

ABSOLUTE PURITY

DAVID J. FIELDHOUSE

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper we use the Bourbaki [1] conventions for rings and modules: all rings are associative but not necessarily commutative and have a 1; all modules are unital.

Our purpose is to extend and simplify some recent results of Maddox [7], Megibben [8], Enochs [3], and the author [5] on absolutely pure modules by introducing several new dimensions, and using the absolutely pure dimension introduced by the author in [6]. This completes some work on character modules and dimension in [5] and [6].

An A -module will be called an *FFR-module* if and only if it has a resolution by finitely generated free A -modules. A cyclic module A/I , where I is a one-sided ideal, is called *fp-cyclic* if and only if I is finitely generated. We use "fp" for finitely presented (modules), i.e. a module of the form F/K where F and K are both finitely generated modules and F is free.

2. The dimensions. *Flat dimensions.* For any left A -module M we define $\text{fl } M = \inf\{n \mid \text{Tor}^{n+1}(X, M) = 0 \text{ for all right } A\text{-modules } X\}$. In [6] we have shown that $\text{fl } M = \inf\{n \mid \text{Tor}^{n+1}(X, M) = 0 \text{ for all fp cyclic } X\}$. This is the usual weak dimension of Cartan-Eilenberg [2]. We define also $\text{fl}' M = \inf\{n \mid \text{Tor}^{n+1}(X, M) = 0 \text{ for all FFR-modules } X\}$. If these infs have no finite value we write $\text{fl } M = \infty$, etc.

Absolutely pure dimension. We define

$$\text{ap } M = \inf\{n \mid \text{Ext}^{n+1}(X, M) = 0 \text{ for } \bar{\text{all}} \text{ fp modules } X\}.$$

Some basic properties of this dimension were established in [6].

Injective dimensions. We define:

(1) $\text{inj } M = \inf\{n \mid \text{Ext}^{n+1}(X, M) = 0 \text{ for all } X\}$, which is also equal to $\inf\{n \mid \text{Ext}^{n+1}(X, M) = 0 \text{ for all cyclic } X\}$.

(2) $\text{inj}' M = \inf\{n \mid \text{Ext}^{n+1}(X, M) = 0 \text{ for all FFR-modules } X\}$.

(3) $\text{winj } M = \inf\{n \mid \text{Ext}^{n+1}(X, M) = 0 \text{ for all fp cyclics } X\}$, which is called the weak injective dimension.

Projective dimension. we define

$$\text{pr } M = \inf\{n \mid \text{Ext}^{n+1}(M, X) = 0 \text{ for all } X\}.$$

We use capitals to denote the corresponding global dimensions: thus

Received April 26, 1972.

$\text{FL}(A)$ = weak or flat global dimensions of the ring $A = \sup \text{fl } M$, with the \sup taken over all modules M . When only one ring A is under consideration we sometimes just write FL in place of $\text{FL}(A)$, etc. Some of these dimensions are of course one-sided, in which case they are denoted as $\text{l.INJ}'$, r.AP , etc.

If d denotes any one of these dimensions then clearly $d' \leq d$, i.e. $d'M \leq dM$ for all M , and hence $D'(A) \leq D(A)$. We have also: $\text{inj}' \leq \text{ap} \leq \text{inj}$ and $\text{winj} \leq \text{ap} \leq \text{inj}$ with corresponding results for the global dimension case. It is also well-known that $\text{INJ} = \text{PR} =$ the global dimension in the sense of Cartan-Eilenberg [2].

3. Relationship between the dimensions. Character modules. For any A -module M we define its character module:

$$M^* = \text{Hom}_Z(M, Q/Z).$$

Further details may be found in [5]. In particular we shall frequently use the fact that $M = 0$ if and only if $M^* = 0$.

The following theorem extends earlier results of the author in [5] and [6], and completely describes the relationship between dimension and character modules.

