Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom

cambridge.org/mbi

Original Article

*Present address: Faculty of Fisheries, Kagoshima University, 4-50-20 Shimoarata, Kagoshima 890-0056, Japan

Cite this article: Kodama M, Kawamura T (2021). Review of the subfamily Cleonardopsinae Lowry, 2006 (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Amathillopsidae) with description of a new genus and species from Japan. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* **101**, 359–369. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S0025315421000357

Received: 5 September 2020 Revised: 1 April 2021 Accepted: 20 April 2021 First published online: 9 June 2021

Key words:

Carinocleonardopsis seisuiae; Cleonardopsis; Sea of Kumano; species complex; taxonomy

Author for correspondence: Masafumi Kodama, E-mail: mkodama@fish.kagoshima-u.ac.jp

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Review of the subfamily Cleonardopsinae Lowry, 2006 (Crustacea: Amphipoda: Amathillopsidae) with description of a new genus and species from Japan

Masafumi Kodama* 💿 and Tomohiko Kawamura

International Coastal Research Center, Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, The University of Tokyo, 1-19-8 Akahama, Otsuchi, Iwate 028-1102, Japan

Abstract

The amathillopsid subfamily Cleonardopsinae Lowry, 2006 is reviewed. The only species of the subfamily, *Cleonardopsis carinata* K.H. Barnard, 1916, should be regarded as a species-complex. A new genus and species of the subfamily, *Carinocleonardopsis seisuiae* gen. et sp. nov., is described from the Sea of Kumano, Japan as the second species of the subfamily Cleonardopsinae as well as the first record of the subfamily from the North Pacific. This new genus can be easily distinguished from *Cleonardopsis* by the presence of distinct large eyes and the dorsal carination on head, pereonites and pleonites.

Introduction

The monotypic amphipod subfamily Cleonardopsinae Lowry, 2006 has a complex taxonomic history. The only current genus of the subfamily, *Cleonardopsis* Barnard, 1916 was originally established in the family Eusiridae, however, it has been frequently placed in other families such as Amathillopsidae or Pleustidae by various authors (e.g. Pirlot, 1936; Barnard & Karaman, 1991a, 1991b; Coleman, 1998; Lowry, 2006).

The only species of the genus, *Cleonardopsis carinata* Barnard, 1916, also has a complex taxonomic history. This species was originally described from off South Africa (Barnard, 1916). Since then, several authors have reported the species from various localities (e.g. Schellenberg, 1926; Pirlot, 1934, 1936; Stephensen, 1944). However, these reports sometimes lacked descriptions or illustrations, and moreover, sometimes showed different morphologies from each other. Lowry (2006) implied that the material of *'Cl. carinata'* from different localities could be separate species.

During a survey of the deep-sea benthic fauna in the Sea of Kumano and off Tanabe Bay by TRV 'Seisui-maru', Mie University (research cruise no. 1803; Kimura *et al.*, 2019), an amphipod species attributed to the subfamily Cleonardopsinae was collected. The present study provides a review of the subfamily Cleonardopsinae and compares our material with the description of *Cleonardopsis carinata* in previous studies. As a result, our specimens clearly revealed distinct characters from the genus *Cleonardopsis*, and therefore, we herein describe and illustrate the present species as *Carinocleonardopsis seisuiae* gen. et sp. nov.

Materials and methods

Fresh specimens of *Carinocleonardopsis seisuiae* gen. et sp. nov. were collected from sandymuddy bottom in the Sea of Kumano, Japan (Figure 1). The body lengths of specimens examined were measured by tracing individuals' mid-trunk lengths (tip of the rostrum to end of telson); measuring this curved length and then converting this to actual animal body length by correcting for magnification, following previous studies (e.g. Lörz *et al.*, 2007, 2009). The specimens were dissected under a binocular stereomicroscope, and the appendages were mounted in Euparal on glass slides. Observations and line drawings were made using a light microscope with the aid of a drawing tube (Y-IDT, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). The specimens were deposited in the National Museum of Nature and Science, Tokyo (NSMT).

Results

Systematics

Order AMPHIPODA Latreille, 1816 Family AMATHILLOPSIDAE Pirlot, 1934 [New Japanese name: Ryukotsu-yokoebi-ka] Subfamily Cleonardopsinae Lowry, 2006 Genus *Cleonardopsis* Barnard, 1916

Cleonardopsis Barnard, 1916: 175. —Schellenberg, 1926: 230. —Pirlot, 1936: 237. — Stephensen, 1944: 7. —Barnard, 1969: 223. —Griffiths, 1975: 118. —Ledoyer, 1986: 1052.



