

## ACTIONS OF LIE SUPERALGEBRAS ON SEMIPRIME ALGEBRAS WITH CENTRAL INVARIANTS

PIOTR GRZESZCZUK

*Faculty of Computer Science, Białystok University of Technology, Wiejska 45A, 15-351 Białystok, Poland  
e-mail: piotrgr@pb.edu.pl*

and MAŁGORZATA HRYNIEWICKA

*Institute of Mathematics, University of Białystok, Akademicka 2, 15-267 Białystok, Poland  
e-mail: margitt@math.uwb.edu.pl*

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a semiprime algebra over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic zero acted finitely on by a finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra  $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$ . It is shown that if  $L$  is nilpotent,  $[L_0, L_1] = 0$  and the subalgebra of invariants  $R^L$  is central, then the action of  $L_0$  on  $R$  is trivial and  $R$  satisfies the standard polynomial identity of degree  $2 \cdot \lfloor \sqrt{2^{\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L_1}} \rfloor$ . Examples of actions of nilpotent Lie superalgebras, with central invariants and with  $[L_0, L_1] \neq 0$ , are constructed.

**1. Preliminaries.** If  $R$  is an algebra over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic  $\neq 2$  and  $\sigma$  is a  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear automorphism of  $R$  such that  $\sigma^2 = 1$ , let  $D_0 = \{\delta \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(R) \mid \delta(rs) = \delta(r)s + r\delta(s) \text{ and } \delta\sigma(r) = \sigma\delta(r) \text{ for all } r, s \in R\}$  and  $D_1 = \{\delta \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(R) \mid \delta(rs) = \delta(r)s + \sigma(r)\delta(s) \text{ and } \delta\sigma(r) = -\sigma\delta(r) \text{ for all } r, s \in R\}$ . Then  $D_0 \oplus D_1$  is a Lie superalgebra and the elements of  $D_0$  and  $D_1$  are respectively, derivations and skew derivations of  $R$ . The superbracket on  $D_0 \oplus D_1$  is defined as  $[\delta_1, \delta_2] = \delta_1\delta_2 - (-1)^{ij}\delta_2\delta_1$ , where  $\delta_i \in D_i$ ,  $\delta_j \in D_j$  and  $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ . If  $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$  is a Lie superalgebra, we say that  $L$  acts on  $R$  if there is a homomorphism of Lie superalgebras  $\psi: L \rightarrow D_0 \oplus D_1$ , where  $\psi(L_i) \subseteq D_i$ , for  $i = 0, 1$ . Throughout the paper we will simply assume that  $L \subseteq D_0 \oplus D_1$  identifying the elements of  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  with their images under  $\psi$ . It is well known that the homomorphism  $\psi$  induces an associative homomorphism from the universal enveloping algebra  $U(L)$  to  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(R)$  and its image is finite dimensional if and only if the derivations and skew derivations from  $L_0$  and  $L_1$  are algebraic. In this case we will say that  $L$  acts **finitely** on  $R$ . Letting  $G$  be the group  $\{1, \sigma\}$ , we can form the skew group ring  $H = U(L) * G$  and  $H$  is now a Hopf algebra acting on  $R$ . When  $L$  acts on  $R$ , we define the subalgebra of invariants  $R^L$  to be the set  $\{r \in R \mid \delta(r) = 0, \text{ for all } \delta \in L\}$ . Depending upon the context, the symbol  $[ , ]$  may represent either the superbracket on  $L$ , or the commutator map  $[r, s] = rs - sr$ , where  $r, s$  belong to an associative algebra. Inductively, we let  $L^1 = L$  and  $L^{n+1} = [L^n, L]$  and we say that  $L$  is nilpotent if there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $L^N = 0$ . If  $R$  (resp.  $L$ ) is an associative algebra (resp. Lie superalgebra) we will let  $\mathcal{Z}(R)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Z}(L)$ ) denote its centre. For an element  $a \in R$ , and automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $R$ ,  $\text{ad}_a$  (resp.  $\partial_a$ ) stands for the inner derivation (inner  $\sigma$ -derivation) adjoint to  $a$ , i.e.  $\text{ad}_a(x) = ax - xa$  ( $\partial_a(x) = ax - \sigma(x)a$ ).

**2. Main result.** The main aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let a finite-dimensional nilpotent Lie superalgebra  $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$  acts finitely on a semiprime  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra  $R$ , where  $\mathbb{K}$  is a field of characteristic zero. If  $R^L$  is central and  $[L_1, L_0] = 0$ , then  $R$  satisfies the standard polynomial identity of degree  $2 \cdot \lfloor \sqrt{2^{\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L_1}} \rfloor$ .*

It generalizes a result from [1] concerning the actions of nilpotent Lie algebras of characteristic zero on semiprime algebras. On the other hand, in [4] it is proved that if a pointed Hopf algebra  $H$  acts finitely of dimension  $N$  on a semiprime algebra  $R$  and the action is such that  $L^H \neq 0$  for any non-zero  $H$ -stable left ideal  $L$  of  $R$  and  $R^H \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(R)$ , then  $R$  satisfies PI of degree  $2\lfloor \sqrt{N} \rfloor$ . In Theorem 1 we prove for nilpotent Lie superalgebras with  $[L_0, L_1] = 0$ , that the dimension of the action of  $U(L) * G$  depends only on the dimension of  $L_1$ . The key role will be played by the following easy observation: *In characteristic zero the invariants of nilpotent Lie algebras acting on central simple algebras are never proper simple central subalgebras.*

