

GROUP RINGS WHICH ARE v -HC ORDERS AND KRULL ORDERS

by K. A. BROWN, H. MARUBAYASHI and P. F. SMITH

(Received 9th April 1989)

Let R be a ring and G a polycyclic-by-finite group. In this paper, it is determined, in terms of properties of R and G , when the group ring $R[G]$ is a prime Krull order and when it is a prime v -HC order. The key ingredient in obtaining both characterizations is the first author's earlier study of height one prime ideals in the ring $R[G]$.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (1985 Revision): 16A08, 16A27, 20C07

1. Introduction

Let R be a prime Goldie ring and let G be a polycyclic-by-finite group. The purpose of this paper is to characterise those group rings $R[G]$ which are prime Krull orders in the sense of [5], and also those which are prime v -HC orders. The precise definition of the latter class is given in Section 2, but as a rough guide it is worth bearing in mind the following analogy: Noetherian v -H orders stand in the same relation to Noetherian maximal orders as do hereditary Noetherian prime rings to Dedekind prime rings. An account of their elementary properties can be found in [7], [8].

Recall that a subset X of G (or of $R[G]$) is (G) -orbital if X has only finitely many distinct G -conjugates; following [1], G is called *dihedral free* if it contains no orbital subgroup isomorphic to the infinite dihedral group $D = \langle a, b : a^{-1}ba = b^{-1}, a^2 = 1 \rangle$. The join of all the finite normal subgroups of G is denoted $\Delta^+(G)$. Our main results are as follows.

Theorem A. *Let R be a ring and let G be a polycyclic-by-finite group. Then $R[G]$ is a prime Krull order if and only if*

- (i) R is a prime Krull order,
- (ii) $\Delta^+(G) = 1$, and
- (iii) G is dihedral free.

Theorem B. *Let R and G be as in Theorem A. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) $R[G]$ is a prime v -HC order with enough v -invertible ideals.

- (b) (i) R is a prime v -HC order with enough v -invertible ideals,
- (ii) $\Delta^+(G) = 1$, and
- (iii) either G is dihedral free, or R has characteristic not equal to 2.

The notion of a v -invertible ideal is recalled in Section 2. (Naturally, invertible ideals are v -invertible.) Both of these results are generalisations of [1, Theorem F], which gave Theorem A for commutative Noetherian coefficient rings R . Indeed the proof of Theorem A is accomplished by using a result from [11] to allow the replacement of R by its simple Artinian quotient ring Q (Proposition 2.7); then rather an easy argument permits us to replace Q by its centre, and so finally we may appeal to [1, Theorem F].

The proof of Theorem B requires first a refinement (Theorem 3.1) of the description of height one prime ideals of certain group rings given in [1, Theorem 3.2]. This result and a reduction from Section 2, in the spirit of that used for Theorem A, together serve to reduce the problem to the case of a group algebra of an abelian-by-finite group over a coefficient field, as is shown in Section 4. Finally this special case is treated in Sections 5 and 6, exploiting the fact that the algebra is a finitely generated Cohen–Macaulay module over its centre [3].

2. Definitions and reductions

All rings have 1, and all modules are unital. Unexplained notation and terminology is as in [14]. Let S be an order in a classical quotient ring $Q = Q(S)$. A right S -submodule I of Q is called a (fractional) right S -ideal provided I contains a unit of Q and $cI \subseteq S$ for some regular element c of S . If $I \subseteq S$, then it is said to be integral. Left S -ideals are defined analogously. By an S -ideal of Q we mean a left S -ideal which is also a right S -ideal. Let A, B be subsets of Q . We will use the notation: $(A : B)_l = \{q \in Q \mid qB \subseteq A\}$, $(A : B)_r = \{q \in Q \mid Bq \subseteq A\}$. In particular, we denote $(A : A)_l$ by $O_l(A)$. For any right S -ideal I , we define $I_v = (S : (S : I)_l)_r$, and if $I = I_v$, then it is called a right v -ideal. Similarly we define ${}_v J = (S : (S : J)_r)_l$ for any left S -ideal J , and J is said to be a left v -ideal if ${}_v J = J$. An S -ideal A is called a v -ideal or reflexive, if ${}_v A = A = A_v$. An integral v -ideal is simply called a v -ideal of S . Let $F(\sigma)$ be the right Gabriel topology cogenerated by $E(Q/S)$, the injective hull of the right S -module Q/S , in other words, σ is the idempotent kernel functor on right S -modules cogenerated by $E(Q/S)$. In an analogous way, we can define the idempotent kernel functor σ' on left S -modules. For a right S -ideal I , we define the σ -closure of I as $cl(I) = \{q \in Q \mid qC \subseteq I \text{ for some } C \in F(\sigma)\}$, and we say that I is σ -closed if $cl(I) = I$. σ' -closed left S -ideals are defined analogously. Consider the following three conditions.

