On Willmore's Inequality for Submanifolds

Jiazu Zhou

Abstract. Let M be an m dimensional submanifold in the Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^n and H be the mean curvature of M. We obtain some low geometric estimates of the total square mean curvature $\int_M H^2 d\sigma$. The low bounds are geometric invariants involving the volume of M, the total scalar curvature of M, the Euler characteristic and the circumscribed ball of M.

1 Introduction

Let M be an m-dimensional submanifold, which is assumed to be C^2 smooth in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , and let H be the mean curvature of M. If $d\sigma$ denotes the volume density of M, we wish to find a low bound for the total square mean curvature $\int_M H^2 d\sigma$. The answer is still open for many cases. There has been some progress for the related mean curvature integrals since the last century. Reference can be easily found in the geometric literature, for example, [2,3,8,12].

We call the total square mean curvature $\int_M H^2 d\sigma$ the Willmore functional based on the following well-known result of Willmore.

Proposition 1 (Willmore) Let M be a compact surface in \mathbb{R}^3 and H be the mean curvature of M. Then $\int_M H^2 d\sigma \geq 4\pi$, where $d\sigma$ is the volume element of M, with equality if and only if M is a standard sphere.

If $\dim(M) \neq 2$, then the Willmore functional is not a Riemannian invariant, so by applying a homothetic transformation, the value may approach zero. So there is no lower bound in this case. However if we assume that Vol(M), the volume of M, is positive, then the Willmore functional should have a lower bound.

The following result is due to B-Y Chen [2].

Proposition 2 (B-Y Chen) Let M be a closed submanifold of dimension m in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n and H be the mean curvature of M. Then $\int_M |H|^m d\sigma \geq O_m$, with equality if and only if M is imbedded as an m-sphere of \mathbb{R}^n .

Here O_m is the area of the m-dimensional unit sphere, and its value is given by

$$O_m = \frac{2\pi^{(m+1)/2}}{\Gamma((m+1)/2)},$$

Received by the editors March 6, 2006.

This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant 10671159), the Hong Kong Qiu Shi Science and Technologies Foundation, and Southwest University.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 52A22, 53C65; secondary: 51C16.

Keywords: submanifold, mean curvature, kinematic formula, scalar curvature.

[©] Canadian Mathematical Society 2007.

where Γ denotes the gamma function.

B-Y Chen also achieved the following inequality: $\int_M H^2 d\sigma \ge \frac{\lambda_p}{m} \operatorname{Vol}(M)$, where p is the lower order of the immersion (in the case of Chen finite type theory), λ_p is the p-th nonnegative eigenvalue of Laplacian, and the equality holds when and only when the immersion is of 1-type with order p.

We can estimate a Willmore functional for a 3-dimensional convex hypersurface M in \mathbb{R}^4 by applying the theory of kinematic measure and Minkowski geometry. The integral $\int_M H^2 d\sigma$ obtained is bounded below by the surface area of M, the volume of the convex body K that M bounds, and the Minkowski quermassintegrales of the convex body K (see [19]). Also, if we assume that M is a convex hypersurface which is C^2 smooth and has a positive volume $\operatorname{Vol}(M)$ in \mathbb{R}^n , then the Willmore functional of M is bounded below by the 3rd-order Minkowski quermassintegrale of the convex body K that M bounds, with equality when M is a standard (n-1)-sphere in \mathbb{R}^n [20].

In this paper, we obtain some lower bounds of the Willmore functional for the submanifold M of dimensions $\frac{n+1}{2}$ in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . These lower bounds are geometric invariants involving the volume of M, the total scalar curvature of M, the Euler characteristic and the circumscribed ball of M. We cannot obtain a Willmore functional lower estimate for the submanifold in [19, 20] since the results in [19, 20] are restricted to convex hypersurfaces.

2 Preliminaries

For an m dimensional submanifold M in \mathbb{R}^n , if we pick any pair of independent tangent vectors in $T_p(M)$, say u and v, then for every unit vector $w = \lambda u + \mu v$, there is a unique geodesic in M starting at p with tangent vector w. The set of all such geodesics, as w describes the unit circle in the plan spanned by u and v, sweep a surface whose Gauss curvature at p is the sectional curvature $K_{\Pi} = K[u, v]$ of the plane Π spanned by u and v.

