

# MAXIMAL INVERSE SUBSEMIGROUPS OF $S(X)$

by BRIDGET B. BAIRD

(Received 16 June, 1981)

**1. Introduction.** If  $X$  is a topological space then  $S(X)$  will denote the semigroup, under composition, of all continuous functions from  $X$  into  $X$ . An element  $f$  in a semigroup is regular if there is an element  $g$  such that  $fgf = f$ . The regular elements of  $S(X)$  will be denoted by  $R(X)$ . Elements  $f$  and  $g$  are inverses of each other if  $fgf = f$  and  $gfg = g$ . Every regular element has an inverse [1]. If every element in a semigroup has a unique inverse then the semigroup is an inverse semigroup. In this paper we examine maximal inverse subsemigroups of  $S(X)$ .

For certain idempotents  $e$  we will define a set  $I_e$  and show that  $I_e$  is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$  with  $e$  as its smallest idempotent. N. R. Reilly [5], J. W. Nichols [4] and B. M. Schein [7] have looked at maximal inverse subsemigroups of  $T_X$ , the full transformation semigroup on the set  $X$ . By letting  $X$  have the discrete topology we can apply our theorems about 0-dimensional spaces to yield the results of Nichols and Reilly. Further results give conditions on  $X$  which ensure that  $G(X)$ , the group of units of  $S(X)$ , is a maximal inverse subsemigroup. Other theorems will give results for  $X$  a Euclidean  $n$ -cell or Euclidean  $n$ -space.

**2. Preliminary results.** Throughout the paper we will use the notation and basic results about semigroups from Clifford and Preston [1]. A retract is the range of an idempotent in  $S(X)$ ,  $f|_A$  will denote the restriction of the map  $f$  to the set  $A$ . The juxtaposition  $fg$  will mean the composition  $f \circ g$ . We begin with a result of R. D. Hofer [2] which gives conditions for  $f$  and  $g$  to be inverses of each other.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $f \in R(X)$ . Then  $g$  is an inverse for  $f$  if and only if there exist retracts  $A, B$  of  $X$  such that  $B = \text{range of } f$ ,  $A = \text{range of } g$ ,  $f|_A$  is a homeomorphism onto  $B$ ,  $g|_B$  is a homeomorphism onto  $A$ ,  $fg|_B = \text{id}|_B$  (identity map on  $B$ ) and  $gf|_A = \text{id}|_A$ .*

Note that if  $f \in R(X)$  then the set  $B$  above is uniquely determined; we will denote it by  $B_f$ . If the set  $A$  is also uniquely determined (for example, if  $f$  belongs to an inverse semigroup) then it will be denoted by  $A_f$ . If  $f$  is an idempotent then we will say  $A_f = B_f$ . Finally, if  $f$  belongs to an inverse semigroup  $J$  then the unique algebraic inverse of  $f$  (in  $J$ ) will be denoted by  $f^{-1}$ . We will also occasionally use the symbol  $f^{-1}$  for the inverse image of the map  $f$ ; no confusion should result from this.

The next lemma is concerned with composing two elements in  $R(X)$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *Suppose  $f, g \in R(X)$  with inverses  $f', g'$  respectively. Let  $A = g'(B_f \cap B_g)$  and  $B = fg(A)$ .*

(1) *If range of  $fg = B$  then  $(fg)(g'f')(fg) = fg$ ,  $fg \in R(X)$  and  $fg$  maps  $A$  homeomorphically onto  $B$ .*

(2) *If range of  $fg = B$  and range of  $g'f' = A$  then  $g'f'$  is an inverse for  $fg$ .*

*Glasgow Math. J.* **24** (1983) 53–64.

*Proof.* (1) Suppose range of  $fg = B$ . If  $y \in A$  then  $y \in B_g$ ,  $g(y) \in B_{f'}$ , and so  $f'fg(y) = g(y) (f'f|_{B_{f'}} = \text{id}|_{B_{f'}})$  and hence  $g'f'fg(y) = g'g(y) = y$ . But now, if  $x \in X$  then  $fg(x) = fg(y)$  for some  $y$  in  $A$ . Thus

$$(fg)(g'f')(fg)(x) = (fg)(g'f')(fg)(y) = fg(y) = fg(x).$$

Thus  $fg \in R(X)$  and  $fg$  maps  $A$  homeomorphically onto  $B$  ( $g|_A$  and  $f|_{B_{f'}}$  are both homeomorphisms).

(2) Assume range of  $fg = B$  and range of  $g'f' = A$ . If we show that  $A = g'f'(f(B_g \cap B_{f'}))$  then we can apply (1) to the element  $g'f'$  to conclude that  $(g'f')(fg)(g'f') = g'f'$ . But this is true since  $A = g'(B_{f'} \cap B_g)$  and  $f'f|_{B_{f'}} = \text{id}|_{B_{f'}}$ .

We now introduce a new notion.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $e$  be an idempotent in  $S(X)$ . We say that an element  $f \in S(X)$  respects  $A_e$  if there exists an inverse  $f'$  of  $f$  with  $A_e \subseteq B_{f'} \cap B_f$  and  $f|_{A_e}$  is a homeomorphism onto  $A_e$ . If we wish to emphasize the role of  $f'$  we will say  $f$  respects  $A_e$  via  $f'$ .

Next we consider Green's relation  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $H_e$  denote the  $\mathcal{H}$ -class of an idempotent  $e \in S(X)$ . Then by using results of K. D. Magill, Jr. and S. Subbiah [3] we see that

$$H_e = \{f \in R(X) : \text{there exists an inverse } f' \text{ of } f \text{ such that}$$

$$B_f = B_{f'} = A_e, e(x) = e(y) \text{ if and only if } f(x) = f(y)\}.$$

Note that every element of  $H_e$  respects  $A_e$  and that if  $f \in H_e$  then  $e(x) = e(y)$  if and only if  $ef(x) = ef(y)$  ( $e$  is the identity on  $B_{f'}$ ). We now state a result pertaining to these notions (the proof will be omitted).