- (M) S is a maximal order in the sense of Asano [13], i.e., $O_l(A) = S = O_r(A)$ for any integral S -ideal A .
- (K) ${}_v(A(S : A)_l) = O_l(A)$ for any integral S -ideal A with $A = {}_v A$, $((S : B)_r B)_v = O_r(B)$ for any integral S -ideal B with $B = B_v$.
- (C) S satisfies the ascending chain condition on integral σ -closed right S -ideals as well as on integral σ' -closed left S -ideals.

We say that S is a Krull order in the sense of [5] if it satisfies the conditions (M) and

(C), and S is said to be a v -HC order if it satisfies the conditions (K) and (C). Let C be a regular Ore set of S . Then we denote by S_C the partial quotient ring of S with respect to C . Let F be a division ring with centre K and let A be a K -algebra which is a prime Goldie ring with quotient ring $Q(A)$. We begin with two easy lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $S = A \otimes_K F$ and $T = Q(A) \otimes_K F$. Then $C = \{c \otimes 1 : c \text{ regular in } A\}$ is a regular Ore set of S and $T = S_C$.*

Proof. See the proof of Proposition 1.4 of [15].

Lemma 2.2 *With the same notation as Lemma 2.1, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all non-zero (S, S) -bimodules B in T and the set of all non-zero (A, A) -bimodules B in $Q(A)$, which is given by*

$$B \rightarrow B \cap Q(A); \quad B \rightarrow B \otimes_K F.$$

Proof. See the proof of Case 1 of Theorem 7.3.9 of [16].

In particular, we see from Lemma 2.2 that T is a simple ring. If T is a Goldie ring, then S has a classical quotient ring $Q(S)$ which is a simple Artinian ring.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that T is a Goldie ring and let $B = \mathbf{B} \otimes F$ be a non-zero (S, S) -bimodule in T , where \mathbf{B} is an (A, A) -bimodule in $Q(A)$. Then*

(i) $(S : B)_l = (A : \mathbf{B})_l \otimes F$. In particular, $B_v = \mathbf{B}_v \otimes F$.

(ii) *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all S -ideals B in T and the set of all A -ideals \mathbf{B} in $Q(A)$.*

Proof. (i) It is clear that $(S : B)_l$ is an (S, S) -bimodule containing $(A : \mathbf{B})_l \otimes F$. Let $\alpha = \sum_1^n q_i \otimes d_i$ be any element in $(S : B)_l$, where $q_i \in Q(A)$ and $d_i \in F$. We may assume that d_1, \dots, d_n are linearly independent over K . Since $S \supseteq \alpha B \supseteq \alpha(\mathbf{B} \otimes 1) = \sum q_i \mathbf{B} \otimes d_i$, we have $q_i \mathbf{B} \subseteq A$ and so $q_i \in (A : \mathbf{B})_l$. Thus $\alpha \in (A : \mathbf{B})_l \otimes F$.

(ii) Since A is a prime Goldie ring, \mathbf{B} is a right A -ideal if and only if $(A : \mathbf{B})_l \neq 0$ and similarly, B is a right S -ideal if and only if $(S : B)_l \neq 0$. Hence the assertion follows.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that T is a Goldie ring. Then*

(i) *A satisfies the condition (K) if and only if S satisfies (K).*

(ii) *A is a maximal order in $Q(A)$ if and only if S is a maximal order in $Q(S)$.*

Proof. (i) Let $B = \mathbf{B} \otimes F$ be any ideal of S , where \mathbf{B} is an ideal of A . Note that ${}_v B = B$ is equivalent to ${}_v \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}$ and that $(S : B)_l = (A : \mathbf{B})_l \otimes F$ by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. It follows that ${}_v(B(S : B)_l) = {}_v((\mathbf{B} \otimes F)((A : \mathbf{B})_l \otimes F)) = {}_v(\mathbf{B}(A : \mathbf{B})_l \otimes F) = {}_v(\mathbf{B}(A : \mathbf{B})_l) \otimes F$. Hence $O_l(B) = {}_v(B(S : B)_l) \Leftrightarrow 1 \in O_l(B) \Leftrightarrow 1 \in O_l(\mathbf{B}) \Leftrightarrow O_l(\mathbf{B}) = {}_v(\mathbf{B}(A : \mathbf{B})_l)$.