Fig. 1. Geographic distribution of the subfamily Cleonardopsinae Lowry, 2006.

—Barnard & Karaman, 1991a: 315. —Barnard & Karaman, 1991b: 646. —Elizalde *et al.*, 1993: 252. —Dauvin & Sorbe, 1995: 454. —Coleman, 1998: 31. —Lowry, 2006: 12. —Coleman, 2007: 20. —Frutos & Sorbe, 2014: 299, 304. —Frutos & Sorbe, 2017: 36. —Brix *et al.*, 2018: 7. —Jażdżewska *et al.*, 2018: 62.

Amathillopleustes Pirlot, 1934: 205 [synonymized with *Cleonardopsis* by Pirlot (1936)]

Diagnosis after Lowry (2006)

Head deeper than long; lateral cephalic lobe subquadrate, head truncated apically; anteroventral margin straight, anteroventral margin moderately recessed, anteroventral margin moderately excavate; rostrum short or moderate length; eyes absent. Body without setae; dorsally carinate. Antenna 1 subequal in length or longer than antenna 2; peduncle with sparse slender setae; ped-uncular article 1 longer than article 2; article 2 longer than article 3; article 3 shorter than article 1; accessory flagellum minute, 1-articulate; calceoli present. Antenna 2 medium length; peduncle with sparse slender setae or none; flagellum longer than peduncle.

Pereon. Coxae 1–4 longer than broad, overlapping, coxae not ventrally acute. Coxae 1–3 progressively larger. Gnathopod 1 subchelate; carpus shorter than propodus. Gnathopod 2 subchelate; coxa smaller than but not hidden by coxa 3; carpus short, shorter than propodus. Pereopods not prehensile. Pereopod 4 coxa not ventrally acute, with small posteroventral lobe. Pereopod 5 coxa without lobes; basis slightly expanded. Pereopod 6 basis slightly expanded. Pereopod 7 basis expanded, subrectangular.

Pleon. Urosomites not carinate. Uropods 1–2 apices of rami without robust setae. Telson weakly cleft; dorsal or lateral robust setae absent; apical robust setae absent.

Species composition

Cleonardopsis carinata Barnard, 1916 (monotypic)

Distribution

Some previous studies mentioned the distribution of the genus as 'cosmopolitan' (e.g. Barnard, 1969; Lowry, 2006). Detailed records of collecting localities are summarized in Figure 1 and shown as

follows: South Africa (Barnard, 1916; Schellenberg, 1926); Indonesia (Pirlot, 1934); Greenland (Stephensen, 1944); Bay of Biscay (Elizalde *et al.*, 1993; Dauvin & Sorbe, 1995; Frutos & Sorbe, 2014, 2017); Iceland (Brix *et al.*, 2018; Jażdżewska *et al.*, 2018).

Remarks

Detailed taxonomic history of the genus *Cleonardopsis* is reviewed below (see Review and discussion of the subfamily Cleonardopsinae).

Cleonardopsis carinata Barnard, 1916 (Figures 2-4)

Cleonardopsis carinata Barnard, 1916: 176. —Schellenberg, 1926: 230. —Pirlot, 1936: 237. —Stephensen, 1944: 7. — Griffiths, 1975: 118. —Ledoyer, 1986: 1052. —Barnard & Karaman, 1991a: 316. —Barnard & Karaman, 1991b: 646. — Elizalde *et al.*, 1993: 252. —Dauvin & Sorbe, 1995: 454. — Coleman, 1998: 31. —Lowry, 2006: 12. —Frutos & Sorbe, 2014: 299, 304. —Frutos & Sorbe, 2017: 36.

Amathillopleustes alticoxa Pirlot, 1934: 205. [Synonymized with *Cleonardopsis carinata* by Pirlot (1936)]

Distribution

South Africa (Barnard, 1916; Schellenberg, 1926), Indonesia (Pirlot, 1934), Greenland (Stephensen, 1944), Bay of Biscay (Elizalde *et al.*, 1993; Dauvin & Sorbe, 1995; Frutos & Sorbe, 2014, 2017) (Figure 1).

Remarks

Several authors reported the occurrence of this species, however, only Barnard (1916) and Pirlot (1934) provided morphological description and illustration (Figures 2–4). Detailed taxonomic history of this species is reviewed below (see Review and discussion of the subfamily Cleonardopsinae).

