

## PERFECT IMAGES OF ZERO-DIMENSIONAL SEPARABLE METRIC SPACES

BY

JAN VAN MILL AND R. GRANT WOODS<sup>(1)</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\mathbf{Q}$  denote the rationals,  $\mathbf{P}$  the irrationals,  $\mathbf{C}$  the Cantor set and  $\mathbf{L}$  the space  $\mathbf{C} - \{p\}$  (where  $p \in \mathbf{C}$ ). Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect continuous surjection. We show: (1) If  $X \in \{\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}\}$ , or if  $f$  is irreducible and  $X \in \{\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{L}\}$ , then  $Y$  is homeomorphic to  $X$  if  $Y$  is zero-dimensional. (2) If  $X \in \{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{L}\}$  and  $f$  is irreducible, then there is a dense subset  $S$  of  $Y$  such that  $f|f^{-1}[S]$  is a homeomorphism onto  $S$ . However, if  $Z$  is any  $\sigma$ -compact nowhere locally compact metric space then there is a perfect irreducible continuous surjection from  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{C}$  onto  $Z$  such that each fibre of the map is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}$ .

**§ 1. Introduction and known results.** Internal characterizations of the metric spaces  $\mathbf{Q}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $\mathbf{L}$ ,  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{C}$ , and  $\mathbf{P}$  have long been known. Sierpinski [Si] characterized  $\mathbf{Q}$ , Brouwer [B] characterized  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{L}$ , and Alexandroff and Urysohn [AU] characterized  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{P}$ . More recently the first-named author has derived an internal characterization of  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$  [vM]. We summarize these characterizations in the following theorem. (If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a topological property then a space  $X$  is said to be nowhere locally  $\mathcal{P}$  if no point of  $X$  has a neighborhood with  $\mathcal{P}$ ).

1.1. **THEOREM.** *Let  $X$  be a zero-dimensional separable metric space. Then:*

- (a)  *$X$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{Q}$  iff  $X$  is countable and nowhere locally compact.*
- (b)  *$X$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}$  iff  $X$  is compact and has no isolated points.*
- (c)  *$X$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{L}$  iff  $X$  is locally compact, non-compact, and has no isolated points.*
- (d)  *$X$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{C}$  iff  $X$  is nowhere locally compact, nowhere locally countable, and  $\sigma$ -compact.*
- (e)  *$X$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{P}$  iff  $X$  is nowhere locally compact and topologically complete (a space is topologically complete if it is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of its Stone-Cech compactification).*

---

Received by the editors February 22, 1980

A.M.S. classification numbers: 54 C 10, 54 E 35

Key words and phrases: perfect continuous surjection, perfect irreducible continuous surjection, separable metric space, zero-dimensional space.

<sup>(1)</sup> The second-named author's research was partially supported by Grant No. A7592 from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

(f)  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$  iff  $X$  is nowhere  $\sigma$ -compact, nowhere topologically complete, and is a countable union of closed topologically complete subspaces.

One consequence of 1.1 is that certain products of two zero-dimensional separable metric spaces are homeomorphic to one of the spaces characterized in 1.1. The following matrix, whose rows and columns are indexed by spaces, summarizes the situation; in the  $X$ th row and  $Y$ th column is listed a homeomorph of the product space  $X \times Y$ . All listings are immediate corollaries of 1.1.

	<b>Q</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>Q × C</b>	<b>Q × P</b>
<b>Q</b>	<b>Q</b>	<b>Q × C</b>	<b>Q × C</b>	<b>Q × P</b>	<b>Q × C</b>	<b>Q × P</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Q × C</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>Q × C</b>	<b>Q × P</b>
<b>L</b>	<b>Q × L</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>Q × L</b>	<b>Q × P</b>
<b>P</b>	<b>Q × P</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>P</b>	<b>Q × P</b>	<b>Q × P</b>
<b>Q × C</b>	<b>Q × C</b>	<b>Q × C</b>	<b>Q × C</b>	<b>Q × P</b>	<b>Q × C</b>	<b>Q × P</b>
<b>Q × P</b>	<b>Q × P</b>	<b>Q × P</b>	<b>Q × P</b>	<b>Q × P</b>	<b>Q × P</b>	<b>Q × P</b>

Figure 1.

A map is a continuous surjection. A *perfect map* is a closed map such that point inverses are compact subspaces of the domain. We will make use of the following well-known properties of perfect maps; see for example [D], Chapter 11, or problems 3X and 3Y of [E].

1.2. THEOREM. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be one of compactness, local compactness,  $\sigma$ -compactness, and topological completeness. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect map. Then  $X$  has  $\mathcal{P}$  iff  $Y$  has  $\mathcal{P}$ .