(ii) It follows, from similar arguments to (i), that $O_t(B) = O_t(\mathbf{B}) \otimes F$. Hence S is a maximal order if and only if A is a maximal order.

To apply Lemma 2.4 to group rings, let $Q = (F)_n$ be a simple Artinian ring, where F is a division ring with centre K and let G be a polycyclic-by-finite group. Then it is well known that the group ring $Q[G]$ is Noetherian and has a classical quotient ring which is an Artinian ring [18]. Furthermore $Q[G]$ is a prime ring if and only if $\Delta^+(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$ (Theorem 4.2.10 of [16]). Since $F[G] \cong F \otimes K[G]$, we have:

Corollary 2.5. *Assume that $\Delta^+(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$. Then*

- (i) $Q[G]$ is a Krull order if and only if $K[G]$ is a Krull order.
- (ii) $Q[G]$ is a v -H order if and only if $K[G]$ is a v -H order.

Let S be an order in $Q(S)$ and let X be any v -ideal in $Q(S)$. We say that X is v -invertible if $(X(S:X))_v = S = {}_v((S:X)_1X)$. In this case, it follows that $(S:X)_v = (S:X)_1$, and we denote it by X^{-1} . A ring S is said to have enough v -invertible ideals if any v -ideal of S contains a v -invertible ideal of S . A v -ideal A of S is called v -idempotent if ${}_v(A^2) = A = (A^2)_v$. We say that A is eventually v -idempotent provided there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $(A^n)_v = (A^{n+1})_v$. Let R be a v -HC order with enough v -invertible ideals. Then the following hold.

- (i) $A_v = {}_vA$ for any non-zero ideal A of R (Lemma 1.2 of [7]).
- (ii) Any prime v -ideal of R is a maximal v -ideal of R (i.e. a v -ideal maximal amongst the v -ideals of R) (Lemma 1.2 of [9]).
- (iii) If A is any v -ideal of R , then $A = (XB)_v$, for some v -invertible ideal X of R and eventually v -idempotent ideal B of R (Proposition 3 of [10] and (ii)).
- (iv) Suppose B is eventually v -idempotent and M_1, \dots, M_n is the full set of all maximal v -ideals of R containing B . Then $(B^n)_v = ((M_1 \cap \dots \cap M_n)^n)_v$ and is v -idempotent (Proposition 1.4 of [8]).

Suppose that R is a v -HC order with enough v -invertible ideals and that any maximal v -ideal of R is v -invertible. Then any v -ideal of R is v -invertible from (ii), (iii) and (iv). Let A be any ideal of R . Then it follows that $R \subseteq O_t(A) \subseteq O_t(A_v) = R$, because A_v is a v -ideal of R by (i) and so is v -invertible. Hence $O_t(A) = R$ and similarly, $O_r(A) = R$. This implies that R is a maximal order. Thus we have:

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose that R is a v -HC order with enough v -invertible ideals. Then R is a Krull order if and only if every maximal v -ideal of R is v -invertible.*

Before giving a further reduction, note that R satisfies the condition (C) if and only if $R[G]$ does by [12, Theorem].

Proposition 2.7. *The group ring $R[G]$ is a prime Krull order if and only if*

- (i) R is a prime Krull order in a quotient ring Q ,

- (ii) $\Delta^+(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$, and
- (iii) $Q[G]$ is a Krull order.

Proof. Suppose that $R[G]$ is a prime Krull order. Then it easily follows that R is a Krull order. By Lemma 2.2 of [5], $Q[G]$ is also a Krull order. Since $R[G]$ is prime, (ii) follows from Theorem 4.2.10 of [16]. Suppose that (i), (ii) and (iii) hold. Then $R[G]$ is prime. Let p be a maximal v -ideal of R . Note that it is a maximal v -invertible ideal of R , because R is Krull. Then $(R/p)[G] \cong R[G]/p[G]$ implies that $p[G]$ is a prime and v -invertible ideal of $R[G]$ by Theorem 4.2.10 of [16]. Hence $R[G]$ is a v -HC order with enough v -invertible ideals by Theorem 1.15 of [11].

To prove that $R[G]$ is a Krull order, let P be a maximal v -ideal of $R[G]$.

Case 1. Suppose that $p = P \cap R \neq 0$. Then p is a prime v -invertible ideal and hence so is $p[G]$, because $\Delta^+(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$. By Lemma 1.2 of [9], $p[G]$ is a maximal v -ideal and so $P = p[G]$, which is v -invertible.

