# Space geodesy as a tool for measuring ice surface velocity in the Dome C region and along the ITASE traverse

Luca VITTUARI,<sup>1</sup> Christian VINCENT,<sup>2</sup> Massimo FREZZOTTI,<sup>3</sup> Francesco MANCINI,<sup>1</sup> Stefano GANDOLFI,<sup>1</sup> Gabriele BITELLI,<sup>1</sup> Alessandro CAPRA<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>DISTART, Università di Bologna, Viale Risorgimento 2, I-40136 Bologna, Italy E-mail: luca.vittuari@mail.ing.unibo.it <sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Glaciologie et Géophysique de l'Environnement du CNRS, 54 rue Molière, BP 96, 38402 Saint-Martin-d'Hères Cedex, France <sup>3</sup>ENEA, Centro Ricerche Casaccia, P.O. Box 2400, I-00100 Rome, Italy <sup>4</sup>Politecnico di Bari, Facoltà di Ingegneria, Viale del Turismo 8, I-74100 Taranto, Italy

ABSTRACT. Dome C was chosen by the European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica (EPICA) as the site for the drilling of a deep ice core. This paper presents results from geodetic surveys of ice velocities (absolute and relative) at Dome C and along a transect to Terra Nova Bay. The purpose of the surveys was to provide accurate data for the study of ice dynamics, particularly a strain network comprising 37 poles surveyed in 1995 and again in 1999. Data indicate that the ice surface at the poles closest to the topographic summit moves horizontally by up to a few mm  $a^{-1}$  in a direction consistent with downslope motion of the ice sheet, while 25 km from the summit it moves up to 211 mm  $a^{-1}$ . The EPICA drilling site yields an interpolated velocity of about  $15 \pm 10$  mm  $a^{-1}$  in a north-northwesterly direction. Analysis of the velocity field and surface topography reveals that the surface flow centre is nearly co-located with the dome summit, and that both are in a steady-state condition. The measured horizontal velocities are consistent with the remote-sensing result and provide accurate ground-truth control for flow mapping. Seven snow-firn cores, up to 53 m deep, were drilled during the Terra Nova Bay-Dome C traverse. Submerged velocity systems were installed at the borehole and measured using the global positioning system (GPS). First results show a steady-state condition. Measured (horizontal) ice velocities increase from the summit of the ice sheet to the coast, reaching about 28 m  $a^{-1}$  at site GPS2A.

## INTRODUCTION

Because horizontal surface velocity values are close to zero at the summit of the dome (values of the same order as continental movements), high-accuracy measurements are required in order to measure the velocity field of the dome. Moreover, considering that reference geodetic markers on stable rock outcrops are located very far away (>1000 km), both the global positioning system (GPS) and the Doppler Orbitography and Radiopositioning Integrated by Satellite (DORIS) were used to study absolute and relative movements of the Dome C area. In particular, a GPS strain network was designed to measure relative velocities at Dome C, whereas absolute movements of the network were obtained through DORIS and GPS connections to Antarctic permanent GPS stations. Legrésy and others (2000) provided the first description of ice flow around Dome C using synthetic aperture radar (SAR) interferometry. They point out the importance of having in situ measurements to improve and provide fiducial control for remote-sensing analysis.

Ice discharge from the Antarctic ice sheet is largely related to the dynamics of fast-flowing outlet glaciers and ice streams, but evidence from remotely sensed data suggests that each major drainage basin is fed by a complex system of tributaries that penetrates up to 1000 km from the grounding line into the interior of the ice sheet (e.g. Joughin and others, 1999; Bamber and others, 2000). There are very few, sparse in situ measurements in the interior of the East Antarctic ice sheet. Collections of GPS control velocity data are required for flow mapping derived from remote-sensing survey (ISMASS Committee, 2004). As part of the EPICA (European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica), Station Concordia and ITASE (International Trans-Antarctic Scientific Expedition) projects, a strain network was established and surveyed (using static GPS measurements) in the Dome C area, East Antarctica, during the 1995/96 Antarctic campaign. DORIS measurements were carried out in 1993 and 1999. GPS measurements were carried out during the1998/99 Terra Nova Bay–Dome C traverse; they were repeated in 2000/01 using an aircraft (Twin Otter) for deployment and recovery of instruments (Fig. 1).

This paper describes the results of GPS and DORIS surveys, which provide information on in situ ice velocities along a transect from the coast to Dome C and at Dome C.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### DORIS measurements at Dome C

The first DORIS measurements at Dome C were made in 1993/94 in the framework of the first traverse between Dumont d'Urville and Dome C; measurements were repeated in 1999/2000 (Vincent and Pourchet, 2000). The first goal was to measure the absolute position of one reference point with respect to the strain network; in order to improve the accuracy of the absolute position of the whole network, a second point was measured in 1999. The location of the DORIS points is shown in Figure 2. The second DORIS point (Doris2), which coincides with station A15 of the GPS network, was measured for the first time in 1999. The Doris1 vertex consists of two parts. The first is a



Fig. 1. Schematic map showing Dome C and ITASE traverse.