A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is regular closed if  $A = \text{cl}_X(\text{int}_X A)$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  denote the Boolean algebra of regular closed subsets of  $X$ . The following theorem is well-known, see, for example, [Sik, §1, 20]

1.3. THEOREM.  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  is a complete Boolean algebra under the following operations.

- (i)  $A \leq B$  iff  $A \subseteq B$
- (ii)  $\bigvee_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} = \text{cl}_X [\bigcup_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}]$
- (iii)  $\bigwedge_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} = \text{cl}_X \text{int}_X [\bigcap_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}]$
- (iv)  $A' = \text{cl}_X (X - A)$

We assume the reader is familiar with the theory of Stone spaces of Boolean algebras (see [Sik]), but we summarize it briefly. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Boolean algebra let  $S(\mathcal{A})$  denote the set of ultrafilters on  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  let  $\lambda(A) = \{\alpha \in S(\mathcal{A}) : A \in \alpha\}$ . Then  $\{\lambda(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a base for a topology  $\tau$  on  $S(\mathcal{A})$ . With this topology,  $S(\mathcal{A})$  is a compact zero-dimensional Hausdorff space and  $A \rightarrow \lambda(A)$  is a Boolean algebra isomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto the set of clopen subsets of  $S(\mathcal{A})$ . The space  $(S(\mathcal{A}), \tau)$  is called the *Stone space* of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

A closed map  $f$  from  $X$  onto  $Y$  is called *irreducible* if  $f[B] \neq Y$  whenever  $B$  is a proper closed subset of  $X$ . Perfect irreducible mappings have the following well-known properties; see 2.3 of [Wo] for a proof of 1.4(b).

1.4. LEMMA. *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be regular Hausdorff spaces and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect irreducible map. Then:*

- (a) *If  $S$  is dense in  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}[S]$  is dense in  $X$ .*
- (b) *The correspondence  $A \rightarrow f[A]$  is a Boolean algebra isomorphism from  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  onto  $\mathcal{R}(Y)$ .*
- (c) *The correspondence  $x \rightarrow f(x)$  is a bijection from the isolated points of  $X$  onto the isolated points of  $Y$ .*

The next lemma is a simple generalization of Lemma 1 of [Str].

1.5. LEMMA. *Let  $X$  be a regular Hausdorff space and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  that is a basis for the closed subsets of  $X$ . Let  $E_{\mathcal{A}}X = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}) : \cap\{A : A \in \alpha\} \neq \emptyset\}$ , regarded as a subspace of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A})$ . Let  $\pi : E_{\mathcal{A}}X \rightarrow X$  be defined by:  $\pi(\alpha) = \cap\{A : A \in \alpha\}$ . Then  $\pi$  is a well-defined perfect irreducible map onto  $X$ .*

§2. **Perfect images of Baire spaces.** In this section we characterize perfect, and perfect irreducible, zero-dimensional images of the Baire spaces **C**, **L**, and **P**. These characterizations are obtained as corollaries of more general results.

Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. As in [T], we say that a space  $Y$  is  $\kappa$ -Baire if the intersection of fewer than  $\kappa$  dense open subsets of  $Y$  is dense in  $Y$ . Thus Baire spaces are just  $\aleph_1$ -Baire spaces.

Let  $w(X)$  denote the weight of a space  $X$ , i.e. the least cardinal occurring as the cardinality of a base for the open sets of  $X$ . Let  $\kappa^+$  denote the smallest cardinal greater than  $\kappa$ . If  $A \subset X$ ,  $bd_x A$  denotes the boundary of  $A$  in  $X$ .

2.1. LEMMA. *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be regular Hausdorff spaces and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect irreducible map. Suppose that  $Y$  is a  $w(X)^+$ -Baire space. Then there is a dense subspace  $S$  of  $Y$  such that  $f \upharpoonright f^{-1}[S]: f^{-1}[S] \rightarrow S$  is a homeomorphism. Also,  $f^{-1}[S]$  is dense in  $X$  and  $|f^{-1}(p)| = 1$  for each  $p \in S$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $M = \{y \in Y : |f^{-1}(y)| > 1\}$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be an open base for  $X$  of cardinality  $w(X)$ . If  $y \in M$  choose  $x$  and  $z$  to be distinct points of  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Choose  $B(y) \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $x \in \text{int}_X \text{cl}_X B(y)$  and  $z \notin \text{cl}_X B(y)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} y &\in f[\text{cl}_X B(y)] \cap f[\text{cl}_X [X - B(y)]] \\ &= f[\text{cl}_X B(y)] \cap \text{cl}_Y [Y - f[\text{cl}_X B(y)]] \quad (\text{by 1.4(b)}) \\ &= bd_Y f[\text{cl}_X B(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $M \subseteq \cup \{bd_Y f[\text{cl}_X B(y)] : y \in M\}$ . Hence  $M$  is contained in the union of no more than  $w(X)$  closed nowhere dense subsets of  $Y$ . As  $Y$  is a  $w(X)^+$ -Baire

