

# Index

- access to effective reparation mechanism, right of, 71–5, 169
- Aceh. *See* Indonesia
- ACHR. *See* American Convention on Human Rights
- ad hoc* arbitration
  - benefits of effective, 178
  - common principles of mechanisms, 77–89
  - establishment of mechanisms, 17, 18, 39–46
  - financial basis of mechanism, 86–9
  - massive violations of human rights, 176
  - operation of mechanisms, 116–26
  - prioritising of claims, 79
  - processing of claims, 78–81
  - submission of claims, 78
  - verification of claims, 81–4
  - victim's choice of mechanism, 84–6
- Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Conventions 1949 (1977), 52, 55, 103, 104, 105, 280
- Additional Protocol II to the Geneva Conventions 1949 (1977), 62, 106, 110
- Afghanistan, 63, 276, 280
- African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, 97, 275
- African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, 99
  - obligation to make reparations, 99
  - 'transformative' reparation, 279
- African Court of Human and Peoples' Rights, 175
- aggression, crime of, 55
- American Convention on Human Rights, 97, 274
- applicable law as to armed conflict, 53–5
- Argentina, 28, 111, 144, 151, 158, 220
- armed conflict
  - applicable law, 53–5
  - designing of reparation policies, 163–74
  - harms caused by, 55–9
  - human rights and, 110–14
  - international conflicts, national implementation of reparations, 276
  - nature of, 52–3
  - non-international conflicts, international involvement in post-conflict settlement, 274
  - social causes of, 283
  - State obligation to respect and ensure, 180
- armed groups
  - legal responsibility of, 280
  - obligation to make reparations, 62–4
- assistance programmes, 173
- Austria, 101
- Baluart, David C., 219
- 'Basic Principles' for reparations (UNCHR) (2005), 30, 58, 59, 60, 63, 71, 74, 76, 103, 147, 149, 266, 271, 272, 281
- Bassiouni, M. Cherif, 30
- bilateral reparations agreements, 25
- Borchard, Edwin M., 21
- Bosnia and Herzegovina, 81, *See also* Commission for Real Property Claims of Displaced Persons and Refugees (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
- Brazil, 111, 149, 158, 217
- Çalı, Başak, 244
- Cambodia. *See* Extraordinary Chamber in the Courts of Cambodia
- Carozza, Paolo, 214

- causation between harm and violation of international law, 58–9
- CCDS. *See* Compensation Commission for international crimes perpetrated in Darfur, Sudan
- Chile, 101, 139–41, 144, 147, 156, 157, 158, 167, 168, 220
- China (People's Republic), reparations agreement with Japan, 25, 68
- China (Republic). *See* Taiwan
- claims. *See ad hoc* arbitration
- Claims Resolution Tribunal for Dormant Accounts in Switzerland (CRT-I), 40, 65, 75
- Claims Resolution Tribunal for Dormant Accounts in Switzerland (CRT-II), 41, 65, 75, 82, 83, 84, 85
- Cold War, end of, 28
- Colombia, 98, 101, 137–9, 142, 144, 146, 147, 156, 157, 158, 160, 200–6, 209–14, 215, 254, 258
- Commission for Real Property Claims of Displaced Persons and Refugees (Bosnia and Herzegovina), 40, 53, 60, 65, 74, 76, 78, 83, 85, 87, 116, 146
- Commission for the Resolution of Real Property Disputes in Iraq, 42, 146
- compensation, 2, 65
- Compensation Commission for international crimes perpetrated in Darfur, Sudan, 42, 53, 56, 72, 87
- Congo (Democratic Republic), 45, 53, 61, 64, 112, 267, 271
- Correa, Cristián, 13, 259, 265, 268, 269, 270, 272, 273, 276, 277, 278
- Costa Rica, 218
- Côte d'Ivoire, 153
- Council of Europe, membership, 246, 247
- CPB. *See* Cyprus Property Board
- crime of aggression, 55
- CRPC. *See* Commission for Real Property Claims of Displaced Persons and Refugees
- CRRPD. *See* Commission for the Resolution of Real Property Disputes in Iraq
