, A. Karapetyants, and A. Mirotin, *Estimates for singular numbers of Hausdorff–Zhu operators and applications*. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 46(2023), no. 8, 9676–9693.
- [15] C. Gu and S. Luo, *Composition and multiplication operators on the derivative Hardy space $S^2(D)$* . Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. 63(2018), no. 5, 599–624.
- [16] A. Karapetyants and A. Mirotin, *A class of Hausdorff–Zhu operators*. Anal. Math. Phys. 12(2022), no. 3, Article no. 79, 19 pp.
- [17] A. Karapetyants, S. Samko, and K. Zhu, *A class of Hausdorff–Berezin operators on the unit disc*. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 13(2019), no. 8, 3853–3870.
- [18] A. Lerner and E. Liflyand, *Multidimensional Hausdorff operators on the real Hardy space*. J. Aust. Math. Soc. 83(2007), 79–86.
- [19] E. Liflyand, *Boundedness of multidimensional Hausdorff operators on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$* . Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 74(2008), nos. 3–4, 845–851.
- [20] E. Liflyand, *Hausdorff operators on Hardy spaces*. Eurasian Math. J. 4(2013), no. 4, 101–141.
- [21] E. Liflyand and A. Miyachi, *Boundedness of the Hausdorff operators in H^p spaces, $0 < p < 1$* . Studia Math. 194(2009), no. 3, 279–292.
- [22] E. Liflyand and A. Miyachi, *Boundedness of multidimensional Hausdorff operators in H^p spaces, $0 < p < 1$* . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 371(2019), no. 7, 4793–4814.
- [23] Q. Lin, *The invariant subspaces of the shift plus integer multiple of Volterra operator on Hardy spaces*. Arch. Math. 111(2018), no. 5, 513–522.
- [24] Q. Lin, *Volterra type operators on weighted Dirichlet spaces*. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B 42(2021), no. 4, 601–612.
- [25] Q. Lin, J. Liu, and Y. Wu, *Volterra type operators on $S^p(D)$ spaces*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 461(2018), no. 2, 1100–1114.
- [26] Q. Lin, J. Liu, and Y. Wu, *Order boundedness of weighted composition operators on weighted Dirichlet spaces and derivative Hardy spaces*. Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 27(2020), no. 4, 627–637.

- [27] B. D. MacCluer, *Composition operators on S^p* . Houston J. Math. 13(1987), no. 2, 245–254.
- [28] A. R. Mirotin, *Boundedness of Hausdorff operators on real Hardy spaces H^1 over locally compact groups*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 473(2019), no. 1, 519–533.
- [29] A. R. Mirotin, *Hausdorff operators on some spaces of holomorphic functions on the unit disc*. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 15(2021), no. 5, Article no. 85, 16 pp.
- [30] A. R. Mirotin, *Generalized Hausdorff-Zhu operators on Möbius invariant spaces*. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 16(2022), no. 7, Article no. 100, 15 pp.
- [31] S. Ohno, K. Stroethoff, and R. Zhao, *Weighted composition operators between Bloch-type spaces*. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 33(2003), 191–215.
- [32] R. C. Roan, *Composition operators on the space of functions with H^p -derivative*. Houston J. Math. 4(1978), no. 3, 423–438.
- [33] Z. Wu, *Carleson measures and multipliers for Dirichlet spaces*. J. Funct. Anal. 169(1999), no. 1, 148–163.
- [34] K. Yosida, *Functional analysis*, Reprint of the sixth (1980) edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. xii+501 pp.
- [35] K. Zhu, *Bloch type spaces of analytic functions*. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 23(1993), no. 3, 1143–1177.
- [36] K. Zhu, *Operator theory in function spaces*. 2nd ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 138, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

School of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China

e-mail: xiehy33@mail2.sysu.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Shantou University, Shantou, 515063, People's Republic of China

e-mail: gdlqz@e.gzhu.edu.cn