

## ANNOUNCEMENTS

The sixty-sixth Annual Meeting of the Association will be held September 8-12, 1970, at the Biltmore Hotel, Los Angeles, California.

### 1970 ANNUAL MEETING AND SLATE OF OFFICERS FOR 1970-71

The 1970 Annual Meeting of the Association will be held September 8-12 at the Biltmore Hotel, Los Angeles, California.

At the Annual Business Meeting of the Association (the particular session will be announced in *P.S.* for Summer, 1970 and in the Final Program) the Nominating Committee will propose a slate of officers for 1970-71. The Committee is composed of three members appointed by President David Easton: Frederic N. Cleaveland, University of North Carolina; Duncan MacRae, University of Chicago; and Sheldon S. Wolin, University of California, Berkeley; and three members appointed by President Karl W. Deutsch: Professor Hayward R. Alker, Massachusetts Institute of Technology; Professor John C. Wahlke, University of Iowa; and Professor J. David Singer, University of Michigan, Chairman. The Nominating Committee will propose the following officers for 1970-71:

President Elect:	Heinz Eulau, Stanford University
Vice President:	Edward Banfield, Harvard University John A. Davis, City University of New York David Spitz, Hunter College
Secretary:	Thomas R. Dye, Florida State University
Treasurer:	Donald R. Matthews, Brookings Institution
Council:	Chadwick F. Alger, Northwestern University Philip E. Converse, University of Michigan Tobe Johnson, Morehouse College David Kettler, Purdue University Joyce M. Mitchell, University of Oregon James W. Prothro, University of North Carolina Dankwart A. Rustow, Columbia University Gordon Tullock, Virginia Polytechnic Institute

### FINAL REPORT OF THE NOMINATING COMMITTEE ON ITS PROCEDURES, CRITERIA, AND RESULTING SLATE FOR 1970-71

#### 1. Establishment of the Committee

Three members (Cleaveland, Wolin, MacRae) were carried over from previous year, and the three new members (Wahlke, Alker, and Singer) were appointed by the President on 15 December 1969.

#### 2. Procedures Prior to Meeting of Committee

The first step was gathering of background information: a) constitutional constraints and precedents; b) prior decisional procedures; c) administrative and budgetary arrangements; d) list of all previous officers since 1959; e) discussion of procedures and general situation with Committee members.

With the background information in, and basic ground rules identified, we began the solicitation of suggestions from the membership of the Association. In addition to the standard announcements in the *Review* and in *P.S.*, we wrote letters asking not only for specific names of potential nominees but for suggestions regarding criteria to be applied in selecting nominees, to each of the following:

- Chairmen of Political Science Departments, for dissemination
- Former Presidents and Vice Presidents (past decade)
- Officers of various ad hoc and caucus groups

Those who had written on matters of governance in *P.S.* since its inception  
These letters went out in mid-February, and during the month that followed we received

about forty separate letters of suggestion and about ten telephoned suggestions. Most of the responses merely proposed specific names, but a few also dealt with criteria and procedures.

While awaiting replies to the above inquiries, the Committee members were engaged in more personal solicitations within their several departments, regions, and circles of acquaintances. In addition, we perused back issues of the *Review*, old programs, bibliographies, etc. to compile additional lists of possible candidates.

### 3. Meeting of the Committee

The face to face meeting of the Committee was scheduled for the weekend of 14–15 March in Denver, and the one member who was definitely unable to attend conferred at length with the Chairman by phone on two occasions prior to the meeting. One other member fell ill just before the meeting, but he was consulted via two phone conversations during the meeting. The four remaining members convened on Saturday morning, worked through the late evening, and met until noon on Sunday.

The meeting was in two distinct parts. The first morning was devoted to a clarification of the background factors, constitutional constraints, precedents, and selection criteria. At that session, we settled on the following criteria. First, it was agreed that the dominant consideration, as in the past, would be that of intellectual excellence—a slate of scholars who would represent the best in our discipline, in terms of creativity, energy, and scientific competence. Second, we recognized the need for people who—charged with the governance of the Association—could be effective and responsible officers, and best serve the membership and the community at large.

At the same time, we realized that other factors might also be important. On matters scientific, we wanted to take cognizance of such differences as the inductive-deductive, normative-empirical, traditional-behavioral, macro-micro, basic-applied, and related issues. And on matters of public policy, we wanted to take account not only of the diverse views within the discipline but of the variety of attitudes toward the Association's role in such matters. Next, there were the emerging caucus and interest groups, and a belief that their several views should find expression in the discipline's decision making bodies. Finally, recognizing that a scholar's outlook and needs may be partially a function of the type, size, location, and mission of the institution in which he works, we thought it wise to seek some balance among such institutions.