THEOREM 3.1. *For any module M we have*

- (1) $\text{fl}' M = \text{inj}' M^*$;
- (2) $\text{inj}' M = \text{fl}' M^*$;
- (3) $\text{fl } M = \text{winj } M^* = \text{ap } M^* = \text{inj } M^*$.

Proof. We use two basic isomorphisms:

$$(i) \quad \text{Ext}^n(N, M^*) \simeq (\text{Tor}^n(N, M))^*$$

which is valid for all right A -modules N , left A -modules M , and all $n \geq 1$, and

$$(ii) \quad (\text{Ext}^n(N, M))^* \simeq \text{Tor}^n(M^*, N)$$

which is valid for all left A -modules M , all left FFR A -modules N , and all $n \geq 1$.

We have used (i) in [5] and remark that (ii) is a slight extension of our results in [6]. We now show (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fl}' M \leq n &\Leftrightarrow \text{Tor}^{n+1}(N, M) = 0 \text{ for all FFR } N \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+1}(N, M^*) = 0 \text{ for all FFR } N \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{inj}' M \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

(2) is shown in exactly the same way. For (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{inj } M^* \leq n &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+1}(N, M^*) = 0 \text{ for all } N \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \text{Tor}^{n+1}(N, M) = 0 \text{ for all } N \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \text{fl } M \leq n \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \text{Tor}^{n+1}(N, M) = 0 \text{ for all fp cyclic } N \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+1}(N, M^*) = 0 \text{ for all fp cyclic } N \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \text{winj } M^* \leq n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{winj} \leq \text{ap} \leq \text{inj}$ we have the desired result.

COROLLARY 1. *For any ring A we have:*

$$r.\text{INJ}' = 1.\text{FL}' \leq \text{FL} \leq 1.\text{WINJ} \leq 1.\text{AP} \leq 1.\text{INJ} = 1.\text{PR}$$

and $1.\text{INJ}' = r.\text{FL}'$. Also: $r.$ could replace $1.$ in last four terms.

Proof. $r.\text{INJ}' = \sup \text{inj}' M = \sup \text{fl}' M^* \leq \sup \text{fl}' N = 1.\text{FL}'$, for M right A -module, and N left A -module. Similarly $1.\text{FL}' \leq r.\text{INJ}'$. The other statements are clear.

COROLLARY 2. *We have that $\text{fl}' M = \text{fl}' M^{**}$ and $\text{inj}' M = \text{inj}' M^{**}$ for all modules M .*

4. Coherent rings. We recall that a ring A is called *left coherent* if and only if every finitely generated left ideal is finitely presented. (See Bourbaki [1]).

THEOREM 4.1. *If A is a left coherent ring then*

- (1) *every finitely presented left A -module is an FFR-module.*
- (2) $\text{ap } M = \text{inj}' M = \text{winj}' M$ for all left A -modules M .
- (3) $\text{fl } M = \text{fl}' M$ for all left A -modules M .

Proof. (1) is given in [5]. To show (2) note that by (1) we have $\text{ap } M = \text{inj}' M$ for all M . Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{ap } M \leq n &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+1}(N, M) = 0 \text{ for all fp } N \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \text{Tor}^{n+1}(M^*, N) = 0 \text{ for all fp } N \\
 &\quad \text{using the second isomorphism and (1)} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \text{Tor}^{n+1}(M^*, N) = 0 \text{ for all fp cyclic } N \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+1}(N, M) = 0 \text{ for all fp cyclic } N \\
 &\Leftrightarrow \text{winj } M \leq n.
 \end{aligned}$$

(3) follows from (1) and the definitions.

COROLLARY. *If A is left coherent then $1.\text{AP} = 1.\text{WINJ} = 1.\text{INJ}' = r.\text{FL}' = \text{FL}$*

5. Global dimensions. We can now give alternative characterizations of the global dimensions, which will be used in the next section.