space,  $Y \setminus M$  is dense in  $Y$ . By 1.4(a)  $f^{-}[Y \setminus M]$  is dense in  $X$ , and obviously  $f \upharpoonright f^{-}[Y \setminus M]$  is a homeomorphism onto  $Y \setminus M$  (it is closed as  $f$  is).  $\square$

**2.2. THEOREM.** (a) *A perfect zero-dimensional image of  $\mathbf{P}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{P}$ .*

(b) *Let  $X$  be one of  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $\mathbf{L}$ , or  $\mathbf{P}$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a perfect irreducible surjection. Then there is a dense subset  $S$  of  $Y$  such that  $f \upharpoonright f^{-}[S]: f^{-}[S] \rightarrow S$  is a homeomorphism. If  $Y$  is zero-dimensional then  $Y$  is homeomorphic to  $X$ .*

**Proof.** (a) This follows from 1.1(e) and 1.2.

(b) That  $Y$  is homeomorphic to  $X$  follows from 1.1(b), 1.1(c), 1.2, and 1.4(c). The remaining assertion follows from 2.1 and the fact that  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $\mathbf{L}$ , and  $\mathbf{P}$  are  $\aleph_1$ -Baire spaces of weight  $\aleph_0$ .  $\square$

We note in passing that 2.1 has interesting applications to spaces other than metric spaces. Let  $\beta\mathbf{N}$  denote the Stone-Ćech compactification of the countable discrete space  $\mathbf{N}$ . It is known (see, for instance, [Wa]) that if the continuum hypothesis is assumed then  $\beta\mathbf{N} \setminus \mathbf{N}$  is an  $\aleph_2$ -Baire space of weight  $\aleph_1$ . Hence by 2.1 if  $f$  is a perfect irreducible map from  $\beta\mathbf{N} \setminus \mathbf{N}$  onto itself, there is a dense subspace  $S$  of  $\beta\mathbf{N} \setminus \mathbf{N}$  such that  $f \upharpoonright S$  is a homeomorphism from  $S$  onto  $f[S]$ .

**§3. Perfect images of  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{C}$ .** The principal new result of this paper is the following theorem.

**3.1. THEOREM.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\sigma$ -compact nowhere locally compact metric space. Then there exists a perfect irreducible map  $f: \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$  such that for each  $p \in X$ ,  $f^{-}(p)$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}$ .*

Before proving 3.1, we state (and sometimes prove) a series of technical lemmas.

**3.2. LEMMA** (1.2 of [PW]). *If  $X$  is a metric space without isolated points and if  $C$  is a closed nowhere dense subset of  $X$ , then there exists  $A \in \mathcal{R}(X)$  such that  $C \subset bd_X A$ .*

**3.3. LEMMA.** *Let  $X$  be a metric space without isolated points and let  $A \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ . If  $C \subset X$  is nowhere dense and closed then there exist  $H$  and  $K$  in  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  such that:*

- (1)  $H \vee K = A$
- (2)  $H \wedge K = \emptyset$
- (3)  $C \cap A \subset bd_X H \cap bd_X K$ .

**Proof.** Since  $C \cap A$  is a closed nowhere dense subset of the metric space  $A$ , by 3.2 there exists  $H \in \mathcal{R}(A)$  such that  $C \cap A \subset bd_A H$ . If  $K = cl_A(A \setminus H)$  then  $K \in \mathcal{R}(A)$  and  $bd_A K = bd_A H$ . Since  $H \in \mathcal{R}(A)$  and  $A \in \mathcal{R}(X)$  it follows readily that  $H \in \mathcal{R}(X)$ ; similarly for  $K$ . Hence (1) and (2) hold. It is easy to check that  $bd_A H \subset bd_X H$ ; hence (3) holds.  $\square$

3.4. LEMMA. Let  $X$  be a metric space without isolated points and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a Boolean subalgebra of  $\mathcal{R}(X)$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a family of closed nowhere dense sets of  $X$  then there is a Boolean subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}'$  of  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  containing  $\mathcal{B}$  so that for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  there are  $F, G \in \mathcal{B}'$  so that

- (1)  $F \vee G = B$
- (2)  $F \wedge G = \phi$
- (3)  $C \cap B \subset bd_X F \cap bd_X G$ .