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $e$  be an idempotent in  $S(X)$  and suppose that  $h$  respects  $A_e$ . Then  $he \in H_e$  and  $he|_{A_e} = h|_{A_e}$ .*

**LEMMA 4.** *Suppose  $e$  and  $f$  are idempotents in  $S(X)$  which commute.*

(1) *If  $A_e = A_f$  then  $e = f$ .*

(2) *If  $e(x), f(x) \in A_e \cap A_f$  then  $e(x) = f(x)$ . In particular, if  $A_e \subseteq A_f$  and  $f(x) \in A_e$  then  $e(x) = f(x)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward and will be omitted.

Recall that in an inverse semigroup  $J$  all idempotents commute.  $J$  has a smallest idempotent  $e$  if  $fe = ef = e$  for all idempotents  $f$  in  $J$ . If this is the case then  $A_e \subseteq A_f$ , with equality occurring only if  $e = f$  (by the last lemma).

**LEMMA 5.** *Let  $J$  be an inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$  with smallest idempotent  $e$  and suppose  $g \in J$ . Then  $g$  respects  $A_e$ ,  $g^{-1}eg = e$ ,  $ge = eg$  and for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $e(x) = e(y)$  if and only if  $eg(x) = eg(y)$ .*

*Proof.* The elements  $geg^{-1}$  and  $g^{-1}eg$  are idempotents in  $J$  and so  $A_e \subseteq g(A_e) \subseteq B_g$  and  $A_e \subseteq g^{-1}(A_e) \subseteq A_g$ . But then  $g|_{A_e}$  maps onto  $A_e$  and so  $g$  respects  $A_e$ . Now  $A_{geg^{-1}} =$

$A_{g^{-1}eg} = A_e$  and so, by Lemma 4,  $geg^{-1} = e$  and thus  $eg = geg^{-1}g = gg^{-1}ge = ge$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} e(x) = e(y) &\Leftrightarrow ge(x) = ge(y) \quad (g \text{ is one-to-one on } A_e) \\ &\Leftrightarrow eg(x) = eg(y). \end{aligned}$$

The next corollary shows us that every maximal inverse subsemigroup with a smallest idempotent  $e$  must contain  $H_e$  (also proved by Reilly [5]).

**COROLLARY 6.** *Let  $J$  be an inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$  with smallest idempotent  $e$  and let  $g \in J$ . If  $f \in H_e$  then  $fg, gf \in H_e$ ; if  $J$  is maximal then  $H_e \subseteq J$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f$  respects  $A_e$  via  $f'$ . Then we apply Lemmas 2 and 5 to show that  $fg$  and  $gf$  are in  $R(X)$  and that  $B_{g^{-1}f'} = B_{fg} = B_{f'g^{-1}} = B_{gf} = A_e$ . Now if  $f \in H_e$  then  $ef = fe = f$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} fg(x) = fg(y) &\Leftrightarrow feg(x) = feg(y) \\ &\Leftrightarrow eg(x) = eg(y) \quad (f \text{ is one-to-one on } A_e) \\ &\Leftrightarrow e(x) = e(y) \quad (\text{by the last Lemma}). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} gf(x) = gf(y) &\Leftrightarrow f(x) = f(y) \quad (g \text{ is one-to-one on } B_f) \\ &\Leftrightarrow e(x) = e(y) \quad (f \in H_e). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $fg$  and  $gf$  both belong to  $H_e$ . Now suppose  $J$  is maximal. Then  $H_e \cup J$  is a subsemigroup by the above. Clearly idempotents in  $H_e \cup J$  commute and so  $H_e \cup J$  is an inverse subsemigroup [1]. Hence  $H_e \subseteq J$  by maximality of  $J$ .

Later in the paper we will define several maximal inverse subsemigroups with smallest idempotent  $e$ . This last corollary then tells us that each of these maximal inverse subsemigroups contains  $H_e$ . The next results indicate when such a smallest idempotent is present.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $J$  be an inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$ . Then we define  $A_J = \bigcap \{A_f : f \in J\}$ . (Note that the collection  $\{A_f : f \in J\}$  satisfies the finite intersection property; if  $X$  is compact then  $A_J \neq \emptyset$ .)

**LEMMA 7.** *Let  $J$  be an inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$  and suppose  $f \in J$ . Then  $A_J \subseteq A_f \cap B_f$  and  $f|_{A_J}$  is a homeomorphism onto  $A_J$ . If there exists an idempotent  $e \in J$  such that  $A_e = A_J$  then  $e$  is the smallest idempotent of  $J$ .*

*Proof.*  $A_J \subseteq A_f$  by definition and since there exists  $f^{-1} \in J$  with  $A_{f^{-1}} = B_f$  we have  $A_J \subseteq B_f$  also. Thus  $f|_{A_J}$  is a homeomorphism. If  $x \in A_J$  and  $f(x) \notin A_J$  then there exists  $g \in J$  such that  $f(x) \notin B_g$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $g$  is an idempotent and  $B_g \subseteq B_f$  ( $ff^{-1}gg^{-1} \in J$ ). Now  $f^{-1}gf$  is an idempotent in  $J$  and so  $f^{-1}gf(x) = x$  ( $x \in A_J \subseteq A_{f^{-1}gf}$ ). But then  $ff^{-1}gf(x) = f(x)$ . Since  $B_g \subseteq B_f$  we have  $ff^{-1}gf(x) = gf(x)$ . Thus  $gf(x) = f(x)$  but  $f(x) \notin B_g$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $f(x) \in A_J$ . This means that  $f$  maps

$A_j$  into  $A_j$ . Apply this result to  $f^{-1}$  to conclude that  $f$  maps  $A_j$  onto  $A_j$ . Now suppose  $e$  is an idempotent in  $J$  with  $A_e = A_j$ . Then if  $f$  is any other idempotent,  $f(x) = x$  for all  $x \in A_e = A_j$  ( $A_j \subseteq A_f$ ). Thus  $ef = fe = e$  and so  $e$  is the smallest idempotent.

