

ONE SIDED SF-RINGS WITH CERTAIN CHAIN CONDITIONS

YUFEI XIAO

ABSTRACT. We prove that with some weak chain conditions, left SF-rings are semi-simple Artinian or regular. We also prove that MERT left SF-rings are really regular.

A Ring R is called a *left (right) SF-ring* if all simple left (right) R -modules are flat. This paper investigates left SF-rings with certain chain conditions. It shows that with some weak chain conditions, left SF-rings are semisimple Artinian rings or regular rings. The rest of this paper settles some open questions. Yue Chi Ming asked if MERT right SF-rings are regular. J. Zhang and X. Du [12] answered it recently in the positive. The next question is if MELT right SF-rings are regular. J. Zhang and X. Du [12] assert that this is still open. Some recent papers show that these rings are regular if some weak conditions are added (see [9] and [12]). Here we point out that these conditions are unnecessary because MELT right SF-rings are really regular. Finally, we give an example of a left hereditary non-semisimple ring which contains an injective maximal left ideal. This settles a question proposed by Yue Chi Ming [9].

All rings throughout this paper are associative and have identities. A ring R satisfies PDCC^\perp (the descending chain condition on the principal right annihilators) if there does not exist a properly descending infinite chain: $r(x_1) > r(x_2) > \cdots > r(x_n) > \cdots$, for any sequence $\{x_n\}_1^\infty \subset R$. Similarly we may define PACC^\perp , ${}^\perp\text{PDCC}$ and ${}^\perp\text{PACC}$. A ring R satisfies left PACC (the ascending chain condition on the principal left ideals) if there does not exist a properly ascending infinite chain: $Rx_1 < Rx_2 < \cdots < Rx_n < \cdots$, for any sequence $\{x_n\}_1^\infty \subset R$. Similarly we may define right PACC and left (right) PDCC. Clearly the rings satisfying left (right) PDCC are just right (left) perfect rings. When R_R is p -injective (*i.e.* any R -homomorphism from a principal right ideal of R to R_R can be extended to an R -homomorphism from R_R to R_R). It is easy to show that R satisfies PACC^\perp (resp. PDCC^\perp) if and only if R satisfies left PDCC (resp. right PACC). Therefore, speaking roughly, we say that PACC^\perp is the dual of left PDCC *etc.* A ring R is called *left (right) quasi-duo* if all maximal left (right) ideals of R are two-sided. R is called an MELT (resp. MERT) ring if all essential maximal left (resp. right) ideals are two-sided. R is called (Von Neumann) *regular* if for every $x \in R$, there exists a $y \in R$, such that $x = yx$. $J(R)$, $Z(R_R)$ and $\text{Soc}(R_R)$ denote, respectively, the Jacobson radical, the right singular ideal and the right socle of R . For any subset X of R , we define $r(X) = \{r \in R \mid Xr = 0\}$.

Received by the editors October 20, 1992.

AMS subject classification: 16E50.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1994.

1. Left SF-rings with certain chain conditions.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let R be a ring and I is a left ideal of R ; then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) ${}_R(R/I)$ is flat.
- (2) For every $x \in I$, $x \in xI$.

PROOF. See [1, 19.18]. ■

REMARK. This lemma implies that all quotient rings of left SF-rings are left SF-rings.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let R be a left SF-ring; then*

- (1) For every $x \in R$, $Rr(x) + Rx = R$.
- (2) $Z(R_R) \subseteq J(R)$.

PROOF. (1) If $Rr(x) + Rx \neq R$, then there must exist a maximal left ideal M of R such that $Rr(x) + Rx \subseteq M < {}_R R$. This would yield $1 \in M$ from Lemma 1.1.

(2) For every $x \in Z(R_R)$, $r(1-x) = 0$. Thus from (1) we have $R(1-x) = R$, which implies that $Z(R_R)$ is a left quasi-regular ideal of R . Therefore $Z(R_R) \subseteq J(R)$. ■

THEOREM 1.3. *For a left SF-ring R , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is semisimple Artinian.
- (2) R is left or right Noetherian.
- (3) $R/J(R)$ is semisimple Artinian.
- (4) R is semiprimitive and R_R has finite rank.
- (5) R satisfies ${}^\perp$ PACC.
- (6) R satisfies PDCC ${}^\perp$.
- (7) R satisfies left PACC.