2 m long aluminium stake (10 mm diameter) buried in the snow, with small wooden stakes angled to prevent local movements. The second part was specifically designed for different purposes, to be used with traditional instruments (e.g. theodolite, electronic distance measurement), for GPS antenna force-centring, or with the Doris antenna.

#### GPS network at Dome C

The GPS geodetic network consists of 37 stations positioned in four concentric rings at increasing distances (3, 6, 12.5 and 25 km) from the summit of the topographic Dome (Fig. 2). The centre of the network was established on the basis of the first detailed surface topography data from satellite radar altimetry (Brisset and Rémy, 1996), coupled with a GPS survey performed during the 1994/95 expedition (Cefalo and others, 1996). The summit position obtained through previous surveys (75°06′06.35″ S, 123°23′42.76″ E) was confirmed by subsequent detailed GPS surveys

performed in 1995/96 (Capra and others, 2000). The Concordia Station base summer camp (75°06'04" S, 123°20'52" E), where the EPICA drilling site is located, is about 1400 m west of the topographic Dome. The network configuration is considered appropriate for detecting the expected radial pattern of horizontal displacement. The GPS network was surveyed twice, during the 1995 (9-15 December) and 1999 (9-14 January) expeditions, with the aim of detecting movements relative to the drilling site. The absolute position of the network was obtained both through DORIS measurements and through a GPS connection to the closest permanent GPS stations. DORIS and GPS measurements were linked together through GPS static surveys. Ice velocities around the Dome C area were assumed to be of a few  $\mbox{cm}\,a^{-1}$  in the central portion of the network (corresponding to the dome summit) and were then expected to increase toward the outer part of the network. In order to achieve maximum accuracy around the dome summit, the number of GPS baselines was increased in the central portion of the network. At the same time, the network geometry had to consider the requirements for detecting surface movements over a wide area and the corresponding logistical constraints. The network was established and surveyed for the first time in December 1995 using five dualfrequency Trimble 4000 series GPS receivers. Point 1000, located close to the first camp of the 1995/96 traverse, was established as a reference GPS station for the 1995 survey. Dual-frequency GPS signals were acquired at this station for all measured sessions. During the first campaign, occupation was 1 hour for short distances (<5 km) and up to 2.5 hours for external points, thereby guaranteeing the same level of precision for the whole network. During the 1999 campaign, the presence of ITASE vehicles at Dome C allowed overnight occupations at the boundary of the network, so that it was possible to schedule longer GPS sessions. Aluminium poles 12 cm in diameter and 3 m long, sunk in the snow for at least 2 m and topped with a device for forced centring of the GPS antennae, were adopted as three-dimensional benchmarks. One submerged velocity station (Hulbe and Whillans, 1994; Hamilton and others, 1998) was installed close to point 1000 in 1999. GeoGenius 2000 GPS software (produced by TerraSat, GmbH) was used to process the GPS strain





Fig. 2. (a) Layout of the DORIS-GPS geodetic network at Dome C; (b) detail of the central part of the network.

**Table 1.** DORIS-derived coordinates (ITRF94 epoch 01–01–2001)of points Doris1 and Doris2 in successive campaigns

**Table 2.** GPS-derived ITRF94 coordinates (epoch 01–01–2001) ofpoints occupied by DORIS

Point (year)	Latitude	Longitude	Ellipsoidal		
	S	E	height		
			m		
Doris1 (1993)	75°09'09.878''	123°05′55.256″	3232.64		
Doris1 (1999/2000)	75°09'09.879''	123°05′55.241″	3232.08		
Doris2 (1999/2000)	75°04'28.648''	123°34′33.576″	3233.95		

Point (year)	Latitude S	Longitude E	Ellipsoidal height m		
Doris1 (1995)	75°09'09.872''	123°05′55.255″	3232.51		
Doris1 (1998/99)	75°09'09.873''	123°05′55.241″	3232.16		
Doris2 (1998/99)	75°04'28.640''	123°34′33.571″	3234.14		

network, while Bernese GPS software v.4.2 (University of Berne) was used for processing the long static connections. These software packages allowed the use of precise IGS (International GPS Service) orbits and of models for GPS antennae phase centre variations with satellite elevation (IGS model). GeoGenius uses a single baseline approach, followed by a least-squares network adjustment. Considering a 95% confidence level, the estimated uncertainty in relative velocity measurements at Dome C is  $\pm 7 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$  for the horizontal component of ice flow at the surface, and  $\pm 9 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$  for the vertical component. Double-difference L1 and L2 fixed solutions were chosen for very short baselines (<5 km), whereas, due to high ionospheric effects on GPS acquisitions, double-difference Lc (iono-free) solutions were adopted for longer baselines.

