SHORT REPORT
Bloodstream yeast infections: a 15-month survey

D. SWINNE*, N. NOLARD, P. VAN ROOIJ AND M. DETANDT

Mycology Section, Scientific Institute of Public Health, Brussels, Belgium

SUMMARY

A 15-month survey of 412 bloodstream yeast isolates from 54 Belgian hospitals was undertaken. *Candida albicans* was the most common species (47·3%) followed by *C. glabrata* (25·7%), *C. parapsilosis* (8·0%), *C. tropicalis* (6·8%) and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (5·1%). Common predisposing factors were antibacterial therapy (45%), hospitalization in intensive care units (34%), presence of in-dwelling catheters (32%), underlying cancer (23%) and major surgery (11%). Most patients had more than one predisposing factor. Fluconazole alone or in combination with another antifungal agent was the treatment of choice for 86·6% of the cases. Susceptibility testing revealed that 93·5% were susceptible to amphotericin B, 39·6% to itraconazole, 42·8% to fluconazole and 87% to voriconazole. Resistance to azoles was more common among *C. glabrata* isolates.

Key words: Antifungal susceptibilities, bloodstream infections, candidiasis.

The use of aggressive chemotherapeutic and immuno-suppressive agents as well as broad-spectrum antimicrobials has created a large population of patients who are at increased risk of acquiring infections from fungal organisms, especially *Candida* spp. *C. albicans* accounts for over the half of all cases of invasive candidiasis but *C. glabrata* has emerged as the second most common cause and several other yeast species are also increasing in frequency [1, 2]. The first comprehensive survey of fungal infection in Belgium was carried out in 2002 [3] and the species of yeast, potential risk factors and susceptibilities to antifungal agents were defined for 211 isolates from 207 patients in 28 hospitals. We repeated the survey over a 15-month period and involved twice the number of patients and hospital centres to assess whether the earlier findings hold true.

Fifty-five centres contributed isolates to the study between June 2005 and September 2006. Isolates were subcultured on Sabouraud's agar for 24 h at 35 °C and identified by standard methods [4, 5].

Susceptibility testing was performed and interpreted as described in the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) breakpoints guidelines [6]. Antifungal drugs tested were amphotericin B, itraconazole, fluconazole and voriconazole. As official CLSI interpretative breakpoints are not available for amphotericin B, the breakpoints recommended by Pfaller & Diekema were used [2]. The amount of glucose in the RPMI medium was doubled to 2% to support optimal growth of isolates [7].

A total of 412 yeast isolates was collected from 402 patients; 10 patients had a double yeast infection. As found in the 2002 survey [3], the majority of patients (54·8%) were male and aged >65 years of age (60%), a finding consistent with the literature [8, 9] and the same five most common risk factors in 2002 were also associated, i.e. antimicrobial therapy (45%), stay in

* Author for correspondence: Dr D. Swinne, Scientific Institute of Public Health, Mycology Section, Wytsmanstreet, 14, 1050 Brussels, Belgium.
(Email: dswinne@iph.fgov.be)

Table 1. *Yeast species isolated from blood cultures*

Species	Isolates, *n* (%)
Candida albicans	195 (47·3)
Candida glabrata	106 (25·7)
Candida parapsilosis	33 (8·0)
Candida tropicalis	28 (6·8)
Saccharomices cerevisisae	21 (5·1)
Candida krusei	16 (3·9)
Pichia anomala	3 (0·7)
Cryptococcus neoformans	3 (0·7)
Rhodotorula mucilaginosa	2 (0·5)
Candida inconspicua	1 (0·2)
Candida famata	1 (0·2)
Kluyveromyces marxianus	1 (0·2)
Cryptococcus laurentii	1 (0·2)
Geotrichum candidum	1 (0·2)

intensive care units (34%), the presence of in-dwelling catheters (32%), underlying cancer (23%), and major surgery (11%). Most patients had more than one predisposing factor.