PROOF. (2) \Rightarrow (3). When R is left Noetherian, from the well-known fact that finitely related flat modules are projective, we see that all simple left R -modules are projective. Therefore R must be semisimple Artinian. Now assume that R is right Noetherian; then the semiprime ring $R/J(R)$ is also a left SF and right Noetherian ring. Let Q denote the semisimple Artinian quotient ring. Take a $b^{-1} \in Q$ where $b \in R/J(R)$; then b is regular, so from Lemma 1.2(1) we see $b^{-1} \in R/J(R)$. Therefore $R/J(R)$ coincides with its semisimple Artinian quotient ring.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). If $R/J(R)$ is semisimple Artinian, then ${}_R(R/J(R))$ is also semisimple. This implies that ${}_R(R/J(R))$ is flat. Take an $x \in J(R)$; from Lemma 1.1 there is a $y \in J(R)$ such that $x = xy$, and so $x(1-y) = 0$. This implies $x = 0$ because $1-y$ is invertible. Therefore, $J(R) = 0$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). In this case $Z(R_R) = 0$ and so the maximal right quotient ring Q of R exists and has also a finite rank. Therefore Q must be semisimple Artinian because it is regular. This implies that R has ACC ${}^\perp$ and so R is a semiprime Goldie ring. Thus by the same argument as (2) implies (1) we see that R must be semisimple Artinian.

(5) \Rightarrow (1). Let M be a maximal left ideal of R . From Lemma 1.1 we have $x \in xM$ for every $x \in M$. Now take an $e \in M$ such that $l(1 - e)$ is maximal among all $l(1 - x)$ where $x \in M$.

CLAIM. $l(1 - e) = M$, i.e., $M = Re$, and $e^2 = e$.

If there is a $y \in M$ such that $y(1 - e) \neq 0$: Noting $y(1 - e) \in M$, there exists an $e' \in M$, such that $y(1 - e) = y(1 - e)e'$, so $y = y(e + e' - ee')$. Denote $f = e + e' - ee' \in M$. Since $(1 - f) = (1 - e)(1 - e')$, $y(1 - f) = 0$ and $y(1 - e) \neq 0$, we get $l(1 - e) \subset l(1 - f)$, a contradiction. Therefore, the claim is true.

Now from the above claim, ${}_R(R/M)$ is projective for every maximal left ideal M of R , so R must be semisimple Artinian.

NOTE. This proof is essentially the same as [8, p. 237].

(6) \Rightarrow (1). Take a maximal left ideal M of R .

CLAIM 1. For every $x \in M$, there exists an idempotent $e_x \in M$, such that $x = xe_x$.

From Lemma 1.1 we have a sequence $\{x_n\}_1^\infty \subset M$ such that

$$x = xx_1, x_1 = x_1x_2, \dots, x_k = x_kx_{k+1}, \dots$$

This yields

$$r(x) \geq r(x_1) \geq r(x_2) \geq \dots \geq r(x_k) \geq \dots$$

Therefore, there exists a positive integer n , such that $r(x_n) = r(x_{n+1})$. Denote $e_x = x_{n+1}$, then e_x is an idempotent in M and

$$x = xx_1 = xx_2x_3 = \dots = xx_1 \dots x_n = xx_1 \dots x_n e_x = xe_x.$$

This completes the proof of Claim 1.

Now take an $e \in M$ such that $r(e)$ is minimal among all $r(x)$ where $x \in M$. From Claim 1 we may assume, without loss of generality, that e is an idempotent.

CLAIM 2. $M = Re$.

If $M \neq Re$, then there exists an $f \in M$, such that $f(1 - e) \neq 0$. Again from Claim 1 we may assume, without loss of generality, that f is an idempotent and $r(f)$ is minimal like $r(e)$. Noting $f(1 - e) \in M$, there is an $e' \in M$ such that $f(1 - e) = f(1 - e)e'$, i.e. $f = f(e + e' - ee')$. Since $e + e' - ee' \in M$, from the above assumption we have $r(f) = r(e + e' - ee')$. But clearly $r(e + e' - ee') \subseteq r(e)$, so we get $r(f) \subseteq r(e)$ and $r(f) = r(e)$ which implies $R(f) = Re$, a contradiction. Therefore, $M = Re$.