#### GPS along the Terra Nova Bay–Dome C transect

Seven snow-firn cores, up to 53 m deep, were drilled during the Terra Nova Bay–Dome C traverse at 90–150 km intervals. In order to accurately determine ice velocity (horizontal and vertical), in 1998 a pole 12 cm in diameter and 4 m long was fixed in the snow and measured using GPS. The vertical component of velocity is obtained from GPS surveys of markers anchored some tens of metres deep in firn. The markers had been frozen to the borehole base using water and connected to the surface by non-stretchable steel cable (Hulbe and Whillans, 1994; Hamilton and Whillans, 2002). GPS markers were embedded at different depths (43–53 m) with different firn densities  $(644-722 \text{ kg m}^{-3})$ . Geodetic dualfrequency receivers were used during the 1998/99 and 2000/ 01 surveys. During the 2000/01 survey, only six of the seven sites were measured due to logistical constraints, and GPS occupations were constrained by the presence of the team at the site; during the first campaign in 1998/99 the overnight presence of ITASE vehicles allowed for sessions longer than 12 hours, while during the 2000/01 campaign Twin Otter aircraft allowed repeat 6 hour long sessions. Considering the particular configuration and the distance from reference stations (Terra Nova and Dome C), Precise Point Positioning (PPP) was performed using the GIPSY-OASIS II software. PPP algorithms use undifferenced carrier phase observations and eliminate the need to acquire simultaneous tracking data at reference (base) stations. In our study, horizontal positional accuracy is about  $\pm 7$  cm, and vertical accuracy is around  $\pm$ 11 cm (uncertainties at 95% confidence level).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

DORIS data were analyzed to determine the absolute position of points Doris1 and Doris2 within the Inter-

national Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF94). The solution supplied by the Groupe de Recherches de Géodésie Spatiale/Collecte, Localisation, Satellites (GRGS/CLS) DORIS data analysis centre of Toulouse, France, was determined using the GINS/DYNAMO software package (Vincent and Pourchet, 2000). Results were supplied at the same reference epoch with estimated standard errors (one standard deviation level) for each geocentric component, respectively of  $\pm 3$  cm in 1993 and  $\pm 2$  cm in 1999 (Table 1). In order to check the feasibility of long GPS connections between Dome C and the permanent Antarctic GPS stations, acquired data at reference points were processed together with McMurdo, Davis, Casey and Terra Nova Bay acquisitions using the Bernese v. 4.2 software; results within ITRF94 are reported in Table 2 (computed post-adjustment uncertainties of  $\pm 5 \text{ cm}$  for each geocentric component, at 95% confidence level).

Considering only relative positions, the least-squares adjustments of the GPS network at Dome C show standard error ellipses of a few millimetres for each position component, in both solutions. For a confidence level at 95%, the relative positions of points contain a horizontal uncertainty of  $\pm 10-15$  mm and a vertical uncertainty of  $\pm 15-20$  mm.

In order to evaluate absolute displacements through repeat surveys, the effects of Antarctic plate tectonic motion must be modelled and corrected. The geodetic community has recently paid increasing attention to the use of long time series produced by GPS permanent stations, and to colocated geodetic techniques (Very Long Baseline Interferometry, Satellite Laser Ranging, GPS, DORIS, etc.) for evaluating present-day geodynamics. With the establishment of a growing number of geodetic observatories in Antarctica, it is now finally possible to gain geodetic information over long periods. Using the ITRF2000, 10 mm accuracy was achieved in the tectonic motion detection of permanent GPS sites in Antarctica (Dietrich and others, 2001). We therefore expect improvements in future measurements based on long time-series analysis. Considering that the expected movements at the summit of the dome are close to zero, and that any minor mismodelling of Antarctic plate tectonic motion could be interpreted as an absolute movement of the dome, a six-parameter similarity transformation was applied to GPS solutions before comparison. The residuals of transformation were then verified with absolute movements obtained by DORIS measurements. The horizontal velocity obtained through the comparison of successive DORIS-derived coordinates (one standard deviation level) at point Doris1 is  $19 \pm 7$  mm a<sup>-1</sup>. The vertical shift is 93 mm a<sup>-1</sup>. These values are corrected for Antarctic plate tectonic motion at Dome C,

Table 3.	GPS networ	rk at Dome	C: location	of the poles,	and their	annual	movements	derived by	y two i	measurements	s of the ne	twork, s	spaced
in time b	oy 1127 day	's (velocity	uncertaintie	s at 95% cor	nfidence l	evel)							

