



A New Sufficient Condition for a Graph To Be (g, f, n) -Critical

Sizhong Zhou

Abstract. Let G be a graph of order p , let a, b , and n be nonnegative integers with $1 \leq a < b$, and let g and f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x) < f(x) \leq b$ for all $x \in V(G)$. A (g, f) -factor of graph G is a spanning subgraph F of G such that $g(x) \leq d_F(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(F)$. Then a graph G is called (g, f, n) -critical if after deleting any n vertices of G the remaining graph of G has a (g, f) -factor. The binding number $\text{bind}(G)$ of G is the minimum value of $|N_G(X)|/|X|$ taken over all non-empty subsets X of $V(G)$ such that $N_G(X) \neq V(G)$. In this paper, it is proved that G is a (g, f, n) -critical graph if

$$\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(p-1)}{(a+1)p - (a+b) - bn + 2} \quad \text{and} \quad p \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)}{a+1} + \frac{bn}{a}.$$

Furthermore, it is shown that this result is best possible in some sense.

The graphs considered in this paper are finite undirected simple graphs. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For any vertex x of G , we denote by $d_G(x)$ the degree of x in G , by $\delta(G)$ the minimum vertex degree of G and by $N_G(x)$ the set of vertices adjacent to x in G . For any $S \subseteq V(G)$, we define $N_G(S) = \bigcup_{x \in S} N_G(x)$, we denote by $G[S]$ the subgraph of G induced by S , and by $G - S$ the subgraph obtained from G by deleting vertices in S together with the edges incident to vertices in S . A subset S of $V(G)$ is *independent* if no two vertices of S are adjacent. The *binding number* $\text{bind}(G)$ of G is the minimum value of $|N_G(X)|/|X|$ taken over all non-empty subsets X of $V(G)$ such that $N_G(X) \neq V(G)$ (see [13]).

Let g and f be two nonnegative integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $g(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$. A (g, f) -factor of graph G is defined as a spanning subgraph F of G such that $g(x) \leq d_F(x) \leq f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$ (where, of course, d_F denotes the degree in F). If $g(x) = a$ and $f(x) = b$ for all $x \in V(G)$, then a (g, f) -factor is called an $[a, b]$ -factor. If $g(x) = f(x) = k$ for all $x \in V(G)$, then a (g, f) -factor is called a k -factor. A graph G is called (g, f, n) -critical if after deleting any n vertices of G the remaining graph of G has a (g, f) -factor. If G is (g, f, n) -critical, then we also say that G is a (g, f, n) -critical graph. If $g(x) = a$ and $f(x) = b$ for all $x \in V(G)$, then a (g, f, n) -critical graph is an (a, b, n) -critical graph. If $a = b = k$, then an (a, b, n) -critical graph is simply called a (k, n) -critical graph. In particular, a $(1, n)$ -critical graph is simply called an n -critical graph. The other notations and definitions not given in this paper can be found in [1].

Received by the editors April 22, 2007.

Published electronically December 4, 2009.

This research was sponsored by Qing Lan Project of Jiangsu Province and was supported by Jiangsu Provincial Educational Department (07KJD110048).

AMS subject classification: 05C70.

Keywords: graph, (g, f) -factor, (g, f, n) -critical graph, binding number.

Many authors have investigated (g, f) -factors [3,9,16] and $[a, b]$ -factors [6,12]. O. Favaron [4] studied the properties of n -critical graphs. G. Liu and Q. Yu [11] studied the characterization of (k, n) -critical graphs. G. Liu and J. Wang [10] gave the characterization of (a, b, n) -critical graphs with $a < b$. S. Zhou [14] gave two sufficient conditions for graphs to be (a, b, n) -critical. J. Li [7] gave two sufficient conditions for graphs to be (a, b, n) -critical. S. Zhou [15] obtained a sufficient condition for graphs to be (g, f, n) -critical. The characterization of (g, f, n) -critical graphs was given by J. Li and H. Matsuda [8]. In this paper, some binding number conditions for graphs to be (g, f, n) -critical are given. The main results will be given in the following section.

The following results on binding number conditions for graphs to have $[a, b]$ -factors and k -factors are known. Katerinis and Woodall proved the following result for the existence of k -factors [5].

Theorem 1 Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and let G be a graph having $p \geq 4k - 6$ vertices and binding number $\text{bind}(G)$ such that kp is even and

$$\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(2k - 1)(p - 1)}{k(p - 2) + 3}.$$

Then G has a k -factor.

