Globalization of Distinguished Supercuspidal Representations of GL(n)

Jeffrey Hakim and Fiona Murnaghan

Abstract. An irreducible supercuspidal representation π of G = GL(n, F), where F is a nonarchimedean local field of characteristic zero, is said to be "distinguished" by a subgroup H of G and a quasicharacter χ of H if $Hom_H(\pi, \chi) \neq 0$. There is a suitable global analogue of this notion for an irreducible, automorphic, cuspidal representation associated to GL(n). Under certain general hypotheses, it is shown in this paper that every distinguished, irreducible, supercuspidal representation may be realized as a local component of a distinguished, irreducible automorphic, cuspidal representation. Applications to the theory of distinguished supercuspidal representations are provided.

1 Introduction

This paper is devoted to providing evidence which supports the heuristic which, loosely stated, says that whatever is true for distinguished automorphic, cuspidal representations of GL(*n*) should also be true for distinguished supercuspidal representations of GL(*n*). Before defining "distinguishedness" and stating our results more precisely, let us provide a simple example which involves the pair (GL(2n), Sp(2n)). Given a number field *F*, it is shown in [15] that there cannot exist any automorphic, cuspidal representations π of GL(2*n*, *F*_A) which are distinguished by Sp(2*n*, *F*_A) in the sense that the period integral

$$\int_{\mathrm{Sp}(2n,F)\backslash \mathrm{Sp}(2n,F_{\mathrm{A}})}\varphi(h)\,dh$$

is nonzero for some φ in the space of π . The corresponding result for supercuspidal representations, proved in [14], says that if *F* is a nonarchimedean local field of characteristic zero then there cannot exist any supercuspidal representations π of GL(2n, F) which are distinguished with respect to Sp(2n, F) in the sense that there exists a nonzero Sp(2n, F)-invariant linear functional on the space of π . Our main theorem, Theorem 1, allows us to immediately deduce a local result, such as the one just cited, from the corresponding global result. In examples such as the case of (GL(n), U(n)) (considered in [12] and discussed below), this is useful since the

Received by the editors November 2000.

The first author was supported in part by NSA grant #MDA904-99-1-0065. The second author was supported in part by NSERC.

AMS subject classification: 22E50, 22E35, 11F70.

[©]Canadian Mathematical Society 2002.

global result is considerably simpler to prove than the local result.

Given a group *G*, a quasicharacter χ of a subgroup *H* and a representation π of *G*, one can consider the space $\text{Hom}_H(\pi, \chi)$ of linear forms λ on the representation space *W* of π such that $\lambda(\pi(h)w) = \chi(h)\lambda(w)$, for all $h \in H$ and $w \in W$. For example, taking $\chi = 1$ and assuming that Frobenius reciprocity applies, then the nonvanishing of $\text{Hom}_H(\pi, 1)$ is equivalent to the existence of a suitable model for π as a space of functions on $H \setminus G$. It is therefore not surprising that the representations π with $\text{Hom}_H(\pi, 1) \neq 0$ turn out to be basic building blocks in the harmonic analysis on $H \setminus G$ in many examples. The central focus of this paper is the case in which G = GL(n, F), for some nonarchimedean local field *F* of characteristic zero, and π is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of *G*. In this setting, we will say that π is (H, χ) -distinguished if $\text{Hom}_H(\pi, \chi) \neq 0$.

Now suppose that we are dealing with a nonarchimedean local field F_{ν_0} which is a completion of some number field F at some finite place ν_0 . Consider the adele group $G_A = GL(n, F_A)$ and suppose that H_A is an adelic subgroup associated to a reductive F-subgroup of GL(n). Assume that $\chi = \bigotimes_{\nu} \chi_{\nu}$ is an automorphic character of H_A , that is, a 1-dimensional automorphic representation of H_A . An irreducible, automorphic, cuspidal representation π of G_A is said to be (H, χ) -distinguished if the restriction of χ to $F_A^{\times} \cap H_A$ agrees the corresponding restriction of the central character of π and if the space of π contains a function φ such that the period integral

$$P_{\chi}(\varphi) = \int_{(F_{\mathrm{A}}^{ imes} \cap H_{\mathrm{A}}) H \setminus H_{\mathrm{A}}} \varphi(h) \chi(h)^{-1} \, dh$$

is nonzero, where *H* denotes the group of *F*-rational points in H_A . This definition is stated in a slightly broader context in the next section. Given an irreducible supercuspidal representation τ of $G_{\nu_0} = GL(n, F_{\nu_0})$, we say that an irreducible, automorphic, cuspidal representation π of G_A is a *globalization* of τ if τ is equivalent to the local component of π at ν_0 . The existence of a globalization for τ is discussed in [1], [2], [3] and [6]. Our main result in the present paper states that every distinguished, irreducible, supercuspidal representation τ admits a distinguished globalization π .