Point	Latitude S	Longitude E	Ellipsoidal height	Horizontal shift	Vertical shift	Horizontal velocity	Azimuth	Vertical velocity	
			m	mm	mm	$mm a^{-1}$		mm a <sup>-1</sup>	
A10	75°04′28″	123°23′43″	3235	75	-278	$24\pm7$	327	$-90 \pm 9$	
A11	75°02′55″	123°23′39″	3234	128	-273	$41\pm7$	323	$-88\pm9$	
A12	74°59′34″	123°23′48″	3232	226	-271	$73\pm7$	329	$-88\pm9$	
A13	74°52′41″	123°23′47″	3228	370	-272	$120\pm7$	325	$-88\pm9$	
A14	75°05′17″	123°29'10''	3235	25	-272	$8\pm7$	107	$-88\pm9$	
A15	75°04'29''	123°34′34″	3235	52	-272	$17\pm7$	111	$-88\pm9$	
A16	75°02′54″	123°45′23″	3233	155	-269	$50\pm7$	97	$-87\pm9$	
A17	75°00'32''	123°36′16″	3234	102	-271	$33\pm7$	360	$-88\pm9$	
A18	74°54'33″	123°49′53″	3230	191	-267	$62\pm7$	17	$-87\pm9$	
A19	74°59'20''	124°08′36″	3228	224	-256	$72\pm7$	79	$-83\pm9$	
B10	75°06'04''	123°48′50″	3231	234	-270	$76\pm7$	120	$-87\pm9$	
B11	75°05′10″	124°15′58″	3226	358	-263	$116 \pm 7$	118	$-85\pm9$	
C10	75°06′53″	123°29′05″	3234	102	-280	$33\pm7$	126	$-91 \pm 9$	
C11	75°07′43″	123°34′37″	3233	184	-280	$60\pm7$	126	$-91\pm9$	
C12	75°09′19″	123°45′34″	3230	313	-278	$101 \pm 7$	132	$-90 \pm 9$	
C13	75°12′44″	124°09'19''	3223	550	-275	$178\pm7$	138	$-89 \pm 9$	
C14	75°07′43″	123°23′43″	3235	59	-286	$19\pm7$	131	$-93 \pm 9$	
C15	75°09′19″	123°23′44″	3234	135	-288	$44\pm7$	141	$-93\pm9$	
C16	75°12′31″	123°23′39″	3232	258	-287	$84\pm7$	144	$-93 \pm 9$	
C17	75°11′41″	123°36′33″	3230	320	-286	$104 \pm 7$	136	$-93 \pm 9$	
C18	75°17′44″	123°50'09"	3223	644	-286	$209\pm7$	145	$-93 \pm 9$	
C19	75°19′33″	123°23′47″	3226	530	-296	$172 \pm 7$	148	$-96 \pm 9$	
D10	75°11′42″	123°11′10″	3234	101	-295	$33\pm7$	158	$-95 \pm 9$	
D11	75°17′43″	122°57′03″	3231	236	-304	$76\pm7$	158	$-98 \pm 9$	
E10	75°06′54″	123°18'19"	3235	33	-284	$11\pm7$	296	$-92\pm9$	
E11	75°07′44″	123°12′48″	3235	54	-284	$17 \pm 7$	288	$-92\pm9$	
E12	75°09′19″	123°01′53″	3234	115	-291	$37 \pm 7$	299	$-94 \pm 9$	
E13	75°12′45″	122°38′08″	3231	170	-306	$55\pm7$	295	$-99 \pm 9$	
E14	75°05′18″	123°18′24″	3234	93	-283	$30 \pm 7$	308	$-92 \pm 9$	
E15	75°04'30''	123°12′51″	3234	174	-286	$56\pm7$	311	$-93 \pm 9$	
E16	75°02′53″	123°02′02″	3231	340	-287	$110 \pm 7$	312	$-93 \pm 9$	
E17	75°06′03″	122°58′36″	3232	245	-294	$79\pm7$	304	$-95 \pm 9$	
E18	75°06′01″	122°31′24″	3227	493	-309	$160 \pm 7$	304	$-100 \pm 9$	
F19	74°59′19″	122°38′50″	3224	652	-293	211 + 7	306	$-95 \pm 9$	
F10	75°00'30''	123°11′18″	3231	335	-281	$108 \pm 7$	317	$-91 \pm 9$	
F11	74°54′26″	122°57′49″	3225	613	-281	$199 \pm 7$	317	$-91 \pm 9$	
1000	75°07′26″	123°19′03″	3235	17	-289	$6\pm7$	181	$-94 \pm 9$	

considering a velocity of  $-9.4 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$  in latitude and 0.4 mm  $a^{-1}$  in longitude (Crétaux and others, 1998).