Moreover,  $\mathcal{B}'$  can be chosen so that  $|\mathcal{B}'| \leq \max \{|\mathcal{B}|, |\mathcal{C}|\}$ .

**Proof.** For each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  use 3.3 to choose  $F(B, C), G(B, C) \in \mathcal{R}(X)$  such that  $F(B, C) \vee G(B, C) = B$ ,  $F(B, C) \wedge G(B, C) = \phi$ , and  $C \cap B \subset bd_X F(B, C) \cap bd_X G(B, C)$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}'$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  generated by  $\mathcal{B} \cup \{F(B, C), G(B, C) : B \in \mathcal{B}, C \in \mathcal{C}\}$ .  $\square$

3.5. DEFINITION. Let  $X$  be a metric space without isolated points and let  $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{R}(X)$  be a countable subalgebra of  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  that forms a basis for the closed sets of  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a family of closed and nowhere dense subsets of  $X$ . Inductively define Boolean subalgebras  $\mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{R}(X)$  by

- (1)  $\mathcal{B}_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{B}_0$
- (2)  $\mathcal{B}_{n+1}(\mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{C}))'$ ,

where  $(\mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{C}))'$  is as in Lemma 3.4. Put  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}) = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{C})$  and observe that  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C})$  is a Boolean subalgebra of  $\mathcal{R}(X)$ .

**Proof of 3.1.** Let  $X = \bigcup_{n < \omega} C_n$  where the  $C_n$ 's are compact and nowhere dense. Put  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_n : n < \omega\}$ . In addition, let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a countable basis for the closed subsets of  $X$  which is a Boolean subalgebra of  $\mathcal{R}(X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C})$  (see the preceding definition). Notice that  $\mathcal{A}$  is countable. Let  $E_{\mathcal{A}}X$  and  $\pi$  be as in 1.5.

Since  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact and nowhere locally compact, and since  $\pi$  is a perfect map,  $E_{\mathcal{A}}X$  is also  $\sigma$ -compact and nowhere locally compact by 1.2. Evidently  $E_{\mathcal{A}}X$  is a separable zero-dimensional metric space. Hence to show that  $E_{\mathcal{A}}X$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{C}$  it suffices by 1.1(d) to show that each non-empty open set of  $E_{\mathcal{A}}X$  is uncountable. Let  $V$  be a non-empty open subset of  $E_{\mathcal{A}}X$ . As  $\pi$  is irreducible  $X \setminus \pi[E_{\mathcal{A}}X \setminus V] \neq \phi$ . If  $p \in X \setminus \pi[E_{\mathcal{A}}X \setminus V]$  then  $\pi^{-1}(p) \subset V$ . Thus to show that  $E_{\mathcal{A}}X$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{C}$  it suffices to show that  $\pi^{-1}(x_0)$  is uncountable for each  $x_0 \in X$ . As  $\pi^{-1}(x_0)$  is a compact metric subspace of  $E_{\mathcal{A}}X$ , this is equivalent to showing that  $\pi^{-1}(x_0)$  contains a Cantor space. We will in fact show that  $\pi^{-1}(x_0)$  is a Cantor set.

There exists  $n \in \omega$  such that  $x_0 \in C_n$ . Since  $\pi^{-1}(x_0)$  is a compact zero-dimensional separable metric space, we only need to show that  $\pi^{-1}(x_0)$  contains no isolated points. Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\alpha$  is an isolated point of

$\pi^{-1}(x_0)$ . Then we can find  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  so that  $\lambda(A) \cap \pi^{-1}(x_0) = \{\alpha\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{B}_n(\mathcal{C})$  there is an  $m \in \omega$  so that  $A \in \mathcal{B}_m(\mathcal{C})$ . Notice that  $x_0 \in C_n \cap A$ . Hence, by construction, there are  $F, G \in \mathcal{B}_{m+1}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{A}$  so that

- (1)  $F \vee G = A$
- (2)  $F \wedge G = \phi$
- (3)  $A \cap C_n \subset bd_x F \cap bd_x G$ .