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $R$  be a finite-dimensional central simple  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra acted on by a nilpotent Lie  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra  $L$ , where  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field of characteristic zero. If  $R^L$  is a central simple  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebra, then  $R = R^L$ . In this case the action of  $L$  on  $R$  must be trivial.*

*Proof.* Since  $L$  acts by  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear transformations, any derivation from  $L$  is inner. Suppose that the action of  $L$  on  $R$  is not trivial. Then we can take a non-zero derivation  $\delta = \text{ad}_a \in \mathcal{Z}(L)$ , where  $a \in R$ . For any  $\text{ad}_b \in L$  we have  $\text{ad}_{[a,b]} = [\text{ad}_a, \text{ad}_b] = 0$ , so  $[a, b] \in \mathcal{Z}(R) = \mathbb{F}$ . If  $[a, b] = \lambda \neq 0$ , then  $[a, \lambda^{-1}b] = 1$ . Note that the elements  $a$  and  $\lambda^{-1}b$  generate in  $R$  a subalgebra isomorphic to the Weyl algebra  $\mathbb{A}_1(\mathbb{F})$ , but it is impossible since  $R$  is finite dimensional. Consequently,  $[a, b] = 0$  for any  $\text{ad}_b \in L$  and hence  $a \in R^L$ . In particular,  $\text{ad}_a$  acts trivially on  $C_R(R^L)$ , the centralizer of  $R^L$  in  $R$ . On the other hand the subalgebra  $R^L$  is simple and  $\mathcal{Z}(R^L) = \mathbb{F}$ , so by Theorem 2 (p. 118) in [5]  $R \simeq R^L \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} C_R(R^L) \simeq R^L \cdot C_R(R^L)$ . Consequently,  $R = R^L \cdot C_R(R^L)$ . It implies that  $\text{ad}_a$  acts trivially on  $R$ , a contradiction. Therefore the action of  $L$  on  $R$  is trivial. □

Suppose that a finite-dimensional nilpotent Lie superalgebra  $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$  acts finitely of dimension  $N$  on an algebra  $R$ . Then by  $R_{L_0}$  we denote the largest subspace of  $R$  on which any derivation from  $L_0$  acts nilpotently, that is

$$R_{L_0} = \{r \in R \mid \delta^N(r) = 0, \forall \delta \in L_0\}.$$

It is clear that  $R_{L_0}$  is a subalgebra of  $R$  and  $R_{L_0}$  is stable under the automorphism  $\sigma$ . Furthermore, it is well known that (after eventual extension of the field of scalars) the algebra  $R$  is graded (with finite support) by the dual of the Lie algebra  $L_0$  with  $R_{L_0}$  as the identity component of the grading. Therefore, if the algebra  $R$  is semiprime (semisimple), then  $R_{L_0}$  is also semiprime (resp. semisimple). In [3] (Lemma 12) it is proved that

**LEMMA 3.** *The subalgebra  $R_{L_0}$  is  $L$ -stable. In particular,  $L$  acts on  $R_{L_0}$  by nilpotent transformations.*

In the next Proposition we consider the case of action of a nilpotent Lie superalgebra on a finite-dimensional  $G$ -simple algebra.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let a nilpotent Lie superalgebra  $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$  acts on a  $G$ -simple finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra  $R$ , where  $\mathbb{K}$  is a field of characteristic zero. If  $R^L$  is central and  $[L_0, L_1] = 0$ , then  $L_0 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* First, we will consider the case when  $L$  acts on  $R$  by nilpotent transformations, that is,  $R = R_{L_0}$ . Suppose that  $L_0 \neq 0$  and take a non-zero derivation  $\delta$  from the centre of  $L_0$ . Since  $[L_0, L_1] = 0$ , it is clear that  $\delta$  is in the centre of  $L$ . Let  $k > 1$  be such that  $\delta^k(R) = 0$  and  $V = \delta^{k-1}(R) \neq 0$ . Then  $V$  is invariant under the action of  $L$ , and since  $L$  acts via nilpotent transformations it is clear that  $V^L = V \cap R^L \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(R)$ . On the other hand if  $r, s \in R$ , then the Leibniz rule gives

$$0 = \delta^k(\delta^{k-2}(r)s) = k\delta^{k-1}(r)\delta^{k-1}(s).$$

It means that  $(V^L)^2 = 0$ , so the centre of  $R$  contains nilpotent elements. This is impossible since  $R$  is semisimple. The obtained contradiction shows that  $L_0 = 0$ .