From these two claims, we see that R must be semisimple Artinian.

(7) \Rightarrow (1). Again, we show that every maximal left ideal of R is generated by an idempotent. Let M be a maximal left ideal and $x \in M$. From Lemma 1.1 there is a sequence $\{x_n\}_1^\infty \subset M$ such that

$$x = xx_1, x_1 = x_1x_2, \dots, x_k = x_kx_{k+1}, \dots$$

Since the chain

$$Rx \subseteq Rx_1 \subseteq Rx_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq Rx_k \subseteq \dots$$

stops for some n , we have $x_{n+1} = yx_n$ for some $y \in R$. Thus $x_n = x_nyx_n$ and it is easy to verify that $x = xe_x$, where $e_x = yx_n \in M$ and e_x is an idempotent. This shows that Claim 1 is also true in this case. Now take an $e \in M$ such that Re is maximal among all Rx where $x \in M$. From the above discussion, we can choose e to be an idempotent. If there is an $f \in M$ such that $f \neq fe$, then from the above discussion we may assume, without loss of generality, that f is an idempotent and Rf is maximal like Re . Thus by exactly dualizing the proof of Claim 2 we have $Re = Rf$, a contradiction. This shows $Re = M$. Therefore R is semisimple Artinian. This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

Goursand and Valette [7] show that for a regular ring R , all primitive factor rings of R are Artinian if and only if all homogeneous semisimple right R -modules are injective. We generalize this to the left SF-rings.

PROPOSITION 1.4. *For a left SF-ring R , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *All right primitive factor rings of R are Artinian.*
- (2) *All homogeneous semisimple right R -modules are injective.*

If either of these two conditions holds, then R is a regular and V-ring.

PROOF. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Take a maximal right ideal M of R , and let $P = r((R/M)_R)$. From the given condition and that R/P is also a left SF-ring, R/P must be semisimple Artinian by Theorem 1.3. This implies that ${}_R(R/P)$ is also semisimple, so ${}_R(R/P)$ is flat and so $(R/M)_R$ is injective because $(R/M)_{R/P}$ is (see [5, 6.17]). Thus R is a right V-ring, and so from a result of G. Baccella [2] R is regular. Therefore (2) is true from [5, 6.18].

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Take a right primitive ideal P of R .

CLAIM. $(R/P)_{R/P}$ has finite rank.

Assume that there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_1^\infty \subset R/P$ such that each $x_n \neq 0$ in R/P and $\bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty x_n R \subset R/P$. Take a faithful simple right R/P -module A ; then for every x_n there exists an $a_n \in A$, such that $a_n x_n \neq 0$. Now let $B = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty A_n$ where each $A_n = A$; then B_R is injective. The rest of the proof is the same as [5, 6.18] which yields a contradiction. Therefore R/P must have a finite rank.

From Theorem 1.3 we see that R/P must be (semisimple) Artinian. ■

2. Answering some questions.

LEMMA 2.1. *If R is a left SF-ring and $(J(R))^2 = 0$, then $J(R) = Z(R_R)$.*

PROOF. If there exists an x in $J(R)$ which is not in $Z(R_R)$, then there is a $0 \neq y \in R$ such that

$$r(x) \cap yR = 0.$$

Since $(J(R))^2 = 0$, y is not contained in $J(R)$. Therefore there is a maximal left ideal M of R such that y is not contained in M . But $xy \in M$ implies there is an m such that

$xy = xym$ so that $xy(1 - m) = 0$. This means $y(1 - m) = 0$ and so $y = ym \in M$, a contradiction.