Genus *Carinocleonardopsis* gen. nov. [New Japanese name: Mino-yokoebi-zoku]



Fig. 2. *Cleonardopsis carinata* Barnard, 1916 from Cape Peninsula area of South Africa, modified from the original description by Barnard (1916). (A) coxae 5 and 6, lateral view; (B) pleosomites 2 and 3, lateral view; (C) telson, dorsal view. Scale bars were not provided in Barnard (1916).

Diagnosis

Head deeper than long, with rounded carination dorsally; lateral cephalic lobe subquadrate, head truncated apically; anteroventral margin straight, anteroventral margin moderately recessed, anteroventral margin moderately excavate; rostrum short or moderate length; distinct eyes present. Body without setae; dorsal carination present on head, pereonites and pleonites. Antenna 1 subequal in length or longer than antenna 2; peduncle with sparse slender setae; peduncular article 1 longer than article 2; article 2 longer than article 3; article 3 shorter than article 1; accessory flagellum minute, 1-articulate; calceoli present. Antenna 2 medium length; peduncle with sparse slender setae or none; flagellum longer than peduncle.

Pereon. Coxae 1–4 longer than broad, overlapping, coxae not ventrally acute. Coxae 1–3 progressively larger, coxae 3 and 4 enlarged. Gnathopods 1 and 2 similar, subchelate, typical amathillopsid-form; basis with row of short spine-like setae on posterior margin, carpus similar to propodus in length, with carpal lobe. Pereopods not prehensile. Pereopod 4 coxa not ventrally acute, with small posteroventral lobe. Pereopod 5 and 6 bases slightly expanded. Pereopod 7 basis expanded.

Pleon. Urosomites not carinate. Uropods 1–3 apices of rami without robust setae. Telson weakly cleft; dorsal or lateral robust setae absent; subapical robust setae present.

Species composition

Carinocleonardopsis seisuiae gen. et sp. nov. (monotypic)



Fig. 3. Cleonardopsis carinata Barnard, 1916 from off Mollucas, eastern Indonesia, modified from Pirlot (1934). (A) habitus; (B) upper lip; (C) lower lip; (D1) mandible; (D2) incisor, laciniae mobilis and accessory setal row of mandible; (E1) maxilla 1; (E2) outer plate of maxilla 1; (F) maxilla 2; (G) maxilliped. Scale bars: (A) 1.0 mm; (B–G) 0.3 mm.



Fig. 4. Cleonardopsis carinata Barnard, 1916 from off Mollucas, eastern Indonesia, modified from Pirlot (1934). (A1) head; (A2) flagellar articles of antenna; (B) gnathopod 1; (C) gnathopod 2; (D–H1) coxa to merus of pereopods 3–7; (H2) propodus and dactylus of pereopod 7; (I1) urosome; (I2) telson. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

Remarks

The present new genus is distinctively different from the genus *Cleonardopsis* in many morphological characters, especially in the (1) presence of large distinct eyes, (2) presence of a large dorsal carination on the head, pereonites and pleonites and (3) presence of a row of slender setae on the ventromedial margin of uropod 3 inner ramus.

Etymology

The generic name is derived from the combination of '*Carino-*' after the large dorsal carination and '*Cleonardopsis*' after the type genus of the subfamily Cleonardopsinae. The gender is feminine, as the generic name is ending in '*-opsis*' (International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, Fourth Edition: Article 30.1.2).

Carinocleonardopsis seisuiae gen. et sp. nov. [New Japanese name: Seisui-mino-yokoebi] (Figures 5–11) cf. Cleonardopsis sp. – Kimura et al., 2019: 30.

Material examined

Holotype: NSMT-Cr 29000, female, 12.6 mm, Sea of Kumano, 190–195 m deep, sandy-muddy bottom, 24 April 2018, TRV

'Seisui-maru', beam trawl, towed from 34°9.868'N 136°35.624'E to 34°9.738'N 136°35.171'E (Station 1B in Kimura *et al.*, 2019). *Paratype*: NSMT-Cr 29001, male(?), 7.3 mm, same data as holotype.

Description

Based on holotype, except for maxilla 1 inner plate being based on paratype (both left and right maxilla 1 inner plates unfortunately broken in holotype).

BODY (Figure 5A) with distinct carination on head, pereonites, pleonites, but not on urosomites, each carina located on mid-dorsal part of each segment.