- CRT-I. *See* Claims Resolution Tribunal for Dormant Accounts in Switzerland (CRT-I)
- CRT-II. *See* Claims Resolution Tribunal for Dormant Accounts in Switzerland (CRT-II)
- Customary International Humanitarian Law project, 52
- Cyprus, 237, 259
- Cyprus Property Board, 41, 53, 72
- Darfur. *See* Sudan
- de Greiff, Pablo, 256
- de Vos, Christian, 219
- Declaration of International Law Principles on Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict (ILA) (2010), 56, 58, 65, 280
- Declaration of the Basic Principles of Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power (1985) (Victims Declaration), 29
- democracy and violations of human rights, 218–19
- discrimination, right to equal treatment without, 76–7, 170
- displaced persons, assistance programmes, 173
- domestic laws. *See* national laws
- Donald, Alice, 245
- Duffy, Helen, 110, 112
- ECCC. *See* Extraordinary Chamber in the Courts of Cambodia
- ECtHR. *See* European Convention on Human Rights
- ECtHR. *See* European Court of Human Rights
- EECC. *See* Eritrea–Ethiopia Claims Commission
- 'effective control' concept, 111
- El Salvador, 28, 254
- enforced disappearances, 95
- Engstrom, Par, 219
- equal treatment, non-discrimination and, 76–7
- Eritrea–Ethiopia Claims Commission
- assessment of implementation mechanism, 169, 276
  - causation standard, 58
  - choice of arbitration mechanism, 85
  - claims by juridical persons, 60
  - design of reparation policies, 158
  - establishment of, 17, 41, 116
  - monetary compensation, 58
  - operation of reparation mechanism, 121–6
  - processing of claims, 78
  - registration of victims, 170
  - restitution, 58
  - right to be heard, 76

- seriousness level of human rights violations, 57
- European Commission on Human Rights, 246
- European Convention on Human Rights, 97, 248, 274
- European Court of Human Rights
  - case load, 246–7, 249
  - changes in approach to subsidiarity, 226–36
  - co-applicability of human rights and international humanitarian law, 112
  - examination of large-scale rights violations, 158, 159
  - ‘international law test’ of national reparation mechanisms, 253–7
  - inter-state complaints concerning large-scale violations during armed conflict, 233–6
  - jurisprudence on national reparation programmes, 236–44
  - national implementation of right to reparation, 14, 274, 276
  - and new Council of Europe Member States, 247–8
  - obligation to make reparations, 97
  - pilot judgment procedure, 227–31
  - ‘public policy’ test of national reparation mechanisms, 257–9
  - ‘qualified deference’ to national laws, 14, 159
  - quasi-pilot judgments, 231–3
  - rationale for approach to subsidiarity, 244–9
  - registration of victims, 170
  - remedial measures, 250–2
  - right to reparation, 174
  - standard approach to subsidiarity, 222–6
  - State responsibility, 111
  - strengthening of implementation of judgments, 248–9
  - subsidiarity, 14
  - testing of national reparation programmes, 252
- Evans, Christine, 117
- evidence, flexible standards of, 170–2
- Extraordinary Chamber in the Courts of Cambodia, 59
- Falkland Islands War, 28
- ‘football war’ (El Salvador v. Honduras) (1980), 28
- forced labour. *See* German Forced Labour Compensation Programme
- France
  - and ICC Statute, 38
  - right to reparation, 174
  - Suez Crisis (1956), 27
- Furuya, Shuichi, 12, 265, 268, 269, 272, 274, 277, 280
- Geneva Conventions 1949, 62, 69, 103, 106, 110, *See also* Additional Protocol
- German Forced Labour Compensation Programme, 41, 65, 78, 83, 85, 145, 152, 168, 250
- Germany
  - compensation mechanisms, 101
  - domestic reparation cases, 46, 49, 50
  - incorporation of international law rules, 10
  - international rehabilitation after World War II, 116
