British Journal of Nutrition

cambridge.org/bjn

Letter to the Editor

Cite this article: Sasidharan Pillai S, Vijayakumar M, and Balakrishnan A (2025). A response to 'Carotid intima-media thickness measurement in children: is there any value to it?'. British Journal of Nutrition, page 1 of 2. doi: 10.1017/S0007114525000558

Received: 10 March 2025 Accepted: 13 March 2025

Corresponding author:Sabitha Sasidharan Pillai;

Email: sabithas99@gmail.com

Current affiliation: Sabitha Sasidharan Pillai, Department of Pediatrics, Pediatric Endocrinology Division, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Keck school of medicine, University of Southern California, California, USA. Phone: +1 323 361 4606.

Email: ssasidharanpillai@chla.usc.edu

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society.





A response to 'Carotid intima-media thickness measurement in children: is there any value to it?'

Sabitha Sasidharan Pillai¹, M Vijayakumar¹ and Ajitha Balakrishnan²

¹Department of Pediatrics, Institute of Maternal and Child Health, Government Medical College, Calicut, Kerala, India and ²Department of Community Medicine, Government Medical College, Thrissur, Kerala, India

Dear editor

Christian Saleh and Hrvoje Budincevic question the usefulness of carotid intima medial thickness (cIMT) as a surrogate marker of atherosclerosis in children⁽¹⁾. cIMT is an acknowledged surrogate biomarker of atherosclerosis by the American society of echocardiography as well as the association for European pediatric cardiology that gives remarkable information on vascular health in the paediatric population when other markers may not yet reflect vascular alterations⁽²⁾.

Christian Saleh and Hrvoje Budincevic argue that common carotid IMT below a certain degree does not represent local atherosclerosis but merely reflects an adaptive response to altered flow, shear stress and pressure. We agree that during childhood, mild degrees of intimamedia thickening reflect a compensatory adaptation of intimal and medial layers to pressure and flow in the absence of atherosclerotic lesions. Physiological increase in cIMT is seen in children in association with age, growth, male gender, pubertal staging, ethnicity and geographical factors. However, cIMT increase beyond a certain level represents vascular remodelling in response to known atherosclerosis risk factors, and cIMT can be partially reduced by modifying those risk factors. The cellular and molecular alterations that underlie intimal-medial thickening have been implicated in the development, progression or both of atherosclerosis. Considerable weight loss associated with a reduction in IMT demonstrates the reversibility of this early atherogenic vascular damage and advocates a link between cardiovascular risk factors and cIMT as previously depicted in cross-sectional analyses of children with obesity (2-6).

Christian Saleh and Hrvoje Budincevic mention that compared with other large arteries, atherosclerosis of the common carotid artery tends to develop relatively late in life and IMT of the common carotid artery is unlikely to represent local atherosclerosis. Though cardiovascular events are uncommon in the paediatric population, alterations of the cardiovascular system can be recognised at an early age in children. Atherogenesis starts during childhood, accelerated by modifiable risk factors such as obesity, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia and hyperglycaemia. Atherogenesis begins in the iliac arteries and abdominal aorta during childhood and is later seen in higher regions of the arterial tree. However, high-resolution vascular ultrasound as a reliable screening method has demonstrated increased cIMT in children with cardiovascular risk factors, such as obesity, hypertension and chronic kidney disease^(5,7,8). Prior studies suggest preclinical measures of atherosclerosis in the aorta could advance the assessment of preclinical atherosclerosis in paediatric studies as an addition to cIMT⁽⁹⁾. With the recent global epidemic of childhood obesity along with the corresponding increase in type 2 diabetes even in younger children, it is not surprising to see increased cIMT in younger children. The majority of studies reporting the association of risk factors with cIMT in children have been on children ≥ 8 years. Future scientific investigations are warranted to better understand the age of onset and progression of cIMT that reflect preclinical atherosclerosis in paediatric population given the changing face of obesity and type 2 diabetes in younger children.

Another concern raised by Christian Saleh and Hrvoje Budincevic is that the partial interrogation of the carotid artery (only the common carotid artery) would lead unavoidably to inaccurate reflection of the atherosclerotic burden in the investigated subjects. A consensus statement from the American society of echocardiography cIMT task force, endorsed by the society for vascular medicine recommends limiting cIMT measurements to the far wall of the common carotid artery⁽⁶⁾. This is considered the standardised measurement point for most studies in both adults and children. Regarding the cIMT measurement in our study, it was obtained according to the Mannheim cIMT consensus by the same radiologist in the end-diastolic phase^(1,10).

Finally, current data suggest that cIMT measurement offers valuable information about the cardiovascular risk when performed under standardised scanning settings and protocols. Given the progression of vascular changes throughout life, it seems advisable to detect subclinical signs



2 S. Sasidharan Pillai *et al.*

of arterial damage and atherosclerosis very early, especially in children with elevated cardiovascular risk factors and improve atherosclerotic burden by preventive measures.

Acknowledgements. No financial support.

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Sasidharan Pillai S, Vijayakumar M & Balakrishnan A (2025) Carotid intima medial thickness and its association with cardiometabolic risk factors in children with overweight and obesity: a hospital based crosssectional study. Br J Nutr (Epublication ahead of print version 27 January 2025).
- Drole Torkar A, Plesnik E, Groselj U, et al. (2020) Carotid intima-media thickness in healthy children and adolescents: normative data and systematic literature review. Front Cardiovasc Med 7, 597768.
- 3. Wunsch R, De Sousa G, Toschke AM, et al. (2006) Intima-media thickness in obese children before and after weight loss. *Pediatrics* 118, 2334–2340.
- Garibay-Nieto N, Hernández-Morán BA, Villanueva-Ortega E, et al. (2022)
 Comparison of carotid intima-media thickness in children and adults with and without obesity: a hysteresis model. Endocr Pract 28, 315–320.

 Moretti JB, Korban A, Alchourron É, et al. (2024) Carotid artery intimamedia thickness values in obese or overweight children: a meta-analysis. Eur Radiol (Epublication ahead of print version 19 December 2024).

- 6. Stein JH, Korcarz CE, Hurst RT, et al. (2008) Use of carotid ultrasound to identify subclinical vascular disease and evaluate cardiovascular disease risk: a consensus statement from the American Society of Echocardiography Carotid Intima-Media Thickness Task Force. Endorsed by the Society for Vascular Medicine [published correction appears in J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2008 Apr;21(4):376]. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 21, 93–190.
- Doyon A, Kracht D, Bayazit A, et al. (2013) Carotid artery intima-media thickness and distensibility in children and adolescents: reference values and role of body dimensions. Hypertension 62, 550–556.
- 8. Dalla Pozza R, Ehringer-Schetitska D, Fritsch P, et al. (2015) Intima media thickness measurement in children: a statement from the Association for European Paediatric Cardiology (AEPC) Working Group on Cardiovascular Prevention endorsed by the Association for European Paediatric Cardiology. Atherosclerosis 238, 380–387.
- van der Linden IA, Roodenburg R, Nijhof SL, et al. (2024) Early-life risk factors for carotid intima-media thickness and carotid stiffness in adolescence. JAMA Netw Open 7, e2434699.
- 10. Touboul PJ, Hennerici MG, Meairs S, et al. (2004) Mannheim intimamedia thickness consensus. *Cerebrovasc Dis* **18**, 346–349.