HEAD (Figure 5A, B) about as long as pereonites 1–2 combined; carina on head rounded, larger than that on pereonite 1; eyes distinct, large, reniform, located along antennal sinus of antenna 1; lateral cephalic lobes small, not beyond apex of rostrum, rounded distally; antennal sinus of antenna 2 present, small, deep; rostrum short, curved downward, pointed apically, reaching proximal 0.1–0.2 of peduncular article 1 of antenna 1. *Antenna 1* (Figure 5C1) slender, setose ventrally; length ratio of peduncular articles 1–3 about 5:3:1; flagellum much longer than peduncle, consisting of numerous short articles, first flagellar article longer than others with callynophore, with endosomatic transverse-stripe pattern (?vestige of fused articles); accessory flagellum slender, consisting of 1 article, shorter than first flagellar



Fig. 5. Carinocleonardopsis seisuiae gen. et sp. nov., holotype female, 12.6 mm (NSMT-Cr 29000). (A) habitus (coxal gills, oositegites and pleopods omitted; setae partly omitted), lateral view; (B) head, lateral view; (C1) right antenna 1 (distal part of flagellum omitted; arrow indicating accessory flagellum), medial view; (C2) flagellar articles and calceoli of right antenna 1, medial view; (d) left antenna 2 (distal part of flagellum omitted), lateral view. Scale bars: (A) 3.0 mm; (B) 0.5 mm; (C1, D) 1.0 mm; (C2) 0.1 mm.

article, with several slender setae distally; calceoli present (Figure 5C2). *Antenna 2* (Figure 5D) slender; peduncle setose, article 2 with produced gland cone; flagellum much longer than peduncle, consisting of numerous short articles; calceoli present.

Mouthparts. Upper lip (Figure 6A) rounded, setulose. *Lower lip* (Figure 6B) setulose, outer plate with 6 robust setae distomedially, with mandibular process; inner plate indistinct, fused. *Mandible* (Figure 6C, D): palp with 3 articles, article 1 short, without setae, article 2 elongated with long setae medially; article 3 longer and more slender than article 2, slightly tapering distally, rounded apically, with several setae proximomedially, medial margin with dense setae on distal 0.6; left, right incisors 6-, 7-dentate, respectively; left, right laciniae mobiles with 5, 4 teeth, respectively; left, right accessory setal raw including 10, 9 setae, respectively; molar well developed. *Maxilla 1* (Figure 6E, F1): palp with 2 articles,

article 1 with or without several setae laterally (present in holotype, absent in paratype), article 2 gently curved inward, with several long slender setae laterally, several robust setae (12 in holotype, 7 in paratype) distally, 6 ventrofacial slender setae on ventral surface, setulose on dorsal and ventral surface; outer plate shorter than palp, truncate distally, with 11 teethed robust setae distally; inner plate unfortunately broken in holotype (Figure 6F2), setulose, with at least 2 setae; inner plate of paratype (Figure 6E) smaller than outer plate, rounded distally, setulose, with 3 long and 2 short plumose setae. *Maxilla 2* (Figure 6G): dorsal surface setulose in both plates; outer plate extending beyond inner plate with dense setae distolaterally, distally, distomedially; inner plate with dense setae medially to distally, some setae on inner plate plumose. *Maxilliped* (Figure 6H): palp with 4 articles, article 1 subtriangular with group of long setae



distolaterally, article 2 long, beyond distal end of outer plate, with

several groups of setae laterally, dense setae medially, with add-

itional row of setae on distal 0.3 of dorsomedial area, article 3

about 0.6 times as long as article 2 with dense setae, article 4 fal-

cate, about 0.6 times as long as article 3, with several short setae

on distal half of medial margin; outer plate, roundly convex lat-

erally, straight to slightly concave medially, with row of setae



Gnathopod 1 (Figure 7A1, 2) subchelate; coxa produced antero-

mm: (E-H) 0.1 mm.



lip, posterior view (setules partly omitted); (B) lower lip (setules partly omitted, right half omitted), ventral view; (C) left mandible, medial view; (D) incisor, laciniae mobilis and accessory setal row of right mandible, medial view; (E) right maxilla 1 of paratype (setules omitted), dorsal view; (F1) right maxilla 1 of holotype (inner plate damaged and detached during the dissection processing; setules omitted), dorsal view; (F2) inner plate of right maxilla 1 of holotype (damaged; only distal part remained), ?dorsal view; (G) right maxilla 2 (setules partly omitted), dorsal view; (H) left maxilliped (setae partly omitted), dorsal view. Scale bars: (A–D) 0.5



Fig. 7. *Carinocleonardopsis seisuiae* gen. et sp. nov., holotype female, 12.6 mm (NSMT-Cr 29000). (A1) left gnathopod 1, lateral view; (A2) propodus and dactylus of left gnathopod 1, lateral view; (B) left gnathopod 2 (setae partly omitted), lateral view. Distal areas of both the coxae were damaged during the dissection processing, and thus, line drawings were based on the right coxae (shown by broken line). Scale bars: (A1, B) 1.0 mm; (A2) 0.5 mm.