  - Polish reparation claims, 23, 149
  - post-World War I reparations, 20
  - post-World War II reparations, 23
  - recognition of right to reparation, 107
  - review of reparations procedures, 276
  - right to reparation, 10, 174
- GFLCP. *See* German Forced Labour Compensation Programme
- Greece, 46
- Greenwood, Christopher, 112
- guarantees of non-repetition, 2, 65
- Guatemala, 53, 129–31, 144, 150, 157, 158, 160, 190–3, 197–200, 204, 206–8, 258, 259, 261, 278
- Guillerot, Julie, 221
- Hague Convention 1907, 21, 48, 52, 62, 104, 110
- harm. *See* victims
- Holocaust Victim Assets Programme, 41, 65, 85
- Honduras, 28
- Housing and Property Claims Commission (Kosovo), 41, 53, 60, 64, 65, 76, 78, 81, 84, 88, 146, 168
- HPCC. *See* Housing and Property Claims Commission
- human rights
  - application to armed conflict, 110–14
  - armed groups, legal responsibility of, 280, 281
  - backlash against, 282
  - definition of most serious violations, 165
  - democracies and violations of, 218–19
  - focus on individual victim, 13
  - gross violations and serious violations, 56

- human rights (cont.)  
 impact on right to reparation, 270–3  
 and international criminal law, 269  
 and international humanitarian law, 110, 268–70  
 interplay of national administrative reparation and regional human rights courts, 158–60, 181  
 massive violations, 176  
 neo-Marxist perspective on, 282  
 obligation to make reparations, 94  
 post-colonialist perspective on, 282  
 recognition of individual right to reparation, 92, 179, 268, 271  
 regional human rights courts. *See* European Court of Human Rights; Inter-American Court of Human Rights  
 regional instruments, 97  
 remedies for violations of, 31  
 right to reparation, 95–102, 110–14, 174  
 State obligation to respect and ensure, 180  
 subsidiarity and, 182–7
- HVAP. *See* Holocaust Victim Assets Programme
- IACtHR. *See* Inter-American Court of Human Rights
- ICC. *See* International Criminal Court
- ICCPR. *See* International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights
- ICHEIC. *See* International Commission on Holocaust Era Insurance Claims
- ICJ. *See* International Court of Justice
- ICRC. *See* International Committee of the Red Cross
- ICTR. *See* International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
- ICTY. *See* International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia
- IHL. *See* international humanitarian law
- IHRL. *See* human rights
- ILA. *See* International Law Association
- ILC. *See* International Law Commission
- IMT. *See* International Military Tribunal (Nuremberg)
- IMTFE. *See* International Military Tribunal for the Far East (Tokyo)
- individuals  
 ‘humanisation’ of international law, 281  
 ‘individualisation’ of war, 280  
 obligation to make reparations, 64–5  
 recognition under human rights law and international law, 92  
 right to reparation. *See* right to reparation victims. *See* victims
- Indonesia, 160
- Inter-American Commission on Human Rights, 159, 205, 207, 208, 217
- Inter-American Court of Human Rights  
 awards, 168  
 and change in nature of litigation, 220–2  
 and change to democracy, 218–19  
 and changes in types of human rights violations perpetrated, 217–18  
 compensation measures, 204–9  
 definition of reparation measures, 147  
 design of reparation policies, 158  
 examination of large-scale rights violations, 158  
 identification of recipients of reparation, 168  
 implementation of right to reparation, 14, 187–90  
 ‘international law test’ of national reparation mechanisms, 253–7  
 and national reparation programmes, 197–209  
 obligation to make reparations, 97  
 ‘public policy’ test of national reparation mechanisms, 257–9  