While the need for a representative slate of officers was readily accepted, we explicitly rejected any notion of allocating seats or slots to specific groupings or viewpoints. The intention was to offer a list of nominees which would demonstrate our sensitivity to the issues of the moment, represent the best traditions of the discipline, and be able to govern wisely and effectively.

In this preliminary discussion, three issues of a procedural-constitutional issue were taken up, and while the Committee as a whole makes no formal recommendations, its chairman and individual members have communicated informally with the Constitutional Revision and Procedures Committees. These were: the multiple slate idea, the eligibility of Nominating Committee members for nomination, and the implications of a nominee's withdrawal.

Having reached tentative agreements on the above, but recognizing that they would come in for further discussion when we turned to our specific mission, we devoted the balance of the two days to the consideration of specific candidates. Eventually, we arrived—via a combination of majority vote and informal consensus—at agreed choices for all positions and for one or more alternates in the event that any potential nominee declined the invitation. But in accord with our rejection of the principle of standard seats, we developed a complex contingency plan, rather than assigning alternates to specific slots. With this tentative list of candidates and alternates drawn up, we adjourned at noon on Sunday.

### 4. Post-Meeting Procedures

Following the precedent of previous years, we agreed that the Chairman would contact our choice for President-elect and that the national office staff would initially contact most of the other potential nominees. At the end of one week, a large fraction of the nominees had been contacted, and had accepted our invitation to stand for office.

But given the diversity of views within the Association, the vigor with which they are held, and the consequent possibility of contending slates, not all of our nominees were able to accept immediately. Several of them requested time to consider the matter or to

consult with colleagues, and while we reiterated our position that no faction or group would be permitted to designate its own candidate (or veto those selected by us) we could not object to their consulting with groups of like-minded political scientists. Needless to say the Chairman spent a good many hours in conversation with several of the potential candidates, explaining and justifying the emerging slate, and urging the importance of seeking reform within the framework of the Association. Even though several designees ultimately declined our invitation, these conversations offered an opportunity for the sorts of lively discussion and exchange of viewpoints which are essential to a responsible and democratic governance of the profession.

#### 5. Recommendations

Having weighed all of the above considerations, taken account of the diverse views and affiliations of the membership, and consulted widely within the discipline, we propose the slate of candidates for 1970-71 listed in the boxed announcement on page 678.

### NOTICE

#### RESOLUTIONS AND CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS AT THE ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING

In accordance with the Constitution of the American Political Science Association, the attention of members of the Association is hereby directed to the provisions of the Constitution that:

"All resolutions shall be referred to the Council for its recommendations before submission to the vote of the Association at its Annual Business Meeting." (Article VIII)

#### Article IX: Amendments

"1. Amendments to this Constitution may be proposed by the Council or by fifty (50) members of the Association. The Council shall transmit all proposed amendments to the next Annual Business Meeting and may make recommendations on those amendments originating outside the Council.

"2. The Council shall have any proposed amendment printed in an official publication of the Association prior to the next Annual Business Meeting. The Council shall then place the proposed amendment on the agenda of that Business Meeting. The Business Meeting may accept or reject the proposed amendment with or without further amendments to it. Within thirty (30) days the Executive Director shall submit amendments supported by at least forty percent of those members present and voting at the Annual Business Meeting to the entire membership for vote by mail ballot. Ballots must be returned within thirty (30) days to be counted. A proposed amendment shall be ratified if approved by a majority of those voting. An amendment shall take effect immediately upon ratification unless the amendment itself provides otherwise."

The Council of the Association will meet during the morning and afternoon of Monday, September 7, and during the morning of Tuesday, September 8. The room will be announced in the Final Program.

The Constitution of the Association, as amended by the 1969 Annual Business Meeting, is printed in the Fall, 1969 issue of *P.S.*, pp. 672-674.

PROGRAM FOR THE 1971 ANNUAL MEETING OF THE  
AMERICAN POLITICAL SCIENCE ASSOCIATION

The 67th Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association will be held at the Conrad Hilton Hotel, Chicago, Illinois, September 7-11, 1971. The Program Committee is listed below. The members of the Committee and the Program Chairman welcome suggestions from members of the profession on specific papers, specific panels, or on the general structure of the program. We would be happy to have ideas for innovation; we would be happy to be reminded of traditions we have slighted. If you have suggestions or comments on the program, please communicate to one more of those listed below. It is important to have these communications early. More definite plans for the meetings will be taking shape in the fall.