**COROLLARY 8.** *Let  $J$  be an inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$ ,  $e$  an idempotent in  $J$ . Suppose the following condition is satisfied: if  $B$  is any retract of  $X$  with  $B \not\subseteq A_e$  then there exists  $f \in J$  such that  $f(B) \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $A_j = A_e$ ,  $e$  is the smallest idempotent in  $J$  and if  $g \in J$  then  $g$  respects  $A_e$ .*

*Proof.* We know  $A_j \subseteq A_e$ . If  $A_e \not\subseteq A_j$  then there exists an idempotent  $g \in J$  such that  $A_g \not\subseteq A_e$ . Then by the condition there exists an  $f \in J$  such that  $f(A_g) \cap A_g = \emptyset$ . Then  $fgf^{-1}$  is an idempotent in  $J$  and so  $g(fgf^{-1}) = (fgf^{-1})g$ . But  $B_{gfgf^{-1}} \subseteq A_g$ ,  $B_{fgf^{-1}g} \subseteq f(A_g)$  and  $f(A_g) \cap A_g = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $A_e = A_j$ . The rest of the corollary follows from Lemmas 7 and 5.

**3. Main results.** We first prove several results about maximal inverse subsemigroups of  $S(X)$  where  $X$  is 0-dimensional. The symbol  $c_y$  will signify the constant map in  $S(X)$  which sends everything to the point  $y$ .

**THEOREM 9.** *Let  $X$  be  $T_1$  and 0-dimensional and suppose  $e = c_y$  for some fixed  $y \in X$ . Let*

$$I_e = \{f \in R(X) : f(y) = y, \text{ there exists an inverse } f' \text{ of } f \text{ such that } \{y\} \subseteq B_f \cap B_{f'} \text{ and if } f(x) \neq y \text{ then } |\{z : f(z) = f(x)\}| = 1\}.$$

Then  $I_e$  is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$  with smallest idempotent  $e$ .

*Proof.* We initially note that if  $f \in I_e$  with inverse  $f'$  then  $f$  respects  $A_e$  via  $f'$ , if  $x \in B_{f'}$  and  $x \neq y$  then  $f(x) \neq y$ , and if  $x \notin B_{f'}$  then  $f(x) = y$ . This, coupled with the fact that  $X$  is  $T_1$ , means that the boundaries of  $B_f$  and  $B_{f'}$  are contained in  $\{y\}$ . We can now show that if  $f \in I_e$  then  $f$  has an inverse  $k \in I_e$ ; define  $k$  by

$$k(x) = \begin{cases} f'(x) & x \in B_f \\ y & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $k$  is continuous by the above remarks and it is straightforward to show that  $k \in I_e$ . Now suppose  $f, g \in I_e$  with inverses  $f', g' \in I_e$ . Let  $h = fg$ . If  $A = g'(B_{f'} \cap B_g)$  and  $B = h(A)$  we show that  $B = \text{range of } h$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then there exists  $z$  such that  $g(z) = g(x)$  and  $g'g(z) = z$ . If  $g(z) \in B_{f'}$  then  $z \in A$  and  $h(z) = h(x)$ . If  $g(z) \notin B_{f'}$  then  $fg(x) = y$  and  $h(y) = h(x)$  with  $y \in A$ . Thus  $\text{range of } h = B$ . Now by Lemma 2,  $h \in R(X)$ . Clearly  $h$  respects  $A_e$  since  $h(y) = fg(y) = y$ . It is also clear that if  $h(x) \neq y$  then  $|\{z : h(z) = h(x)\}| = 1$ . Hence  $h \in I_e$  and so  $I_e$  is a subsemigroup. We have already shown that  $I_e$  contains inverses. Note that if  $f$  is an idempotent in  $I_e$  then

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in A_f \\ y & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Two such idempotents commute and so  $I_e$  is an inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$ .

To show that  $I_e$  is maximal suppose  $I_e \subseteq J$  where  $J$  is an inverse subsemigroup. By Corollary 8 we have that  $e$  is the smallest idempotent in  $J$  and if  $f \in J$  then  $f$  respects  $A_e$ . Now suppose  $f(w) \neq y$  and

$$|\{z : f(z) = f(w)\}| > 1.$$

We may assume  $w \in A_f$ . Then there exists  $z \notin A_f$  such that  $f(w) = f(z)$ . Choose a clopen (closed and open) set  $G$  so that  $z, y \in G$  and  $w \notin G$ . Define  $g \in S(X)$  by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in G, \\ y & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $g$  is an idempotent in  $I_e$ , hence in  $J$ . Thus  $gf^{-1}f = f^{-1}fg$ . But  $gf^{-1}f(z) = g(w) = y$  and  $f^{-1}fg(z) = f^{-1}f(z) = w$  and  $w \neq y$ . This is a contradiction. Hence if  $f(w) \neq y$  then  $|\{z : f(z) = f(w)\}| = 1$  and so  $f \in I_e$ . Thus  $J \subseteq I_e$  and  $I_e$  is maximal with smallest idempotent  $e$ .

If we let  $X$  be discrete then  $S(X) = T_X$ , the full transformation semigroup on the set  $X$ . We may then apply the last theorem to obtain the result of Nichols [4]. The next theorem is also concerned with 0-dimensional spaces. Recall that a space  $X$  is homogeneous if for every two points  $x$  and  $y$  there exists a homeomorphism  $h$  of  $X$  onto  $X$  such that  $h(x) = y$ .