 ‘qualified deference’ to national laws, 14, 206, 214–16  
 and regional political context, 217–18  
 rehabilitation measures, 201–4  
 restitution and development measures, 204  
 right to reparation, 175, 266  
 subsidiarity, 14, 190, 209–14, 216–22  
 testing of national reparation programmes, 252  
 ‘transformative’ reparation, 278
- International Commission on Holocaust Era Insurance Claims, 40, 65, 75, 84, 85
- International Committee of the Red Cross  
 commentary to Geneva Conventions 1949 (1952), 70, 103  
 Customary International Humanitarian Law project, 52, 106
- International Court of Justice  
 applicable law, 53  
 co-applicability of human rights and international humanitarian law, 112  
 lump sum settlements, 70  
 recognition of right to reparation, 271

- right to reparation, 174
- State responsibility, 112
- victim-oriented focus, 44
- international courts
  - establishment of, 32
  - 'qualified deference' to national laws, 14
  - recognition of right to reparation, 270
  - victim-oriented focus, 29–33
- International Covenant of Civil and Political Rights, 53, 95, 113, 274
- International Criminal Court
  - collective reparations awards, 60
  - crime of aggression, 55
  - defendants, 269
  - establishment of, 32
  - individual liability, 64
  - liability for reparations, 280
  - processing of claims, 80
  - recognition of right to reparation, 108
  - referrals to, 117
  - right of access, 74, 76
  - right to equal treatment without discrimination, 76
  - right to reparation, 266
  - seriousness level of human rights violations, 57
  - Trust Fund for Victims, 7, 60, 61, 88, 270
  - victim-oriented focus, 22, 37–9
- international criminal law
  - and human rights, 269
  - interaction with other subfields of international law, 269
- International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda
  - establishment of, 32
  - victim-oriented focus, 34–7
- International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia
  - establishment of, 32
  - victim-oriented focus, 34–7
- international human rights law. *See* human rights
- international humanitarian law
  - applicable law as to violations of, 54
  - armed groups, legal responsibility of, 280
  - human rights and, 110, 268–70
  - ICRC Customary International Humanitarian Law project, 52, 106
  - ius ad bellum*, 55
  - recognition of individual claimants, 268
  - recognition of individual right to reparation, 94, 268, 271
  - remedies for violations of, 31
  - right to reparation, 103–10
- international law
  - harms caused by violations of, 55–9
  - 'humanisation' of, 281
  - incorporation in national laws, 10
  - interaction between subfields of, 269
  - 'international law test' of national reparation mechanisms, 14, 253–7
  - interplay with national laws, 265, 273–7
  - limitations of, 283
  - pluralist discourse on, 265
  - 'proceduralisation' and, 277
  - recognition of individual claimants, 92, 268, 281
  - State-centred perspective, 21, 22
  - violation of, 55–7
- International Law Association
  - Declaration of International Law Principles on Reparation for Victims of Armed Conflict (2010), 56, 58, 65, 280
  - obligation to make reparations, 105, 107
  - Procedural Principles for Reparation Mechanisms (2014), 72, 158, 274
  - registration of victims, 172
  - right to access effective reparation mechanism, 169
  - seriousness level of human rights violations, 56
  - types of harm, 58
- International Law Commission
  - and ICC Statute, 36
  - obligation to make reparations, 99
- International Military Tribunal (Nuremberg), 22
- International Military Tribunal for the Far East (Tokyo), 22
- international organisations. *See also* international courts
  - establishment of *ad hoc* reparation mechanisms, 17, 18, 39–46
