

A COUNTING FORMULA ABOUT THE SYMPLECTIC SIMILITUDE GROUP

KWANKYU LEE

We derive an explicit formula for the number of elements in the symplectic similitude group $\text{GSp}(2n, q)$ with given trace and determinant.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field with q elements. Recall that the symplectic similitude group $\text{GSp}(2n, q)$ over \mathbb{F}_q is defined by

$$\text{GSp}(2n, q) = \{ g \in \text{GL}(2n, q) \mid {}^t g J g = \alpha(g) J \text{ for some } \alpha(g) \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \},$$

where J denotes $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1_n \\ -1_n & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. This paper addresses the problem of counting the number of elements in $\text{GSp}(2n, q)$ with given trace and determinant. More formally, we want to find the value of

$$C(\zeta, \eta) = |\{ g \in \text{GSp}(2n, q) \mid \det g = \zeta, \text{tr } g = \eta \}|,$$

when $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ are given. In [1], Kim gave a related result: an explicit formula for the number of elements in $\text{GSp}(2n, q)$ with given trace. In this paper, we derive an explicit formula for $C(\zeta, \eta)$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Let S denote the number of n -th roots of ζ in \mathbb{F}_q , and let*

$$T_m = q \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} t(\alpha^n, \alpha_1 + \alpha\alpha_1^{-1} + \dots + \alpha_m + \alpha\alpha_m^{-1}) - (q-1)^m S,$$

where $t(x, y) = 1$ if $(x, y) = (\zeta, \eta)$, 0 otherwise; and the inner sum is regarded as $t(\alpha^n, 0)$ for $m = 0$. Then we have

$$C(\zeta, \eta) = q^{n^2-1} \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left(q^{b^2+b} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2b \end{bmatrix}_q \prod_{j=1}^b (q^{2j-1} - 1) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor (n/2)-b \rfloor} q^l R(n-2b+1, l) T_{n-2b-2l} \right) \\
 + q^{n^2-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (q^{2j} - 1) S,$$

Received 11th January, 2000

This work was supported by the Brain Korea 21 Project.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/01 \$A2.00+0.00.

where $R(m, l)$ denotes $\sum_{0 < j_1 < \dots < j_l < m-l} \prod_{\nu=1}^l (q^{m-\nu-j_\nu} - 1)$ with $R(m, 0) = 1$.

The definition of the q -binomial coefficient $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ b \end{bmatrix}_q$ is given in the next section.

2. PREPARATION

Recall that the symplectic group over \mathbb{F}_q is defined by

$$\text{Sp}(2n, q) = \{ g \in \text{GL}(2n, q) \mid {}^t g J g = J \}.$$

Observe that

$$\text{GSp}(2n, q) = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} d_\alpha \text{Sp}(2n, q)$$

with $d_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha 1_n \end{bmatrix}$. A maximal parabolic subgroup P of $\text{Sp}(2n, q)$ is given by

$$P = P(2n, q) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t A^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & B \\ 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \mid A \in \text{GL}(n, q), {}^t B = B \right\}.$$

We let, for $0 \leq b \leq n$,

$$A_b = A_b(2n, q) = \{ g \in P(2n, q) \mid \sigma_b g \sigma_b^{-1} \in P(2n, q) \},$$

where

$$\sigma_b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1_b & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{n-b} & 0 & 0 \\ -1_b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{n-b} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now the Bruhat decomposition of $\text{Sp}(2n, q)$ with respect to P says

$$\text{Sp}(2n, q) = \prod_{b=0}^n P \sigma_b P = \prod_{b=0}^n P \sigma_b (A_b \setminus P).$$

This decomposition will play a crucial role in our proof of the theorem.

Let g_n be the number of $n \times n$ nonsingular matrices over \mathbb{F}_q , and a_n the number of $n \times n$ nonsingular alternating matrices over \mathbb{F}_q . We define $g_0 = a_0 = 1$ for convenience.

Then

$$g_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (q^n - q^j) = q^{(n^2-n)/2} \prod_{j=1}^n (q^j - 1),$$

$$a_n = \begin{cases} q^{(n/2)((n/2)-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{n/2} (q^{2j-1} - 1) & \text{for } n \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{for } n \text{ odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$|A_b \setminus P| = q^{(b^2+b)/2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ b \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

The q -binomial coefficient $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q$ is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q = \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} \frac{q^{n-j} - 1}{q^{r-j} - 1}.$$

See [1] and [2] for more details of these facts.