At point Doris1, GPS data show a relative horizontal velocity of  $32 \pm 7 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ , while Doris1 absolute motion reveals a horizontal velocity of  $19 \pm 7 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ ; considering errors, results are consistent, and no absolute horizontal movement of Dome C was detected on the basis of measurements made 3 years apart.

Displacements during the 3 year interval are reported in Table 3, while horizontal velocities are reported in Figure 3. Results indicate that, in the 3 year interval, poles closest to the topographic summit (2.5 km from the network centre) moved up to a few mm a<sup>-1</sup> (A14:  $8 \pm 7$  mm a<sup>-1</sup>; E10:  $11 \pm 7$  mm a<sup>-1</sup>; point 1000:  $6 \pm 7$  mm a<sup>-1</sup>); the northeast and southwest poles equidistant from the summit moved a few cm a<sup>-1</sup> (E14:  $30 \pm 7$  mm a<sup>-1</sup>; C10:  $33 \pm 7$  mm a<sup>-1</sup>). The EPICA drilling site, positioned close to E10 and E14 (about 1400 m west of the dome summit), has an interpolated velocity of about  $15 \pm 10$  mm a<sup>-1</sup> in a north-northwesterly direction. Due to the very slow velocity at the EPICA drilling site (same level of uncertainty values after 3 years only), the

strain rate was not calculated. The other poles move up to  $211 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ , with equal values forming concentric ellipses similar to the contour lines that describe the topography of the area.

The higher velocities were recorded at the poles in the steeper south-southeast (C18:  $209 \pm 7 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ ) and east-northeast (E19:  $211 \pm 7 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ ) portions of the dome. At the same distance (25 km) but along the major axis of the dome, velocities are much lower to the north-northwest (A18:  $62 \pm 7 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ ; A19:  $72 \pm 7 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ ) and south-southwest (E13:  $55 \pm 7 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ ; D11:  $76 \pm 7 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ ).

In situ measurements of horizontal motion agree with SAR interferometric results, obtained for one component of the relative velocity field by Legrésy and others (2000); these authors calculated the horizontal balance velocity in the Dome C area, with values ranging from zero near the summit area to 250–300 mm a<sup>-1</sup> about 25 km away from the summit.

The direction of the ice movement correlates well with the direction of maximum surface gradient ( $R^2 = 0.99$ ; Fig. 4), indicating that the direction of movement at the



**Fig. 3.** Surface ice velocity and topographic map, Universal Transverse Mercator–World Geodetic System 1984 (UTM-WGS84) map projection  $(0.05 \text{ m a}^{-1}$  velocity contour, 0.5 m topographic contour). Filled grey area represents the dome summit area. EPICA represents the position of Concordia Station and the deep core.

surface is strictly controlled by surface topography within a 25 km radius of the dome. Rémy and Tabacco (2000) pointed out that the most prominent characteristic of the Dome C surface is the elliptical shape of the contour lines, and that the shape is explained by the Nye (1991) theory on the topology of ice-sheet centres. They suggested that the strain rate in the southeast-northwest direction is three times lower than that in the perpendicular direction. Analysis of velocity data along the minor (northwest-southeast) and major (southwest-northeast) axes of the dome confirms the Rémy and Tabacco (2000) hypothesis: the average velocities along each axis are directly proportional to the distance from the centre ( $R^2 > 0.9$ ), and the velocity in the southwest– northeast direction is three times lower than that in the northwest-southeast direction. The comparison between elevation and ice-velocity contour lines (Fig. 3) reveals symmetrically higher velocities along the minor axis of the dome (steeper portion). Non-symmetric 'higher velocities' are present in the north-northwest area, along the major axis of the dome. Legrésy and others (2000) correlated the higher velocity in the north-northwest area with the presence of a subglacial lake and basal sliding. Dome C is positioned along an ice divide that runs from Vostok lake to the Adélie Coast. An ice saddle (3200 m) 115 km south-southeast of the dome summit separates the dome from the ice ridge coming from Vostok lake; the difference in elevation between the summit and saddle is only 30m. The slope in the northnorthwest sector increases up to the Terre Adélie ice-sheet margin. The difference in ice velocity may be linked to the asymmetric topography of the dome along the northnorthwest-south-southeast axis.