**THEOREM 10.** *Let  $X$  be a homogeneous, 0-dimensional space and suppose  $e$  is an idempotent in  $S(X)$  such that  $A_e$  is open. Let  $I_e = \{f \in R(X) : f \text{ respects } A_e, B_f \text{ is open, if } f(x) \notin A_e \text{ then } |\{y : f(y) = f(x)\}| = 1 \text{ and for all } x, y \in X, e(x) = e(y) \text{ if and only if } ef(x) = ef(y)\}$ . Then  $I_e$  is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$  with smallest idempotent  $e$ .*

*Proof.* Note that if  $f \in I_e$  respects  $A_e$  via  $f'$  and  $x \notin B_{f'}$  then  $f(x) \in A_e$ . Thus  $B_{f'} = (f^{-1}(X - A_e) \cup A_e)$  and so  $B_{f'}$  is clopen. We first show that if  $f \in I_e$  then there exists an inverse  $g$  of  $f$  which also belongs to  $I_e$ . Define  $g$  by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f'(x) & \text{if } x \in B_f, \\ f'e(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $B_f$  is clopen we have that  $g \in S(X)$ . Clearly  $g$  is an inverse for  $f$ ,  $B_g$  is open,  $g$  respects  $A_e$  and if  $g(x) \notin A_e$  then  $|\{y : g(y) = g(x)\}| = 1$ . To show the last condition for membership in  $I_e$  we consider several cases:

- (1)  $x, y \in B_f : eg(x) = eg(y) \Leftrightarrow ef'(x) = ef'(y) \Leftrightarrow eff'(x) = eff'(y) \Leftrightarrow e(x) = e(y)$ .
- (2)  $x \notin B_f, y \notin B_f : eg(x) = eg(y) \Leftrightarrow ef'e(x) = ef'e(y) \Leftrightarrow eff'e(x) = eff'e(y) \Leftrightarrow e(x) = e(y)$ .
- (3)  $x \in B_f, y \notin B_f : eg(x) = eg(y) \Leftrightarrow ef'(x) = ef'e(y) \Leftrightarrow eff'(x) = eff'e(y) \Leftrightarrow e(x) = e(y)$ .

Thus  $g \in I_e$ .

We now show  $I_e$  is a subsemigroup. Let  $h = fg$  with  $f, g \in I_e$  and inverses  $f', g' \in I_e$ . Let  $h = fg$ , let  $A = g'(B_g \cap B_f)$  and  $B = h(A)$ . We show  $B = \text{range of } h$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then there exists  $y$  such that  $g(x) = g(y)$  and  $g'y(y) = y$ . If  $g(y) \in B_f$ , then  $y \in A$ ,  $g(x) = g(y)$  and hence  $h(x) = h(y)$ . If  $g(y) \notin B_f$ , then  $fg(x) \in A_e$  and so there exists  $z \in A_e \subseteq A$  such that  $h(x) = h(z)$ . Now we use Lemma 2 to conclude that  $h \in R(X)$ . Clearly  $B_h$  is open and  $h$  respects

$A_e$ . Now suppose  $h(x) = h(y)$  where  $h(x) \notin A_e$ . Then  $fg(x) = fg(y)$  with  $fg(x) \notin A_e$ . This means that  $g(x) = g(y)$ . Now  $g(x) \notin A_e$  (otherwise  $fg(x) \in A_e$ ) and so  $x = y$ . Thus if  $h(x) \notin A_e$  then  $|\{y : h(x) = h(y)\}| = 1$ . Finally, note that for any  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$e(x) = e(y) \Leftrightarrow eg(x) = eg(y) \Leftrightarrow efg(x) = efg(y) \Leftrightarrow eh(x) = eh(y).$$

Thus  $h \in I_e$ .

To show that  $I_e$  is an inverse subsemigroup we need only show that idempotents in  $I_e$  commute. But note that if  $f$  is an idempotent in  $I_e$  then

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in A_f, \\ e(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus any two idempotents in  $I_e$  will commute and so  $I_e$  is an inverse subsemigroup.

For maximality suppose that  $I_e \subseteq J$  where  $J$  is an inverse subsemigroup. We first show that  $A_e \subseteq A_f$ . If not, then there exists an idempotent  $f \in J$  and  $y \in X$  such that  $y \in A_e - A_f$ . But then  $ef(y) = fe(y) \in A_f \cap A_e$  and so  $fe(y) \neq y$ . By the homogeneity of  $X$  choose a homeomorphism  $h$  from  $X$  onto  $X$  such that  $h(y) = fe(y)$ . Now choose clopen disjoint sets  $U, V$  of  $X$  so that  $y \in U, fe(y) \in V, U \cup V \subseteq A_e, U \cap A_f = \emptyset$  and  $h(U) = V$ . Now define a homeomorphism  $k$  from  $X$  onto  $X$  by

$$k(x) = \begin{cases} h(x) & \text{if } x \in U, \\ h^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x \in V, \\ e(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $B_k = A_e$  and  $ke = ek$ . Thus  $k \in I_e$ , hence  $k \in J$ . Now  $k^{-1}fek$  is an idempotent of  $J$ . So

$$(fe)(k^{-1}fek) = (k^{-1}fek)(fe).$$

But

$$(fe)(k^{-1}fek)(y) = (fe)(k^{-1}feh)(y) = (fe)(k^{-1}fefe)(y) = (fe)(h^{-1}fe)(y) = fe(y)$$

and  $(k^{-1}fek)(fe)(y) \in k^{-1}f(U)$ . Now  $f(U) \cap U = \emptyset$  since  $U \cap A_f = \emptyset$ . Thus  $k^{-1}f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ . But  $fe(y) \in V$  and this is a contradiction. Thus  $A_e \subseteq A_f$  and so, by Lemma 7,  $e$  is the smallest idempotent of  $J$ . Now by Lemma 5, if  $g \in J$  then  $g$  respects  $A_e$ ,  $ge = eg$  and

$$e(x) = e(y) \Leftrightarrow eg(x) = eg(y).$$

Assume  $f$  is an idempotent in  $J$ . Suppose there exists  $z \in A_f - A_e$  such that  $f(z) = z = f(y)$  with  $y \neq z$ . Choose clopen  $U$  so that  $y \in U, z \notin U$  and  $U \cap A_e = \emptyset$  (note  $y \notin A_e$ ). Define  $g \in S(X)$  by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} e(x) & \text{if } x \in U, \\ x & \text{if } x \notin U. \end{cases}$$

Then  $g$  is an idempotent in  $I_e$  and so  $fg = gf$ . But  $fg(y) = fe(y) = e(y) \in A_e$  and  $gf(y) = g(z) = z$  with  $z \notin A_e$ . This is a contradiction. Thus if  $f(z) \notin A_e$  then  $|\{x : f(x) = f(z)\}| = 1$ . This means that if  $x \notin A_f$  then  $f(x) \in A_e$ . But then  $X - A_f = f^{-1}(A_e) \cap (X - A_e)$  which is closed. Thus  $A_f$  (and hence  $B_f$ ) is open. But then  $f \in I_e$ .