Case 2. Suppose that $P \cap R = 0$. Then $P' = PQ[G]$ is v -invertible by (iii) and so, in particular, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (P'^n)_v = 0$. On the other hand, either P is v -idempotent or v -invertible. But since $(P^n)_v \subseteq (P'^n)_v$ for all $n \geq 1$, P must be v -invertible. Hence $R[G]$ is a Krull order by Proposition 2.6.

The following is almost a special case of Theorem 1.15 of [11].

Proposition 2.8. *The group ring $R[G]$ is a prime v -HC order with enough v -invertible ideals if and only if*

- (i) R is a prime v -HC order in a quotient ring Q , with enough v -invertible ideals,
- (ii) $\Delta^+(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$, and
- (iii) $Q[G]$ is a v -H order with enough v -invertible ideals.

Proof. The necessity follows from Theorem 4.2.10 of [16] and Theorem 1.15 of [11]. Conversely, assume that (i), (ii) and (iii) hold. Then $R[G]$ is a prime ring by Theorem 4.2.10 of [16]. Let p be a maximal v -invertible ideal of R . Then it is a semiprime ideal by Theorem 1.13 of [7]. So it follows that the ideal $p[G]$ is semiprime and v -invertible by (ii) and Theorems 4.2.12, 4.2.13 of [16]. Hence $R[G]$ is a v -HC order with enough v -invertible ideals by Theorem 1.15 of [11].

Proof of Theorem A. By Proposition 2.7 and Corollary 2.5 R may be assumed to be a field. In this case the result is given by [1, Theorem F].

Let $S = \Sigma \oplus S_x (x \in G)$ be a strongly graded ring of type G and let A be an ideal of S_1 , the part of degree 1. We say that A is a G -ideal if $S_{x^{-1}}AS_x \subseteq A$ for any $x \in G$. By a G - v -ideal we mean a G -ideal which is a v -ideal. We close this section with the following easy lemma used in the next section.

Lemma 2.9. *Let R be a Krull order and let G be a polycyclic-by-finite group with*

$\Delta^+(G)=1$. Let A be any integral $R[G]$ -ideal such that $A=A_v$. Then A contains a v -invertible ideal.

Proof. By Lemma 10.2.5 of [16], G has a normal subgroup N of finite index which is poly-infinite cyclic. From the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} G/N \rightarrow 1,$$

where π is the canonical map, we derive the following strongly graded ring of type G/N ; $R[G]=\Sigma \oplus S_{\pi(g)} (g \in G)$, where $S_{\pi(g)}=\Sigma \oplus Rx (x \in \pi(g))$ and $S_{\pi(1)}=R[N]$, a Krull order by Corollary 3.9 of [15]. Since N has finite index in G , $Q(R[G])=Q(R[N]) \otimes_{R[N]} R[G]$ by Lemma 13.3.5 of [16]. Hence $R[N] \cap A$ is a non-zero G - v -invertible ideal of $R[N]$. Hence $((R[N] \cap A)R[G])_v$ is a v -invertible ideal contained in A by Lemma 1.3 of [11].

3. Height one primes of group rings

For the proof of Theorem *B* we need a variant of [1, Theorem 3.2]. Recall that if I is an ideal of a group ring $R[G]$, then $I^+ = \{g \in G : (g-1) \in I\}$, a normal subgroup of G .

Theorem 3.1. Let R be a commutative Noetherian domain, and let H be a finitely generated abelian-by-finite group with $\Delta^+(H)=1$. Set $B=\Delta(H)$, the largest abelian normal subgroup of H . Let P be a height one prime ideal of $R[H]$.

(a) Then either (i) $P=(P \cap R[B])R[H]$; or (ii) there exists an isolated orbital dihedral subgroup D of H , and a prime ideal I of $R[D]$, with

$$P = \bigcap_{x \in H} I^x R[H].$$

(b) Suppose that Γ is a group of operators on H , and that P is Γ -orbital. Then in (a)(i), we may take $B=\Delta_\Gamma(H)=\{h \in H : |\Gamma : C_\Gamma(h)| < \infty\}$, and in (a)(ii), D and I are Γ -orbital. In either case, $P \cap K[B] \neq 0$.

Proof. (a) There is a prime Q of $R[B]$ with $P \cap R[B]=\bigcap_{x \in H} Q^x$, and $ht(Q)=1$ [17, Section 8.1 and Corrigendum].

Case 1. Suppose that Q^+ is finite. Then, as in the proof of Case 1 of [1, Theorem 3.2], $P=(P \cap R[B])R[H]$.