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

For any complex-valued function f defined on \mathbb{F}_q and $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{F}_q$, let $M_m(f; \sigma, \tau)$ denote

$$\sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} f(\sigma\alpha_1 + \tau\alpha_1^{-1} + \dots + \sigma\alpha_m + \tau\alpha_m^{-1})$$

with $M_0(f; \sigma, \tau) = f(0)$. Remember that $R(m, l)$ was defined in Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. *Let f be an arbitrary complex-valued function defined on \mathbb{F}_q , and $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{g \in \text{GL}(n, q)} f(\sigma \text{tr } g + \tau \text{tr } g^{-1}) \\ &= q^{(n^2-n)/2-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q^l R(n+1, l) \left(q M_{n-2l}(f; \sigma, \tau) - (q-1)^{n-2l} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(\gamma) \right) \\ & \quad + q^{(n^2-n)/2-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (q^j - 1) \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: Recall that for a nontrivial additive character λ of \mathbb{F}_q and $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the ordinary Kloosterman sum $K(\lambda; \sigma, \tau)$ is defined by $K(\lambda; \sigma, \tau) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \lambda(\sigma\alpha + \tau\alpha^{-1})$. First we state a slightly modified version of Theorem 4.3 in [2].

SUBLEMMA. Let us define $K_{GL(n,q)}(\lambda; \sigma, \tau) = \sum_{g \in GL(n,q)} \lambda(\sigma \operatorname{tr} g + \tau \operatorname{tr} g^{-1})$ for a non-trivial additive character λ of \mathbb{F}_q and $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Then

$$K_{GL(n,q)}(\lambda; \sigma, \tau) = q^{(n^2-n)/2} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q^l R(n+1, l) K(\lambda; \sigma, \tau)^{n-2l}.$$

Now pick a nontrivial additive character λ of \mathbb{F}_q . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{g \in GL(n,q)} f(\sigma \operatorname{tr} g + \tau \operatorname{tr} g^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} |\{g \in GL(n,q) \mid \sigma \operatorname{tr} g + \tau \operatorname{tr} g^{-1} = \gamma\}| f(\gamma) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{g \in GL(n,q)} \lambda(\delta(\sigma \operatorname{tr} g + \tau \operatorname{tr} g^{-1} - \gamma)) f(\gamma) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} K_{GL(n,q)}(\lambda; \delta\sigma, \delta\tau) \lambda(-\delta\gamma) f(\gamma) + \frac{1}{q} g_n \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

By the sublemma,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{g \in GL(n,q)} f(\sigma \operatorname{tr} g + \tau \operatorname{tr} g^{-1}) \\ &= q^{(n^2-n)/2} \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q^l R(n+1, l) \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} K(\lambda; \delta\sigma, \delta\tau)^{n-2l} \lambda(-\delta\gamma) f(\gamma) \\ & \quad + q^{(n^2-n)/2-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (q^j - 1) \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

But we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} K(\lambda; \delta\sigma, \delta\tau)^{n-2l} \lambda(-\delta\gamma) f(\gamma) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \lambda(\delta\sigma\alpha + \delta\tau\alpha^{-1}) \right)^{n-2l} \lambda(-\delta\gamma) f(\gamma) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{\alpha} \lambda(\delta(\sigma\alpha_1 + \tau\alpha_1^{-1} + \dots + \sigma\alpha_{n-2l} + \tau\alpha_{n-2l}^{-1} - \gamma)) f(\gamma) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{q} (q-1)^{n-2l} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(\gamma) \\ &= \sum f(\sigma\alpha_1 + \tau\alpha_1^{-1} + \dots + \sigma\alpha_{n-2l} + \tau\alpha_{n-2l}^{-1}) - \frac{1}{q} (q-1)^{n-2l} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where the unspecified sums are taken over $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-2l} \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. Thus we get the lemma. \square