Let e_1, \ldots, e_m be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space $T_p(M)$ of M at p. Then the quantity $S = 2 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le m} K[e_i, e_j]$ is independent of the choice of basis and is called the scalar curvature of M at p.

For a hypersurface Σ in \mathbf{R}^n , we may choose the e_1,\ldots,e_{n-1} to be the principal curvature directions at p. Then the scalar curvature S of Σ may be expressed in terms of the principal curvatures $\kappa_1,\ldots,\kappa_{n-1}$ by $S=2\sum_{1\leq i< j\leq n-1}\kappa_i\kappa_j$. One considers the Gauss map $G\colon p\to N(p)$, whose differential $dG_p\colon x'(t)\to N'(t),\ (x(0)=p)$ satisfies Rodrigues' equations $dG_p(e_i)=-\kappa_i e_i,\ i=1,\ldots,n-1$. We have the mean curvature

$$H = \frac{1}{n-1}(\kappa_1 + \dots + \kappa_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{n-1}\operatorname{trace}(dG_p)$$

and the Gauss–Kronecker curvature $\kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_{n-1} = (-1)^{n-1} \det(dG_p)$. The *j*th-order mean curvature is the *j*th-order elementary symmetric function of the principal curvatures. We denote by H_j the *j*th-order mean curvature, normalized such that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 + t\kappa_j) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} H_j t^j.$$

476 J. Zhou

Thus, $H_1 = H$, the mean curvature, and H_{n-1} is the Gauss–Kronecker curvature. For n = 3, that is all, but in higher dimensions there are intermediate ones. Among them, H_2 plays a special role in differential geometry.

Therefore we have $S = (n-1)(n-2)H_2$.

The *j*th-order integral of mean curvature $M_i(\Sigma)$ is defined by

$$M_j(\Sigma) = \int_{\Sigma} H_j d\sigma = \binom{n-1}{j}^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} \{\kappa_{i_1}, \dots, \kappa_{i_j}\} d\sigma, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where $\{\kappa_{i_1}, \ldots, \kappa_{i_j}\}$ denotes the *j*-th elementary symmetric function of the principal curvatures. We let $M_0(\Sigma) = F$, the area of Σ , for completeness.

If Σ is a convex hypersurface bounding a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n , we have the relations $M_j(\Sigma) = nW_{j+1}(K)$ between integrals of mean curvatures of $\Sigma (\equiv \partial K)$ and jth-order Minkowski quermassintegrales W_j of K, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ (see [9–11]).

Note that Minkowski quermassintegrales W_i are well defined for any convex figure, whereas $M_i(\partial K)$ makes sense only if ∂K is of class C^2 .

We have the total scalar curvature of a convex hypersurface Σ bounding a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n : $\tilde{S} = \int_{\Sigma} S d\sigma = n(n-1)(n-2)W_3(K)$.

Let G be the group of rigid motions of Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^n . Let dg be the normalized kinematic density (the Harr measure). Let M^p , N^q be two compact submanifolds in \mathbf{R}^n , which are assumed to be in a general position, that is, $\dim(M^p \cap gN^q) = p + q - n \geq 0$ for almost all $g \in G$. Let $I(M^p \cap gN^q)$ be an invariant (intrinsic or extrinsic). For example, one would like to let $I(M^p \cap gN^q)$ be $\operatorname{Vol}(M^p \cap gN^q)$, the volume of $M^p \cap gN^q$, or let $I(M^p \cap gN^q)$ be the mean curvature of $M^p \cap gN^q$. The following integral is called the kinematic formula in integral geometry

$$\int_{G} I(M^{p} \cap gN^{q}) dg = \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{jpqn} \operatorname{Inv}_{j}(M^{p}) \operatorname{Inv}_{n-j}(N^{q}),$$

where each $Inv_i(*)$ is an invariant and C's are constants depending on indices.