2 Statement of the Main Result

Let F/F' be an extension of number fields of degree one or two. Our attention will be focused on a particular finite place v_0 of F' which is inert in F. Let w_0 be the place of Fwhich lies above v_0 . We consider the F'-group \mathbf{G} which is obtained from the F-group GL_n by restriction of scalars. Let $G = \mathbf{G}(F') = GL(n, F)$, $G_A = \mathbf{G}(F'_A) = GL(n, F_A)$ and, when v is place of F', let $G_v = \mathbf{G}(F'_v)$. (Hereafter, for any F'-group, we use a similar pattern of notations.) Fix an automorphism ι of \mathbf{G} of order two which is defined over F' and let \mathbf{H} be the F'-subgroup of \mathbf{G} consisting of the fixed points of ι . Let \mathbf{Z} be the center of \mathbf{G} and let $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{Z} \cap \mathbf{H}$.

Now fix a character $\omega = \bigotimes_{\nu} \omega_{\nu}$ of Z_A/Z . We will consider irreducible, automorphic, cuspidal representations π of G_A with central character ω . As in the introduction, if χ is an automorphic character of H_A such that $\chi \omega^{-1}$ is trivial on $Z_{H,A}$, we say

that π is (H, χ) -distinguished if there exists φ in the space of π such that

$$P_{\chi}(\varphi) = \int_{Z_{H,A}H \setminus H_A} \varphi(h) \chi(h)^{-1} dh \neq 0.$$

We will prove:

Theorem 1 (The Globalization Theorem) If τ is an $(H_{\nu_0}, \chi_{\nu_0})$ -distinguished, irreducible, supercuspidal representation of $G_{\nu_0} = \operatorname{GL}(n, F_{w_0})$ then there exists an (H, χ) distinguished, irreducible, automorphic, cuspidal representation $\pi = \bigotimes_v \pi_v$ of $G_{\mathbb{A}} = \operatorname{GL}(n, F_{\mathbb{A}})$ such that $\pi_{\nu_0} \simeq \tau$.

The proof will involve an analogue of Selberg's trace formula for the symmetric space $\mathbf{H} \setminus \mathbf{G}$. The traces which occur in Selberg's formula are defined by averaging a kernel function k(x, y) over the diagonal (where x = y), whereas the basic objects in our formula are the averages of the values k(x, y) with $x \in H_A$ and y = 1. Our formula may be regarded as a very simple example of the "relative trace formulas" pioneered by Jacquet. The strategy of our proof is to give a relative trace analogue of an argument (on pp. 60–61 of [6]) used to demonstrate how to embed discrete series representations of GL(n) over nonarchimedean fields as local components of automorphic cuspidal representations of GL(n). The argument in [6] draws on [1], [2] and [3].

3 The Proof

Fix an $(H_{\nu_0}, \chi_{\nu_0})$ -distinguished, irreducible, supercuspidal representation τ of $G_{\nu_0} = GL(n, F_{\nu_0})$ and a character $\omega = \bigotimes_{\nu} \omega_{\nu}$ of $Z_A/Z_{H,A}Z$ such that ω_{ν_0} is the central character of τ . Given a test function $f = \bigotimes_{\nu} f_{\nu} \in C_c^{\infty}(G_A)$, we let

$$f'(g) = \int_{Z_{\mathrm{A}}} f(zg)\omega(z)\,dz,$$

for all $g \in G_A$. The analogous local integrals define functions f'_{ν} such that $f' = \bigotimes_{\nu} f'_{\nu}$. There is an associated automorphic kernel

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{\gamma \in Z \setminus G} f'(x^{-1}\gamma y),$$

where $x, y \in Z_{\mathbb{A}}G \setminus G_{\mathbb{A}}$. Let $L^{2}(G, \omega)$ be the space of L^{2} -classes of functions ϕ on $G \setminus G_{\mathbb{A}}$ which transform according to $\phi(zg) = \omega(z)\phi(g)$, where $z \in Z_{\mathbb{A}}, g \in G_{\mathbb{A}}$ and the L^{2} -inner product is given by:

$$(\phi_1,\phi_2)=\int_{Z_{\mathrm{A}}G\setminus G_{\mathrm{A}}}\phi_1(x)\overline{\phi_2(x)}\,dx.$$

Then *f* defines an operator R(f) on $L^2(G, \omega)$ with kernel *K*:

$$R(f)\phi(x) = \int_{Z_{A}G\backslash G_{A}} K(x, y)\phi(y) \, dy.$$

Distinguished Supercuspidal Representations

Equivalently, if $K_x(y) = K(x, y)$, then $R(f)\phi(x) = (\phi, \overline{K}_x)$.

If **P** is a parabolic subgroup of **G** then we let **N**_P denote the unipotent radical of **P**. A continuous function $\phi \in L^2(G, \omega)$ is cuspidal if, for every proper parabolic subgroup **P** of **G**, the integral $\int_{N_P \setminus N_{PA}} \phi(nx) dn = 0$, for almost all $x \in G_A$. The L^2 -completion of the space of such functions is denoted $L^2_{\text{cusp}}(G, \omega)$. The kernel K projects to a kernel K_{cusp} on $L^2_{\text{cusp}}(G, \omega)$. The cuspidal kernel may be expressed as:

$$K_{\mathrm{cusp}}(x, y) = \sum_{\pi} K_{\pi}(x, y),$$

where we are summing over the irreducible, automorphic, cuspidal representations π of G_A with central character ω and K_{π} is given by

$$K_{\pi}(x, y) = \sum_{\phi \in \mathfrak{B}_{\pi}} R(f)\phi(x)\overline{\phi(y)}$$

with \mathcal{B}_{π} being an orthonormal basis of the space of π .