*Program Chairman:* Sidney Verba, Department of Political Science, University of Chicago

I. Research Areas (Note: in designating the research areas, no distinction is made between American politics and comparative politics. Panels will deal with either or both):

- A. Political Belief Systems and their Formation  
Denis Sullivan, Department of Government, Dartmouth College
- B. Technology and Politics  
Todd La Porte, Department of Political Science, University of California, Berkeley
- C. New Modes of Policy Analysis  
Patrick Crecine, Department of Political Science, University of Michigan
- D. Law and Social Change  
Herbert Jacob, Department of Political Science, Northwestern University
- E. Conflicts, Groups and Party Alignments  
David Kovenock, Department of Political Science, University of North Carolina
- F. Urban Politics  
Joel Aberbach, Department of Political Science, University of Michigan
- G. Art as Politics  
Claire Rosenfield, Department of English, Brown University
- H. International Relations and Organization  
Joseph Nye, Department of Government, Harvard University
- I. Political Development: New Directions  
Warren Ilchman, Department of Political Science, University of California, Berkeley
- J. Public Administration: The Administration of Social Services  
Paul Puryear, Department of Political Science, Fisk University

II. Philosophy, Theory and Method

- K. Ethical Theory  
Richard Flathman, Department of Political Science, University of Chicago
- L. Formal Theory  
Gordon Black, Department of Political Science, University of Rochester
- M. Problems of Measurement and Method  
Lester Milbrath, Department of Political Science, State University of New York, Buffalo

III. Political Science and Public Policy

- N. The Impact of the Social Sciences on Society: A Retrospect on Recent Major Policy Issues  
Graham Allison, Department of Government, Harvard University
- O. The Impact of the Social Sciences on Society: Prospects for the Major Issues of the Future  
Murray Edelman, Department of Political Science, University of Wisconsin

IV. Political Science: A Self-Evaluation

- P. Political Science as a Vocation  
Merle Kling, Department of Political Science, Washington University, St. Louis
- Q. Teaching Political Science  
G. Bingham Powell, Department of Political Science, University of California, Berkeley

# Program for the 1971 Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association

The 67th Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association will be held in **Chicago** at the Conrad Hilton Hotel, **September 7-11, 1971**. The Program Committee is listed below. The members of the Committee and the Program Chairman welcome suggestions from members of the profession on specific papers, specific panels, or on the general structure of the program. We would be happy to have ideas for innovation; we would be happy to be reminded of tradition we have slighted. If you have suggestions or comments on the program, please communicate to one or more of those listed below. It is important to have these communications early. More definite plans for the meetings will be taking shape in the fall.

Program Chairman: **Sidney Verba**  
Department of Political Science,  
University of Chicago

**I. Research Areas** (No distinction is made between American politics and Comparative politics. Panels will deal with either or both.)

- A. Political Belief Systems and their Formation  
**Dennis Sullivan**, Department of Government, Dartmouth College
  - B. Technology and Politics  
**Todd La Porte**, Department of Political Science, University of California, Berkeley
  - C. New Modes of Policy Analysis  
**Patrick Crecine**, Department of Political Science, University of Michigan
  - D. Law and Social Change  
**Herbert Jacob**, Department of Political Science, Northwestern University
  - E. Conflicts, Groups and Party Alignments  
**David Kovenock**, Department of Political Science, University of North Carolina
  - F. Urban Politics  
**Joel D. Aberbach**, Department of Political Science, University of Michigan
  - G. Art as Politics  
**Claire Rosenfield**, Department of English, Brown University
  - H. International Relations and Organization  
**Joseph S. Nye, Jr.**, Department of Government, Harvard University
  - I. Political Development: New Directions  
**Warren F. Ilchman**, Department of Political Science, University of California, Berkeley
  - J. Public Administration: The Administration of Social Services  
**Paul L. Puryear**, Department of Political Science, Fisk University
- II. Philosophy, Theory and Method**
- K. Ethical Theory  
**Richard E. Flathman**, Department of Political Science, University of Chicago
  - L. Formal Theory  
**Gordon S. Black**, Department of Political Science, University of Rochester
  - M. Problems of Measurement and Method  
**Lester Milbrath**, Department of Political Science, State University of New York, Buffalo
- III. Political Science and Public Policy**
- N. The Impact of the Social Sciences on Society: A Retrospect on Recent Major Policy Issues  
**Graham Allison**, Department of Government, Harvard University
  - O. The Impact of the Social Sciences on Society: Prospects for the Major Issues of the Future  
**Murray Edelman**, Department of Political Science, University of Wisconsin
- IV. Political Science: A Self-Evaluation**
- P. Political Science as a Vocation  
**Merle Kling**, Department of Political Science, Washington University, St. Louis
  - Q. Teaching Political Science  
**G. Bingham Powell**, Department of Political Science, University of California, Berkeley

ADDRESS FOR NEW MANUSCRIPTS  
AFTER JUNE 1, 1970

Beginning June 1, 1970, the REVIEW's Managing Editor Elect, Professor Nelson W. Polsby, will assume all responsibility for referring and making decisions on all newly submitted manuscripts and for deciding on manuscripts referred but not decided on prior to June 1. Accordingly, after June 1, 1970 all new manuscripts and all correspondence concerning manuscripts not then decided upon should be sent to:

Professor Nelson W. Polsby, Managing Editor Elect  
*American Political Science Review*  
Department of Political Science  
University of California, Berkeley  
Berkeley, California 94720

Each manuscript should be accompanied by an abstract of up to 200 words briefly describing the article's contents. All manuscripts and abstracts should be submitted **IN DUPLICATE**. They should be double-spaced and may be in typed, mimeographed, hectographed, or other legible form. Footnotes should appear at the end of the manuscript, not at the bottom of the page.

