

# INTEGRAL FUNCTIONS WITH NEGATIVE ZEROS

R. P. BOAS, JR.

**1. Introduction.** If  $f(z)$  is an integral function of non-integral order with only real negative zeros, there is a close connection between the rates of growth of the function and of  $n(r)$ , the number of zeros of absolute value not exceeding  $r$ . The best known theorem is that of Valiron [12], which may be stated as follows.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $f(z)$  is an integral function with real negative zeros, of order less than 1, with  $f(0) = 1$ , the conditions*

$$(1.1) \quad \log f(r) \sim A \pi \csc \pi \rho r^\rho, \quad r \rightarrow \infty, A > 0,$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad n(r) \sim Ar^\rho$$

are equivalent.

Either (1.1) or (1.2) implies that  $f(z)$  is of order  $\rho$ ,  $0 < \rho < 1$ , and from either condition it can be deduced [1; 5] that

$$(1.3) \quad \log f(re^{i\theta}) \sim \pi A \csc \pi \rho e^{i\rho\theta} r^\rho$$

for  $|\theta| < \pi$ , uniformly in  $|\theta| \leq \pi - \delta < \pi$ .

When  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$ , Theorem 1 implies, after a change of variable, a statement about a canonical product of order 1 with real zeros (not necessarily even).

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $f(z)$  is a canonical product of order 1 with real zeros, the conditions*

$$(1.4) \quad \log |f(iy)| \sim \pi A |y|, \quad |y| \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad n(r) \sim 2Ar$$

are equivalent.

There is another condition which was shown by Paley and Wiener [8, p. 70] to be equivalent to those of Theorem 2.

**THEOREM 3.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, if  $f(0) = 1$ , the condition*

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-R}^R x^{-2} \log |f(x)| dx = -\pi^2 A$$

is equivalent to (1.4) and (1.5).

In terms of functions of order  $\frac{1}{2}$ , Theorem 3 becomes the following:

---

Received April 25, 1952. The author is a fellow of the John Simon Guggenheim Memorial Foundation.

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $f(z)$  is of order  $\frac{1}{2}$ , all its zeros are real and negative, and  $f(0) = 1$ , the conditions*

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^R x^{-3/2} \log |f(-x)| dx &= -\pi^2 A, \\ \log f(r) &\sim A \pi r^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ n(r) &\sim A r^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

are equivalent.

My object is to investigate what becomes of Theorem 4 for a general order  $\rho$ ,  $0 < \rho < 1$ . The result is as follows.

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $f(z)$  is of order less than 1, all its zeros are real and negative, and  $f(0) = 1$ , the conditions (1.1) and (for any  $\sigma$ ,  $0 < \sigma < 1$ )*

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^r x^{-1-\sigma} \{ \log |f(-x)| - \pi \cot \pi \sigma n(x) \} dx \\ \sim \pi A (\rho - \sigma)^{-1} (\cot \pi \rho - \cot \pi \sigma) r^{\rho-\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

are equivalent.

When  $\sigma = \rho$ , (1.8) is to be interpreted as (1.9), below. The conclusion implies in particular that  $f(z)$  is of order  $\rho$ . For  $\rho = \sigma = \frac{1}{2}$ , Theorem 5 reduces to Theorem 4.

It is also true (and can be proved somewhat more simply) that the integral on the left-hand side of (1.8) is  $O(r^{\rho-\sigma})$  if and only if  $\log f(r) = O(r^\rho)$ .