We will always assume that f'_{ν_0} is a matrix coefficient of $\tilde{\tau}$, the contragredient of τ . Thus, if **P** is a proper parabolic subgroup of **G** then

$$\int_{N_{P,v_0}} f_{v_0}'(a_{v_0}n_{v_0}b_{v_0}) \, dn_{v_0} = 0$$

for all $a_{\nu_0}, b_{\nu_0} \in G_{\nu_0}$. Consequently, K_x is cuspidal for all x since

$$\int_{N_P\setminus N_{P,A}} K_x(ny) \, dn = \sum_{\gamma \in G/ZN_P} \prod_{\nu} \int_{N_{P,\nu}} f_{\nu}'(x_{\nu}^{-1}\gamma n_{\nu}y_{\nu}) \, dn_{\nu} = 0.$$

Hence, $K = K_{cusp}$.

We now define a distribution Λ_{χ} on $G_{\mathbb{A}}$ by:

$$\Lambda_{\chi}(f) = \int_{Z_{H,\mathbb{A}}H \setminus H_{\mathbb{A}}} K(h,1)\chi(h)^{-1} \, dh.$$

This is the "relative trace" distribution referred to above.

Since $K = K_{cusp}$, the spectral decomposition of $\Lambda_{\chi}(f)$ only has a cuspidal contribution. In other words, we have a decomposition:

(*)
$$\Lambda_{\chi}(f) = \sum_{\pi} \sum_{\phi \in \mathfrak{B}_{\pi}} P_{\chi}(R(f)\phi) \overline{\phi(1)},$$

where the latter sum is over the irreducible, automorphic cuspidal representations π of G_A with central character ω . In fact, due to the appearance of the factor $P_{\chi}(R(f)\phi)$, it is evident that only those π which are (H, χ) -distinguished can make a nonzero contribution to the sum. According to the Schur orthogonality relations, $\pi_{\nu_0}(f_{\nu_0}) = 0$ unless $\pi_{\nu_0} \simeq \tau$. Therefore the outer sum in (*) may be regarded as a

https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2002-025-x Published online by Cambridge University Press

sum over the irreducible, automorphic, cuspidal representations π of G_A which are (H, χ) -distinguished, have central character ω and have τ as their local component at ν_0 . Thus if $\Lambda_{\chi}(f) \neq 0$, then there must exist at least one representation π with the properties just described. Therefore it suffices to show that f can be chosen so that $\Lambda_{\chi}(f) \neq 0$.

To obtain f so that $\Lambda_{\chi}(f) \neq 0$, we now develop the "geometric" side of our relative trace formula. We have:

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_{\chi}(f) &= \int_{Z_{H,A}H \setminus H_{A}} \sum_{\gamma \in Z \setminus G} f'(h^{-1}\gamma)\chi(h)^{-1} dh \\ &= \int_{H_{A}/HZ_{H,A}} \sum_{\gamma \in ZH \setminus G} \sum_{\beta \in Z_{H} \setminus H} f'(h\beta\gamma)\chi(h) dh \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in ZH \setminus G} \prod_{\nu} \int_{H_{\nu}/Z_{H,\nu}} f'_{\nu}(h_{\nu}\gamma)\chi_{\nu}(h_{\nu}) dh_{\nu}. \end{split}$$

Letting

$$\Phi_{\nu}(f_{\nu},\gamma) = \int_{H_{\nu}/Z_{H,\nu}} f_{\nu}'(h_{\nu}\gamma)\chi_{\nu}(h_{\nu}) \, dh_{\nu}$$

we may summarize the above discussion as:

Theorem 2 (The Relative Trace Formula for $\Lambda_{\chi}(f)$) Assume $f = \otimes f_v \in C_c^{\infty}(G_A)$ is such that f'_{v_0} is a matrix coefficient of $\tilde{\tau}$. Then

$$\sum_{\pi} \sum_{\phi \in \mathfrak{B}_{\pi}} P_{\chi} \big(R(f)\phi \big) \overline{\phi(1)} = \sum_{\gamma \in ZH \setminus G} \prod_{\nu} \Phi_{\nu}(f_{\nu},\gamma),$$

where π ranges over the irreducible, (H, χ) -distinguished automorphic cuspidal representations of G_A with central character ω such that $\pi_{\nu_0} \simeq \tau$.

To prove the Globalization Theorem, it suffices merely to show that there exists some f such the right hand side of the above relative trace formula is nonzero. Indeed, if this is the case, then there must exist at least one π which makes a nonzero contribution to the left hand side and such a π must satisfy the requirements of the Globalization Theorem.