  - testing of national implementation of right to reparation, 14
- IPCC. *See* Iraq Property Claims Commission
- Iraq, 7, 54, 58, 79, 87, 116. *See also* Commission for the Resolution of Real Property Disputes in Iraq
- Iraq Property Claims Commission, 42, 78
- Israel, 44, 53, 112

- ius ad bellum*. *See* international humanitarian law  
 Japan  
 bilateral reparations agreements, 25  
 domestic reparation cases, 48  
 post-World War II reparations, 23, 24  
 reparations agreement with China, 25, 68  
 reparations agreement with Korea, 26, 68  
 right to reparation, 174  
 and San Francisco, Treaty of (1951), 25  
 waiver of reparation claims, 25, 68
- juridical persons as victims, 60
- jus ad bellum*. *See* international humanitarian law
- just war. *See* international humanitarian law
- Kenya, 160
- Korea, reparations agreement with Japan, 26, 68
- Kosovo, 143
- Kosovo Property Claims Commission, 42, 53,  
*See also* Housing and Property Claims  
 Commission (Kosovo)
- KPCC. *See* Kosovo Property Claims  
 Commission
- Kuwait, 7, 54, 58, 79, 87, 116
- Lauterpacht, Hersch, 283
- laws of war. *See* international humanitarian  
 law
- limitation of reparations claims, 67
- litigation, change in nature of, 220–2
- Lubanga, Thomas, 45, 61, 64, 267,  
 270
- Madsen, Mikael Rask, 246
- Magarrell, Lisa, 221
- marginalised communities, 160–3, 172–4
- Marxist perspective on human rights, 282
- Mexico, 279
- Morocco, 149, 153, 157, 160, 161
- Nairobi Declaration on Women's and Girls'  
 Right to a Remedy and Reparation (2007),  
 45, 72
- national courts  
 reparation mechanisms, 175  
 review of reparations decisions, 46–51
- national laws  
 balance between legal mechanisms and  
 political mechanisms, 277–9
- examples of reparation programmes, 129–41  
 features of reparation programmes, 141–63  
 focus on groups of victims, 13  
 implementation of right to reparation, 13, 14  
 international law rules as part of, 10  
 'international law test' of, 14  
 interplay with international law, 265, 273–7  
 lump sum reparations settlements before  
 1990s, 27–8  
 obstacles to reparation claims, 17  
 'proceduralisation' and, 277  
 'public policy' test of national reparation  
 implementation, 14  
 'public policy' test of national reparation  
 mechanisms, 257–9  
 'qualified deference' to, 14, 159, 206, 214–16  
 and regional human right courts, 158–60, 181  
 reparation mechanisms, 126–63, 175, 278  
 reparations law before World War  
 II, 20–2  
 settlement of World War II reparations  
 claims, 22–7  
 neo-Marxist perspective on human rights, 282
- Nepal, 143
- Netherlands, 101
- non-repetition. *See* guarantees of non-  
 repetition
- Nuremberg war crimes trials, 22
- Palestine, 44, 53, 112
- Permanent Court of Arbitration, 116
- Permanent Court of International Justice, 67
- Peru, 100, 131–5, 142, 144, 147, 151, 154, 156, 157,  
 158, 160, 162, 168, 171, 173, 193–4, 195–7,  
 209, 221
- Philippines, 53, 63, 280
- pilot judgments. *See* European Court of  
 Human Rights
- Poland, 149, 237–9, 250, 252, 255, 258
- political mechanisms for reparation, 277–9
- post-colonialist perspective on human  
 rights, 282
- Procedural Principles for Reparation  
 Mechanisms (ILA) (2014), 72, 274
- procedure  
 adequacy of, 12  
 advantages of comprehensive and large-scale  
 reparation processes, 164–5  
 definition of procedural mechanisms, 156–8  
 enhancement of implementation by  
 subsidiarity, 219–20

- estimation of funding requirements, 166–7
- procedural right to reparation, 71–7
- ‘proceduralisation’ at international-national law interface, 277
- refinement of procedural obligations, 276
- registration of victims, 170–2
- rules for implementation, 169–70
- standardised measures, 167–9