Since manuscripts are sent out anonymously for editorial evaluation, the author's name and affiliations should appear only on a separate covering page. All footnotes identifying the author should also appear on a separate page.



## ARTICLES ACCEPTED FOR FUTURE PUBLICATION\*

*September, 1970*

- Michael Aiken and Robert R. Alford, University of Wisconsin, "Community Structure and Innovation: The Case of Public Housing"
- Gordon S. Black, University of Rochester, "Some Notes on the Process of Professionalization in Politics"
- Jack Dennis, University of Wisconsin, "Support for the Institution of Elections by the Mass Public"
- John P. Diggins, University of California, Irvine, "Ideology and Pragmatism: Philosophy or Passion?"
- Melvin J. Hinich and Peter C. Ordeshook, Carnegie-Mellon University, "Plurality Maximization vs. Vote Maximization: A Spatial Analysis with Variable Participation"
- Barbara Hinckley, University of Massachusetts, "Incumbency and the Presidential Vote in Senate Elections: Defining Parameters of Sub-presidential Voting"
- Raymond F. Hopkins, Swarthmore College, "The Role of the M.P. in Tanzania"
- Chong Lim Kim, University of Iowa, "Political Attitudes of Defeated Candidates in American State Elections"
- Edward N. Muller, University of Iowa, "Cross-National Dimensions of Political Competence"
- Donald Rothchild, University of California, Davis, "Kenya's Africanization Program: Priorities of Development and Equity"
- John W. Soule and James W. Clarke, Florida State University, "Amateurs and Professionals: A Study of Delegates to the Democratic National Convention"
- Gilbert R. Winham, McMaster University, "Political Development and Lerner's Theory: Further Tests of a Causal Model"

*December, 1970*

- Joel D. Aberbach and Jack L. Walker, University of Michigan, "Political Trust and Racial Ideology"
- Hubert M. Blalock, Jr., University of North Carolina, "A Causal Approach to Non-random Measurement Errors"
- Giuseppe Di Palma and Herbert McClosky, Uni-

\* Production exigencies may occasionally necessitate publication of articles in issues other than those given in this listing.

- versity of California, Berkeley, "Personality and Conformity to Political and Social Attitudes"
- Harlan Hahn, University of California, Riverside, "Correlates of Public Sentiments on War: The Vietnam Referendum"
- Henry S. Kariel, University of Hawaii, "Creating Political Reality"
- Robert Melson, Michigan State University, and Howard Wolpe, Western Michigan University, "Modernization and the Politics of Communalism: A Theoretical Perspective"
- Edward N. Muller, University of Iowa, "The Representation of Citizens by Political Authorities: Consequences for Regime Support"
- Eric Nordlinger, Brandeis University, "Soldiers in Mufti: The Impact of Military Rule upon Economic Change in the Non-western States"
- Jerrold G. Rusk, Purdue University, "The Effect of the Australian Ballot Reform on Split Ticket Voting: 1876-1908"
- Giovanni Sartori, University of Florence, "Concept Misformation in Comparative Politics"
- Herbert F. Weisberg, University of Michigan, and Jerrold G. Rusk, Purdue University, "Dimensions of Candidate Evaluation"
- Jerzy J. Wiatr, University of Warsaw, "Political Parties, Interest Representation and Economic Development in Poland"

*March, 1971*

- James W. Clarke and E. Lester Levine, Florida State University, "Marijuana Use, Social Deviance and Political Alienation: A Study of High School Youth"
- James S. Coleman, Johns Hopkins University, "Political Money"
- Duncan MacRae, Jr., University of Chicago, "Scientific Communication, Ethical Argument, and Public Policy"
- Harvey C. Mansfield, Jr., Harvard University, "Hobbes and the Science of Indirect Government"
- Theodore R. Marmor, University of Minnesota, "Income Maintenance Alternatives: Concepts, Criteria, and Program Comparisons"
- Robert Melson, Michigan State University, "Ideology and Inconsistency: The Politics of the 'Cross-Pressured' Nigerian Worker"
- Donald S. Zagoria, Hunter College, "'Rice' and 'Feudal' Communism in India"