Special cases of (1.8) which are natural generalizations of (1.7) are

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty x^{-1-\rho} \{ \log |f(-x)| - \pi \cot \pi \rho n(x) \} dx &= -\pi^2 A \csc^2 \pi \rho \quad (\sigma = \rho), \\ \int_0^r x^{-3/2} \log |f(-x)| dx \sim \pi A (\rho - \frac{1}{2})^{-1} \cot \pi \rho r^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}} &\quad (\sigma = \frac{1}{2} < \rho), \\ \int_r^\infty x^{-3/2} \log |f(-x)| dx \sim \pi A (\frac{1}{2} - \rho)^{-1} \cot \pi \rho r^{\rho-\frac{1}{2}} &\quad (\sigma = \frac{1}{2} > \rho). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\rho \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , we see from (1.9) that

$$\int_0^\infty x^{-1-\rho} \log |f(-x)| dx$$

converges if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty x^{-1-\rho} n(x) dx$$

converges, which is equivalent to  $\sum r_n^{-\rho} < \infty$ , where  $-r_n$  are the zeros of  $f(z)$ . In this case, of course,  $A = 0$ .

A consequence of Theorem 5 is that (1.1) implies

$$\int_0^r x^{-1-\rho} \log |f(-x)| dx \sim \pi A \cot \pi \rho \log r \quad (\rho \neq \frac{1}{2}),$$

$$\int_0^r x^{-1-\sigma} \log |f(-x)| dx \sim \pi A (\rho - \sigma)^{-1} \cot \pi \rho r^{\rho-\sigma} \quad (\rho > \sigma),$$

$$\int_r^\infty x^{-1-\sigma} \log |f(-x)| dx \sim \pi A (\sigma - \rho)^{-1} \cot \pi \rho r^{\rho-\sigma} \quad (\rho < \sigma).$$

We may compare these relations with Titchmarsh's result [10] that

$$\log |f(-x)| \sim \pi A \cot \pi \rho x^\rho$$

in a set of unit linear density; a converse theorem was given by Titchmarsh [10] and by Bowen and Macintyre [2].

Theorem 3 was proved by Paley and Wiener by using Wiener's general Tauberian theorems; a proof that (1.6) implies (1.4), using methods from the theory of functions, was given by Levinson [6, p. 33], but no such proof of the converse appears to have been given previously. The proof of Theorem 5 incidentally contains a new proof of Theorem 3 by function-theory methods.

In Theorem 1 the inference (1.2) implies (1.1) is easy; the converse is more difficult. It was first proved by Valiron [11], and later by Titchmarsh [10] and by Paley and Wiener [8], by Tauberian methods; proofs depending more on the theory of functions have been given by Valiron [12], Pfluger [9], Levinson [6] for  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$ , Delange [4; 4a], Bowen [1], and Heins [5]; the last two are the simplest. For further developments along the lines of Theorem 1 see the papers cited and also Bowen and Macintyre [2; 3] and Noble [7].

**2. Theorem 5: first part.** We begin by proving that (1.8) implies (1.1). Consider the integral

$$(2.1) \quad I = \int_C r(r-z)^{-1} z^{-1-\sigma} \log f(z) dz,$$

where  $C$  is the contour made up of the circle  $|z| = R > r$ , with a cut along the negative real axis from  $z = -R$  to  $z = 0$  and back again; the multiple-valued functions are to be positive for large positive values of  $z$ . Initially  $C$  has indentations to avoid the zeros of  $f(z)$  and the origin, but the contributions of the indentations tend to zero with the diameters of the indentations, and we may disregard them. We also suppose that  $-R$  is not one of the zeros of  $f(z)$ . The integrand is regular except for a pole at  $z = r$ , and consequently we have

$$(2.2) \quad I = -2\pi i r^{-\sigma} \log f(r).$$

To evaluate the integral along the cut we note that if we take  $\arg f(z)$  to be zero for  $x > 0$ , we have  $\arg f(-x) = \pi n(x)$ ,  $x > 0$ , on the upper side of the cut, and  $\arg f(-x) = -\pi n(x)$  on the lower side. Hence the contribution of the cut is

$$2i \int_0^R r(r+x)^{-1} \phi(x) dx,$$

where

$$\phi(x) = x^{-1-\sigma} \{ \sin \pi \sigma \log |f(-x)| - \pi \cos \pi \sigma n(x) \}.$$