Now suppose  $g \in J$ . Then  $B_g = A_{gg^{-1}}$  is open,  $g$  respects  $A_e$  and

$$e(x) = e(y) \Leftrightarrow eg(x) = eg(y).$$

If  $g(x) \notin A_e$  and  $g(x) = g(y)$  then  $g^{-1}g(x) \notin A_e$  ( $g^{-1}$  respects  $A_e$ ) and  $g^{-1}g(x) = g^{-1}g(y)$ . Thus  $x = y(g^{-1}g \in I_e)$ . But then  $|\{y : g(x) = g(y)\}| = 1$ . This shows  $g \in I_e$ . Thus  $J \subseteq I_e$  and so  $I_e$  is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$  with smallest idempotent  $e$ .

**COROLLARY 11.** *Let  $X$  be a homogeneous 0-dimensional space. Then  $G(X)$ , the group of units of  $S(X)$ , is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $e$  be the identity map on  $X$  in the previous theorem.

To see that homogeneity is necessary in this corollary let  $X = \{0\} \cup \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $G(X) \cup \{c_0\}$  is an inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$ . If  $X$  is discrete then we apply the last theorem to yield the result of Reilly [5]. We now consider other types of maximal inverse subsemigroups of  $S(X)$ . This will result in applications to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (Euclidean  $n$ -space) and  $I^n$  (Euclidean  $n$ -cell). We first make several definitions.

**DEFINITION.** Suppose  $e$  is an idempotent in  $S(X)$  and  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a decomposition of  $X - A_e$  ( $\mathfrak{R}$  is an equivalence relation on  $X - A_e$ ). We will call  $\mathfrak{R}$  a *ray decomposition of  $X - A_e$*  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) for any  $x \in X - A_e$ , if  $[x]$  denotes the  $\mathfrak{R}$ -equivalence class of  $x$  in  $X - A_e$  then  $\overline{[x]} = [x] \cup \{x_e\}$  where  $x_e$  is an element of  $A_e$  ( $\overline{[x]}$  denotes the closure of the set  $[x]$  in  $X$ ),
- (2) for any  $x \in X - A_e$ ,  $\overline{[x]}$  is homeomorphic to  $[0, 1]$  or  $[0, 1)$  via a homeomorphism  $h$  such that  $h(x_e) = 0$ .

When we write  $[x]$  we shall understand that  $x \in X - A_e$ . If  $a \in [x]$  we will use the notation  $[x_e, a]$  to mean  $h^{-1}[0, h(a)]$  and we will say  $y > a$  ( $y \geq a$ ) if  $a, y \in \overline{[x]}$  and  $h(y) > h(a)$  ( $h(y) \geq h(a)$ ).

**DEFINITION.** Suppose  $e$  is an idempotent in  $S(X)$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a ray decomposition of  $X - A_e$  and for every  $x \in X - A_e$ ,  $e$  is constant on  $[x]$ . A function  $f \in R(X)$  is said to be *e-admissible* if the following are satisfied:

- (1) there exists an inverse  $f'$  of  $f$  such that  $f$  respects  $A_e$  via  $f'$ ,
- (2) for every  $x \in X - A_e$ , either  $f$  is constant on  $[x]$  or  $f[x] \subseteq [z]$  for some  $z \in X - A_e$ ,
- (3) for every  $x \in X - A_e$ , either  $[x] \subseteq B_{f'}$  or there exists  $x_f \in \overline{[x]}$  such that  $[x_e, x_f] \subseteq B_{f'}$  (may have  $x_f = x_e$ ) and  $f$  is constant on all  $y \geq x_f$ . As before, we will also say  $f$  is *e-admissible via  $f'$* .

Note that if  $f$  is *e-admissible via  $f'$* ,  $[x] \subseteq B_{f'}$  and  $f[x] \subseteq [z]$  then  $f|_{\overline{[x]}}$  is a homeomorphism into  $\overline{[z]}$  with  $f(x_e) = z_e$ ; and if  $[x_e, x_f] \subseteq B_{f'}$  then  $f$  is constant on all  $y \geq x_f$ .

**THEOREM 12.** *Suppose  $X$  is a topological space,  $e$  is an idempotent in  $S(X)$ ,  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a ray*

decomposition of  $X - A_e$  and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) For every  $x \in X - A_e$ ,  $e$  is constant on  $[x]$ .
- (2) If  $a \in \overline{[x]}$  then there exists an idempotent  $h \in R(X)$  such that  $h$  is  $e$ -admissible,  $h|_{[x_e, a]} = \text{id}|_{[x_e, a]}$  and  $h(z) = a$  for all  $z \geq a$ . If, in addition, there exists  $y$  such that  $[y] \neq [x]$  then  $h$  can be chosen so that  $h|_{[y]} = \text{id}|_{[y]}$ .
- (3) If  $A$  is a retract of  $X$  and  $A \not\subseteq A_e$  then there exists  $h \in R(X)$  such that  $h$  respects  $A_e$  and  $h(A) \cap A = \emptyset$ .

Now let  $I_e = \{f \in R(X) : \text{there exists an inverse } f' \text{ of } f \text{ such that } f \text{ is } e\text{-admissible via } f' \text{ and } f' \text{ is } e\text{-admissible via } f\}$ . Then  $I_e$  is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$  with smallest idempotent  $e$ .