Refer to [4-7, 9-11, 15, 17] for more concrete kinematic formulas.

If we can estimate the kinematic formula $\int_G I(M^p \cap gN^q) dg$ from below (or from above) in terms of geometric invariants of M^p and N^q , then we obtain a geometric inequality of the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{jpqn} \operatorname{Inv}_{j}(M^{p}) \operatorname{Inv}_{n-j}(N^{q}) \ge f(A_{M}^{1}, \dots, A_{M}^{r}; A_{N}^{1}, \dots, A_{N}^{r}),$$

$$(\leq) f(A_M^1, \ldots, A_M^r; A_N^1, \ldots, A_N^r),$$

where each of A_M^{α} , A_N^{α} ($\alpha = 1, ..., r$) is an integral geometric invariant.

In a special case, let $M^p \equiv N^q \equiv M$. One can immediately obtain an inequality about the integral geometric invariant of M:

$$F(A_M^1, \dots, A_M^r) \le 0,$$

$$(>) 0.$$

This is a geometric inequality about the submanifold M. See [13–16, 21] for more detail.

3 Main Theorems

Main Theorem A Let M be a submanifold of dimension $\frac{n+1}{2}$ in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n and let H be the mean curvature of M. Denote by \tilde{S} the total scalar curvature of M and R the radius of the circumscribed ball of M. Then

$$\int_M H^2 d\sigma \ge \frac{1}{3(n+1)^2} \left(8\tilde{S}(M) + \frac{n+5}{R^2} \operatorname{Vol}(M) \right).$$

Main Theorem B Let M be a submanifold of dimension $\frac{n+1}{2}$ in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n and let H be the mean curvature of M. Denote by $\chi(M)$ the Euler characteristic of M and R the radius of the minimum circumscribed ball of M. If $\frac{n+1}{2}$ is even, then we have

$$\int_M H^2 d\sigma \ge \frac{1}{3(n+1)^2} \left(\frac{2^{\frac{n+7}{2}} \pi^{\frac{n+1}{4}}}{(n-1)(n-5)\cdots 2} \chi(M) + \frac{n+5}{R^2} \operatorname{Vol}(M) \right).$$

Let us first prove the following.

Theorem 1 Let M^p and N^q be two submanifolds of dimensions p and q, respectively, in the Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^n . Let \tilde{H} and \tilde{S} be, respectively, the total square mean curvature and the total scalar curvature. Denote by R the radius of the smallest circumscribed balls of M and N. If p+q-n=1, then we have

$$\frac{1}{R^{2}} \operatorname{Vol}(M) \operatorname{Vol}(N) \\
\leq \frac{2\pi}{(p-1)p(p+2)} \frac{O_{q-1}}{O_{q+1}} [3(p-1)p^{2} \tilde{H}_{M} - 2(n-q) \tilde{S}_{M}] \operatorname{Vol}(N) \\
+ \frac{2\pi}{(q-1)q(q+2)} \frac{O_{p-1}}{O_{p+1}} [3(q-1)q^{2} \tilde{H}_{N} - 2(n-p) \tilde{S}_{N}] \operatorname{Vol}(M).$$

Proof For submanifolds M^p , N^q in \mathbb{R}^n , let H_g be the mean curvature of the intersection $M^p \cap gN^q$. We have the corrected C-S. Chen's kinematic formula (see [4,7,15,17,18]):

$$\int_{G} \left(\int_{M^{p} \cap gN^{q}} H_{g}^{2} d\sigma \right) dg$$

$$= C_{0}[(p-1)p^{2}(p+q-n+2)\tilde{H}(M) - 2(n-q)\tilde{S}(M)] \operatorname{Vol}(N)$$

$$+ C_{2}[(q-1)q^{2}(p+q-n+2)\tilde{H}(N) - 2(n-p)\tilde{S}(N)] \operatorname{Vol}(M),$$

where

$$C_0 = \frac{1}{(p+q-n)(p-1)p(p+2)} \frac{O_{p-1}}{O_{p+q-n-1}} \frac{O_n \cdots O_1 O_{q-1} O_{p+q-n+1} O_{p+q-n}}{O_{p-1} O_p O_{q+1} O_q},$$