C. Chen gave the following result for the existence of $[a, b]$ -factors [2].

Theorem 2 Let G be a graph of order n , $1 \leq a < b$. If

$$\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a + b - 1)(n - 1)}{bn - 2b + 3} \quad \text{and} \quad n \geq \frac{(a + b - 1)(a + b - 2)}{b},$$

then G has an $[a, b]$ -factor.

Now we state our main results.

Theorem 3 Let G be a graph of order p , and let a, b , and n be nonnegative integers such that $1 \leq a < b$, and let g and f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x) < f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. If

$$\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a + b - 1)(p - 1)}{(a + 1)p - (a + b) - bn + 2} \quad \text{and} \quad p \geq \frac{(a + b - 1)(a + b - 2)}{a + 1} + \frac{bn}{a},$$

then G is a (g, f, n) -critical graph.

In Theorem 3 if $n = 0$, then we get the following corollary.

Corollary 4 Let G be a graph of order p , and let a, b be nonnegative integers such that $1 \leq a < b$, and let g and f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $a \leq g(x) < f(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. If

$$\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a + b - 1)(p - 1)}{(a + 1)p - (a + b) + 2} \quad \text{and} \quad p \geq \frac{(a + b - 1)(a + b - 2)}{a + 1},$$

then G has a (g, f) -factor.

In Theorem 3, if $g(x) = a$ and $f(x) = b$, then we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5 *Let G be a graph of order p , and let a, b , and n be nonnegative integers such that $1 \leq a < b$. If*

$$\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a + b - 1)(p - 1)}{(a + 1)p - (a + b) - bn + 2} \quad \text{and} \quad p \geq \frac{(a + b - 1)(a + b - 2)}{a + 1} + \frac{bn}{a},$$

then G is an (a, b, n) -critical graph.

The proof of Theorem 3 relies heavily on the following theorem.

Theorem 6 [8] *Let G be a graph, $n \geq 0$ an integer, and let g and f be two integer-valued functions defined on $V(G)$ such that $g(x) < f(x)$ for each $x \in V(G)$. Then G is a (g, f, n) -critical graph if and only if*

$$\delta_G(S, T) = f(S) + d_{G-S}(T) - g(T) \geq \max\{f(N) : N \subseteq S, |N| = n\}$$

for all disjoint subsets S and T of $V(G)$ with $|S| \geq n$.

Proof of Theorem 3 Suppose a graph G satisfies the condition of the theorem, but it is not a (g, f, n) -critical graph. Then by Theorem 6, there exist disjoint subsets S and T of $V(G)$ with $|S| \geq n$ such that

$$(1) \quad \delta_G(S, T) = f(S) + d_{G-S}(T) - g(T) \leq \max\{f(N) : N \subseteq S, |N| = n\} - 1.$$

We choose subsets S and T such that $|T|$ is minimum and S and T satisfy (1).

Claim 1 $d_{G-S}(x) \leq g(x) - 1 \leq b - 2$ for each $x \in T$.

Proof Suppose that there exists a vertex $x \in T$ such that $d_{G-S}(x) \geq g(x)$. Then the subsets S and $T - \{x\}$ satisfy (1), which contradicts the choice of T . ■

If $T = \emptyset$, then by (1)

$$f(S) - 1 \geq \max\{f(N) : N \subseteq S, |N| = n\} - 1 \geq \delta_G(S, T) = f(S),$$

a contradiction. Hence, $T \neq \emptyset$. Let $h = \min\{d_{G-S}(x) : x \in T\}$.

According to Claim 1, we have $0 \leq h \leq b - 2$. We shall consider various cases according to the value of h and derive contradictions.

Case 1. $h = 0$.

At first, we prove the following claim.

Claim 2 $\frac{(a + 1)p - (a + b) - bn + 2}{p - 1} > 1$.

Proof Since

$$p \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)}{a+1} + \frac{bn}{a},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a+1)p - (a+b) - bn + 2 - (p-1) &= ap - (a+b) - bn + 3 \\ &\geq a \left(\frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)}{a+1} + \frac{bn}{a} \right) \\ &\quad - (a+b) - bn + 3 \\ &= \frac{a(a+b-1)(a+b-2)}{a+1} - (a+b) + 3 \\ &\geq (a+b-2) - (a+b) + 3 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\frac{(a+1)p - (a+b) - bn + 2}{p-1} > 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