Let us refer to the integrals $\Phi_{\nu}(f_{\nu}, \gamma)$ as "local orbital integrals" and the product $\Phi(f, \gamma) = \prod_{\nu} \Phi_{\nu}(f_{\nu}, \gamma)$ as a "global orbital integral." The first step in our proof is to show that f may be chosen so that $\Phi(f, \gamma)$ is nonzero for some $\gamma \in G$. Once this is done, we show that, by altering one of the archimedean components of f, we can arrange things so that $\Phi(f, \gamma)$ is nonzero for exactly one $\gamma \in ZH \setminus G$.

Step 1 (Choosing *f* so that $\Phi(f, \gamma) \neq 0$, for some $\gamma \in G$.)

We must choose $\gamma \in G$ and $f = \bigotimes_{\nu} f_{\nu} \in C_c^{\infty}(G_A)$ so that $\Phi_{\nu}(f_{\nu}, \gamma) \neq 0$. The desired function f is constrained so that f'_{ν_0} is a matrix coefficient of $\tilde{\tau}$ and, for almost all finite places ν , the function f_{ν} must be the characteristic function of the standard maximal compact subgroup K_{ν} of G_{ν} .

Distinguished Supercuspidal Representations

Appealing to the corollary to the Generalized Schur Orthogonality Relations in the Appendix below, we see that γ and f_{ν_0} may be chosen so that f'_{ν_0} is a matrix coefficient of $\tilde{\tau}$ and $\Phi_{\nu_0}(f_{\nu_0}, \gamma) \neq 0$. In fact, we may take $\gamma = 1$, since left and right translates of matrix coefficients are again matrix coefficients.

Lemma 1 For almost all finite places v, we have $Z_v K_v \cap H_v = (Z_v \cap H_v)(K_v \cap H_v)$.

Proof Suppose $z \in Z_v$, $k \in K_v$ and $zk \in H_v$. Then $\iota(zk) = zk$ implies $z\iota(z)^{-1} = \iota(k)k^{-1} \in Z_v \cap K_v$. If one considers the various possibilities for $\iota|Z_v$, it is easy to check that there exists $w \in Z_v \cap K_v$ such that $z\iota(z)^{-1} = w\iota(w)^{-1}$. Since $zk = (zw^{-1})(wk)$, with $zw^{-1} \in Z_v \cap H_v$ and $wk \in K_v \cap H_v$, our claim follows.

Now suppose v is a finite place other than v_0 which satisfies the condition of Lemma 1. Assume also that ω_v is unramified and χ_v is trivial on $K_v \cap H_v$. For such a place, we take f_v to be the characteristic function of K_v . We choose Haar measures on Z_v and $Z_{H,v} \setminus H_v$ normalized so that $Z_v \cap K_v$ and $Z_{H,v} \setminus (H_v \cap Z_v K_v)$ have measure one. Then, using the assumption that ω_v is unramified, we see that f'_v vanishes outside $Z_v K_v$ and $f'_v(zk) = \omega_v(z)^{-1}$, whenever $z \in Z_v$ and $k \in K_v$. Consider now the orbital integral $\Phi_v(f_v, g)$. Clearly, this vanishes outside $Z_v H_v K_v$ and satisfies $\Phi_v(f_v, zhk) = \omega_v(z)^{-1}\chi_v(h)^{-1}\Phi_v(f_v, k)$, when $z \in Z_v$, $h \in H_v$ and $k \in K_v$. In fact, $\Phi_v(f_v, k) = 1$, under assumptions. (Indeed, $\Phi_v(f_v, k_v)$ is an integral whose integrand $f'_v(hk)\chi_v(h)$ vanishes unless $h \in Z_v K_v \cap H_v$. It follows from Lemma 1 and the assumption that $\chi_v|(K_v \cap H_v) = 1$ that the integrand is just the characteristic function of $Z_v K_v \cap H_v$.)

At this point, we have chosen f_v such that $\Phi_v(f_v, 1) \neq 0$ for almost all places v of F'. Consider now a place v for which f_v has not yet been chosen. It is elementary to describe the space of functions $\varphi_v(g) = \Phi_v(f_v, g)$ on G_v , as f_v varies over $C_c^{\infty}(G_v)$. Indeed, the functions φ_v are precisely the smooth functions on G_v whose support has compact image in $Z_v H_v \setminus G_v$ and which transform according to $\varphi_v(zhg) = \omega_v(z)^{-1}\chi_v(h)^{-1}\varphi_v(g)$, for all $z \in Z_v$, $h \in H_v$ and $g \in G_v$. Thus, we can choose f_v so that $\Phi_v(f_v, 1) \neq 0$.

Step 2 (Choosing *f* so that $\Phi(f, \gamma) \neq 0$, for exactly one $\gamma \in ZH \setminus G$.) Fix an infinite place w_1 of *F* lying above a place v_1 of *F'*. Consider the set

$$S = \{\iota(\gamma)^{-1}\gamma : \gamma \in G \text{ and } \Phi(f,\gamma) \neq 0\}.$$

We will show that this set has discrete image in PGL(n, F_{w_1}) and from this deduce that we may shrink the support of f_{v_1} so that $\Phi(f, \gamma) \neq 0$ for exactly one $\gamma \in ZH \setminus G$. This is nearly identical to the strategy employed in [6] (pp. 60–61), however, there are some additional obstacles. These extra technicalities obscure the fundamental simplicity of the argument and we therefore advise the reader to consult [6] before reading our argument.