*Proof.* We first show  $I_e$  is a subsemigroup. Let  $f, g \in I_e$  with inverses  $f', g' \in I_e$ ,  $h = fg$ ,  $A = g'(B_{f'} \cap B_g)$  and  $B = h(A)$ . We show simultaneously that range of  $h = B$  and that  $h$  satisfies conditions (2) and (3) of the definition of  $e$ -admissibility. We can then apply these results to inverses  $f'$  and  $g'$  of  $f$  and  $g$  and use Lemma 2 to conclude that  $h \in R(X)$ ,  $h' = g'f'$  is an inverse for  $h$  and both  $h$  and  $h'$  are  $e$ -admissible (clearly  $h$  and  $h'$  respect  $A_e$ ). This will then show that  $I_e$  is a subsemigroup. So consider  $x \in X$ . If  $x \in A_e$  then  $x \in A$  and  $h(x) \in B$ . If  $x \notin A_e$  and  $g$  is constant on  $[x]$  then  $h$  is constant on  $[x]$  and  $h[x] \subseteq A_e \subseteq B$ . Now suppose  $g[x] \subseteq [y]$ . If  $f$  is constant on  $[y]$  then  $h$  is constant on  $[x]$  and  $h[x] \subseteq A_e \subseteq B$ . Now suppose  $f[y] \subseteq [z]$ . Then  $h[x] \subseteq [z]$ . If  $[x] \subseteq B_{g'}$  and  $[y] \subseteq B_{f'}$  then  $[x] \subseteq A$ ,  $h[x] \subseteq B$  and  $h$  is a homeomorphism on  $[x]$ . If  $[x] \subseteq B_{g'}$  and there exists  $y_f$  such that  $[y_e, y_f] \subseteq B_{f'}$  with  $f$  constant on all  $w \geq y_f$ , then let  $x_h = g'(y_f)$ . Then  $[x_e, x_h] \subseteq A$  and  $h$  is constant on all  $w \geq x_h$ . Thus  $h[x] \subseteq B$ . Now suppose there exists  $x_g$  such that  $[x_e, x_g] \subseteq B_g$  and  $g$  is constant on all  $w \geq x_g$ . If  $[y] \subseteq B_{f'}$  or if there exists  $y_f \geq g(x_g)$  such that  $[y_e, y_f] \subseteq B_{f'}$  then  $[x_e, x_g] \subseteq A$ ,  $h$  is constant on all  $w \geq x_g$ , and  $h[x] \subseteq B$ . If there exists  $y_f < g(x_g)$  such that  $[y_e, y_f] \subseteq B_{f'}$  and  $f$  is constant on all  $w \geq y_f$  then let  $x_h = g'(y_f)$ . Then  $[x_e, x_h] \subseteq A$ , and  $h$  is constant on all  $w \geq x_h$ , and again  $h[x] \subseteq B$ . This completes the proof that  $I_e$  is a subsemigroup.

To show that  $I_e$  is an inverse subsemigroup we need only prove that idempotents commute. Let  $f, g$  be idempotents in  $I_e$  and suppose  $x \in X$ . If  $x \in A_e$  then  $f(x) = x = g(x)$  and so  $fg(x) = gf(x)$ . If  $x \in X - A_e$  then either  $f|_{[x_e, x]} = \text{id}|_{[x_e, x]}$  or  $f(x) = x_f$  with  $x_f < x$ . If  $f|_{[x_e, x]} = \text{id}|_{[x_e, x]}$  then since  $g(x) \in [x]$  with  $g(x) \leq x$  we have  $gf(x) = g(x) = fg(x)$ . If  $f(x) = x_f$  with  $x_f < x$  and  $g(x) = x$  then  $gf(x) = g(x_f) = x_f = f(x) = fg(x)$ . If  $g(x) = x_g$  with  $x_g < x$  and  $x_g \geq x_f$  then  $gf(x) = g(x_f) = x_f = f(x_g) = fg(x)$ . If  $g(x) = x_g$  with  $x_g < x_f$  then  $gf(x) = g(x_f) = x_g = f(x_g) = fg(x)$ . In any case,  $gf(x) = fg(x)$  and so  $I_e$  is an inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$ .

To show  $I_e$  is a maximal inverse subsemigroup suppose that  $I_e \subseteq J$  where  $J$  is an inverse subsemigroup. Note first that we can use condition (3) of the theorem, Lemma 3, and Corollary 8 to conclude that  $e$  is the smallest idempotent in  $J$  and if  $g \in J$  then  $g$  respects  $A_e$ . We now show that if  $f$  is an idempotent in  $J$  then  $f$  is an idempotent in  $I_e$ . We already have that  $f$  respects  $A_e$  and so let  $x \in X - A_e$  and suppose  $[x] \not\subseteq A_f$ . We will show  $f[x] \subseteq \overline{[x]}$  and condition (3) of  $e$ -admissibility is satisfied. Choose

$$a = \max\{z : z \in \overline{[x]}, f(z) = z\}$$

(we may have  $a = x_e$ ). Consider  $y > a$ . By condition (2) of the hypothesis choose  $g$  an



idempotent such that  $g$  is  $e$ -admissible,  $g|_{[x_e, a]} = \text{id}|_{[x_e, a]}$  and  $g(z) = a$  for  $z > a$ . If  $f(y) \notin \overline{[x]}$  then  $f(y) \notin A_e$  (otherwise  $f(y) = e(y) = x_e$  by Lemma 4) and so we can also choose  $g$  so that  $g|_{[f(y)]} = \text{id}|_{[f(y)]}$ . Then  $g$  is in  $I_e$ , hence in  $J$  and so  $fg = gf$ . If  $f(y) \notin \overline{[x]}$  then  $gf(y) = f(y) \notin \overline{[x]}$  but  $fg(y) = f(a) = a \in \overline{[x]}$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $f(y) \in \overline{[x]}$ . Note that this means that  $f(y) \leq a (f[x] \subseteq \overline{[x]})$  and so  $A_f \cap \overline{[x]}$  must be an interval). Now  $a = f(a) = fg(y) = gf(y)$ . Thus  $f(y) \geq a$ . Hence  $f(y) = a$  and this shows that  $f \in I_e$ .