Let $m = |\{x : x \in T, d_{G-S}(x) = 0\}|$, and let $Y = V(G) \setminus S$. Then $N_G(Y) \neq V(G)$ since $h = 0$. In view of the definition of the binding number $\text{bind}(G)$, we get that

$$|N_G(Y)| \geq \text{bind}(G)|Y|.$$

Thus, we have $p - m \geq |N_G(Y)| \geq \text{bind}(G)|Y| = \text{bind}(G)(p - |S|)$, that is,

$$(2) \quad |S| \geq p - \frac{p-m}{\text{bind}(G)}.$$

Using $|S| + |T| \leq p$ and (1) and (2) and Claim 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} bn - 1 &\geq \max\{f(N) : N \subseteq S, |N| = n\} - 1 \\ &\geq \delta_G(S, T) = f(S) + d_{G-S}(T) - g(T) \\ &\geq (a+1)|S| + |T| - m - (b-1)|T| \\ &= (a+1)|S| - (b-2)|T| - m \\ &\geq (a+1)|S| - (b-2)(p - |S|) - m \\ &= (a+b-1)|S| - (b-2)p - m \\ &\geq (a+b-1)\left(p - \frac{p-m}{\text{bind}(G)}\right) - (b-2)p - m \\ &= (a+1)p - (a+b-1)\frac{p-m}{\text{bind}(G)} - m \\ &> (a+1)p - (a+b-1)\frac{(p-m)((a+1)p - (a+b) - bn + 2)}{(a+b-1)(p-1)} - m \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (a+1)p - \frac{(p-m)((a+1)p - (a+b) - bn + 2)}{p-1} - m \\
&\geq (a+1)p - \frac{(p-1)((a+1)p - (a+b) - bn + 2)}{p-1} - 1 \\
&= bn + (a+b) - 3 \\
&\geq bn,
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $1 \leq h \leq b-2$. Let x_1 be a vertex in T such that $d_{G-S}(x_1) = h$, and let $Y = (V(G) \setminus S) \setminus N_{G-S}(x_1)$. Then $x_1 \in Y \setminus N_G(Y)$, so $Y \neq \emptyset$ and $N_G(Y) \neq V(G)$. In view of the definition of the binding number $\text{bind}(G)$, we obtain

$$\frac{|N_G(Y)|}{|Y|} \geq \text{bind}(G).$$

Thus, we get that $p-1 \geq |N_G(Y)| \geq \text{bind}(G)|Y| = \text{bind}(G)(p-h-|S|)$, that is,

$$(3) \quad |S| \geq p-h - \frac{p-1}{\text{bind}(G)}.$$

By $|S| + |T| \leq p$ and (1) and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \quad &bn - 1 \geq \max\{f(N) : N \subseteq S, |N| = n\} - 1 \\
&\geq \delta_G(S, T) = f(S) + d_{G-S}(T) - g(T) \\
&\geq (a+1)|S| + d_{G-S}(T) - (b-1)|T| \\
&\geq (a+1)|S| + h|T| - (b-1)|T| \\
&= (a+1)|S| - (b-h-1)|T| \\
&\geq (a+1)|S| - (b-h-1)(p-|S|) \\
&= (a+b-h)|S| - (b-h-1)p \\
&\geq (a+b-h)\left(p-h - \frac{p-1}{\text{bind}(G)}\right) - (b-h-1)p \\
&> (a+b-h)\left(p-h - \frac{(a+1)p - (a+b) - bn + 2}{a+b-1}\right) \\
&\quad - (b-h-1)p.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $f(h) = (a+b-h)\left(p-h - \frac{(a+1)p - (a+b) - bn + 2}{a+b-1}\right) - (b-h-1)p$. In fact, the function $f(h)$ attains its minimum value at $h=1$, since $1 \leq h \leq b-2$ is an integer. Then we get $f(h) \geq f(1)$. Combining this with (4), we obtain

$$bn - 1 > f(1) = (a+b-1)\left(p-1 - \frac{(a+1)p - (a+b) - bn + 2}{a+b-1}\right) - (b-2)p$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (a + b - 1)(p - 1) - ((a + 1)p - (a + b) - bn + 2) - (b - 2)p \\ &= bn - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

From the argument above, we deduce the contradictions, so the hypothesis cannot hold. Hence, G is a (g, f, n) -critical graph. ■

Remark Let us show that the condition $\text{bind}(G) > \frac{(a+b-1)(p-1)}{(a+1)p-(a+b)-bn+2}$ in Theorem 3 cannot be replaced by $\text{bind}(G) \geq \frac{(a+b-1)(p-1)}{(a+1)p-(a+b)-bn+2}$. Let $a \geq 2, b = a + 1, n \geq 0$ be three integers such that $a + b + n$ is odd, and let $p = \frac{(a+b-1)(a+b-2)+(a+b-2)+(a+2b-1)n}{b}$ be an integer, and let $l = \frac{a+b+n-1}{2}$ and

$$m = p - 2l = p - (a + b + n - 1) = \frac{(a + b - 1)(a - 2) + (a + b - 2) + (a + b - 1)n}{b}.$$