When trying to establish the discreteness of a subset of $PGL(n, F_{w_1})$, it is perhaps advisable to keep in mind the following false argument that $PGL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is discrete in $PGL(n, \mathbb{R})$: clearly, $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is discrete in $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ and thus, since modding out by the center preserves discreteness, $PGL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ must be discrete in $PGL(n, \mathbb{R})$. Unfortunately, the natural map $GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow PGL(n, \mathbb{R})$ does not preserve discreteness. In fact, the set S of matrices in $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ with integer entries does not have discrete image in $PGL(n, \mathbb{R})$ since $\mathbb{Q} \times S = GL(n, \mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. The problem in the above argument disappears for SL_n , since SL_n has finite center. In other words, we obtain a valid proof that $PSL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is discrete in $PSL(n, \mathbb{R})$. Then, using the fact that $PGL(n, \mathbb{R})/PSL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is finite, one can deduce that $PGL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is indeed discrete in $PGL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

In light of the previous paragraph, we will work with the sets

$$S_r = \{\beta \in S : \det \beta = r\},\$$

with *r* lying in the ring of integers \mathfrak{D}_F of *F*. Suppose *R* is any (finite) set of representatives for $F^{\times}/(F^{\times})^n$ such that $R \subset \mathfrak{D}_F$ and let *R'* be the image of *R* under $\kappa(\gamma) = \iota(\gamma)^{-1}\gamma$. Then the image of S in PGL(*n*, *F*_{w1}) is the same as the image of $\bigcup_{r \in R'} S_r$. Since this is a finite union, it suffices to show that each S_r has discrete image in PGL(*n*, *F*_{w1}) or, equivalently, we must show that S_r is discrete in

$$\mathcal{G}_r = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(n, F_{w_1}) : \det g = r\}.$$

To do this, it suffices to show for each *r* that:

- (i) For almost all finite places *w* of *F*, the matrix entries of each $\beta \in S_r$ lie in the ring of integers \mathfrak{D}_w of F_w .
- (ii) For all finite places *w* of *F* the matrix entries of each $\beta \in S_r$ lie in a fixed compact subset of F_w .

Indeed, once this is done, we will have shown that the matrix entries of each $\beta \in S_r$ have the form $\frac{a}{b}$, with $a, b \in \mathfrak{D}_F$ and b in some bounded set in F_{w_1} .

To prove (i), we first note that the function on G_v defined by $\phi_v(g) = \Phi_v(f_v, g)$ has support $Z_v H_v K_v$, for almost all finite places v of F'. Moreover, for almost all v, we have $\iota(K_v) = K_v$ and thus $\kappa(K_v) \subset K_v$, where κ is defined on G_v by the formula $\kappa(g) = \iota(g)^{-1}g$. Therefore, for almost all finite v, the image of the support of ϕ_v under κ is contained in $Z_v K_v$. If w is a place of F lying above such a place v of F', then the matrix entries of each $\beta \in Z_v K_v \cap \mathcal{G}_r$ lie in \mathfrak{D}_w . Condition (i) follows. On the other hand, if w is any finite place of F and w lies above the place v of F', then we have observed above that the support of ϕ_v has compact image in $Z_v H_v \setminus G_v$. Therefore, $\kappa(\text{support}(\phi_v)) \cap \mathcal{G}_r$ is compact. This proves (ii).

We have now shown that the set S has discrete image in PGL(n, F_{w_1}). Our function f_{v_1} may be taken to be a product of functions f_w on the groups GL(n, F_w) as w ranges over the places of F lying over v. We can shrink the support of f_{w_1} so that $\Phi_{v_1}(f_{v_1}, 1) \neq 0$, but $\Phi_{v_1}(f_{v_1}, \gamma) = 0$, for all other $\gamma \in ZH \setminus G$. The simple relative trace formula reduces to:

$$\sum_{\pi} \sum_{\phi \in \mathfrak{B}_{\pi}} P_{\chi} \big(R(f) \phi \big) \overline{\phi(1)} = \Phi(f, 1),$$

and, since the right hand side is nonzero, the proof of the Globalization Theorem is complete.

4 Applications

All of the applications considered in this section involve the special case in which the character χ is trivial. In this situation, instead of saying a representation is " (H, χ) -distinguished," we simply say it is "*H*-distinguished" or, when the context is clear, "distinguished."

In the introduction, we have mentioned a simple application of the Globalization Theorem to representations associated to $Sp(2n) \setminus GL(2n)$. In that case, there do not exist any distinguished automorphic, cuspidal representations or, in the language of [5], the symmetric space $Sp(2n) \setminus GL(2n)$ is not "cuspidal." Another non-cuspidal symmetric space is given as follows. Suppose n_1 and n_2 are distinct positive integers and $n = n_1 + n_2$. Let ι be the automorphism of $\mathbf{G} = GL_n$ defined by conjugating by the block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1_{n_1} & 0\\ 0 & -1_{n_2} \end{pmatrix}$, where 1_k denotes the *k*-by-*k* identity matrix. Let \mathbf{H} be the group of fixed points of ι . It is shown in [5] that there do not exist any *H*-distinguished automorphic, cuspidal representations π of G_A . Applying the Globalization Theorem, we obtain:

Proposition 1 Let $n = n_1 + n_2$, where n_1 and n_2 are distinct positive integers, and assume F is a local, nonarchimedean local field of characteristic zero. If π is a supercuspidal representation of GL(n, F) then there do not exist any nonzero linear forms on the space

of π which are invariant under the group of block matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$, with $a \in GL(n_1, F)$ and $b \in GL(n_2, F)$.