- and substantive right to reparation, 18, 19, 90
- victim-oriented, 16
- ‘public policy’ test of national laws, 14, 257–9
- ‘qualified deference’ to national laws, 14, 206, 214–16
- racial discrimination, 95
- reconstruction policies, 173
- refugees, assistance programmes, 173
- regional human rights courts. *See* European Court of Human Rights; Inter-American Court of Human Rights
- rehabilitation, 2, 65
- reparations
  - bilateral agreements, 25
  - collective, 60–2, 172–4
  - definition of, 177
  - definition of reparation measures, 147–54
  - denial of, 66
  - designing of reparation policies, 163–74
  - establishment of *ad hoc* reparation mechanisms, 17, 18, 39–46
  - forms of, 2, 65–7
  - individual right to. *See* right to reparation in international law, 1–5
  - limitation of claims, 67
  - lump sum settlements before 1990s, 27–8
  - national mechanisms. *See* national laws obligation to make, 62–71, 92
  - settlement of World War II claims, 22–7
  - State-centred perspective, 21, 27
  - ‘transformative’ reparation, 278
  - waiver of claims, 24, 69
- Resolution 1988/11, 30
- restitution, 2, 65
- right to be heard, 75–6
- right to reparation
  - academic arguments about, 89
  - balance between legal mechanisms and political mechanisms, 277–9
  - collective right, 60–2
  - content and elements of, 11, 12, 13, 51
  - developments towards, 5–10, 266
  - existence of, 10, 12, 13, 174
  - future prospects for, 280–4
  - and ‘hard law’/‘soft law’ (*lex feranda/lex lata*) distinction, 19, 269
  - history of, 20–51
  - human rights impact on, 270–3
  - human rights law and, 95–102, 110–14, 174
  - implementation as problem issue, 283
  - implementation mechanisms, 114
  - implementation of, 11, 12, 13, 14, 179, *See also* procedure
  - as individual right, 16, 19, 89, 179, 268, 270, 276, 281
  - international humanitarian law, 103–10
  - legal foundations for individual right, 94–114
  - level of entitlement, 272
  - limitations of law as to, 283
  - obstacles to, 17
  - practical realisation of, 114–74
  - procedural right, 71–7, *See also* procedure
  - realisability of individual right, 90
  - right to access effective reparation mechanism, 71–5, 169
  - and right to be heard, 75–6
  - and right to equal treatment without discrimination, 76–7
  - as social process, 16
  - substantive right, 12, 16, 18, 19, 90, 180
  - and transitional justice, 90
  - victim-oriented focus of, 16, 17, 18, 28–51
- Romania, 239–41, 251
- rule of law, UN Secretary-General’s report (2004), 30, 73, 76
- Russia, 249, 261
- San Francisco, Treaty of (1951), 25, 68
- Sandoval, Clara, 14, 265, 268, 270, 273, 275, 282
- satisfaction, 2, 65
- SCSL. *See* Special Court for Sierra Leone
- Sierra Leone, 136–7, 143, 152, 155, 156, 157
- Slovenia, 241, 252, 275
- South Africa, 151, 284
- Special Court for Sierra Leone, 62
- Speck, Anne Katrin, 245
- State laws. *See* national laws
- State responsibility
  - ‘effective control’ concept, 111
  - elements of basis of, 111
  - interaction with other subfields of international law, 269

- States
- abuse of subsidiarity, 190
  - bilateral reparations agreements, 25
  - obligation to make reparations, 62, 92
  - obligation to respect and ensure human rights, 180
  - State-centred perspective of international law, 21, 22
  - State-centred perspective on reparations, 20, 21, 22, 27
- subsidiarity. *See also* European Court of Human Rights; Inter-American Court of Human Rights
- abuse of by States, 190
  - enhancement of implementation of reparation right, 219–20
  - and human rights, 182–7
  - importance of, 260–4
  - and interplay of international and national laws, 14, 181
- Sudan, 42, 63
- Suez Crisis (1956), 27
- Switzerland