Consider the general case. The above gives us immediately that  $R^{L_0} = R_{L_0}$  and consequently the algebra  $R^{L_0}$  is semisimple. Thus, any its ideal  $I$  is idempotent, i.e.  $I^2 = I$ . Note that if  $I$  is  $G$ -stable, then the Leibniz rule, applied to any  $\partial \in L_1$ , gives  $\partial(I) = \partial(I^2) \subseteq \partial(I)I + \sigma(I)\partial(I) \subseteq I$ . Hence any  $G$ -stable ideal  $I$  of  $R^{L_0}$  is also  $L$ -stable and  $0 \neq I^L \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(R)$ . Thus  $I$  contains invertible elements. Consequently,  $R^{L_0}$  is also  $G$ -simple.

We will split considerations into two cases. First, suppose that the automorphism  $\sigma$  is inner, and let  $q \in R$  be such that  $\sigma(x) = q^{-1}xq$ , for  $x \in R$ . In this case any ideal of  $R$  is  $\sigma$ -stable, so  $R$  must be a simple algebra. Moreover it is easy to see that any skew derivation  $\partial$  from  $L_1$  must be inner. Indeed, since  $\partial\sigma = -\sigma\partial$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} q^{-1}\partial(x)q &= \sigma(\partial(x)) = -\partial(\sigma(x)) = -\partial(q^{-1}xq) \\ &= -\partial(q^{-1})xq - q^{-1}\partial(x)q - q^{-1}\sigma(x)\partial(q). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $q\partial(q^{-1}) = -\partial(q)q^{-1}$ ,

$$\partial(x) = -\frac{1}{2}q\partial(q^{-1})x - \frac{1}{2}\sigma(x)\partial(q)q^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\partial(q)q^{-1}x - \sigma(x)\frac{1}{2}\partial(q)q^{-1}.$$

This immediately gives, that  $\partial(x) = bx - \sigma(x)b$ , where  $b = \frac{1}{2}\partial(q)q^{-1}$ . Consequently, any mapping from  $L_0 \cup L_1$  is  $\mathcal{Z}(R)$ -linear. We will show that the algebra  $R^{L_0}$  is simple and the centres of  $R^{L_0}$  and  $R$  coincide. Since the automorphism  $\sigma$  has order 2,  $q^2 \in \mathcal{Z}(R)$ . Thus for any  $\delta = \text{ad}_a \in L_0$ ,

$$\delta(q) = \delta(\sigma(q)) = \sigma(\delta(q)) = q^{-1}(aq - qa)q = qa - aq = -\delta(q),$$

so  $\delta(q) = 0$ . This implies that  $q \in R^{L_0}$ , the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $R^{L_0}$  is inner and hence the algebra  $R^{L_0}$  is simple. Since the action of  $L$  on  $R$  is inner,  $\mathcal{Z}(R) = \mathcal{Z}(R) \cap R^{L_0} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(R^{L_0})$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{Z}(R^{L_0}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(R)$ . To this end, since  $R^L \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(R)$ , it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{Z}(R^{L_0}) \subseteq R^L$ . Take any  $z \in \mathcal{Z}(R^{L_0})$ , and  $\partial = \partial_b \in L_1$ , where  $b = \frac{1}{2}\partial(q)q^{-1}$ . Notice that  $b \in R^{L_0}$ . Indeed, by assumption  $[\delta, \partial] = 0$  for any  $\delta \in L_0$  and by the above  $q \in R^{L_0}$ , so

$$\delta(b) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(\partial(q)q^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2}\delta(\partial(q))q^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\partial(q)\delta(q^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2}\partial(\delta(q))q^{-1} = 0.$$

It means that  $b \in R^{L_0}$  and

$$\partial(z) = bz - \sigma(z)b = bz - zb = 0,$$

so  $z \in R^{L_1}$ . It proves that  $\mathcal{Z}(R^{L_0}) = \mathcal{Z}(R)$ . By Lemma 2 the action of  $L_0$  on  $R$  must be trivial.

Finally, suppose that the automorphism  $\sigma$  is outer. Since  $R$  is  $G$ -simple, the algebra  $R$  must be either simple or  $R = I \oplus \sigma(I)$  for some minimal ideal  $I$ . In the first case, by the Skolem–Noether theorem,  $\sigma$  is not an identity map on  $\mathcal{Z}(R)$ . In the second case  $\mathcal{Z}(R) = \mathcal{Z}(I) \oplus \sigma(\mathcal{Z}(I))$ . Thus in both cases  $\sigma$  acts non identically on  $\mathcal{Z}(R)$ . Now since the centre of  $R^{L_0}$  contains  $\mathcal{Z}(R)$ , the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $R^{L_0}$  is also outer. Consequently, one can choose a non-zero element  $c \in \mathcal{Z}(R)$  such that  $\sigma(c) \neq c$ . Then  $(c - \sigma(c))^2$  is non-zero and belongs to the field  $\mathcal{Z}(R)^\sigma$ . Thus  $c - \sigma(c)$  is invertible. Now let  $\partial \in L_1$  and  $x \in R$ . Notice that

$$\partial(x)c + \sigma(x)\partial(c) = \partial(xc) = \partial(cx) = \partial(c)x + \sigma(c)\partial(x).$$