In the case in which $n_1 = n_2$, it is shown in [16] that the space of invariant linear forms has dimension at most one.

In order to describe another application, we recall a result which first appears in [13], but is also mentioned in [17] and [4]. We include an elementary proof conveyed to us by Hervé Jacquet. Assume F/F' is a quadratic extension of number fields whose nontrivial Galois automorphism is $x \mapsto \bar{x}$. Applying the nontrivial Galois automorphism to the entries of a matrix in **G**, gives an automorphism of **G** which we also denote by $g \mapsto \bar{g}$. Fix a matrix $\eta \in G$ which is hermitian in the sense that ${}^t\bar{\eta} = \eta$ and take ι to be the automorphism of **G** given by $\iota(g) = \eta^t \bar{g}^{-1} \eta^{-1}$. Thus *H* is a unitary group in *G*. If π is an irreducible, automorphic, cuspidal representation of $G_A = GL(n, F_A)$, then we say that π is *Galois invariant* if π is equivalent to the representation $g \mapsto \pi(\bar{g})$ which acts on the space of π .

Proposition 2 If π is an irreducible, automorphic, cuspidal representation of G_A which is H-distinguished then π must be Galois invariant.

Proof At almost all places v of F' which are inert in F, the local representation is unramified and Galois invariant in the sense that π_v is equivalent to the representation $g \mapsto \pi_v(\bar{g})$ on the space of π_v . (Here, we have not used the fact that π is H-distinguished.) Suppose v is a place of F' which splits into two places w_1 and w_2 in F. Let $F_v = F'_v \oplus F'_v$, where $\operatorname{Gal}(F/F')$ acts on the direct sum by permuting coordinates. Fix an embedding of F in F'_v and use it to embed F in F_v via $x \mapsto (x, \bar{x})$. Then $G_{\nu} = \operatorname{GL}(n, F_{\nu}) = \operatorname{GL}(n, F_{\nu}') \times \operatorname{GL}(n, F_{\nu}')$. The unitary group H_{ν} then consists of those $g = (g_1, g_2) \in G_{\nu}$ such that $g_2 = \tilde{\eta}^t g_1^{-1} \tilde{\eta}^{-1}$. The local component π_{ν} is a product $\pi_1 \times \pi_2$ of representations (π_1, V_1) and (π_2, V_2) on $\operatorname{GL}(n, F_{\nu}')$. Since π is *H*-distinguished, we have a nonzero linear form λ on the space of $V_1 \otimes V_2$ such that $\lambda(\pi_1(g)\xi_1 \otimes \pi_2(\tilde{\eta}^t g^{-1} \tilde{\eta}^{-1})\xi_2) = \lambda(\xi_1 \otimes \xi_2)$, for all $\xi_1 \in V_1$ and $\xi_2 \in V_2$. Therefore, the representation $g \mapsto \pi_2(\tilde{\eta}^t g^{-1} \tilde{\eta}^{-1})$ on V_2 must be equivalent to the contragredient of π_1 . On the other hand, it follows from Theorem A in [7] that this representation is equivalent to the contragredient of π_2 . Thus, $\pi_1 \simeq \pi_2$ and again π_{ν} is Galois invariant. Since π is equivalent to $g \mapsto \pi(\tilde{g})$ at almost all places, the Strong Multiplicity One Theorem for $\operatorname{GL}(n)$ now implies that π is Galois invariant.

Corollary Assume F/F' is a quadratic extension of nonarchimedean local fields of characteristic zero, and $\eta \in GL(n, F)$ is hermitian with respect to F/F'. If π is an irreducible, supercuspidal representation of GL(n, F) which is distinguished with respect to the unitary group consisting of $g \in GL(n, F)$ such that $g = \eta^t \bar{g}^{-1} \eta^{-1}$ then π must be Galois invariant.

Proof Fix a hermitian matrix η in GL(n, F) and let $U(\eta)$ be the associated unitary subgroup of GL(n, F). There exists a quadratic extension k/k' of number fields such that $k_{w_0}/k'_{v_0} = F/F'$ for some place v_0 of k' which is inert in k and lifts to the place w_0 of k. If η happens to lie in GL(n, k) then our assertion follows immediately from the Globalization Theorem. Otherwise, we may choose $h \in GL(n, F)$ and a hermitian matrix $\eta' \in GL(n, k)$ such that $\eta = h\eta'^t \bar{h}$. Indeed, the orbit of η under the action of GL(n, F) by $g \cdot \eta = g\eta^t \bar{g}$ is determined by the class of det η modulo $N_{F/F'}(F^{\times})$. Since $U(\eta) = hU(\eta')h^{-1}$ and since the corollary holds for the subgroup $U(\eta')$, it must also hold for the conjugate subgroup $U(\eta)$.