The integral around the circle approaches zero, at least as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  through an appropriate sequence of values, because if  $f(z)$  is of order  $\lambda$ , say, for any positive  $\epsilon$  we have  $\log |f(z)| < R^{\lambda+\epsilon}$  for all large  $R$ ,  $\log |f(z)| > -R^{\lambda+\epsilon}$  for a sequence of values of  $R$  tending to  $\infty$ ; and  $|\arg f(z)| \leq R^{\lambda+\epsilon}$  because  $n(t) = O(t^{\lambda+\epsilon})$  and so

$$\arg f(z) = \Im \log f(z) = y \int_0^\infty \frac{n(t) dt}{(t+x)^2 + y^2} = O(R^{\lambda+\epsilon})$$

(cf. Valiron [12], Bowen and Macintyre [2]). Hence

$$(2.3) \quad \int_0^\infty r(r+x)^{-1} \phi(x) dx = -\pi r^{-\sigma} \log f(r),$$

where the integral is to be understood as

$$\lim \int_0^R$$

when  $R \rightarrow \infty$  through a certain sequence of values.

If  $\rho = \sigma$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty \phi(x) dx$$

converges and (since  $r/(r+x)$  is monotonic) we may let  $r \rightarrow \infty$  under the integral sign in (2.3) to obtain (1.1) from (1.8).

If  $\rho < \sigma$ , put

$$\Phi(x) = \int_0^x \phi(t) dt;$$

then (1.8) gives us

$$\Phi(x) \sim Bx^{\rho-\sigma}, \quad B = \pi A (\rho - \sigma)^{-1} (\cot \pi \rho - \cot \pi \sigma).$$

By (2.3) we have

$$-\pi r^{-\sigma} \log f(r) = \int_0^\infty r(r+x)^{-1} d\Phi(x) = \int_0^\infty r(r+x)^{-2} \Phi(x) dx,$$

and since  $\Phi(x) \sim Bx^{\rho-\sigma}$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty r(r+x)^{-2} \Phi(x) dx \sim B \int_0^\infty rx^{\rho-\sigma}(r+x)^{-2} dx = Br^{\rho-\sigma} \pi(\sigma - \rho) \csc \pi(\sigma - \rho),$$

and (1.1) follows. If  $\rho > \sigma$  we write

$$\Phi(x) = \int_x^\infty \phi(t) dt$$

and proceed similarly.

**3. Theorem 5: second part.** We now show that (1.1) implies (1.8). By (1.3), (1.1) implies

$$(3.1) \quad \log f(z) \sim A \pi z^\rho \csc \pi \rho, \quad -\pi < \theta < \pi,$$

uniformly in  $|\theta| \leq \pi - \delta < \pi$ . Consider the integral

$$-i \int_C z^{-1-\sigma} \log f(z) dz$$

over the contour used in §2. The integrand is regular inside the contour and so the integral is zero. The integral along the cut is

$$2 \int_0^R x^{-1-\sigma} \{ \sin \pi \sigma \log |f(-x)| - \pi \cos \pi \sigma n(x) \} dx.$$

The integral around the circle is

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} z^{-\sigma} \log f(z) d\theta.$$

By (3.1), if we can let  $R \rightarrow \infty$  under the integral sign in (3.2), we shall have

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{\sigma-\rho} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} z^{-\sigma} \log f(z) d\theta = 2A \pi (\rho - \sigma)^{-1} \csc \pi \rho \sin \pi (\rho - \sigma),$$

which will establish (1.8). Now the convergence in (3.1) is uniform in  $(-\pi + \delta, \pi - \delta)$ , and so

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^{\sigma-\rho} \int_{-\pi+\delta}^{\pi-\delta} z^{-\sigma} \log f(z) d\theta = 2\pi A (\rho - \sigma)^{-1} \csc \pi \rho \sin (\pi - \delta) (\rho - \sigma).$$

The remainder of the integral contributes

$$(3.5) \quad R^{-\rho} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi+\delta} + \int_{\pi-\delta}^{\pi} \right) \{ \log |f(Re^{i\theta})| \cos \sigma \theta + \arg f(Re^{i\theta}) \sin \sigma \theta \} d\theta.$$

The part involving  $\arg f(Re^{i\theta})$  is  $O(\delta)$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $R$ , since  $n(R) = O(R^\rho)$  implies  $\arg f(Re^{i\theta}) = O(R^\rho)$  as before.