In particular, we have

$$\partial(x) = (c - \sigma(c))^{-1}\partial(c)x - \sigma(x)(c - \sigma(c))^{-1}\partial(c) = \partial_b(x),$$

where  $b = (c - \sigma(c))^{-1}\partial(c)$ . Thus  $L_1$  acts on  $R$  via inner  $\sigma$ -derivations and in, particular, every mapping from  $L$  is  $\mathcal{Z}(R)^\sigma$ -linear. We will prove that  $\mathcal{Z}(R^{L_0})^\sigma = \mathcal{Z}(R)^\sigma$ . Similarly as above, it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{Z}(R^{L_0})^\sigma \subseteq R^{L_1}$ . Take any  $\partial = \partial_b \in L_1$ , where  $b = (c - \sigma(c))^{-1}\partial(c)$  for some  $c \in \mathcal{Z}(R)$ . Since  $L_0$  acts trivially on the centre of  $R$ , one obtains that  $b \in R^{L_0}$ . Now it is clear that  $\partial_b$  acts trivially on  $\mathcal{Z}(R^{L_0})^\sigma$ , and consequently  $\mathcal{Z}(R^{L_0})^\sigma \subseteq R^{L_1}$ .

Consider skew group rings  $R * G$  and  $R^{L_0} * G$ . Since both of  $R$  and  $R^{L_0}$  are  $G$ -simple, and  $\sigma$  is outer on  $R$  and  $R^{L_0}$ , the rings  $R * G$  and  $R^{L_0} * G$  are simple. Moreover it is clear that  $\mathcal{Z}(R * G) = \mathcal{Z}(R)^\sigma$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(R^{L_0} * G) = \mathcal{Z}(R^{L_0})^\sigma$ . Thus  $R * G$  and  $R^{L_0} * G$  are central simple  $\mathcal{Z}(R)^\sigma$ -algebras. Notice that the action of  $L_0$  on  $R$  can be extended to an action on  $R * G$ , via the formula  $\delta(a + b\sigma) = \delta(a) + \delta(b)\sigma$ . In that case  $(R * G)^{L_0} = R^{L_0} * G$  Again applying Lemma 2 we obtain that  $L_0$  must act trivially on  $R$  and the proof is complete. □

**COROLLARY 5.** *Let a nilpotent Lie superalgebra  $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$  acts on a  $G$ -simple finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra  $R$  with centre  $\mathcal{Z}$ , where  $\text{char } \mathbb{K} = 0$ . If  $R^L \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  and  $[L_0, L_1] = 0$ , then  $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}^G} R \leq [\mathcal{Z} : \mathbb{Z}^G] \cdot 2^{\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L_1}$ . Moreover, in this case  $R$  satisfies the standard polynomial identity of degree  $2 \cdot \lceil \sqrt{2^{\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L_1}} \rceil$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4,  $L_0 = 0$ . Thus  $L$  is spanned by a family  $\{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n\}$  of inner skew derivations such that  $\partial_j^2 = 0$  and  $\partial_i\partial_j + \partial_j\partial_i = 0$ . It is clear that every  $\partial_j$  is  $\mathbb{Z}^G$ -linear. Let us consider a chain

$$V_0 = R \supseteq V_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq V_n$$

of subspaces of  $R$ , where  $V_j = \ker \partial_1 \cap \dots \cap \ker \partial_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $V_n \subseteq R^L \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  and  $\partial_j$  maps  $V_{j-1}$  into  $V_j$ . Moreover, it is clear that  $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}^G} V_{j-1} = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}^G}(\ker \partial_j \cap V_{j-1}) + \dim_{\mathbb{Z}^G} \partial_j(V_{j-1}) \leq 2 \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{Z}^G} V_j$ . Thus

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}^G} R \leq 2^n \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{Z}^G} V_n \leq [\mathcal{Z} : \mathbb{Z}^G] \cdot 2^{\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L_1}.$$

Since  $R$  is  $G$ -simple, the algebra  $R$  must be either simple or  $R = I \oplus \sigma(I)$  for a minimal ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . Then  $I$  is certainly a simple algebra. The above inequality implies that

$\dim_{\mathbb{Z}} R \leq 2^{\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L_1}$  in the first case, and  $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}(I)} I \leq 2^{\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L_1}$  in the second case. The result follows now by the Amitsur–Levitzki theorem.  $\square$

If  $R$  is semiprime we let  $Q = Q(R)$  to denote the symmetric Martindale quotient ring. Its centre, known as the extended centroid of  $R$ , we denote by  $C$ . The following properties of  $Q$  in the case when  $R$  is acted on by a Hopf algebra are proved in [3].