- Claims Resolution Tribunal for Dormant Accounts (CRT-I), 40, 65, 75, 82, 83, 85
  - Claims Resolution Tribunal for Dormant Accounts (CRT-II), 41, 65, 75, 83, 84, 85
- Taiwan, reparations agreement with Japan, 25
- Tokyo war crimes trials, 22
- torture, 95
- ‘transformative’ reparation, 278
- transitional justice
- process of, 278
  - and right to reparation, 90
  - UN Secretary-General’s report (2004), 30, 73
- Trindade, Antônio Cançado, 281
- Trust Fund for Victims. *See* International Criminal Court
- Tunisia, 160, 161
- Turkey, 158, 237, 241–4, 247, 249, 250, 251, 259, 261
- Tuyuc, Rosalina, 150
- Uganda, 112, 271
- Ukraine, 249
- UN. *See* United Nations
- UNCC. *See* United Nations Compensation Commission
- UNCHR. *See* United Nations Commission on Human Rights
- United Kingdom, 28
- Falkland Islands War, 28
  - and ICC Statute, 39
- United Nations
- ad hoc* reparation mechanisms, 39–46
  - victim-oriented focus, 29–33
- United Nations Charter, 94
- United Nations Commission on Human Rights. *See also* ‘Basic Principles’ for reparations (2005)
- United Nations Compensation Commission
- choice of arbitration mechanism, 85
  - design of reparation policies, 158
  - establishment of, 17, 40, 87, 116
  - identification of recipients of reparation, 168
  - and *ius ad bellum*, 54
  - monetary compensation, 65
  - operation of reparation mechanism, 118–21
  - processing of claims, 78, 79
  - property claims, inclusion of, 146
  - right to be heard, 76
  - verification of claims, 81, 83
- United Nations Congresses on the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders, 29
- United Nations Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice Programme, 29
- United Nations General Assembly. *See also* ‘Basic Principles’ for reparations (UNCHR) (2005)
- Resolution 1988/11, 30
  - Sub-Commission on the Prevention of Discrimination and Protection of Minorities, 30
  - Victims Declaration (1985), 29, 58
- United Nations Human Rights Committee
- ‘effective control’ concept, 111
  - recognition of right to reparation, 96
- United Nations Secretary-General, report on rule of law and transitional justice in conflict and post-conflict societies (2004), 30, 73, 76
- United Nations Security Council
- referrals to, 116
  - resolutions, 86, 87, 116
- United Nations Working Group on Enforced Disappearances, 167
- United States, right to reparation, 174



- Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 94, 95
- Updated Set of Principles for the Protection and Promotion of Human Rights through Action to Combat Impunity (UNCHR) (2005), 33, 65, 72, 74, 75
  - claims by juridical persons, 60
  - competence, 58
  - and organised armed groups, 63
- Uruguay, 111
- van Boven, Theo, 30, 104
- Venezuela, 218
- Versailles, Treaty of (1919), 20
- victims
  - causation between harm and violation of international law, 58–9
  - choice of arbitration mechanism, 84–6
  - collectivity of, 60–2
  - definition of, 31, 59
  - elements of definition of, 51–62
  - harm caused by violations of international law, 55–9
  - inclusion in reparation programmes, 142–7
  - juridical persons, 60
  - marginalised communities, 160–3
  - occurrence of harm to, 58
  - persons to be redressed, 60–2
  - recognition of right to reparation, 270
  - registration of, 154–6, 170–2
  - UN focus on, 29–33
  - victim-oriented focus of reparation programmes, 16, 17, 18, 28–51
- Victims Declaration (1985), 29, 58, 72, 74, 76
- Vietnam War, 27
- waiver of reparations claims, 24, 69
- war. *See also* armed conflict
  - ‘individualisation’ of, 280
  - laws of. *See* international humanitarian law
- World War I, 20
- World War II
  - reparation cases in Germany and Japan, 46–51
  - settlement of reparations claims, 22–7
- Zegveld, Liesbeth, 106