

SOME PROPERTIES OF THE LEVITZKI RADICAL IN ALTERNATIVE RINGS

MICHAEL RICH¹

(Received 12 June 1980; revised 28 January 1981)

Communicated by R. Lidl

Abstract

Two local nilpotent properties of an associative or alternative ring A containing an idempotent are shown. First, if $A = A_{11} + A_{10} + A_{01} + A_{00}$ is the Peirce decomposition of A relative to e then if a is associative or semiprime alternative and 3-torsion free then any locally nilpotent ideal B of A_{ii} generates a locally nilpotent ideal $\langle B \rangle$ of A . As a consequence $L(A_{ii}) = A_{ii} \cap L(A)$ for the Levitzki radical L . Also bounds are given for the index of nilpotency of any finitely generated subring of $\langle B \rangle$. Second, if $A^{(*)}$ denotes a homotope of A then $L(A) \subseteq L(A^{(*)})$ and, in particular, if $A^{(*)}$ is an isotope of A then $L(A) = L(A^{(*)})$.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.):* 17 D 05.

1. Introduction

It is well known that if an associative or alternative ring A contains an idempotent element e then A can be decomposed into a Peirce decomposition $A = A_{11} + A_{10} + A_{01} + A_{00}$ where $A_{ij} = \{x \in A \mid ex = ix \text{ and } xe = jx\}$ and that the A_{ii} are subrings of A . In [5] Kaplansky has shown that if b is a nilpotent ideal of A_{ii} then the ideal of A generated by B is also nilpotent. From this it is easy to see that if P denotes the prime radical then $P(A_{ii}) = A_{ii} \cap P(A)$ for $i = 0, 1$. In the first part of this paper we demonstrate a similar result for local nilpotence. Specifically, if B is a locally nilpotent ideal of A_{ii} then, if A is associative the ideal of A generated by B is locally nilpotent, while if r is semiprime alternative and 3-torsion free the same result holds. Thus, if L

¹This research was partially supported by a Temple University Research Grant. The author also wishes to acknowledge the hospitality of Bar-Ilan University where the research was partly done.

denotes the Levitzki radical it follows that $L(A_{ii}) = A_{ii} \cap L(A)$ if A is associative (a result of Anderson [2]) or if A is 3-torsion free alternative.

If x is an element of the alternative ring A then the x -homotope $A^{(x)}$ of A is known to be the same additive group as A together with the multiplication $a \cdot_x b = (ax)b$. If x is invertible in A then $A^{(x)}$ is called the x -isotope of A . It is immediate from the characterization of $J(A)$ in [6] that $J(A) \subseteq J(A^{(x)})$ for all x in A and if $A^{(x)}$ is an isotope of A then $J(A) = J(A^{(x)})$. In the second part of this paper we will prove the analogous result for the Levitzki (locally finite) radical. Specifically, $L(A)$ is a locally nilpotent (finite) ideal of $A^{(x)}$ for all x in A , and if x is invertible in A then $L(A) = L(A^{(x)})$.

Recall that a non-associative ring A is called alternative if $(x, x, y) = (y, x, x) = 0$ for all $x, y \in A$ where the associator (x, y, z) denotes $(xy)z - x(yz)$. A fundamental property of an alternative ring A is that $(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}, x_{\sigma(3)}) = (\text{sgn } \sigma)(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ for all x_i in A and $\sigma \in S_3$. The nucleus, $N(A)$, of A and the center $Z(A)$, of A are defined by $N(A) = \{n \in A | (n, a_1, a_2) = 0 \ \forall a_1, a_2 \in A\}$ and $Z(A) = \{z \in N(A) | za = az \ \forall a \in A\}$. The Levitzki (locally finite) radical of the ring (algebra) A is known to be the maximal locally nilpotent (finite) ideal of A where an ideal is called locally nilpotent (finite) if every finitely generated subring (subalgebra) is nilpotent (finite dimensional). It is known that $L(A)$ contains all locally nilpotent (finite) ideals of A and that $L(A/L(A)) = 0$.

In any alternative ring with an idempotent the following multiplication relations hold among the Peirce subspaces [1], [5].

- (1) $A_{ij}A_{jk} \subseteq A_{ik}$ for $i, j, k = 0, 1$.
- (2) $A_{ij}A_{ij} \subseteq A_{ji}$ for $i, j = 0, 1$.
- (3) $A_{ij}A_{kl} = 0$ if $j \neq k$ and $(i, j) \neq (k, l)$.
- (4) If x, y, z are elements of the Peirce subspaces not all in the same subring A_{ii} , then $(x, y, z) = 0$ except possibly when two of the elements are in some A_{ij} for $i \neq j$.