LEMMA 6. *Let  $R$  be a semiprime  $H$ -module algebra such that the  $H$ -action on  $R$  extends to an  $H$ -action on  $Q$  and any non-zero  $H$ -stable ideal of  $R$  contains non-trivial invariants. Then*

- (1) *the ring  $C^H = C \cap Q^H$  is von Neumann regular and selfinjective.*
- (2) *If a non-empty subset  $S \subseteq C^H \setminus \{0\}$  is closed under a multiplication, then the localization  $Q_S$  of  $Q$  at  $S$  is semiprime and  $\mathbb{Z}(Q_S) = C_S$ .*
- (3) *If  $H$  acts finitely on  $Q$  and  $S = C^H \setminus M$ , where  $M$  is a maximal ideal of  $C^H$ , then the  $H$ -action on  $Q$  extends to an  $H$ -action on  $Q_S$  and  $(Q^H)_S = (Q_S)^H$ ,  $(C^H)_S = (C_S)^H = C_S \cap (Q_S)^H$  is a field contained in the centre of  $Q_S$ .*

We can now prove the main result of the paper.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $H = U(L) * G$ . By ([2], Corollary 6) every  $H$ -invariant non-nilpotent subalgebra of  $R$  contains non-zero invariants. Thus we can apply the results from [4]. Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $C^H = C \cap Q^H$  and put  $S = C^H \setminus M$ . By the above lemma and [4] it follows that  $(C_S)^H$  is a field and  $Q_S$  is a finite dimensional,  $G$ -simple  $(C_S)^H$ -algebra. Using Corollary 5 we obtain that  $Q_M$  satisfies the standard polynomial identity of degree  $2 \cdot \lfloor \sqrt{2^{\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L_1}} \rfloor$ . Since it holds for any maximal ideal  $M$  of  $C^H$ , the ring  $Q$ , and consequently  $R$ , satisfies the standard polynomial identity of degree  $2 \cdot \lfloor \sqrt{2^{\dim_{\mathbb{K}} L_1}} \rfloor$ .  $\square$

**3. Examples.** In this section, we construct examples of actions of nilpotent Lie superalgebras with central invariants and with  $[L_0, L_1] \neq 0$ . We start with general properties of inner derivations and skew derivations of an algebra  $R$  with an automorphism  $\sigma$  of order 2. Then  $R = R_0 \oplus R_1$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded, where  $R_0 = \{x \in R \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$  and  $R_1 = \{x \in R \mid \sigma(x) = -x\}$ . For any inner derivation  $\delta$  of  $R$ , the condition  $\delta\sigma = \sigma\delta$  is equivalent to that  $\delta$  is induced by some  $a \in R_0$ . To see that, we let  $\delta$  be induced by  $a = a_0 + a_1 \in R$ . Then

$$\delta(x) = ax - xa = (a_0x - xa_0) + (a_1x - xa_1). \tag{1}$$

This immediately implies that

$$\delta(\sigma(x)) = (a_0\sigma(x) - \sigma(x)a_0) + (a_1\sigma(x) - \sigma(x)a_1)$$

and

$$\sigma(\delta(x)) = (a_0\sigma(x) - \sigma(x)a_0) - (a_1\sigma(x) - \sigma(x)a_1).$$

Since  $\delta$  and  $\sigma$  commute, the previous equations imply that  $a_1\sigma(x) - \sigma(x)a_1 = 0$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $\sigma(x)$  yields  $a_1x - xa_1 = 0$ . Equation (1) now becomes

$$\delta(x) = a_0x - xa_0 = \text{ad}_{a_0}(x).$$

In the same manner we can show that for any inner skew derivation  $\partial$  of  $R$ , the condition  $\partial\sigma = -\sigma\partial$  is equivalent to that  $\partial = \partial_b$  for some  $b \in R_1$ .

LEMMA 7. *Let  $R$  be an algebra over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic  $\neq 2$  and  $\sigma$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear automorphism of  $R$  of order 2. Let  $u \in R$  be invertible and  $\sigma(u) = -u$ . Let  $\tilde{R}$  be the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra  $M_2(R)$ , the  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $R$ . Then the map  $\varphi: R \rightarrow \tilde{R}$  given by*

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1}\sigma(x)u \end{pmatrix}$$

is an injective homomorphism of algebras, satisfying  $\tilde{\sigma}\varphi = \varphi\sigma$  (where  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is a componentwise extension of  $\sigma$  to  $\tilde{R}$ ).

If a Lie superalgebra  $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$  acts on  $R$  by inner derivations and inner  $\sigma$ -derivations with  $R^L = \mathbb{K}$ , then  $L$  acts on  $\tilde{R}$  by inner derivations and inner  $\tilde{\sigma}$ -derivations with

$$\tilde{R}^L = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta u \\ \gamma u^{-1} & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{R} \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda \in \mathbb{K} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Notice that

$$(\tilde{\sigma}\varphi)(x) = \tilde{\sigma} \left( \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1}\sigma(x)u \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(x) & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1}xu \end{pmatrix} = (\varphi\sigma)(x).$$