Therefore, together with Lemma 1.2, $J(R) = Z(R_R)$. ■

With this lemma, we answer the following open question (see [9, Proposition 2] and [12, Theorem 4 and 5]):

PROPOSITION 2.2. *An MERT left SF-ring is regular.*

PROOF. Let R be such a ring; then $R/\text{Soc}(R_R)$ is a right quasi-duo and left SF-ring. Therefore $R/\text{Soc}(R_R)$ is a strongly regular ring from [11, 4.10]. Thus $J(R) \subseteq \text{Soc}(R_R)$, which implies $(J(R))^2 = 0$.

Assume $Z(R_R) \neq 0$. Take a nonzero $x \in Z(R_R)$ and a maximal right ideal M of R which contains $r(x)$. Since $x \in r(x)$ and M is also a maximal left ideal of R , there is an $m \in M$ such that $x = xm$ so that $x(1 - m) = 0$ and $1 - m \in r(x) \subseteq M$ so $1 \in M$, which is impossible. So $Z(R_R) = 0$, and from Lemma 2.1 $J(R) = 0$. Therefore, from the well-known fact, which says that $\text{Soc}(R_R)$ is a regular ideal of R for a semiprime ring R , R must be regular. ■

PROPOSITION 2.3. *If R is a left SF-ring and $R/\text{Soc}(R_R)$ satisfies one of seven conditions listed in Theorem 1.3, then R is regular.*

PROOF. Let $S = \text{Soc}(R_R)$. Then R/S is semisimple Artinian which implies ${}_R(R/S)$ is flat and $(J(R))^2 = 0$.

Assume that there is an $x \in Z(R_R)$ which is not zero. Since $(R/S)_R$ is Artinian, $S \leq_e R_R$, and so there is an $r \in R$ such that $0 \neq xr \in S$. Thus from Lemma 1.1 there is an $s \in S$ such that $xr = (xr)s = x(rs) = 0$, because $rs \in S$. This contradiction shows that $Z(R_R) = 0$. Therefore R must be semiprime and so R must be regular. ■

A ring R is called a *right SI-ring* if all singular right R -modules are injective.

COROLLARY 2.4. *A left SF right SI-ring R must be regular.*

PROOF. $R/\text{Soc}(R_R)$ is right Noetherian from [6,3.6]. ■

EXAMPLE 2.5. Let R be upper triangular matrix ring over a division ring D . R is Artinian and hereditary (see [4, 4.8]). Denote $e = e_{2,2}, f = e_{1,1}$. Now we can easily verify that both e and f are primitive idempotents and

$$\text{Soc}({}_R R e) \cong {}_R(Rf/Jf), \quad \text{Soc}(f R_R) \cong (eR/eJ)_R.$$

Therefore Re is an injective left ideal of R from Fuller-theorem [3, 31.3]. Clearly Re is also a maximal left ideal of R . This answers a question of Yue Chi Ming [9].

The author expresses his sincere appreciation to Victor Camillo for his helpful advice.

REFERENCES

1. F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
2. G. Baccella, *Von Neumann regularity of V-rings with Artinian primitive factor rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (3) **103**(1988), 747–749.
3. K. R. Fuller, *Artinian rings*, Murcia, Universidad, Secretariado de Publicaciones, 1989.
4. K. R. Goodearl, *Ring theory*, Nonsingular rings and modules, 1976.
5. ———, *Von Neumann regular rings*, Pitman, London-San Francisco-Melbourne, 1979.
6. ———, *Singular torsion and the splitting properties*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **124**, (1972).
7. J. M. Goursaud and J. Valette, *Sur l'enveloppe injective des anneaux de groupes regulier*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **103**(1975), 91–102.
8. A. Kertesz, *Vorlesungen über artinsche ringe*, Budapest, 1968.
9. Y. C. Ming, *On biregularity and regularity*, Comm. Algebra (3) **20**(1992), 749–759.
10. V. S. Ramamurthi, *On the injectivity and flatness of certain cyclic modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **48**(1975), 21–25.
11. M. K. Rege, *On Von Neumann regular rings and SF-rings*, Math. Japon. **31**(1986), 927–936.
12. Z. Zhang and X. Du, *Von Neumann regularity of SF-rings*, Comm. Algebra, to appear.

Department of Mathematics
University of Iowa
Iowa City, Iowa 52242
U.S.A.