Ice velocities along the Terra Nova Bay–Dome C transect clearly increase from the summit of the ice sheet to the coast, reaching about  $28 \text{ ma}^{-1}$  at site GPS2A. The ice-flow



**Fig. 4.** Correlation of the direction with respect to the steepness direction. Correlation of  $R^2 = 0.99$ .

direction agrees with the maximum surface slope based on a digital elevation model (DEM) derived from European Remote-Sensing Satellite (ERS-1) radar altimetry data (Rémy and others, 1999). Higher velocities with respect to this general trend were found at site D4A; these are likely explained by the ice thickness at this site being greater than at other sites. Although site D4A shows the lowest slope, rheological parameters (Testut and others, 2000) and radioecho sounding data (Siegert and Ridley, 1998) suggest that basal sliding does occur. The site is a few km east of the Scott Coast ice divide. Frezzotti and Flora (2002) pointed out that this ice divide has been moving, perhaps since the mid-Holocene, due to encroachment by the Ross Ice Shelf system. This encroachment may be driven by an increase in the slope profile of the Ross Ice Shelf outlet glaciers, the north-northwest-south-southeast direction of the subglacial topography, and the presence of water-saturated sediments.

Submergence ice-velocity measurements along the Terra Nova Bay-Dome C transect were measured at six sites. The calculated rates of thickness change are all quite small, and the formal uncertainties are close to the estimated thickness changes (Table 4; Fig. 5). In this first analysis, we use the longest record surveyed available at the Tambora marker from nssSO<sub>4</sub> core stratigraphy (Frezzotti and others, in press). Different authors (Hulbe and Whillans, 1994; Hamilton and others, 1998; Hamilton and Whillans, 2002) have pointed out that errors in submergence ice-velocity measurements are dominated by determination of the temporal variability in snow accumulation rates. Along the transect, snow accumulation rates derived with different time periods (4 years: stake farm; 40 years: tritium marker level; 180 years: Tambora (Indonesia) volcanic eruption marker) differ significantly at the submerged pole sites due to the high spatial variability of snow accumulation correlated with wind-driven sublimation (Frezzotti and others, 2004). The spatial variability of snow accumulation at the km scale, derived from snow-radar and cores, is one order of magnitude higher than its temporal variability (20-30%) at the century scale. Rémy and others (2002) pointed

Site	GPS2A	31DptA	MdPtA	D2A	D4A	D6A
Latitude S	74°38′44″	74°01′26″	75°32′10′′	75°37′18″	75°35′48″	75°26′53″
Longitude E	157°30'19''	155°57′21″	145°51′32″	140°37′47″	135°49′56″	129°48′39″
Ellipsoidal height (m)	1772.9	2064.5	2450.2	2612.3	2792.2	3025.4
Ice thickness*	2190	2010	3180	2780	3340	2740
Horizontal shift (m)	$55.29 \pm 0.07$	$15.63\pm0.07$	$9.74\pm0.07$	$7.02\pm0.07$	$8.26\pm0.07$	$2.92\pm0.07$
Vertical shift (m)	$-0.475 \pm 0.11$	$-0.32 \pm 0.11$	$-0.18 \pm 0.11$	$-0.035 \pm 0.11$	$-0.05 \pm 0.11$	$-0.12 \pm 0.11$
$\delta$ days	719	715	715	708	697	730
Horizontal velocity, $u (m a^{-1})$	$28.07\pm0.04$	$7.97\pm0.04$	$4.97\pm0.04$	$3.62\pm0.04$	$4.32\pm0.04$	$1.46\pm0.04$
Azimuth (°)	132°	158°	$78^{\circ}$	$89^{\circ}$	116°	$97^{\circ}$
Vertical velocity, $\dot{z}$ (m a <sup>-1</sup> )	$-0.24 \pm 0.06$	$-0.16 \pm 0.06$	$-0.09\pm0.06$	$-0.02 \pm 0.06$	$-0.03 \pm 0.06$	$-0.06 \pm 0.06$
Slope (rad) <sup>†</sup>	0.0017	0.0053	0.002	0.00056	0.00079	0.00167
Annual accumulation, $b (Mg m^{-2} a^{-1})^{\ddagger}$	$0.060\pm0.003$	$0.098 \pm 0.0049$	$0.036\pm0.0018$	$0.020\pm0.001$	$0.022\pm0.001$	$0.036 \pm 0.0014$
Core depth (m)	43.6	48.0	44.5	49.0	43.0	52.7
Density (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )	$0.722\pm0.012$	$0.707\pm0.024$	$0.644\pm0.033$	$0.707\pm0.018$	$0.646\pm0.03$	$0.700\pm0.015$
$\partial H/\partial t$ (m a <sup>-1</sup> )	$-0.11\pm0.06$	$0.02\pm0.08$	$-0.02\pm0.10$	$0.01\pm0.07$	$0.01\pm0.09$	$-0.01\pm0.07$

Note: Data used to calculate rates of ice-sheet thickness change, using the equation  $(\partial H/\partial t = b/\rho + \dot{z} + u\alpha)$  of Hamilton and Whillans (2002).

\*Ice thickness by Testut and others (2000).