In order to prove the second part, observe that for all inner derivation  $\text{ad}_a \in L_0$  and the inner skew derivation  $\partial_b \in L_1$  of  $R$  and for every matrix  $\tilde{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{R}$  the following equations hold

$$\text{ad}_{\varphi(a)}(\tilde{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1}au \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tilde{x} - \tilde{x} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1}au \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{ad}_a(x_{11}) & \text{ad}_a(x_{12}u^{-1})u \\ u^{-1}\text{ad}_a(ux_{21}) & u^{-1}\text{ad}_a(ux_{22}u^{-1})u \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\varphi(b)}(\tilde{x}) &= \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & -u^{-1}bu \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tilde{x} - \tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{x}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & -u^{-1}bu \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \partial_b(x_{11}) & \partial_b(x_{12}u^{-1})u \\ \sigma(u^{-1})\partial_b(ux_{21}) & \sigma(u^{-1})\partial_b(ux_{22}u^{-1})u \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above equations it follows that  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{R}^L$  if and only if the elements  $x_{11}$ ,  $x_{12}u^{-1}$ ,  $ux_{21}$  and  $ux_{22}u^{-1}$  belong to  $R^L$ . Under the assumption that  $R^L = \mathbb{K}$ , we now easily obtain the assertion of the lemma. □

We start our construction from the algebra  $R = M_2(\mathbb{K})$  of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic 0. Let  $\sigma$  be the inner automorphism of order 2 of  $R$  induced

by the diagonal matrix  $\text{diag}(1, -1)$  and let  $\partial_{b_1}$  and  $\partial_{b_2}$  be the inner  $\sigma$ -derivations of  $R$  induced by

$$b_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R_1 \text{ and } b_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in R_1,$$

respectively. It can be easily checked that

$$b_1^2 = -b_2^2 = 1 \text{ and } b_1b_2 + b_2b_1 = 0.$$

As a result, the skew derivations  $\partial_{b_1}$  and  $\partial_{b_2}$  span an Abelian Lie superalgebra  $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$  where  $L_0 = 0$  and  $L_1 = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{\partial_{b_1}, \partial_{b_2}\}$ . From the explicit formulas for  $\partial_{b_1}$  and  $\partial_{b_2}$

$$\partial_{b_1} \left( \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{21} + x_{12} & x_{22} - x_{11} \\ x_{11} - x_{22} & x_{21} + x_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\partial_{b_2} \left( \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{21} - x_{12} & x_{22} - x_{11} \\ x_{22} - x_{11} & x_{21} - x_{12} \end{pmatrix},$$

it follows immediately that  $R^L = \mathbb{K}$ .

Using Lemma 7, applied to the invertible element  $u = b_2$ , we have an embedding of  $R$  into  $\tilde{R} = M_2(R)$ , according to the formula

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & b_2^{-1}\sigma(x)b_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Put

$$\tilde{b}_1 = \varphi(b_1) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 \\ 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{R}_1 \text{ and } \tilde{b}_2 = \varphi(b_2) = \begin{pmatrix} b_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -b_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{R}_1,$$

and consider the additional matrices

$$\tilde{b}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_2 \\ -b_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{R}_1 \text{ and } \tilde{b}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_2 \\ b_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{R}_1.$$

It is not hard to check that

$$\tilde{b}_1^2 = -\tilde{b}_2^2 = \tilde{b}_3^2 = -\tilde{b}_4^2 = 1 \text{ and } \tilde{b}_i\tilde{b}_j + \tilde{b}_j\tilde{b}_i = 0$$

for all  $i \neq j$ . As before, the inner skew derivations  $\partial_{\tilde{b}_1}, \partial_{\tilde{b}_2}, \partial_{\tilde{b}_3}$  and  $\partial_{\tilde{b}_4}$  span an Abelian Lie superalgebra  $\tilde{L} = \tilde{L}_0 \oplus \tilde{L}_1$ , where  $\tilde{L}_0 = 0$  and  $\tilde{L}_1 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{\partial_{\tilde{b}_1}, \partial_{\tilde{b}_2}, \partial_{\tilde{b}_3}, \partial_{\tilde{b}_4}\}$ . Lemma 7 says that the subalgebra of invariants  $\tilde{R}^{\tilde{L}}$  under the action of  $\tilde{L}$  consists of all matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta b_2 \\ \gamma b_2 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$  where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ . Furthermore, a simple calculation shows that

$$\partial_{\tilde{b}_3} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta b_2 \\ \gamma b_2 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta - \gamma & (\lambda - \alpha)b_2 \\ (\lambda - \alpha)b_2 & \beta - \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\partial_{\tilde{b}_4} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta b_2 \\ \gamma b_2 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta - \gamma & (\lambda - \alpha)b_2 \\ (\alpha - \lambda)b_2 & -\beta - \gamma \end{pmatrix}.$$

This immediately implies that  $\tilde{R}^{\tilde{L}} = \mathbb{K}$ .

Applying Lemma 7 for the invertible element  $u = \tilde{b}_4$  we have the next embedding of  $\tilde{R}$  into the algebra  $\mathbf{R} = M_2(\tilde{R})$ , the  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $\tilde{R}$  according to the formula

$$\varphi(\tilde{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{x} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{b}_4^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{x}) \tilde{b}_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Put

$$B_i = \varphi(\tilde{b}_i) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}_i & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{b}_i \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}_1 \text{ and } B_4 = \varphi(\tilde{b}_4) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}_4 & 0 \\ 0 & -\tilde{b}_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}_1$$