The statement of the previous corollary may be framed more generally as follows. Let $\iota(g) = \eta^t \bar{g}^{-1} \eta^{-1}$ be the involution of G = GL(n, F) whose fixed point set is the unitary group $H = U(\eta)$. Then the corollary is equivalent to the statement that if π is *H*-distinguished then $\bar{\pi} \circ \iota \simeq \pi$, since according to Gelfand/Kazhdan's Theorem A in [7], the contragredient $\bar{\pi}$ of π is equivalent to the representation $g \mapsto \pi({}^tg^{-1})$.

One could consider the analogous statement when ι is an involution of G = GL(n, F) whose fixed point group is an orthogonal group. Though it is again true that distinguishedness implies $\pi \circ \iota \simeq \pi$, this statement is vacuous since the condition $\pi \circ \iota \simeq \pi$ reduces to $\pi \simeq \pi$ using the result of Gelfand-Kazhdan. For more details and references to the literature in this case, we refer the reader to [10].

One could also consider the case in which G = GL(n, F) and H = GL(n, F'). In this case, the analogue of Proposition 2 is not known (to our knowledge), however, the analogue of the corollary of Proposition 2 may be obtained by local methods. Indeed, this is precisely Proposition 12 of [4]. The proof in [4] is a variant of the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [9]. Though the latter result is stated in the context of GL(2), the ideas in the proof apply generally. Both strategies of proof use the "relative character" distribution Θ_{π} attached to the distinguished supercuspidal representation π . Among the most basic properties of the relative character, are the facts that distinct representations always have distinct relative characters (Proposition 3 of [19]) and

that relative character distributions are locally integrable [8]. Whereas the proof in [4] is self-contained, the alternate proof is perhaps conceptually simpler, at the expense of invoking the local integrability property.

5 Appendix: Generalized Schur Orthogonality

Fix a supercuspidal representation (τ, V) of a totally disconnected group G with center Z and let $(\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{V})$ be the contragredient. The Schur orthogonality relations state that if $\xi, \lambda \in V$ and $\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\lambda} \in \tilde{V}$, then:

$$\int_{G/Z} \langle \xi, \tilde{\tau}(g) \tilde{\xi} \rangle \langle \tau(g) \lambda, \tilde{\lambda} \rangle \, dg = d(\tau) \langle \xi, \tilde{\lambda} \rangle \langle \lambda, \tilde{\xi} \rangle,$$

where *dg* is a suitably normalized Haar measure on G/Z and $d(\tau)$ is the formal degree of τ . We now prove the following generalization:

Lemma 2 (Generalized Schur Orthogonality Relations) Suppose $\xi \in V$, $\tilde{\xi} \in \tilde{V}$, $\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{C})$ and $\tilde{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C})$. Let f be the matrix coefficient of $\tilde{\tau}$ defined by $f(g) = \langle \xi, \tilde{\tau}(g)\tilde{\xi} \rangle$. Let $\tau(f)\lambda$ be the element of V defined by $\langle \tau(f)\lambda, \tilde{\mu} \rangle = \langle \lambda, \tilde{\tau}(\check{f})\tilde{\mu} \rangle$, for all $\tilde{\mu} \in \tilde{V}$, where $\check{f}(g) = f(g^{-1})$. Then $\langle \tau(f)\lambda, \tilde{\lambda} \rangle = d(\tau)\langle \xi, \tilde{\lambda} \rangle \langle \lambda, \tilde{\xi} \rangle$.

Proof Let $K_1 \supset K_2 \supset \cdots$ be a basis of neighborhoods of the identity in *G* consisting of open, compact subgroups. Choose *i* large enough so that ξ and $\tilde{\xi}$ are K_i -fixed and thus *f* is bi- K_i -invariant. Choose $\lambda_i \in V$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_i \in \tilde{V}$ such that $\langle \lambda, \tilde{\mu} \rangle = \langle \lambda_i, \tilde{\mu} \rangle$, for all K_i -fixed vectors $\tilde{\mu} \in \tilde{V}$, and $\langle \mu, \tilde{\lambda} \rangle = \langle \mu, \tilde{\lambda}_i \rangle$, for all K_i -fixed vectors $\mu \in V$. Then we have:

$$\langle \tau(f)\lambda, \tilde{\lambda} \rangle = \langle \tau(f)\lambda, \tilde{\lambda}_i \rangle = \langle \lambda, \tilde{\tau}(\check{f})\tilde{\lambda}_i \rangle = \langle \lambda_j, \tilde{\tau}(\check{f})\tilde{\lambda}_i \rangle.$$

We apply the Schur orthogonality relations to obtain:

$$\langle \tau(f)\lambda,\tilde{\lambda}\rangle = d(\tau)\langle\xi,\tilde{\lambda}_i\rangle\langle\lambda_i,\tilde{\xi}\rangle = d(\tau)\langle\xi,\tilde{\lambda}\rangle\langle\lambda,\tilde{\xi}\rangle.$$

Hence, our claim has been proven.