Pereopods 3 (Figure 8A) simple, longer than gnathopod 2; coxa subrectangular, slightly tapering distally, rounded ventrally; basis with row of short robust setae posteriorly, anterior margin with dense long and short setae on distal half; merus expanded poster-odistally; merus-propodus, weakly curved posteriorly, with several groups of robust setae anteriorly, posteriorly; dactylus falcate, distally acute, with several short setae anteriorly. *Pereopods 4* (Figure 8B) simple, slightly longer than pereopod 3; coxa enlarged, deeper than coxa 3, anterior margin slightly convex, posterior margin with triangular projection, posterior margin from posteroproximal corner to the projection excavated almost fitting anterior margin of coxa 5, posterior margin from the projection to distal end slightly concave, distal area subrounded, not acute or pointed; basis-dactylus similar to those of pereopod 3, but slightly longer than those of pereopod 3.

Pereopod 5 (Figure 9A) similar to pereopod 6 in length; coxa bilobate, anterior lobe rounded; basis subrectangular, anterior margin with row of long robust setae on distal half; ischium short with several robust setae anteriorly, unarmed posteriorly; merus expanded posterodistally, with groups of robust setae

anteriorly, posteriorly; carpus slightly shorter than merus, with several groups of robust setae anteriorly, posteriorly; propodus slightly longer than merus, with several groups of robust setae anteriorly, with slender setae posteriorly; dactylus slender, falcate, with several slender setae posteriorly. *Pereopod 6* (Figure 9B) similar to pereopod 5, but coxa smaller, anterior lobe of coxa angular, basis more expanded posteriorly, anterior margin of basis with shorter robust setae than those in pereopod 5. *Pereopod 7* (Figure 9C) similar to but shorter than pereopod 5 or 6, coxae smaller with posterior lobe rounded, basis more expanded posteriorly. *Coxal gills* present on gnathopod 2, pereopods 3–7; oostegites present on gnathopod 2, pereopods 3–5, each oostegite bearing dense long marginal setae.

PLEON. Distinct mid-dorsal carination present on pleonites, but absent on urosomites (Figure 5A); carinae on pleonites 1–2 similar to those on pereonites 5–7 in size and shape, carina on pleonite 3 similar but slightly smaller than those on pleonites 1–2. *Epimeral plate 1*, posterior margin convex, posteroventral angle obtuse; *epimeral plate 2*, posterior margin sinuous with shallow excavation, posteroventral angle acute; *epimeral plate 3*,



Fig. 8. Carinocleonardopsis seisuiae gen. et sp. nov., holotype female, 12.6 mm (NSMT-Cr 29000). (A) left pereopod 3, lateral view; (B) left pereopod 4, lateral view. Scale bars: 1.0 mm.



Fig. 9. Carinocleonardopsis seisuiae gen. et sp. nov., holotype female, 12.6 mm (NSMT-Cr 29000). (A-C) left pereopods 5-7, lateral views. Scale bars: 1.0 mm.



Fig. 10. Carinocleonardopsis seisuiae gen. et sp. nov., holotype female, 12.6 mm (NSMT-Cr 29000). (A, B) left uropod 1, 2, dorsal view; (C) urosomite 3 and telson, dorsal view; (D) right uropod 3, dorsal view; (E) telson, dorsal view. Scale bars: 0.5 mm.

posterior margin sinuous with deep excavation, posteroventral angle acute, more produced than in plate 2.

Urosomite 1 longer than urosomites 2-3 combined, with shallow dorsal keel; *urosomite 2* shortest, dorsal margin weakly concave; urosomite 3 slightly longer than urosomite 2, but shorter than telson, without keel. Uropod 1 (Figure 10A): peduncle subequal length of inner ramus, with rows of robust setae dorsolaterally, dorsomedially, with row of slender setae ventrolaterally; both rami tapering distally, with rows of robust setae dorsolaterally and dorsomedially, without slender setae, without apical robust setae, inner ramus longer than outer ramus. Uropod 2 (Figure 10B) shorter than uropod 1; peduncle 0.6-0.7 times as long as inner ramus, with rows of robust setae dorsolaterally and dorsomedially, with sparse row of slender setae ventrolaterally; both rami tapering distally, with rows of robust setae dorsolaterally, dorsomedially, without slender setae, without apical robust setae, inner ramus longer than outer ramus. Uropod 3 (Figure 10C, D): peduncle 0.4-0.5 times as long as inner ramus, with robust seta disto-dorsolaterally; both rami tapering distally, with rows of robust setae dorsolaterally, dorsomedially, without apical robust setae, inner ramus longer than outer ramus, with dense slender setae ventromedially. Telson (Figure 10C, E) longer than wide, reaching distal end of uropod 3 peduncle, slightly cleft distally, with subapical robust setae.