Case 2. Suppose that Q^+ is infinite. Let $E=N_H(Q)$, and let P_1 be a prime ideal of $R[E]$ with $P_1 \cap R[B]=Q$. Thus P_1 has height one, and, since $Q^+ \subseteq P_1^+$, P_1^+ is infinite. As $|H:E| < \infty$, P_1 is H -orbital; so also is P_1^+ , and with it $\Delta^+(P_1^+)$. But then $\Delta^+(P_1^+) \subseteq \Delta^+(H)$, and so $\Delta^+(P_1^+)=1$. Since the Hirsch number of P_1^+ is a lower bound for the height of P_1 , P_1^+ must have Hirsch length 1, and so, by [1, Lemma 2.1], P_1^+ is either infinite cyclic or infinite dihedral. In the former case, the proof of [1, Theorem 3.2] shows that $P=(P \cap R[B])R[H]$.

Suppose then that P_1^+ is dihedral. Let D be the isolator in E of P_1^+ . Then D is also dihedral, by [1, Lemma 2.1] again, and

$$P_1 = (P_1 \cap R[D])R[E]$$

by [17, Corollary 22]. Since D is a normal subgroup of E , it follows that $P_1 \cap R[D]$ is semiprime, and there is a prime ideal I of $R[D]$, with $P_1 \cap R[D] = \bigcap_{x \in E} I^x$. Thus

$$P_1 = \bigcap_{x \in E} I^x R[E].$$

By [6, Theorem 1.7].

$$P = \bigcap_{x \in H} (P_1 R[H])^x.$$

Combining these two expressions we deduce that

$$P_1 = \bigcap_{x \in H} I^x R[H],$$

as required.

(b) The first statement follows from (a) by applying [17, Theorem D], exactly as in the proof of [1, Theorem 3.2]. Moreover, it is clear that D and I are Γ -orbital in (ii), if P is. The final statement follows from the facts that $|D:D \cap B|=2$, and B is torsion free abelian.

4. The proof of Theorem B—reduction to abelian-by-finite groups

This is achieved by means of the following lemma. Let G be a polycyclic-by-finite group. A *plinth* of G is a torsion-free abelian orbital subgroup A of G such that $A \otimes_Z \mathbb{Q}$ is an irreducible $\mathbb{Q}[T]$ -module for every subgroup T of finite index in G . The characteristic subgroup generated by the plinths of G is denoted by $P(G)$, and the largest normal subgroup of G containing $P(G)$ as a subgroup of finite index is denoted by $S(G)$.

For any ideal I of a ring R , $\mathcal{C}(I)$ is the set of all elements c of R regular modulo I . If $\mathcal{C}(I)$ is an Ore set then R_I will denote the ring of quotients of R with respect to $\mathcal{C}(I)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let K be a field and let G be a polycyclic-by-finite group with $\Delta^+(G)=1$. Suppose that $K[S(G)]$ is a v -H order with enough v -invertible ideals. Then $K[G]$ is a v -H order with enough v -invertible ideals.*

Proof. Let P be a prime v -ideal of $K[G]$. We show first that

$$ht(P) = 1 \tag{1}$$

By [1, Theorem F] there exists a normal subgroup N of finite index in G such that $K[N]$ is a maximal order. Since $K[G]$ is prime, by [16, Theorem 4.2.10], $P \cap K[N] \neq 0$. Since $Q(K[G]) = Q(K[N]) \otimes_{K[N]} K[G]$, it is easy to see that $P \cap K[N]$ is a v -ideal. Let Y

be a prime ideal of $K[N]$ with $P \cap K[N] = \bigcap_{g \in G} Y^g$. Thus Y is a v -ideal, and so has height one by [14, Proposition 5.1.9]. Now (1) follows from [17, Lemma 29].

In view of (1), $P = (P \cap K[S(G)])K[G]$ by Theorem A of [1]. Now

$$P \cap K[S(G)] \text{ is a } v\text{-ideal,} \tag{2}$$

by [11, Lemma 1.1]. Thus $P \cap K[S(G)] = \bigcap_{g \in G} I^g$, where I is a prime, G -orbital v -ideal of $K[S(G)]$. Let J be the maximal v -invertible ideal of $K[S(G)]$ contained in I . Thus, for all $g \in G$, J^g is the maximal v -invertible ideal in I^g . Set $J_0 = \bigcap_{g \in G} J^g$ and $\hat{J} = J_0 K[G]$. Then \hat{J} is semiprime, since J_0 is an intersection of G -orbital primes and $S(G)$ is isolated in G . The v -invertibility of J_0 implies that \hat{J} is v -invertible in $K[G]$. By [7, Proposition 2.7], $K[G]_{\hat{J}}$ exists and is an *HNP* ring.