LEMMA 2. *Let e, f be arbitrary complex-valued functions defined on \mathbb{F}_q . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{g \in \text{GSp}(2n, q)} e(\det g) f(\text{tr } g) \\ &= q^{n^2-1} \sum_{b=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left(q^{b^2+b} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2b \end{bmatrix}_q \prod_{j=1}^b (q^{2j-1} - 1) \sum_{l=0}^{\lfloor (n/2)-b \rfloor} q^l R(n - 2b + 1, l) \right. \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} e(\alpha^n) \left(q M_{n-2b-2l}(f; 1, \alpha) - (q-1)^{n-2b-2l} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(\gamma) \right) \Big) \\ & \quad \quad \quad + q^{n^2-1} \prod_{j=1}^n (q^{2j} - 1) \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} e(\alpha^n) \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{g \in \text{GSp}(2n, q)} e(\det g) f(\text{tr } g) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \sum_{g \in \text{Sp}(2n, q)} e(\det(d_\alpha g)) f(\text{tr}(d_\alpha g)) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} e(\alpha^n) \sum_{g \in \text{Sp}(2n, q)} f(\text{tr}(d_\alpha g)). \end{aligned}$$

By the Bruhat decomposition,

$$\sum_{g \in \text{GSp}(2n, q)} e(\det g) f(\text{tr } g) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} e(\alpha^n) \sum_{b=0}^n |A_b \backslash P| \sum_{g \in P} f(\text{tr}(d_\alpha g \sigma_b)).$$

Observe that the structure of P allows us to compute explicitly $\text{tr}(d_\alpha g \sigma_b)$ for $g \in P$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{g \in \text{GSp}(2n, q)} e(\det g) f(\text{tr } g) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} e(\alpha^n) \sum_{b=0}^n |A_b \backslash P| \left(q^{(n^2+n)/2-1} (g_n - a_b g_{n-b} q^{b(n-b)}) \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(\gamma) \right. \\ & \quad \quad \quad \left. + a_b q^{(n^2+n)/2+b(n-b)} \sum_{g \in \text{GL}(n-b, q)} f(\text{tr } g + \alpha \text{tr } g^{-1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now use Lemma 1 to resolve the last expression. This completes the proof. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: We obtain Theorem 1 from Lemma 2 simply by setting e and f to be the functions defined by

$$e(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \zeta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad f(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha = \eta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$. □

REMARK. Tables of $C(\zeta, \eta)$ for $\text{GSp}(2n, q)$ with different n and q are included below. These were produced by a Mathematica program into which the formula for $C(\zeta, \eta)$ was coded. The referee explained the apparent symmetries in the tables by observing that $C(\zeta, \eta) = C(\alpha^{2n}\zeta, \alpha\eta)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$.

TABLES OF $C(\zeta, \eta)$

$\text{GSp}(6, 3)$

$C(\zeta, \eta)$	$\eta = 0$	$\eta = 1$	$\eta = 2$
$\zeta = 1$	3053423790	3058639785	3058639785
$\zeta = 2$	3063934512	3053384424	3053384424

$\text{GSp}(4, 5)$

$C(\zeta, \eta)$	$\eta = 0$	$\eta = 1$	$\eta = 2$	$\eta = 3$	$\eta = 4$
$\zeta = 1$	3867500	3713125	3713125	3713125	3713125
$\zeta = 2$	0	0	0	0	0
$\zeta = 3$	0	0	0	0	0
$\zeta = 4$	3870000	3712500	3712500	3712500	3712500

$\text{GSp}(6, 5)$

$C(\zeta, \eta)$	$\eta = 0$	$\eta = 1$	$\eta = 2$	$\eta = 3$	$\eta = 4$
$\zeta = 1$	91408007812500	91395326171875	91401669921875	91401669921875	91395326171875
$\zeta = 2$	91395312500000	91408015625000	91395328125000	91395328125000	91408015625000
$\zeta = 3$	91395312500000	91395328125000	91408015625000	91408015625000	91395328125000
$\zeta = 4$	91408007812500	91401669921875	91395326171875	91395326171875	91401669921875

REFERENCES

- [1] D.S. Kim, 'Exponential sums for symplectic groups and their applications', *Acta Arith.* **88** (1999), 155–171.
- [2] D.S. Kim, 'Gauss sums for symplectic groups over a finite field', *Monatsh. Math.* **126** (1998), 55–71.

Department of Mathematics
 Sogang University
 Seoul 121-742
 Korea
 e-mail: leekk@math.sogang.ac.kr