Data for 292 patients showed that fluconazole alone or in combination with another antifungal agent was the treatment of choice for 253 patients (86·6%) Fluconazole monotherapy was prescribed for 231 patients (79·1%); echinocandins (caspofungin or micafungin) were used singly for 21 patients (7·2%); voriconazole, amphotericin B and itraconazole singly for six, four and three patients, respectively. One patient received amphotericin B and 5-fluorocytosine and 26 (8·9%) received different sequential mono-therapies. An increase in the use of echinocandins reflects practice in other Belgian centres [10].

Table 1 shows that of the 412 isolates, 195 (47·3%) were *C. albicans*; the other most common species were *C. glabrata* (25·7%), *C. parapsilosis* (8·0%) and *C. tropicalis* (6·8%). The incidence of *C. albicans* and *C. parapsilosis* was lower than in 2002 (55% and 13% in 2002, respectively). *C. glabrata* and *C. tropicalis* increased (22% and 2·8% in 2002, respectively). It is noteworthy that *C. glabrata* infections are more commonly associated with the elderly and this may explain the increase observed in the present study which involved more elderly patients than the 2002 survey. By contrast *C. parapsilosis* is generally more frequent in children and the low number of isolates recovered in this group probably reflects the small number of patients (4·4%) aged <15 years [8]. There was about a threefold increase in the frequency of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and 19/21 isolates gave a profile of the variety *boulardii* which is widely used in probiotics, although biotherapy was only cited three times as a risk factor. In the 10 patients with double yeast infections, *C. albicans* was associated with *C. glabrata* in five, with *C. parapsilosis* in two, and with *S. cerevisiae* in a single patient. *C. glabrata* was isolated with *C. parapsilosis* and *C. tropicalis* in separate patients.

The antimicrobial susceptibility of the 217 non-*C. albicans* isolates is shown in Table 2. Compared with the results obtained using the Sensititre YeastOne commercial system (Trek Diagnostic Systems, East Grinstead, UK) in 2002, resistance increased to amphotericin B (6·4% *vs.* 1% in 2002), itraconazole (30·4% *vs.* 7%) and fluconazole (20·7% *vs.* 3%). Dose-dependent susceptibility was exhibited by 29·9% and 36·4% of isolates to itraconazole and fluconazole, respectively, and this represented an increase from the 2002 survey. Indeed, only 39·6% of isolates were fully susceptible to itraconazole and 42·8% to fluconazole (69% and 82% in 2002, respectively). *C. glabrata* isolates were mostly responsible for the decrease in susceptibility to these latter agents which was also observed by Lagrou *et al.* [10] using the Sensititre YeastOne commercial system. Resistance to fluconazole was observed in all but three of the *C. krusei* isolates, a frequently intrinsically resistant species [9]. Voriconazole showed excellent activity with 87·1% of isolates inhibited by $\leqslant 1 \mu l$/ml. Eleven out of the 15 resistant isolates were *C. glabrata* and 13 (11 *C. glabrata* and two *Rhodotorula mucilaginosa*) showed cross-resistance to the other azoles tested. Susceptibility to voriconazole was not tested for in the 2002 survey but an earlier study from this laboratory showed excellent potency and broad spectrum activity against non-*C. albicans* isolates [11]; this finding is confirmed here.

In conclusion, the percentage of bloodstream infections due to *C. albicans* isolates is decreasing in Belgium, probably as a consequence of the use of azoles selecting for more resistant non-*C. albicans* species, of which *C. glabrata* remains the most difficult to treat effectively.

APPENDIX

Participants from Belgian institutes contributing isolates

Academisch Ziekenhuis Vlaamse Universiteit, Brussels (S. Lauwers, D. Piérart); Algemeen Ziekenhuis Damiaan, Oostende (G. Alliet); Algemeen

Table 2. *Susceptibilities of 217 non-*C. albicans *yeast isolates from blood cultures to antifungals*