<sup>†</sup>Slope was calculated using the digital terrain model derived from ERS-1 radar altimetry data (Rémy and others, 1999). A  $3 \times 3$  pixel window (pixel size:  $1 \times 1$  km) was used to calculate the slope at each pixel. Density at marker depth was determined immediately after retrieval, by measuring and weighing core sections.

<sup>‡</sup>Annual snow accumulation using the 1998–1816 Tambora marker (Frezzotti and others, in press).

out that snow accumulation rate variability must be carefully characterized in time and space so as to better interpret changes in ice-sheet elevation. The high spatial variability of snow accumulation can influence the submergence ice velocity and will be taken into account in future analyses.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The integration of DORIS and GPS has enabled absolute and relative measurements of the surface velocity field at Dome C. Due to the difficulty in measuring velocities close to zero at the dome summit, and the need for very long geodetic connections to reach stable reference points, it was necessary to combine different high-accuracy space geodetic techniques.

At point Doris1, GPS data show a relative velocity of  $32 \pm 7 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ , while DORIS data reveal an absolute velocity of  $19 \pm 7 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$ ; considering errors, these results are consistent, and no absolute horizontal movement of the dome was detected on the basis of measurements made 3 years apart. The EPICA drilling site yields an interpolated velocity of about  $15 \pm 10 \text{ mm a}^{-1}$  in the north-northwesterly direction. Analyses of the velocity field and surface topography in the region show that the surface flow centre is nearly co-located with the dome summit, and that both appear to be in a steady-state condition. Data indicate that the poles closest to the topographic summit move up to a few mma^{-1}, while poles located 25 km away from the summit move up to 211 mm a^{-1}.

The comparison between elevation and ice-velocity contour lines confirms the previous remote-sensing analysis and reveals symmetrically higher velocities along the minor axis of the dome (steeper portion). Unsymmetrical 'higher velocities' are present in the north-northwest area, along the major axis of the dome.

Remote-sensing and surface GPS–DORIS surveys could be combined to bring together results with absolute significance and those with wide geographic coverage. Future repeat GPS and DORIS measurements will be used to validate the present steady-state condition and may record changes determined by variations in accumulation conditions or changes since the Last Glacial Maximum. Integration of the surface velocity field with high-resolution ice-thickness data and studies along the EPICA borehole will be used to determine ice deformation along all icethickness columns from the summit to the bedrock. This process must be thoroughly investigated in order to derive the history of accumulation rates from deep ice cores, and to better understand the physical and glaciological processes involved.

The first results of submergence velocity measurements along the Dome C-Terra Nova Bay transect appear to indicate steady-state conditions in this part of East Antarctica, in agreement with evidence from radar altimetry (Rémy and Legrésy, 1998) and mass-balance studies of glaciers that flow into Terra Nova Bay (Frezzotti and others, 2000; Rignot, 2002). Future repeat GPS submergence velocity measurements will improve the accuracy of measurements and reduce uncertainties.



Site along Terra Nova Bay-Dome C transect

**Fig. 5.** Ice-sheet elevation change along the Dome C–Terra Nova Bay transect. Estimated measurement uncertainties are shown as error bars (95% confidence level).

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Research was carried out in the framework of the Project on Glaciology of the PNRA-MIUR and financially supported by PNRA Consortium through collaboration with ENEA Roma, and by the Institut Polaire Français–Emile Victor (IPEV). This work is a French–Italian contribution to the ITASE project and a contribution to the 'European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica' (EPICA), a joint European Science Foundation (ESF)/European Commission (EC) scientific programme, funded by the EC and by national contributions from Belgium, Denmark, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom. This is EPICA publication No. 88.

## REFERENCES

- Bamber, J. L., D. G. Vaughan and I. Joughin. 2000. Widespread complex flow in the interior of the Antarctic ice sheet. *Science*, 287(5456), 1248–1250.
- Brisset, L. and F. Rémy. 1996. Antarctic topography and kilometrescale roughness derived from ERS-1 altimetry. *Ann. Glaciol.*, 23, 374–381.
- Capra, A., R. Cefalo, S. Gandolfi, G. Manzoni, I. E. Tabacco and L. Vittuari. 2000. Surface topography of Dome Concordia (Antarctica) from kinematic interferential GPS and bedrock topography. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **30**, 42–46.
- Cefalo, R., I.E. Tabacco and G. Manzoni. 1996. Processing of kinematic GPS trajectories at Dome C (Antarctica) and altimetry interpretations. *In* Unguendoli, M., ed. Reports on Surveying and Geodesy. Bologna, DISTART ed. Nautilus. Università degli Studi di Bologna, 204–222.
- Crétaux, J.F., L. Soudarin, A. Cazenave and F. Bouillé. 1998. Present-day plate motions derived from the DORIS space system. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **103**(B12), 30,167–30,181.
- Dietrich, R.R. and 19 others. 2001. ITRF coordinates and plate velocities from repeated GPS campaigns in Antarctica—an analysis based on different individual solutions. J. Geod., **74**(11/12), 756–766.
- Forieri, A. *and 6 others*. 2003. A new bedrock map of the Dome C area. *Terra Antartica Reports*, **8**, 169–170.
- Frezzotti, M. and O. Flora. 2002. Ice dynamic features and climatic surface parameters in East Antarctica from Terra Nova Bay to Talos Dome and Dome C: ITASE Italian traverses. *Terra Antartica*, 9(1), 47–54.
- Frezzotti, M., I. E. Tabacco and A. Zirizzotti. 2000. Ice discharge of eastern Dome C drainage area, Antarctica, determined from airborne radar survey and satellite image analysis. J. Glaciol., 46(153), 253–264.
- Frezzotti, M. and 13 others. 2004 New estimations of precipitation and surface sublimation in East Antarctica from snow accumulation measurements. *Climate Dyn.* 23(7–8), 803–813. (10.1007/s00382-004-0462-5.)
- Frezzotti, M. and 13 others. In press. Spatial and temporal variability of snow accumulation in East Antarctica from traverse data. J. Glaciol.