Colouration in life

Body generally reddish orange (Figure 11A); appendages and urosomites partly whitish to colourless; eyes red. These colours on body faded in preservation over time; eyes changed to white (Figure 11B, C).

Distribution

Known only from Sea of Kumano, Japan (Figure 1).

Habitat

Found on sandy-muddy bottom, 190–195 m deep.

Etymology

The new species is named after TRV 'Seisui-maru'. The specific name is a noun in the genitive case.

Remarks

See the Remarks section of the genus and Review and discussion of the subfamily Cleonardopsinae.

Discussion

Review and discussion of the subfamily Cleonardopsinae

The subfamily Cleonardospinae has a complex taxonomic history. The hitherto only genus of the subfamily, *Cleonardopsis*, was originally established in the family Eusiridae by Barnard (1916). Soon after that, Schellenberg (1926) reported *Cl. carinata* near the type locality, treating it in the family Eusiridae. Pirlot (1934) established the new family Amathillopsidae and the new genus *Amathillopleustes*, however, Pirlot (1936) subsequently



Fig. 11. *Carinocleonardopsis seisuiae* gen. et sp. nov. (A) colouration in life; (B, C) specimens fixed and preserved with 70% ethanol. (A, B) holotype female, 12.6 mm (NSMT-Cr 29000), lateral view (B, left antenna 1 detached during preservation); (C) paratype male(?) 7.3 mm (NSMT-Cr 29001). Scale bars: 3.0 mm.

synonymized Amathillopleustes with Cleonardopsis, and placed the genus in the family Amathillopsidae. Stephensen (1944) reported Cl. carinata from off Greenland, treating it as the family Amathillopsidae. After that, however, Barnard (1969), Griffiths (1975) and Ledoyer (1986) placed Cleonardopsis in the family Eusiridae. The biggest monograph of marine gammaridean amphipods by Barnard & Karaman (1991a, 1991b) placed Cleonardopsis in the two families, Eusiridae and Pleustidae. Coleman (1998) again transferred Cleonardopsis to the family Amathillopsidae based on several characters such as dorsal carination and outline of gnathopod morphology. Lowry (2006) recently revised the family Amathillopsidae. He established a new subfamily Cleonardopsinae in the family Amathillopsidae and placed Cleonardopsis in this subfamily. The genus Cleonardopsis is, therefore, currently treated as a member of the Amathillopsidae. The genus is distinguished from the other amathillopsids by the ventrally rounded coxae 3 and 4 and the slightly cleft telson.

The hitherto only species of the subfamily, *Cl. carinata*, also has a complex taxonomic history. This species was originally described from the Cape Peninsula area of South Africa

(Barnard, 1916). However, in the original description, Barnard (1916) only illustrated the coxae 5-6, pleosomites 2-3 and telson (Figure 2). Soon after the original description, Schellenberg (1926) reported Cl. carinata near the type locality, however, no illustrations or descriptions were provided. Pirlot (1934) described Amathillopleustes alticoxa from off the Moluccas in eastern Indonesia with detailed description and illustrations (Figures 3, 4), and subsequently synonymized A. alticoxa with Cl. carinata (see Pirlot, 1936). Stephensen (1944) reported Cl. carinata from 'Ingolf' station 27 off western coast of Greenland and 'Thor' station 78 in North Atlantic without any illustrations or descriptions. Lowry (2006) recently mentioned the synonymization of A. alticoxa with Cl. carinata made by Stephensen (it seems he possibly overlooked Pirlot (1936)) as 'risky business based on unsubstantiated evidence'. Lowry (2006) also mentioned that it is difficult to accept that the material reported by Stephensen (1944) from eastern Greenland is synonymous with either of these species. We basically agree with his opinion. Indeed, illustrations in Barnard's (1916) original description (Figure 2) show a clearly different morphology from those of Pirlot's (1934) material (Figure 4F, G, I2); the anterior lobe of coxae 5 and 6 of Barnard's material are more acutely falcate than that of Pirlot's material; the lateral margins of the telson are sinuous in Barnard's material (proximally convex and distally concave), whereas those in Pirlot's material are rather rounded (entirely convex). Therefore, we concluded that Cl. carinata should be regarded as a species-complex. More material, especially topotype material, would need to be examined in future studies to solve the Cl. carinata species-complex problem.