Clearly, m is an integer. Let $H = K_m \vee IK_2$. Let $X = V(IK_2)$, for any $x \in X$, then $|N_H(X \setminus x)| = p - 1$. By the definition of $\text{bind}(H)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bind}(H) &= \frac{|N_H(X \setminus x)|}{|X \setminus x|} = \frac{p - 1}{2l - 1} = \frac{p - 1}{a + b + n - 2} \\ &= \frac{(a + b - 1)(p - 1)}{bp - (a + b) - bn + 2} = \frac{(a + b - 1)(p - 1)}{(a + 1)p - (a + b) - bn + 2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $S = V(K_m) \subseteq V(H), T = V(IK_2) \subseteq V(H)$, then $|S| = m \geq n, |T| = 2l$. Since $a \leq g(x) < f(x) \leq b$ and $b = a + 1$, then we have $g(x) = a$ and $f(x) = b = a + 1$. Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_H(S, T) &= f(S) + d_{H-S}(T) - g(T) = (a + 1)|S| + |T| - (b - 1)|T| \\ &= (a + 1)|S| - (b - 2)|T| = b|S| - (a - 1)|T| \\ &= b \frac{(a + b - 1)(a - 2) + (a + b - 2) + (a + b - 1)n}{b} \\ &\quad - (a - 1)(a + b + n - 1) \\ &= bn - 1 < bn = \max\{f(N) : N \subseteq S, |N| = n\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 6, H is not a (g, f, n) -critical graph. In the above sense, the result of Theorem 3 is best possible.

References

[1] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*. American Elsevier Publishing, New York, 1976.
 [2] C. Chen, *Binding number and minimum degree for $[a, b]$ -factors*. Syst. Sci. Math. Sci. 6(1993), no. 2, 179–185.
 [3] Y. Egawa and M. Kano, *Sufficient conditions for graphs to have (g, f) -factors*. Discrete Math. 151(1996), no. 1-3, 87–90. doi:10.1016/0012-365X(94)00085-W

- [4] O. Favaron, *On k -factor-critical graphs*. *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **16**(1996), no. 1, 41–51.
- [5] P. Katerinis and D. R. Woodall, *Binding numbers of graphs and the existence of k -factors*. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **38**(1987), no. 150, 221–228. doi:10.1093/qmath/38.2.221
- [6] M. Kano, *A sufficient condition for a graph to have $[a, b]$ -factors*. *Graphs Combin.* **6**(1990), no. 3, 245–251. doi:10.1007/BF01787576
- [7] J. Li, *Sufficient conditions for graphs to be (a, b, n) -critical graphs*. *Math. Appl. (Wuhan)* **17**(2004), no. 3, 450–455.
- [8] J. Li and H. Matsuda, *On (g, f, n) -critical graphs*. *Ars Combin.* **78**(2006), 71–82.
- [9] G. Liu, *(g, f) -factors of graphs*. *Acta Math. Sci. (Chinese)* **14**(1994), no. 3, 285–290.
- [10] G. Liu and J. Wang, *(a, b, k) -critical graphs*. *Adv. Math. (China)* **27**(1998), no. 6, 536–540.
- [11] G. Liu and Q. Yu, *k -factors and extendability with prescribed components*. *Congr. Numer.* **139**(1999), 77–88.
- [12] H. Matsuda, *Fan-type results for the existence of $[a, b]$ -factors*. *Discrete Math.* **306**(2006), no. 7, 688–693. doi:10.1016/j.disc.2006.01.018
- [13] D. R. Woodall, *The binding number of a graph and its Anderson number*. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B* **15**(1973), 225–255. doi:10.1016/0095-8956(73)90038-5
- [14] S. Zhou, *Sufficient conditions for (a, b, k) -critical graphs*. (Chinese) *J. Jilin Univ. Sci.* **43**(2005), no. 5, 607–609.
- [15] ———. *Some sufficient conditions for graphs to have (g, f) -factors*. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **75**(2007), no. 3, 447–452. doi:10.1017/S0004972700039368
- [16] S. Zhou and X. Xue, *Complete-factors and (g, f) -covered graphs*. *Australas. J. Combin.* **37**(2007), 265–269.

School of Mathematics and Physics, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu 212003, P.R. China
e-mail: zsz_cumt@163.com