- Hamilton, G.S. and I. Whillans. 2002. Local rates of ice-sheet thickness change in Greenland. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **35**, 79–83.
- Hamilton, G. S., I. M. Whillans and P.J. Morgan. 1998. First point measurements of ice-sheet thickness change in Antarctica. Ann. Glaciol., 27, 125–129.
- Hulbe, C. L. and I. M. Whillans. 1994. A method for determining ice-thickness change at remote locations using GPS. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **20**, 263–268.
- ISMASS Committee. 2004. Recommendations for the collection and synthesis of Antarctic ice sheet mass balance data. *Global Planet. Change*, **42**(1–4), 1–15.
- Joughin, I. and 7 others. 1999. Tributaries of West Antarctic ice streams revealed by RADARSAT interferometry. Science, 286(5438), 283–286.
- Legrésy, B., E. Rignot and I. E. Tabacco. 2000. Constraining ice dynamics at Dome C, Antarctica, using remotely sensed measurements. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27(21), 3493–3496.
- Nye, J. F. 1991. The topology of ice-sheet centres. J. Glaciol., 37(126), 220–227.
- Proposito, M., R. Gragnani, O. Flora, L. Genoni and M. Frezzotti. 2003. Volcanic records at GPS1, GPS2, 31DPT and M2 stations along the 1998–99 ITASE traverse (East Antarctica). *Terra Antarct. Rep.*, **8**, 61–66.
- Rémy, F. and B. Legrésy. 1998. Antarctic non-stationary signals derived from Seasat–ERS-1 altimetry comparison. Ann. Glaciol., 27, 81–85.
- Rémy, F. and I. E. Tabacco. 2000. Bedrock features and ice flow near the EPICA ice core site (Dome C, Antarctica). *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **27**(3), 405–409.
- Rémy, F., P. Shaeffer and B. Legrésy. 1999. Ice flow physical processes derived from ERS-1 high-resolution map of Antarctica and Greenland ice sheet. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **139**(3), 645–656.
- Rémy, F., L. Testut and B. Legrésy. 2002. Random fluctuations of snow accumulation over Antarctica and their relation to sea level change. *Climate Dyn.*, **19**(3–4), 267–276.
- Rignot, E. 2002. Mass balance of East Antarctic glaciers and ice shelves from satellite data. *Ann. Glaciol.*, **34**, 217–227.
- Schwander, J., J. Jouzel, C. U. Hammer, J. R. Petit, R. Udisti and E. Wolff. 2001. A tentative chronology for the EPICA Dome Concordia ice core. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28(22), 4243–4246.
- Siegert, M.J. and J.K. Ridley. 1998. Determining basal ice-sheet conditions in the Dome C region of East Antarctica using satellite radar altimetry and airborne radio-echo sounding. J. Glaciol., 44(146), 1–8.
- Tabacco, I.E., A. Passerini, F. Corbelli and M. Gorman. 1998. Correspondence. Determination of the surface and bed topography at Dome C, East Antarctica. J. Glaciol., 44(146), 185–191.
- Testut, L., I. E. Tabacco, C. Bianchi and F. Rémy. 2000. Influence of geometrical boundary conditions on the estimation of rheological parameters. Ann. Glaciol., 30, 102–106.
- Vincent, C. and M. Pourchet. 2000. *Geodetic measurements and accumulation rate at Dome Concordia, December 1999 and January 2000.* Grenoble, Laboratoire de Glaciologie et Géophysique de l'Environnement. Institut Français pour la Recherche et la Technologie Polaire and Ente per le Nuove Tecnologie, l'Energia e l'Ambiente. (Technical report.)