The recent IceAGE expeditions (Icelandic marine Animals: Genetics and Ecology) reported 46 specimens of *Cleonardopsis* around Iceland (Iceland Basin and Irminger Basin; *Brix et al.*, 2018). Five individuals of these were subsequently DNA-barcoded (Jażdżewska *et al.*, 2018). These specimens from Iceland were identified to the genus-level pending further investigation.

In this study, we described Carinocleonardopsis seisuiae gen. et sp. nov. This is the second genus and species of the subfamily as well as the first record of the subfamily from the North Pacific. We have placed the present new genus and species in the subfamily Cleonardopsinae based on the enlarged and ventrally rounded coxae 3 and 4, the short flagellar articles 2 and 3 of antenna 1 (both of them are distinctively shorter than the article 1), the subchelate gnathopods 1 and 2, and the cleft telson. This new genus is also somewhat similar in habitus with the family Pleustidae, especially the carinate pleustid genus Neopleustes. However, pleustids have an entire telson (or weakly notched telson in some species), whereas the present new genus has the distinctively cleft telson. Moreover, the outline of the gnathopods clearly shows amathillopsid characters (see Coleman, 1998): row of short spine-like setae on posterior margin of basis, carpal lobes, almond-shaped propodi, slender dactyli with microtrichs in inner curvature. Therefore, we concluded that this new genus should be placed in the amathillopsid subfamily Cleonardopsinae. In the Cleonardopsinae, the present new genus is also distinctively different from the genus Cleonardopsis in many characters such as (1) presence of large distinct eyes, (2) presence of a large dorsal carination on the head, pereonites and pleonites and (3) presence of a row of dense slender setae on the ventromedial margin of uropod 3 inner ramus.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to the captain and crew of TRV 'Seisui-maru', and also to Taeko Kimura (Mie University) and the other researchers of the 'Seisui-maru' cruise 1803, for all their help in collecting the specimens. We thank Naoko Ueno (The University of Tokyo) for her support in preparing the line drawings. We also deeply thank two reviewers, Charles Oliver Coleman (Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin) and Jim Lowry (Australian

Museum, Sydney), for their careful reading, helpful comments on our manuscript, and recommendation for establishing the present new genus.

References

- Barnard KH (1916) Contributions to the crustacean fauna of South Africa. 5. – The Amphipoda. Annals of the South African Museum 15, 105–302.
- **Barnard JL** (1969) The families and genera of marine gammaridean Amphipoda. Bulletin of the United States National Museum **271**, 1–535.
- Barnard JL and Karaman GS (1991a) The families and genera of marine gammaridean Amphipoda (except marine gammaroids). Part 1. *Records of the Australian Museum Supplement* 13, 1–418.
- **Barnard JL and Karaman GS** (1991b) The families and genera of marine gammaridean Amphipoda (except marine gammaroids). Part 2. *Records of the Australian Museum Supplement* **13**, 419–866.
- Brix S, Lörz AN, Jażdżewska AM, Hughes L, Tandberg AHS, Pabis K, Stransky B, Krapp-Schickel T, Sorbe JC, Hendrycks E, Vader W, Frutos I, Horton T, Jażdżewski K, Peart R, Beermann J, Coleman CO, Buhl-Mortensen L, Corbari L, Havermans C, Tato R and Campean AJ (2018) Amphipod family distributions around Iceland. ZooKeys 731, 41–53.
- **Coleman CO** (1998) Amathillopsis charlottae n. sp., first record of Amathillopsidae (Crustacea, Amphipoda) from the Antarctic Ocean. Bulletin Zoölogisch Museum, Universiteit van Amsterdam 16, 25–31.
- Coleman CO (2007) Acanthonotozomellidae, Amathillopsidae, Dikwidae, Epimeriidae, Iphimediidae, Ochlesidae and Vicmusiidae. In De Broyer C (ed.), Census of Antarctic Marine Life. Synopsis of the Amphipoda of the Southern Ocean, Vol. 2. Brussels: Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique, pp. 1–134.
- Dauvin JC and Sorbe JC (1995) Suprabenthic amphipods from the southern margin of the Cap-Ferret canyon (Bay of Biscay, northeastern Atlantic Ocean): abundance and bathymetric distribution. *Polskie Archiwum Hydrobiologii* 42, 441–460.
- Elizalde M, Sorbe JC and Dauvin JC (1993) Las comunidades suprabentónicas batiales del Golfo de Vizcaya (margen sur del cañón de Cap-Ferret: composición faunística y estructura). Publicaciones especiales del Instituto Español de Oceanografía 11, 247–258.
- Frutos I and Sorbe JC (2014) Bathyal suprabenthic assemblages from the southern margin of the Capbreton Canyon ("Kostarrenkala" area), SE Bay of Biscay. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 104, 291–309.
- Frutos I and Sorbe JC (2017) Suprabenthic assemblages from the Capbreton area (SE Bay of Biscay). Faunal recovery after a canyon turbidity disturbance. *Deep Sea Research Part I* **130**, 36–46.