Now let  $g \in J$ . We know that  $g$  respects  $A_e$ . Let  $x \in X - A_e$ . Note that if  $g(x) \in A_e$  then since  $eg = ge$  by Lemma 5 we have  $g(x) = eg(x) = ge(x) = g(x_e)$ . Consider  $[x]$ . If  $g^{-1}g$  is constant on  $[x]$  and  $y \in [x]$  then  $g^{-1}g(y) = g^{-1}g(x_e) = x_e$ . But then  $g(y) = g(x_e)$  and so  $g$  is constant on  $[x]$ . Now suppose there exists  $a > x_e$  such that  $[x_e, a] \subseteq A_{g^{-1}g}$  and let  $x_e < y \leq a$ . Then  $g(y) \notin A_e$  ( $y \in A_g - A_e$ ). If  $g(y) \notin [g(a)]$  then choose an idempotent  $f \in I_e$  so that  $f$  is the identity on  $[g(a)]$  and constant on  $[g(y)]$ . Then  $g^{-1}fg$  is an idempotent in  $J$ , hence in  $I_e$ . Now  $g^{-1}fg(a) = a$  and so  $g^{-1}fg(y) = y$  also ( $y \leq a$ ). But  $g^{-1}fg(y) \in A_e$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $g(y) \in [g(a)]$  for all  $y$  with  $x_e < y \leq a$ . Thus if  $[x] \subseteq A_{g^{-1}g}$  then  $[x] \subseteq A_g$  and  $g[x] \subseteq [g(x)]$ . Now suppose  $g^{-1}g$  is such that there exists  $a \in [x]$  such that  $g^{-1}g$  is the identity on  $[x_e, a]$  and constant thereafter. Then  $[x_e, a] \subseteq A_g$  and  $g[x_e, a] \subseteq [g(a)]$  by the above. Now let  $y > a$ . Then  $g^{-1}g(y) = g^{-1}g(a) = a$  and hence  $g(y) = gg^{-1}g(y) = g(a)$ . Thus  $g \in I_e, J \subseteq I_e$  and so  $I_e$  is a maximal inverse subsemigroup.

We have several corollaries.

COROLLARY 13. Let  $X = I$  (the unit interval) or  $\mathbb{R}$  (the reals) and let  $e$  be defined by

$$e(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } a \leq x \leq b, \\ a & \text{if } x \leq a, \\ b & \text{if } x \geq b, \end{cases}$$

where  $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$  if  $X = I$  and  $a \leq b$  if  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $e$  is an idempotent and if  $I_e = \{f \in R(X) : \text{there exists an inverse } f' \text{ of } f \text{ such that } f \text{ respects } A_e \text{ via } f', \text{ if } B_{f'} = [c, d] \text{ then } f(x) = f(c) \text{ for all } x \leq c \text{ and } f(x) = f(d) \text{ for all } x \geq d\}$  we have that  $I_e$  is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$  with smallest idempotent  $e$ .

COROLLARY 14. Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $I^n$  and let  $D$  be an  $n$ -dimensional disk in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (or  $I^n$ ) with centre  $y$ . Define an idempotent  $e$  as follows: if  $x \in D, e(x) = x$ ; if  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n - D, e(x) = x_b$ , where  $x_b$  is the unique element on the boundary of  $D$  which intersects the line segment from  $y$  to  $x$ .

If  $x, z \in X - A_e$  then we say  $x$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalent to  $z$  if  $x$  and  $z$  lie on the same line segment beginning at  $y$ . Then this gives a ray decomposition of  $X - A_e$  and if  $I_e = \{f \in R(X) : \text{there exists an inverse } f' \text{ of } f \text{ such that } f \text{ is } e\text{-admissible via } f' \text{ and } f' \text{ is } e\text{-admissible via } f\}$  then  $I_e$  is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$ .

*Proof.* It is straightforward to see that conditions (1) and (2) of the theorem are satisfied. To see condition (3) note that if  $A$  is a retract of  $X$  and  $A \subsetneq D$  then there exists a point  $x$  in the boundary of  $D$  but not in  $A$ . Since  $A$  is closed there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $U$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\bar{U} \cap A = \emptyset$ . Now there exists  $h \in R(X)$  with inverse  $h'$  such that  $B_{h'} = B_h = D$  and  $h(A) \subseteq \bar{U} \cap D$ .

**COROLLARY 15.** *Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $I^n$ ,  $e = c_y$  for fixed  $y \in X$  and let the ray decomposition of  $X - \{y\}$  be defined by  $z \in [x]$  if and only if  $z, x$  and  $y$  all lie on a line segment beginning at  $y$ . Then  $I_e = \{f \in R(X) : \text{there exists an inverse } f' \text{ of } f \text{ such that } f \text{ is } e\text{-admissible via } f' \text{ and } f' \text{ is } e\text{-admissible via } f\}$  is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$  with smallest idempotent  $c_y$ .*

Note that for the above corollary we could have chosen a different ray decomposition of  $X - \{y\}$  and this would have resulted in a different maximal inverse subsemigroup, still with the same smallest idempotent  $c_y$ .

**COROLLARY 16.** *Let  $X = I^n$ . Then  $G(X)$ , the group of units of  $S(I^n)$ , is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $e$  be the identity on  $X$  in Theorem 12.

Corollaries 11 and 16 give situations where  $G(X)$ , the group of units of  $S(X)$ , forms a maximal inverse subsemigroup. This is not always the case. For instance, if  $X$  is a triod then every homeomorphism of  $X$  will fix the same point  $y$  and so  $G(X) \cup \{c_y\}$  is an inverse subsemigroup which properly contains  $G(X)$ . However, we do have the following result (also proved by Reilly [6]):

**PROPOSITION 17.** *Suppose  $X$  is a homogeneous, compact space. Then  $G(X)$ , the group of units of  $S(X)$ , is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $G(X)$  is an inverse subsemigroup. Suppose  $G(X) \subseteq J$  where  $J$  is an inverse subsemigroup. Then  $A_J \neq \emptyset$  since  $X$  is compact. Suppose  $A_J \neq X$ . Then by the homogeneity of  $X$  choose  $f \in G(X)$  and  $x \in X$  so that  $x \in A_J$  and  $f(x) \notin A_J$ . Then  $f \in J$  but  $f(A_J) \not\subseteq A_J$ . This contradicts Lemma 7. Thus  $A_J = X$  and so  $J = G(X)$  and  $G(X)$  is maximal.

**COROLLARY 18.** *Let  $X = S^n$  (the  $n$ -dimensional sphere). Then  $G(X)$  is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$ .*

We now consider one last type of maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(I)$ .