for  $i = 1, 2, 3$  and consider the additional matrices

- $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{a}_1 \\ -\tilde{a}_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}_0$  and  $C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{a}_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}_0$ , where  $\tilde{a}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_0$ ,
- $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{a}_2 + 1 \\ -\tilde{a}_2 + 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}_0$  and  $C_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{a}_2 + 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}_0$ , where  $\tilde{a}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_1 b_2 \\ b_1 b_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_0$ ,
- $A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_3 - \tilde{a}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{a}_3 + \tilde{a}_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}_0$ , where  $\tilde{a}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 b_2 & b_1 b_2 \\ -b_1 b_2 & -b_1 b_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_0$ ,
- $B_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{d}_5 \\ \tilde{b}_5 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}_1$ ,  $B_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{b}_4 \\ -\tilde{b}_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}_1$  and  $B_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{b}_4 \\ \tilde{b}_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}_1$ , where  $\tilde{d}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 + b_2 & b_1 + b_2 \\ -b_1 - b_2 & -b_1 - b_2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\tilde{b}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} -b_1 + b_2 & -b_1 + b_2 \\ b_1 - b_2 & b_1 - b_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_1$ ,
- $D_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{d}_5 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + B_7 \in \mathbf{R}_1$  and  $D_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tilde{b}_4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}_1$ .

Notice that if  $\mathbf{N}_0 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{\text{ad}_{C_1}, \text{ad}_{C_2}, \text{ad}_{A_3}\}$  and  $\mathbf{N}_1 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{\partial_{B_1}, \partial_{B_2}, \partial_{B_3}, \partial_{B_4}, \partial_{D_5}, \partial_{D_6}\}$ , then  $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{N}_0 \oplus \mathbf{N}_1$  is a nine-dimensional Lie superalgebra of nilpotency class 4 (see Table 1). Lemma 7 asserts that the subalgebra of invariants  $\mathbf{R}^{\tilde{L}}$  under the action of  $\tilde{L}$  consists of all matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \tilde{b}_4 \\ \gamma \tilde{b}_4 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{D_5} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \tilde{b}_4 \\ \gamma \tilde{b}_4 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right) &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \tilde{d}_5 \tilde{b}_4 - \beta - \gamma & (\lambda - \alpha)(\tilde{b}_4 + \tilde{d}_5) \\ (\alpha - \lambda) \tilde{b}_4 & \gamma \tilde{b}_4 \tilde{d}_5 - \beta - \gamma \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(\tilde{a}_3 - \tilde{a}_1) - \beta - \gamma & (\lambda - \alpha)(\tilde{b}_4 + \tilde{d}_5) \\ (\alpha - \lambda) \tilde{b}_4 & \gamma(\tilde{a}_3 + \tilde{a}_1) - \beta - \gamma \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result we obtain that  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{N}} = \mathbb{K}$ .

**Table 1.** Operation table of  $\mathbf{N}$

$[\cdot, \cdot]$	$\text{ad}_{C_1}$	$\text{ad}_{C_2}$	$\text{ad}_{A_3}$	$\partial_{B_1}$	$\partial_{B_2}$	$\partial_{B_3}$	$\partial_{B_4}$	$\partial_{D_5}$	$\partial_{D_6}$
$\text{ad}_{C_1}$	0	0	0	0	$-2\partial_{D_6}$	$2\partial_{D_6}$	0	$\partial_{B_2+B_3}$	0
$\text{ad}_{C_2}$	0	0	0	$2\partial_{D_6}$	0	0	$2\partial_{D_6}$	$-\partial_{B_1-B_4}$	0
$\text{ad}_{A_3}$	0	0	0	$-2\partial_{B_2+B_3}$	$-2\partial_{B_1-B_4}$	$2\partial_{B_1-B_4}$	$-2\partial_{B_2+B_3}$	0	0
$\partial_{B_1}$	0	$-2\partial_{D_6}$	$2\partial_{B_2+B_3}$	0	0	0	0	$2\text{ad}_{C_1}$	0
$\partial_{B_2}$	$2\partial_{D_6}$	0	$2\partial_{B_1-B_4}$	0	0	0	0	$-2\text{ad}_{C_2}$	0
$\partial_{B_3}$	$-2\partial_{D_6}$	0	$-2\partial_{B_1-B_4}$	0	0	0	0	$2\text{ad}_{C_2}$	0
$\partial_{B_4}$	0	$-2\partial_{D_6}$	$2\partial_{B_2+B_3}$	0	0	0	0	$2\text{ad}_{C_1}$	0
$\partial_{D_5}$	$-\partial_{B_2+B_3}$	$\partial_{B_1-B_4}$	0	$2\text{ad}_{C_1}$	$-2\text{ad}_{C_2}$	$2\text{ad}_{C_2}$	$2\text{ad}_{C_1}$	$2\text{ad}_{A_3}$	0
$\partial_{D_6}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table 2.** Operation table of  $\mathbf{L}$