- Griffiths CL (1975) The Amphipoda of Southern Africa. Part 5. The Gammaridea and Caprellidea of the Cape Province west of Cape Agulhas. *Annals of the South African Museum* 67, 91–181.
- Jażdżewska AM, Corbari L, Driskell A, Frutos I, Havermans C, Hendrycks E, Hughes L, Lörz A-N, Stransky B, Tandberg AHS, Vader W and Brix S (2018) A genetic fingerprint of Amphipoda from Icelandic waters – the baseline for further biodiversity and biogeography studies. *ZooKeys* 731, 55–73.
- Kimura T, Kimura S, Jimi N, Kuramochi T, Fujita T, Komai T, Yoshida R, Tanaka H, Okanishi M, Ogawa A, Kobayashi I, Kodama M, Saito M, Kiyono Y, Katahira H, Nakano H, Yoshikawa A, Uyeno D, Tanaka M, Oya Y, Maekawa Y, Nakamura T, Okumura J and Tanaka K (2019) Benthic deep-sea fauna in south of the Kii Strait and the Sea of Kumano, Japan. Bulletin of the Graduate School of Bioresources Mie University 45, 11–50. [In Japanese with English abstract].
- Ledoyer M (1986) Crustacés Amphipodes Gammariens. Familles des Haustoriidae à Vitjazianidae. *Faune de Madagascar* **59**, 599-1112.
- Lörz AN, Maas EW, Linse K and Fenwick GD (2007) Epimeria schiaparelli sp. nov., an amphipod crustacean (family Epimeriidae) from the Ross Sea, Antarctica, with molecular characterisation of the species complex. Zootaxa 1402, 23–37.
- Lörz AN, Maas EW, Linse K and Coleman CO (2009) Do circum-Antarctic species exist in peracarid Amphipoda? A case study in the genus *Epimeria* Costa, 1851 (Crustacea, Peracarida, Epimeriidae). *ZooKeys* 18, 91–128.
- Lowry JK (2006) New families and subfamilies of amphipod crustaceans. Zootaxa 1254, 1–28.
- Pirlot JM (1934) Les amphipodes de l'expédition du Siboga. Deuxicme partie. Les amphipodes gammarides II. Les amphipodes de la mer profonde 2. (Hyperiopsidae, Pardaliscidae, Astyridae nov. fam., Tironidae, Calliopiidae, Paramphithoidae, Amathillopsidae nov. fam., Eusiridae, Gammaridae, Aoridae, Photidae, Ampithoidae, Jassidae. Siboga-Expeditie Monographie 33d, 167–235.
- Pirlot JM (1936) Les amphipodes de l'expédition du Siboga. Deuxicme partie: Les amphipodes gammarides, II. – Les amphipodes de la mer profonde. 3: Addendum et partie générale. III. – Les amphipodes littoraux. 1: Lysianassidae, Ampeliscidae, Leucothoidae, Stenothoidae, Phliantidae, Colomastigidae, Ochlesidae, Liljeborgiidae, Oedicerotidae, Synopiidae, Eusiridae, Gammaridae. Siboga-Expeditie Monographie 33e, 237–328.
- Schellenberg A (1926) Amphipoda 3: Die Gammariden der Deutschen Tiefsee-Expedition. Wissenschaftliche Ergebnisse der Deutschen Tiefsee-Expedition auf dem Dampfer "Valdivia" 1898–1899 23, 195–243.
- Stephensen K (1944) Crustacea Malacostraca VIII: Amphipoda IV. Danish Ingolf Expedition 3, 1–51.