**THEOREM 19.** *Let  $e$  be an idempotent in  $S(I)$  such that if  $A_e = [a, b]$  (where possibly  $a = 0$  or  $b = 1$ ) then  $e$  is a homeomorphism on  $[0, a]$  and  $e$  is a homeomorphism on  $[b, 1]$ . Define  $I_e = \{f \in R(I) : \text{there exists an inverse } f' \text{ of } f \text{ such that } B_f = [0, b], [0, 1], [a, b] \text{ or } [a, 1], B_{f'} \text{ is also one of these sets, } f \text{ respects } A_e \text{ via } f', \text{ and } e(x) = e(y) \text{ if and only if } ef(x) = ef(y)\}$ . Then  $I_e$  is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(I)$  with smallest idempotent  $e$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f \in I_e$  with inverse  $f'$ . We define an inverse  $g$  for  $f$  by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f'(x) & \text{if } x \in B_f, \\ f'e(x) & \text{if } x \notin B_f. \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to check that  $g$  is continuous. Clearly  $g$  is an inverse for  $f$ ,  $g$  respects  $A_e$  and satisfies the conditions on  $B_f$  and  $B_g$ . The proof for the last condition follows the

corresponding proof in Theorem 10. Now suppose  $f, g \in I_e$  with inverses  $f', g' \in I_e$  and let  $h = fg$ . Then  $h \in R(X)$ ,  $h$  respects  $A_e$  and  $B_h, B_{g,f'}$  are of the desired form. Now

$$e(x) = e(y) \Leftrightarrow eg(x) = eg(y) \Leftrightarrow efg(x) = efg(y) \Leftrightarrow eh(x) = eh(y).$$

So  $h \in I_e$ . We now show idempotents commute. Suppose  $f$  is an idempotent in  $I_e$ ,  $f \neq e$  and  $f$  is not the identity on  $I$ . Without loss of generality assume  $[0, a] \cap A_f = \emptyset$ . Then  $f$  is one-to-one on  $[0, a]$  (if  $f(x) = f(y)$  then  $ef(x) = ef(y)$  and hence  $e(x) = e(y)$ , but  $e$  is one-to-one on  $[0, a]$ ). Furthermore, if  $x \in [0, a]$  then  $f(x) = e(x)$  (if  $f(x) \in A_e$  then  $f(x) = f(y)$  for some  $y \in A_e$ , hence  $e(x) = e(y) = f(y) = f(x)$ ; if  $f(x) = e(x) = b$  then  $x = 0$ ). This means that if  $f$  is an idempotent in  $I_e$  then

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \in A_f, \\ e(x) & \text{if } x \notin A_f. \end{cases}$$

Clearly two such idempotents commute. Thus  $I_e$  is an inverse subsemigroup of  $S(I)$ .

To show that  $I_e$  is maximal suppose  $I_e \subseteq J$  where  $J$  is an inverse subsemigroup and  $g \in J$ . It is straightforward to show that  $A_e$  and  $J$  satisfy the conditions of Corollary 8 and hence  $e$  is the smallest idempotent for  $J$ . Now apply Lemma 5 to conclude that  $g$  respects  $A_e$  and  $e(x) = e(y)$  if and only if  $eg(x) = eg(y)$ . To show the remaining conditions we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $g$  is an idempotent and  $A_g = [c, d]$  with  $0 < c < a$ . But then  $g(x) = g(y)$  for some  $x, y \in [0, a]$  where  $x \neq y$ . Thus  $eg(x) = eg(y)$  and hence  $e(x) = e(y)$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $g \in I_e$  and so  $I_e$  is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(I)$  with smallest idempotent  $e$ .

Note that it is possible to make slight modifications and prove a similar theorem if  $X$  is the reals.

As an example of this last theorem let  $X = [-1, 1]$  and suppose  $e(x) = |x|$ . Then  $I_e = \{f \in S(X) : f \text{ maps } [0, 1] \text{ homeomorphically onto } [0, 1] \text{ and either } f \text{ is an odd function } (f(-x) = -f(x) \text{ for all } x) \text{ or } f \text{ is an even function } (f(x) = f(-x) \text{ for all } x)\}$  is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$ . Or, let  $X$  be the reals and again let  $e(x) = |x|$ . Then  $I_e = \{f \in S(X) : f \text{ is a homeomorphism from } [0, \infty) \text{ onto } [0, \infty) \text{ and } f \text{ is either an odd or even function}\}$  is a maximal inverse subsemigroup of  $S(X)$ .

All of the maximal inverse subsemigroups we have considered thus far have contained a smallest idempotent  $e$ . As Reilly [5] remarks, this is not always the case for  $S(X)$ , where  $X$  is discrete. Since every inverse subsemigroup is contained in a maximal inverse subsemigroup, to produce examples of inverse subsemigroups with no smallest idempotent one needs to find subsemigroups  $J$  of  $S(X)$  of commuting idempotents such that  $A_J = \emptyset$ . For instance, if  $X$  is the reals, define  $f_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  as follows:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } x \leq n, \\ x & \text{if } x > n. \end{cases}$$

Then  $J = \{f_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a subsemigroup of commuting idempotents but  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{f_n} = \emptyset$  and so  $A_J = \emptyset$ .

The author would like to thank the referee for many helpful comments and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Math. Surveys 7, Amer. Math. Soc. (Providence R. I., I (1961), II (1967)).
2. R. D. Hofer, Restrictive semigroups of continuous selfmaps on arcwise connected spaces. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **25** (1972), 358–384.
3. K. D. Magill, Jr. and S. Subbiah, Green's relations for regular elements of semigroups of endomorphisms, *Canad. J. Math.* **26** (1974), 1484–1497.
4. J. W. Nichols, A class of maximal inverse subsemigroups of  $T_x$ , *Semigroup Forum* **13** (1976), 187–188.
5. N. R. Reilly, Maximal inverse subsemigroups of  $T_x$ , *Semigroup Forum* **15** (1978), 319–326.
6. N. R. Reilly, Transitive inverse semigroups on compact spaces, *Semigroup Forum* **8** (1974), 184–187.
7. B. M. Schein, A symmetric semigroup of transformations is covered by its inverse subsemigroups, *Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **22** (1971), 163–171 (Russian).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA  
GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32611