Assume now that *H* is a closed subgroup of *G* and χ is a character of *H* such that $\tau(z) = \chi(z)$, for all $z \in Z \cap H$. We apply the Generalized Schur Orthogonality Relations in the case in which $\lambda \in V \subset \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{C})$ and $\tilde{\lambda}$ satisfies $\langle \tau(h)\mu, \tilde{\lambda} \rangle = \chi(h)\langle \mu, \tilde{\lambda} \rangle$, for all $h \in H$ and $\mu \in V$ or, in other words, $\tilde{\lambda} \in \text{Hom}_{H}(\tau, \chi)$.

Corollary Suppose $\xi \in V$, $\tilde{\xi} \in \tilde{V}$ are nonzero and f' is the matrix coefficient of $\tilde{\tau}$ defined by $f'(g) = \langle \xi, \tilde{\tau}(g)\tilde{\xi} \rangle$. Suppose $\tilde{\lambda} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\tau, \chi)$ is such that $\langle \xi, \tilde{\lambda} \rangle \neq 0$. Then $g \mapsto \int_{(Z \cap H) \setminus H} f'(hg)\chi(h) dh$ is a nonzero smooth function on G whose support has compact image in $ZH \setminus G$.

229

Proof Choose $\lambda \in V$ so that $\langle \lambda, \tilde{\xi} \rangle \neq 0$. Then we have:

$$\begin{split} d(\tau)\langle\xi,\tilde{\lambda}\rangle\langle\lambda,\tilde{\xi}\rangle &= \langle\tau(f)\lambda,\tilde{\lambda}\rangle = \int_{Z\backslash G} \langle\xi,\tilde{\tau}(g)\tilde{\xi}\rangle\langle\tau(g)\lambda,\tilde{\lambda}\rangle\,dg\\ &= \int_{ZH\backslash G} \Bigl(\int_{(Z\cap H)\backslash H} f'(hg)\chi(h)\,dh\Bigr)\,\langle\tau(g)\lambda,\tilde{\lambda}\rangle\,dg \end{split}$$

Since the left hand side is nonzero, our claim follows.

References

- [1] J. Bernstein, P. Deligne and D. Kazhdan, *Trace Paley-Weiner theorem for reductive p-adic groups*. J. Anal. Math. **47**(1986), 180–192.
- [2] J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, D. Kazhdan and M.-F. Vignéras, *Representations des groupes sur un corps local*. Hermann, Paris, 1984.
- [3] L. Clozel, Invariant harmonic analysis on the Schwartz space of a reductive p-adic group. In: Harmonic Analysis on Reductive Groups, (eds. W. Barker and P. Sally), Progress in Math. 101, Birkäuser, Boston, 1991, 101–121.
- [4] Y. Flicker, On distinguished representations. J. Reine Angew. Math. 418(1991), 139–172.
- [5] S. Friedberg and H. Jacquet, *Linear periods*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **443**(1993), 91–139.
- [6] S. Gelbart, *Lectures on the Arthur-Selberg Trace Formula*. University Lecture Series **9**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
- [7] I. Gelfand and D. Kazhdan, Representations of GL(n, K) where K is a local field. Lie Groups and Their Representations, Wiley, New York, 1975, 95–118.
- [8] J. Hakim, Admissible distributions on p-adic symmetric spaces. J. Reine Angew. Math. **455**(1994), 1–19.
- [9] _____, Distinguished p-adic representations. Duke Math. J. (1) 62(1991), 1–22.
- [10] J. Hakim and Z. Mao, Cuspidal representations associated to (GL(n), O(n)) over finite fields and *p*-adic fields. J. Algebra **213**(1999), 129–143.
- [11] _____, Supercuspidal representations of GL(n) distinguished by a unitary subgroup. Pacific J. Math. **185**(1998), 149–162.
- [12] J. Hakim and F. Murnaghan, *Tame supercuspidal representations of* GL(*n*) *distinguished by a unitary subgroup*. preprint.
- G. Harder, R. Langlands and M. Rapoport, *Algebraische Zyklen auf Hilbert-Blumenthal-Flächen*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **366**(1986), 53–120.
- [14] M. Heumos and S. Rallis, *Symplectic-Whittaker models for* GL_n. Pacific J. Math. **146**(1990), 247–279.
- [15] H. Jacquet and S. Rallis, Symplectic periods. J. Reine Angew. Math. 423(1992), 175–197.
- [16] _____, Uniqueness of linear periods. Comp. Math. 102(1996), 65–123.
- [17] H. Jacquet and Y. Ye, *Distinguished representations and quadratic base change for* GL(3). Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Paris (3) **348**(1996), 913–939.
- [18] _____, Une remarque sur le changement de base quadratique. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér I 311(1990), 671–676.
- [19] D. Prasad, On the self-dual representations of a p-adic group. preprint.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics American University 4400 Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, DC 20016 U.S.A. e-mail: jhakim@american.edu Department of Mathematics University of Toronto 100 Saint George Street Toronto, Ontario M5S 3G3 e-mail: fiona@math.toronto.edu