Let Z be the centre of $K[G]$ and T the partial quotient ring of $K[G]$ obtained by inverting the non-zero elements of Z . We claim that

$$T \text{ has no proper } v\text{-ideals.} \tag{3}$$

For, let A be a non-zero prime v -ideal of T . It is easy to see that $A \cap K[G]$ is a non-zero prime v -ideal of $K[G]$. By (2) and Theorem 3.1(b), there exists a non-zero element c in $A \cap K[\Delta(G)]$. Thus $\prod_{g \in V} c^g$, where V is a transversal to $C_G(c)$ in G , is a non-zero element of $A \cap Z$, and so $A = T$, as claimed.

Let \mathbf{J} denote the collection of ideals J of $K[S(G)]$ which are maximal among v -invertible G -invariant ideals. Set $\hat{J} = JK[G]$ for any J in \mathbf{J} , and $\hat{\mathbf{J}} = \{\hat{J} : J \in \mathbf{J}\}$. Next we show that

$$K[G] = \bigcap_{\hat{J}} K[G]_{\hat{J}} \cap T. \tag{4}$$

Let $x \in \bigcap_{\hat{J}} K[G]_{\hat{J}} \cap T$. Since $x \in T$, there is a v -invertible ideal X of $K[G]$ with $Xx \subseteq K[G]$; we can choose X maximal with this property. Suppose that $X \neq K[G]$. Then X is contained in a maximal v -invertible ideal E , and $E \in \mathbf{J}$ by the first part of the proof. There exists $c \in \mathcal{C}(E)$ with $xc \in K[G]$. Thus $X \subsetneq E^{-1}X \subseteq K[G]$, and so

$$EE^{-1}Xx \subseteq K[G] \cap Ex \subseteq K[G] \cap Ec^{-1} \subseteq E.$$

Therefore, $E^{-1}Xx \subseteq O_v(E) = K[G]$. This contradicts the maximality of X , and from this contradiction we deduce that $X = K[G]$, proving (4).

By (3) and [13, Proposition I.3.1], T is a maximal order. Since each of the rings $K[G]_{\hat{J}}$ is *HNP*, it follows from (4) that $K[G]$ is a prime Noetherian v -*H* order, as in [7, Theorem 2.23].

5. Sufficient conditions in the abelian-by-finite case

Our proof that certain group algebras are v -*H* orders proceeds by way of a local-

global result whose proof requires the following concept ([2, page 78]). A Noetherian ring S which is a finite module over a central subring C is *centrally Macaulay* if S is a Cohen–Macaulay C -module. The key property we require concerning such rings is stated in the next lemma, which, though implicit in [2], [3], [4], is not stated explicitly there.

Proposition 5.1. *Let S be a prime Noetherian centrally Macaulay ring with centre Z . Then*

- (i) $S = \bigcap_p S_p$, where the intersection is over the height one primes P of S , and, for each such P , $p = P \cap Z$ and $S_p = S \otimes_Z Z_p$.
- (ii) If c is a regular element of S , then $cS_p = S_p$ for all but finitely many p as in (i).
- (iii) Suppose that each S_p is hereditary. Let A be an ideal of S . Then $\bigcap_p AS_p$ is the smallest right [resp. left] reflexive ideal of S containing A .
- (iv) S is a prime Noetherian v -H order with enough v -invertible ideals if and only if each S_p is hereditary.

Proof (i) This is a special case of [2, Theorem 4.13], together with Lemma 5.1 of [3].

(ii) Let c be a regular element of S . Since S is a finite Z -module, $0 \neq cS \cap Z$. Let $I = cS \cap Z$. There are at most finitely many height one primes of S containing I . If P is any height one prime not containing I , then $I \not\subseteq p$, so $IS_p = S_p$, and so $cS_p = S_p$.

(iii) Let A_v be the smallest right reflexive ideal containing A . Since S_p is hereditary, AS_p is reflexive, and so $A_v S_p = AS_p$. Thus

$$A_v \subseteq \bigcap_p AS_p := B.$$

For the converse, let $T = \{q \in Q(S) : qA \subseteq S\}$. Then

$$TB \subseteq \bigcap_p T AS_p = \bigcap_p S_p = S,$$

so that $B \subseteq A_v$. Similar remarks apply on the left.

(iv) This is immediate from [7, Theorem 2.23] and (i), (ii) and (iii).