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{(p+q-n)(q-1)q(q+2)} \frac{O_{q-1}}{O_{p+q-n-1}} \frac{O_n \cdots O_1 O_{p-1} O_{p+q-n+1} O_{p+q-n}}{O_{q-1} O_q O_{p+1} O_p}.$$

478 J. Zhou

When $\dim(M^p \cap gN^q) = p + q - n = 1$, let κ be the curvature of $M^p \cap gN^q$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} \int_G & \left(\int_{M^p \cap gN^q} \kappa^2 \, ds \right) \, dg \\ &= \frac{C_0'}{(p-1)p(p+2)} [3(p-1)p^2 \tilde{H}(M) - 2(n-q) \tilde{S}(M)] \, \mathrm{Vol}(N) \\ &+ \frac{C_2'}{(q-1)q(q+2)} [3(q-1)q^2 \tilde{H}(N) - 2(n-p) \tilde{S}(N)] \, \mathrm{Vol}(M), \end{split}$$

where

$$C_0' = \frac{O_n \cdots O_1 O_{q-1} O_2 O_1}{O_0 O_p O_{q+1} O_q}, \quad C_2' = \frac{O_n \cdots O_1 O_{p-1} O_2 O_1}{O_0 O_q O_{p+1} O_p}.$$

One known inequality (see [1]) for the curve $\Gamma_g = M^p \cap gN^q$ in \mathbb{R}^n becomes

$$L_g = \int_{\Gamma_g} ds \le R \int_{\Gamma_g} \kappa \, ds,$$

where R is the smaller radius of the minimum circumscribed balls of M^p and N^q . By Hölder's inequality we have

$$L_g^2 \leq R^2 \Big(\int_{\Gamma_g} \kappa \, ds \Big)^2 \leq R^2 L_g \int_{\Gamma_g} \kappa^2 \, ds,$$

and therefore

$$\frac{L_g}{R^2} \le \int_{\Gamma_g} \kappa^2 \, ds.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{R^2}\int_G L_g \, dg \leq \int_G \left(\int_{\Gamma_g} \kappa^2 \, ds\right) \, dg.$$

By Santaló's formula [9, 10]

$$\int_{G} \operatorname{Vol}(M^{p} \cap gN^{q}) dg = \frac{O_{n} \cdots O_{1} O_{p+q-n}}{O_{p} O_{q}} \operatorname{Vol}(M^{p}) \operatorname{Vol}(N^{q}),$$

we have

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{R^2}\operatorname{Vol}(M)\operatorname{Vol}(N) \leq \\ &\frac{O_2}{(p-1)p(p+2)}\frac{O_{q-1}}{O_0O_{q+1}}[3(p-1)p^2\tilde{H}(M)-2(n-q)\tilde{S}(M)]\operatorname{Vol}(N) \\ &+\frac{O_2}{(q-1)q(q+2)}\frac{O_{p-1}}{O_0O_{p+1}}[3(q-1)q^2\tilde{H}(N)-2(n-p)\tilde{S}(N)]\operatorname{Vol}(M). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Let $M^p \equiv N^q \equiv M$, *i.e.*, $p = q = \frac{n+1}{2}$. Then by Theorem 1 we have

$$\int_M H^2 d\sigma \geq \frac{8}{3(n+1)^2} \tilde{S}(M) + \frac{n+5}{12(n+1)\pi R^2} \frac{O_{\frac{n+3}{2}}}{O_{\frac{n-1}{2}}} \text{Vol}(M).$$

Noticing that

$$\frac{O_{\frac{n+3}{2}}}{O_{\frac{n-1}{2}}} = \frac{4\pi}{n+1},$$

we obtain the Main Theorem A.