$[\cdot, \cdot]$	$\text{ad}_{A_1}$	$\text{ad}_{A_2}$	$\text{ad}_{A_3}$	$\partial_{B_1}$	$\partial_{B_2}$	$\partial_{B_3}$	$\partial_{B_4}$	$\partial_{B_5}$	$\partial_{B_6}$	$\partial_{B_7}$
$\text{ad}_{A_1}$	0	$-2\text{ad}_{A_3}$	0	0	$-2\partial_{B_6}$	$2\partial_{B_6}$	0	0	$-2\partial_{B_2+B_3}$	0
$\text{ad}_{A_2}$	$2\text{ad}_{A_3}$	0	0	$2\partial_{B_6}$	0	0	$2\partial_{B_6}$	0	$2\partial_{B_1-B_4}$	0
$\text{ad}_{A_3}$	0	0	0	$-2\partial_{B_2+B_3}$	$-2\partial_{B_1-B_4}$	$2\partial_{B_1-B_4}$	$-2\partial_{B_2+B_3}$	0	0	0
$\partial_{B_1}$	0	$-2\partial_{B_6}$	$2\partial_{B_2+B_3}$	0	0	0	0	$2\text{ad}_{A_1}$	0	0
$\partial_{B_2}$	$2\partial_{B_6}$	0	$2\partial_{B_1-B_4}$	0	0	0	0	$-2\text{ad}_{A_2}$	0	0
$\partial_{B_3}$	$-2\partial_{B_6}$	0	$-2\partial_{B_1-B_4}$	0	0	0	0	$2\text{ad}_{A_2}$	0	0
$\partial_{B_4}$	0	$-2\partial_{B_6}$	$2\partial_{B_2+B_3}$	0	0	0	0	$2\text{ad}_{A_1}$	0	0
$\partial_{B_5}$	0	0	0	$2\text{ad}_{A_1}$	$-2\text{ad}_{A_2}$	$2\text{ad}_{A_2}$	$2\text{ad}_{A_1}$	0	$-2\text{ad}_{A_3}$	0
$\partial_{B_6}$	$2\partial_{B_2+B_3}$	$-2\partial_{B_1-B_4}$	0	0	0	0	0	$-2\text{ad}_{A_3}$	0	0
$\partial_{B_7}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Notice also that if  $\mathbf{M}_0 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{\text{ad}_{A_1}, \text{ad}_{A_2}, \text{ad}_{A_3}\}$  and  $\mathbf{M}_1 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{\partial_{B_1}, \partial_{B_2}, \partial_{B_3}, \partial_{B_4}, \partial_{B_5+B_7}, \partial_{B_6}\}$ , then  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_0 \oplus \mathbf{M}_1$  is a nilpotent Lie superalgebra of nilpotency class 6 (see Table 2). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{B_5+B_7} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \tilde{b}_4 \\ \gamma \tilde{b}_4 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right) &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \tilde{a}_5 \tilde{b}_4 + \beta \tilde{b}_4 \tilde{b}_5 - \beta - \gamma & (\lambda - \alpha)(\tilde{b}_4 + \tilde{d}_5) \\ (\alpha - \lambda)(\tilde{b}_4 + \tilde{b}_5) & \beta \tilde{b}_5 \tilde{b}_4 + \gamma \tilde{b}_4 \tilde{d}_5 - \beta - \gamma \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma - \beta)(\tilde{a}_3 - \tilde{a}_1) - \beta - \gamma & (\lambda - \alpha)(\tilde{b}_4 + \tilde{d}_5) \\ (\alpha - \lambda)(\tilde{b}_4 + \tilde{b}_5) & (\gamma - \beta)(\tilde{a}_3 + \tilde{a}_1) - \beta - \gamma \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies immediately that  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbb{K}$ .

Finally, observe also that  $\mathbf{M}$  is an subalgebra of a nilpotent Lie superalgebra  $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}_0 \oplus \mathbf{L}_1$  of nilpotency class 6, where  $\mathbf{L}_0 = [\mathbf{L}_1, \mathbf{L}_1] = \text{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{\text{ad}_{A_1}, \text{ad}_{A_2}, \text{ad}_{A_3}\}$  and  $\mathbf{L}_1 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\{\partial_{B_1}, \partial_{B_2}, \partial_{B_3}, \partial_{B_4}, \partial_{B_5}, \partial_{B_6}, \partial_{B_7}\}$  (see Table 2). Obviously,  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbb{K}$ . Starting with the algebra  $\mathbf{R}$ , the invertible element  $u = B_7$  and the Lie superalgebra  $\mathbf{L}$ , and again applying the above procedure, we can produce successive examples.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The first author’s research was supported in part by KBN Grant No. 1 P03A 032 27 and by the grant S/WI/3/2009 of Bialystok University of Technology.

REFERENCES

1. J. Bergen and P. Grzeszczuk, Invariants of Lie superalgebras acting on associative rings, *Israel J. Math.* **94** (1996), 403–428.

2. J. Bergen and P. Grzeszczuk, Invariants of Lie color algebras acting on graded algebras, *Colloq. Math.* **83** (2000), 107–124.
3. P. Grzeszczuk and M. Hryniewicka, Polynomial identities of algebras with actions of pointed Hopf algebras, *J. Algebra* **278** (2004), 684–703.
4. P. Grzeszczuk and M. Hryniewicka, Actions of pointed Hopf algebras with reduced invariants, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (2007), 2381–2389.
5. N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, vol. 37 (American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Providence, RI, 1964).