We now apply the preceding result to group algebras. Let K be a field and let G be a finitely generated abelian-by-finite group with $\Delta^+(G) = 1$. Then $S = K[G]$ is prime Noetherian, by [16, Theorem 4.2.10 and Corollary 10.2.8], so S satisfies the hypotheses for (i) and (ii) of Proposition 5.2, by [4, Theorems 6.4 and 3.4]. These observations yield (i) of the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *Let K be a field and let G be a finitely generated abelian-by-finite group with $\Delta^+(G) = 1$. For each height one prime P of $K[G]$, let $p = P \cap Z$ where Z is the*

centre of $K[G]$, and let $K[G]_p$ denote the localisation of $K[G]$ at p (i.e. $K[G]_p = KG \otimes_Z Z_p$). Then

(i) $K[G]$ satisfies (i) and (ii) of Proposition 5.1.

(ii) Suppose that $\text{char } K \neq 2$. Then for all height one primes P of $K[G]$, $K[G]_p$ is a semilocal HNP ring.

Proof. (ii) It only remains to prove that, when $\text{char } K \neq 2$, $K[G]_p$ is hereditary, where $p = P \cap Z$ and P is a height one prime. With this notation, by Theorem 3.1, either (a) $P = (P \cap K[B])K[G]$, where B is the FC-subgroup of G , or (b) there is a prime ideal I of the group algebra of an isolated orbital dihedral subgroup D of G , with $P = \bigcap_{x \in G} I^x K[G]$.

If (a) applies then P is principal since B is free abelian (see [16, Lemma 4.1.6]). Suppose that (b) holds. Then there are elements x_1, \dots, x_t of G such that, as right modules,

$$K[G]_p/P_p \cong \sum_{i=1}^t \oplus K[G]_p/I^{x_i}K[G]_p,$$

since $K[G]_p/P_p$ is simple Artinian. Consider the i th summand on the right hand side of this isomorphism. Writing I for I^{x_i} and D for D^{x_i} , for convenience, we have

$$K[G]_p/IK[G]_p = (K[D]/I) \otimes_{K[D]} K[G]_p. \tag{5}$$

But D has an infinite cyclic subgroup of index 2, and $\text{char } K \neq 2$, so $K[D]$ is hereditary by [16, Theorem 10.3.9]. Therefore, $K[D]/I$ has projective dimension 1. Since $K[G]_p$ is a flat $K[D]$ -module, (5) shows that $K[G]_p/IK[G]_p$ has dimension 1 as a $K[G]_p$ -module. That is, the unique irreducible $K[G]_p$ -module has projective dimension 1, and $K[G]_p$ is hereditary.

From Proposition 5.1(iv) and 5.2 we have:

Corollary 5.3. *Let K be a field of characteristic not equal to 2, and let G be a finitely generated abelian-by-finite group with $\Delta^+(G) = 1$. Then $K[G]$ is a prime Noetherian v -H order with enough v -invertible ideals.*

6. Necessary conditions, and proof of Theorem B

Lemma 6.1. *Let G be a polycyclic-by-finite group with $\Delta^+(G) = 1$, and let R be a commutative Krull domain. Let D be an isolated orbital dihedral subgroup of G . Put $P = \bigcap_{x \in G} \mathfrak{d}^x$. Then P is a prime v -ideal of $R[G]$.*

Proof. Let $D = \langle a, b \mid a^{-1}ba = b^{-1}, a^2 = 1 \rangle$. Routine arguments allow us to assume that R is a field. It follows from the proof of Lemma 1.3 of [19] that

$(b-1)^{-1}(a-1) \in O_t(\mathfrak{d}) \subseteq (R[D]:\mathfrak{d})_t$. Since $(b-1)^{-1}(a-1) \notin R[D]$, $\mathfrak{d}_v \not\subseteq R[D]$, and since \mathfrak{d} is a maximal ideal we conclude that \mathfrak{d} is reflexive.

By [1, Lemma 2.2], P is a prime ideal. Let $\alpha \in P_v$, so that $(R[G]:P)_{\alpha} \subseteq R[G]$. For $x \in G$, $P \subseteq \mathfrak{d}^x R[G]$, and so

$$(R[D^x]:\mathfrak{d}^x)_t \subseteq (R[G]:P)_t.$$

In particular, writing $\alpha = \sum_{t \in T} \alpha_t t$, where T is a right transversal to D^x in G , and $\alpha_t \in R[D^x]$ for all $t \in T$, we conclude from the reflexivity of \mathfrak{d}^x in $R[D^x]$ that $\alpha_t \in \mathfrak{d}^x$ for all $t \in T$. Hence $\alpha \in \bigcap_{x \in G} \mathfrak{d}^x R[G] = P$, and so $P = P_v$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let K be a field of characteristic 2 and let G be a polycyclic-by-finite group. Suppose that $\Delta^+(G) = 1$ and G is not dihedral free. Then $K[G]$ is not a v -HC order.*

Proof. There is an orbital dihedral subgroup D in G , and by Lemma 2.1 of [1] we may assume that D is isolated. Let $P = \bigcap_{x \in G} \mathfrak{d}^x$; P is a prime v -ideal by Lemma 6.1, and P is localisable by Lemma 2.2(v) of [1]. By Lemma 2.9, P contains a maximal v -invertible ideal, N . (In fact, it is easy to see that $N = P$.)