THEOREM 5.1. *For any ring A we have*

- (1) $\text{PR} = \text{INJ} = \sup(\text{pr } M|M \text{ cyclic})$
- (2) $\text{AP} = \sup(\text{pr } M|M \text{ finitely presented})$
- (3) $\text{WINJ} = \sup(\text{pr } M|M \text{ fp cyclic})$
- (4) $\text{r.INJ}' = \sup(\text{pr } M|M \text{ is right FFR})$
 $= \text{l.FL}' = \sup(\text{fl } M|M \text{ is left FFR})$
- (5) $\text{FL} = \sup(\text{fl } M|M \text{ is fp cyclic})$

Proof. (1) is well-known and is given in Cartan-Eilenberg [2] For (2) we have $\text{AP}(A) \leq n \Leftrightarrow \text{ap}N \leq n$ for all N

$$\begin{aligned} &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+1}(M, N) = \mathbf{0} \text{ for all } N \text{ and for} \\ &\quad \text{all fp modules } M \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{pr } M \leq n \text{ for all fp } M \\ &\Leftrightarrow \sup \text{pr } M \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the other parts is similar.

COROLLARY. *If any one of these dimensions is ≥ 1 , we have, for example,*

$$\text{AP} = 1 + \sup \text{pr } K,$$

with the sups taken over all finitely generated submodules of (finitely generated) free (or projective) modules.

For WINJ and INJ we need only look at the dimension of the one-sided ideals in this case.

6. Special cases.

THEOREM 6.1. *The following statements are equivalent for any ring A :*

- (1) $\text{AP}(A) = 0$;
- (2) $\text{WINJ}(A) = 0$;
- (3) $\text{FL}(A) = 0$;
- (4) A is von Neuman regular; where in (1) and (2) either l. or r. may be used.

Proof. Clearly (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) and (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) is well-known. But if A is regular then all submodules are pure (see [4]). Hence (4) \Rightarrow (1). It is also well-known that (3) and (4) are left-right symmetric.

THEOREM 6.2. *For any ring A the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) A is left semihereditary, but not regular.
- (2) $\text{l.AP}(A) = 1$.
- (3) $\text{l.WINJ}(A) = 1$.
- (4) $\text{FL}(A) = 1$ and A is left coherent.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). In Cartan-Eilenberg [2] it is shown that finitely generated submodules of projective left modules are projective if and only if the ring is left semihereditary. Hence by Theorem 5.1 we have $\text{l.AP}(A) \leq 1$. But by Theorem 6.1 $\text{AP}(A) \neq 0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). By Theorem 5.1 all finitely generated left ideals are projective and hence A is left semihereditary. By Theorem 6.1 A is not regular.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Clearly $\text{WINJ}(A) \leq 1$ and cannot be zero by Theorem 6.1.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Again $\text{FL}(A) = 1$. By Theorem 5.1 every finitely generated left ideal is projective, hence finitely presented, and A is left coherent.

(4) \Rightarrow (2). Since A is left coherent we can use the corollary of Theorem 4.1.

Remark 1. Using Theorem 6.1 (as in the proof) we could delete “regular” from statement (1) of the Theorem and write ≤ 1 in place of $= 1$ everywhere.

Remark 2. These results extend and simplify some of the results of Enochs [3].

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre commutative*, Chapter I (Hermann, Paris, 1961).
2. Cartan-Eilenberg, *Homological algebra* (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1956).
3. E. Enochs, *On absolutely pure modules* (to appear).
4. D. Fieldhouse, *Pure theories*, Math. Ann. *189* (1969), 1–18.
5. ——— *Character modules*, Comment. Math. Helv. *46* (1971), 274–276.
6. ——— *Character modules, dimension, and purity* (to appear).
7. B. Maddox, *Absolutely pure modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *18* (1967), 155–158.
8. C. Megibben, *Absolutely pure modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *26* (1970), 561–566.

*University of Guelph,
Guelph, Ontario*