Species	No. of isolates	Amphotericin B			Itraconazole			Fluconazole			Voriconazole		
		S	SDD	R	S	SDD	R	S	SDD	R	S	SDD	R
Candida glabrata	106	103	—	3	7	42	57	19	58	29	83	12	11
Candida parapsilosis	33	31	—	2	29	4	0	33	0	0	33	0	0
Candida tropicalis	28	26	—	2	22	4	2	26	2	0	25	1	2
Saccharomyces cerevisiae	21	21	—	0	13	6	2	7	14	0	21	0	0
Candida krusei	16	10	—	6	7	6	3	0	3	13	16	0	0
Pichia anomala	3	3	—	0	3	0	0	2	1	0	3	0	0
Cryptococcus neoformans	3	3	—	0	2	1	0	3	0	0	3	0	0
Rhodotorula mucilaginosa	2	2	—	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2
Candida inconspicua	1	1	—	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Candida famata	1	1	—	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Kluyveromyces marxianus	1	1	—	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Cryptococcus laurentii	1	1	—	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Geotrichum candidum	1	0	—	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Total	217	203	—	14	86	65	66	93	79	45	189	13	15

S, Susceptible; SDD, susceptible dose-dependent; R, resistant.
Amphotericin B (S: MIC $\leqslant 1\,\mu$g/ml; SDD: not relevant; R: MIC $\geqslant 2\,\mu$g/ml).
Itraconazole (S: MIC $\leqslant 0{\cdot}125\,\mu$g/ml; SDD: MIC between $0{\cdot}25$ and $0{\cdot}5\,\mu$g/ml; R: MIC $\geqslant 1\,\mu$g/ml).
Fluconazole (S: MIC $\leqslant 8\,\mu$g/ml; SDD: MIC between 16 and $32\,\mu$g/ml; R: MIC $\geqslant 64\,\mu$g/ml).
Voriconazole (S: MIC $\leqslant 1\,\mu$g/ml; SDD: MIC $= 2\,\mu$g/ml, R: MIC $\geqslant 4\,\mu$g/ml).

Jesse Ziekenhuis, Hasselt (R. Cartuyvels, K. Magerman); J. Yperman Ziekenhuis, Ieper (P. Vandecandelaere); ZOL Campus Sint Jan, Genk (G. Coppens).

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

None.

REFERENCES

1. **Tortorano AM, *et al.*** Candidaemia in Europe: epidemiology and resistance. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* 2006; **27**: 359–366.
2. **Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ.** Epidemiology of invasive candidiasis: a persistent public health problem. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 2007; **20**: 133–163.
3. **Swinne D, *et al.*** A one-year survey of candidemia in Belgium in 2002. *Epidemiology and Infection* 2004; **132**: 1175–1180.
4. **Barnett JA, Payne RW, Yarrow D.** *Yeasts: Characteristics and Identification*, 3rd edn. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000, p. 1140.
5. **Hennequin C, *et al.*** Microsatellite typing as a new tool for identification of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2001; **39**: 551–559.
6. **Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI).** Quality control minimal inhibition concentration (MIC) limits for broth microdilution and MIC interpretive breakpoints. Supplement M44-S1. Wayne, PA: CLSI, 2006.
7. **Odds FC, Vranckx L, Woestenborghs F.** Antifungal susceptibility testing of yeasts: evaluation of technical variables for test automation. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 1995; **39**: 2051–2060.
8. **Pfaller MA, *et al.*** Trends in antifungal susceptibility of *Candida* spp. isolated from pediatric and adult patients with bloodstream infections: SENTRY antimicrobial surveillance program, 1997–2000. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2002; **40**: 852–856.
9. **Charlier C, *et al.*** Fluconazole for the management of invasive candidiasis: where do we stand after 15 years? *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 2006; **57**: 384–410.
10. **Lagrou K, *et al.*** Fungemia at a tertiary care hospital: incidence, therapy, distribution and antifungal susceptibility of causative species. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases* 2007; **26**: 541–547.
11. **Swinne D, Watelle M, Nolard N.** *In vitro* activities of voriconazole, fluconazole, itraconazole and amphotericin B against non-*Candida albicans* yeast isolates. *Revista Iberoamericana de Micologia* 2005; **22**: 24–28.