By Jensen's theorem and Theorem 1,

$$R^{-\rho} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log |f(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta = 2\pi \int_0^R t^{-1} n(t) dt \rightarrow 2\pi A / \rho,$$

and by (3.1),

$$R^{-\rho} \int_{-\pi+\delta}^{\pi-\delta} \log |f(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta \rightarrow 2\pi A \rho^{-1} \sin (\pi - \delta) \rho \csc \pi \rho;$$

so

$$(3.6) \quad R^{-\rho} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi+\delta} + \int_{\pi-\delta}^{\pi} \right) \log |f(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta \rightarrow 2\pi \rho^{-1} \{ 1 - \sin (\pi - \delta) \rho \csc \pi \rho \} = O(\delta).$$

Furthermore, the parts of (3.5) and (3.6) involving  $\log^+ |f(Re^{i\theta})|$  are uniformly  $O(\delta)$  since  $\log^+ |f(Re^{i\theta})| = O(R^\rho)$  uniformly in  $\theta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| R^{-\rho} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi+\delta} + \int_{\pi-\delta}^{\pi} \right) \log^- |f(Re^{i\theta})| \cos \sigma \theta d\theta \right| \\ & \leq \left| R^{-\rho} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{-\pi+\delta} + \int_{\pi-\delta}^{\pi} \right) \log^- |f(Re^{i\theta})| d\theta \right| = O(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the part of the left-hand side of (3.3) omitted from (3.4) is uniformly  $O(\delta)$ , and hence (3.3) is true.

## REFERENCES

1. N. A. Bowen, *A function-theory proof of Tauberian theorems on integral functions*, Quart. J. Math., 19 (1948), 90–100.
2. N. A. Bowen and A. J. Macintyre, *Some theorems on integral functions with negative zeros*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 70 (1951), 114–126.
3. ———, *An oscillation theorem of Tauberian type*, Quart. J. Math. (2), 1 (1950), 243–247.
4. H. Delange, *Sur les suites de polynomes ou de fonctions entières à zéros réels*, Ann. sci. Ec. norm. sup. Paris. (3), 62 (1945), 115–183.
- 4a. ———, *Un théorème sur les fonctions entières à zéros réels et négatifs*, J. Math. pures appl. (9), 31 (1952), 55–78.
5. M. Heins, *Entire functions with bounded minimum modulus; subharmonic function analogues*, Ann. Math. (2), 49 (1948), 200–213.
6. N. Levinson, *Gap and density theorems* (New York, 1940).
7. M. E. Noble, *Extensions and applications of a Tauberian theorem due to Valiron*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 47 (1951), 22–37.
8. R. E. A. C. Paley and N. Wiener, *Fourier transforms in the complex domain* (New York, 1934).
9. A. Pfluger, *Die Wertverteilung und das Verhalten von Betrag und Argument einer speziellen Klasse analytischer Funktionen*, I, II, Comment. Math. Helv., 11, (1938), 180–214; 12 (1939), 25–65.
10. E. C. Titchmarsh, *On integral functions with real negative zeros*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), 26 (1927), 185–200.
11. G. Valiron, *Sur les fonctions entières d'ordre fini et d'ordre nul, et en particulier les fonctions à correspondance régulière*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Univ. Toulouse (3), 5 (1914), 117–257.
12. ———, *Sur un théorème de M. Wiman*, Opuscula Mathematica A. Wiman Dedicata (Lund, 1930), 1–12.

*Northwestern University*