Suppose now that $K[G]$ is a v -HC order, (so it has enough v -invertible ideals, by Lemma 2.9). By Proposition 2.7 of [7], $K[G]_N$ and its localisation $K[G]_P$ are HNP rings. Since $K[G]_P$ is local, its Jacobson radical P_P is principal. This contradicts Lemma 2.2(v) of [1]. Thus $K[G]$ is not a v -HC order.

Proof of Theorem B. Suppose that R and G satisfy hypotheses (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem B(b). Let Q be the quotient ring of R , and let K be its centre. By Proposition 2.8 and Corollary 2.5, we may replace R by K . If G is dihedral free, then $K[G]$ is a maximal order by Theorem F of [1]. Otherwise, the characteristic of K is not 2, and $K[S(G)]$ is a prime Noetherian v -H order with enough v -invertible ideals, by Corollary 5.3. (a) then follows from Lemma 4.1.

Conversely if $R[G]$ is a prime v -HC order with enough v -invertible ideals, then it is easy to deduce (i) (or see Theorem 1.15 of [11]), (ii) follows from Connell's theorem [16, Theorem 4.2.10], and (iii) follows from Proposition 2.8, Corollary 2.5 and Lemma 6.2.

Acknowledgement. This work was done while the second author was visiting the University of Glasgow. He would like to thank the staff there for their hospitality, and the Centenary Fund of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society for financial support.

REFERENCES

1. K. A. BROWN, Height one primes of polycyclic group rings, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **32** (1985), 426–438.
2. K. A. BROWN, C. R. HAJARNAVIS and A. B. MACEACHARN, Rings of finite global dimension integral over their centres, *Comm. Algebra* **11** (1983), 67–93.

3. K. A. BROWN and C. R. HAJARNAVIS, Homologically homogeneous rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **281** (1984), 197–208.
4. K. A. BROWN and C. R. HAJARNAVIS, Injectively homogeneous rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **51** (1988), 65–77.
5. M. CHAMARIE, Anneaux de Krull non commutatif, *J. Algebra* **72** (1981), 210–222.
6. M. LORENZ and D. S. PASSMAN, Prime ideals in group algebras of polycyclic-by-finite groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **43** (1981), 520–543.
7. H. MARUBAYASHI, A Krull type generalization of *HNP* rings with enough invertible idelas, *Comm. Algebra* **11** (1983), 469–499.
8. H. MARUBAYASHI, A skew polynomial ring over a *v-HC* order with enough *v*-invertible ideals, *Comm. Algebra* **12** (1984), 1567–1593.
9. H. MARUBAYASHI, Remarks on *VHC* orders in a simple artinian ring, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **31** (1984), 109–118.
10. H. MARUBAYASHI, On *v*-ideals in a *VHC* order, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **59** (1983), 339–342.
11. H. MARUBAYASHI, Divisorially graded rings by polycyclic-by-finite groups, *Comm. Algebra* **17** (1989), 2135–2177.
12. H. MARUBAYASHI, A note on divisorially graded rings by polycyclic-by-finite groups, *Math. Japon.* **34** (1989), 227–233.
13. G. MAURY and J. RAYNAUD, *Ordres maximaux au sens de K. Asano* (Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **808**, 1980).
14. J. C. MCCONNELL and J. C. ROBSON, *Non-commutative Noetherian Rings* (Wiley, 1987).
15. C. NASTASESCU, E. NAUWELAERTS and F. VAN OYSTAEYEN, Arithmetically graded rings revisited, *Comm. Algebra*, to appear.
16. D. S. PASSMAN, *The algebraic structure of group rings* (Wiley-Interscience, 1977).
17. J. E. ROSEBLADE, Prime ideals in group rings of polycyclic groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **36** (1978), 385–447.
18. P. F. SMITH, Quotient rings of group rings, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **3** (1971), 645–660.
19. P. F. SMITH, Some example of maximal orders, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **98** (1985), 19–32.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW
 UNIVERSITY GARDENS
 GLASGOW G12 8QW