If $\frac{n+1}{2}$ is even, then by Theorem 1 and the Gauss–Bonnet formula [6], we have

$$\int_M H^2 d\sigma \ge \frac{8}{3(n+1)^2} \frac{(4\pi)^{\frac{n+1}{4}}}{(n-1)(n-5)\cdots 2} \chi(M) + \frac{n+5}{3(n+1)^2 R^2} \operatorname{Vol}(M),$$

where $\chi(M)$ is the Euler characteristic of M. This completes the proof of Main Theorem B.

When n = 3, we immediately obtain the following.

Theorem 2 Let Σ be a compact surface of C^2 smooth in \mathbf{R}^3 . Denote by $\chi(\Sigma)$ the Euler characteristic, H the mean curvature, A the surface area and R the radius of the minimum circumscribed ball of Σ . Then we have

$$\int_{\Sigma} H^2 d\sigma \ge \frac{\pi}{3} \chi(\Sigma) + \frac{A}{6R^2}.$$

Acknowledgements I would like to thank Professor Weiping Zhang, the director of the S. S. Chern Institute of Mathematics, for inviting me to visit Tianjin several times in the past years. I would also like to thank Professor B-Y. Chen for some valuable communications. Finally I thank Professor Guiyun Chen and Professor Hua Chen for their support.

References

- [1] Yu. D. Burago and V. A. Zalgaller, *Geometric Inequalities*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 285, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [2] B.-Y. Chen, Geometry of Submanifolds. Pure and Applied Mathematics 22, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.
- [3] ______, Total Mean Curvature and Submanifolds of Finite Type. Series in Pure Mathematics 1, World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.
- [4] C.-S. Chen, On the kinematic formula of square of mean curvature vector. Indiana Univ. Math J. **22**(1972/73), 1163–1169.
- [5] S.-S. Chern, On the kinematic formula in the Euclidean space of n dimensions. Amer. J. Math. 74(1952), 227–236.
- [6] _____, On the curvatura integra in a Riemannian manifold. Ann. of Math. 46(1945), 674–684.
- [7] R. Howard, *The kinematic formula in Riemannian homogenous space.* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **106**(1993), no. 509.
- [8] P. Li and S. T. Yau, A new conformal invariant and its applications to the Willmore conjecture and the first eigenvalue of compact surfaces. Invent. Math. 69(1982), no. 2, 269–291.

480 J. Zhou

[9] D. Ren, Topics in Integral Geometry. Series in Pure Mathematics 19, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 1994.

- [10] L. A. Santaló, Integral Geometry and Geometric Probability. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1976.
- [11] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory.* Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 44. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [12] T. Willmore, Total Curvature in Riemannian Geometry. Ellis Horwood, Chichester, 1982.
- [13] G. Zhang, A sufficient condition for one convex body containing another. Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B 9(1988), no. 4, 447–451.
- [14] G. Zhang and J. Zhou, Containment measures in integral geometry. In: Integral Geometry and Convexity, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2005, pp. 153–168.
- [15] J. Zhou, Kinematic formulas for mean curvature powers of hypersurfaces and Hadwiger's theorem in R²ⁿ. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **345**(1994), no. 1, 243–262.
- [16] _____, On the Willmore deficit of convex surfaces. In: Tomography, Impedance Imaging, and Integral Geometry, Lectures in Appl. Math. 30, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 279–287.
- [17] ______, Kinematic formula for square mean curvature of hypersurfaces. Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 22(1994), no. 1, 31–47.
- [18] $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$, On the second fundamental forms of the intersection of submanifolds. Taiwenese J. Math. 11(2007), no. 1, 215–229..
- [19] _____, The Willmore functional and the containment problem in R⁴. Sci. China Ser. A **50**(2007), no. 3, 325–333.
- [20] ______, Total square mean curvature of hypersurfaces. Submitted to Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica.
- [21] ______, On Bonnesen-type isoperimetric inequalities. In: Proceedings of the Tenth International Workshop on Differential Geometry, Kyungpook Nat. Univ., Taegu, 2006, pp. 57–71.

School of Mathematics and Statistics
Southwest University
Chongqing 400715
People's Republic of China
e-mail: zhoujz@swu.edu.cn
and
Department of Mathematics
Polytechnic University
Brooklyn, NY 11201
U.S.A.