Since  $F \vee G = A$ , without loss of generality,  $F \in \alpha$ , which implies that  $G \notin \alpha$  since  $F \wedge G = \phi$ . We claim that  $\lambda(G) \cap \pi^{-1}(x_0) \neq \phi$  which is a contradiction since

$$\lambda(G) \cap \pi^{-1}(x_0) \subset (\lambda(A) - \{\alpha\}) \cap \pi^{-1}(x_0) = \phi.$$

Define  $\mathcal{F}$  to be  $\{B \in \mathcal{B} : x_0 \in \text{int}_X B\} \cup \{G\}$ . Since  $x_0 \in A \cap C_n \subset bd_x G \subset G$  and since  $G \in \mathcal{A}$ , the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is a subfamily of  $\mathcal{A}$  whose finite subfamilies have non-empty infima in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F}$  can be extended to an ultrafilter  $\beta$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ . As  $\mathcal{B}$  is a base for the closed sets of  $X$ ,  $\beta \in \pi^{-1}(x_0)$ ; since  $\beta \in \lambda(G)$  we have derived the desired contradiction.  $\square$

3.7. COROLLARY. *There is a perfect irreducible map from  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{C}$  onto  $\mathbf{Q}$ .*

§4. **Perfect images of non-Baire spaces.** In this section we consider perfect, and perfect irreducible, images of  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ . Our results for perfect images are similar to those in 2.2 (except for  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{C}$ ), but those for perfect irreducible images are quite different from the analogous results in 2.2.

4.1. THEOREM. *A perfect zero-dimensional image of  $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P})$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P})$ .*

**Proof.** This follows from 1.1(a), 1.1(f), and 1.2.  $\square$

4.2. EXAMPLE. Let  $f : \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  be the perfect irreducible map provided in 3.7. We may assume that  $|f^{-1}(q)| = c$  for each  $q \in \mathbf{Q}$ . Let  $1_{\mathbf{P}}$  be the identity map on  $\mathbf{P}$ . Then  $f \times 1_{\mathbf{P}} : \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$  is perfect (see [D]) and irreducible. As noted in Fig. 1,  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{P}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ . Obviously  $|f^{-1}(x)| = c$  for each  $x \in \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$ , so there is no dense subset  $S$  of  $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{P}$  such that  $f \upharpoonright f^{-1}[S]$  is a homeomorphism onto  $S$ . This contrasts with 2.2.

4.3. EXAMPLE. Let  $X = \mathbf{Q} \times 2$  with the topology induced by the lexicographic ordering on  $X$ . Evidently  $X$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$  be defined by  $\pi((q, i)) = q$  ( $q \in \mathbf{Q}, i = 1, 2$ ). It is easily seen that  $\pi$  is a perfect irreducible surjection such that  $|\pi^{-1}(x)| = 2$  for each  $x \in \mathbf{Q}$ .

It is known that if  $f$  is a continuous surjection from a space  $H$  onto a space  $K$  and if  $S$  is a dense subspace of  $H$  such that  $f \upharpoonright S : S \rightarrow f[S]$  is a homeomorphism, then  $f[H \setminus S] = K \setminus f[S]$  (see 6.11 of [GJ]). Thus if  $y \in f[S]$  then  $|f^{-1}(y)| = 1$ . As  $|\pi^{-1}(q)| = 2$  for each  $q \in \mathbf{Q}$ , there is no dense subset  $S$  of  $\mathbf{Q}$  such that  $\pi \upharpoonright S$  is a homeomorphism from  $S$  onto  $\pi[S]$ . This concludes the example.

## REFERENCES

- [AU] P. Alexandroff and P. Urysohn, *Über nulldimensionale Punktmengen*, Math. Ann. **98** (1928), 89–106.
- [B] L. E. J. Brouwer, *On the structure of perfect sets of points*, Proc. Akad. Amsterdam **12** (1910), 785–794.
- [D] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1966.
- [E] R. Engelking, *Outline of general topology*, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1968.
- [GJ] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton 1960.
- [vM] J. van Mill, *Characterization of some zero-dimensional separable metric spaces*, pre-print.
- [PW] J. R. Porter and R. G. Woods, *Nowhere dense subsets of metric spaces with applications to Stone-Čech compactifications*, Canad. J. Math. **24** (1972), 622–630.
- [Si] W. Sierpiński, *Sur une propriété topologique des ensembles denombrables en soi*, Fund. Math. **1** (1920), 44–60.
- [Sik] R. Sikorski, *Boolean algebras*, 2nd Edition (Springer, New York, 1964).
- [St] D. P. Strauss, *Extremally disconnected spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 305–309.
- [T] F. D. Tall, *The countable chain condition versus separability—applications of Martin's axiom*, Gen. Top. Applic. **4** (1974), 315–339.
- [Wa] R. C. Walker, *The Stone-Čech compactification*, Springer, New York, 1974.
- [Wo] R. G. Woods, *A survey of absolutes of topological spaces*, Top. Structures 2, 1979, (Proceedings of the Amsterdam Symposium) Mathematische Centrum. Tracts, No. 116, 323–362.

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY,  
BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA.

UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA,  
WINNIPEG, CANADA.