Finally, in any alternative ring A the Moufang laws hold for all elements a, x, y in A .

- (5) $(xax)y = x[axy]$.
- (6) $y(xax) = [(yx)a]x$.
- (7) $x(ya)x = (xy)(ax)$.

2. The Levitzki radical and the Peirce decomposition

Albert [1] and Kaplansky [5] have noted that if R is an associative or alternative ring and K is an ideal of R_{ii} then the ideal $\langle K \rangle$ of R generated by K is $K + KR_j + R_{ji}K + A_{ji}KA_{ij}$ where $i, j = 0, 1$. Moreover if K is nilpotent then

so is $\langle K \rangle$ [5]. In this section we derive a similar result in the case of local nilpotence and show in the course of the proof how to find an upper bound to the index of nilpotency of any finitely generated subring of $\langle K \rangle$. Henceforth we shall assume that A is an alternative ring.

LEMMA 1. *Let K be a locally nilpotent (finite) ideal of A_{ii} such that $\langle K \rangle = K + KA_{ij} + A_{ji}K + A_{ji}KA_{ij} \subseteq N(A)$. Then $A_{ji}KA_{ij}$ is a locally nilpotent (finite) ideal of A .*

PROOF. For convenience we discuss the case of $i = 1$. The case $i = 0$ is obtained by interchanging 0 and 1 throughout. It is immediate from (4) that $A_{01}KA_{10}$ is an ideal of A_{00} . To see that it is locally nilpotent when K is, consider an arbitrary finite subset B of $A_{01}KA_{10}$. It suffices to replace B by the finite set B' of terms $x_{01}kx_{10}$ appearing in the various elements of B since the span of B' contains B . Thus, we may as well assume that

$$B = \{x_{01}^{(1)}k_1x_{10}^{(1)}, x_{01}^{(2)}k_2x_{10}^{(2)}, \dots, x_{01}^{(r)}k_rx_{10}^{(r)}\}$$

for some $k_s \in K$, $x_{st}^{(m)} \in A_{st}$. Consider the finite subset $T = \{k_ix_{10}^{(i)}x_{01}^{(j)} | i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r\}$ of K . Since K is locally nilpotent, T generates a nilpotent subring, say of index $n - 1$. Then the subring generated by B is nilpotent of index at most n . For, since $KA_{10} \subseteq \langle K \rangle \subseteq N(A)$ we have, by repeated application of (1) and (4),

$$(x_{01}^{(j)}k_jx_{10}^{(j)})(x_{01}^{(i)}k_ix_{10}^{(i)}) = x_{01}^{(j)}[k_i(x_{10}^{(j)}x_{01}^{(i)})k_j]x_{10}^{(j)}.$$

Therefore any product

$$(x_{01}^{(i_1)}k_{i_1}x_{10}^{(i_1)})(x_{01}^{(i_2)}k_{i_2}x_{10}^{(i_2)}) \cdots (x_{01}^{(i_n)}k_{i_n}x_{10}^{(i_n)})$$

of n elements of B reduces to

$$x_{01}^{(i_1)}[(k_{i_1}x_{10}^{(i_1)}x_{01}^{(i_2)})(k_{i_2}x_{10}^{(i_2)}x_{01}^{(i_3)}) \cdots (k_{i_{n-1}}x_{10}^{(i_{n-1})}x_{01}^{(i_n)})k_{i_n}]x_{10}^{(i_n)} = 0$$

since it contains a string of $n - 1$ elements of T . Therefore $A_{01}KA_{10}$ is a locally nilpotent ideal of A_{00} . For the case in which K is given to be a locally finite ideal of an algebra A note that the subalgebra generated by T is finite dimensional with basis l_1, l_2, \dots, l_t say. Then the argument just given shows that the subalgebra generated by B is spanned by the elements $x_{01}^{(i)}l_jx_{10}^{(k)}$ for some $i, k = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Therefore it is finite dimensional and $A_{01}KA_{10}$ is locally finite.

LEMMA 2. *If A is n -torsion free and L is the Levitzki radical then $\bar{A} = A/L(A)$ is also n -torsion free.*

PROOF. Suppose that $n\bar{a} = \bar{0}$ for some $a \in A$. Then $na \in L(A)$. We show that the ideal (L, a) generated by $L(A)$ and a is locally nilpotent, from which it follows that $a \in L(A)$. Note that a typical element of (L, a) is of the form $l + m(a)$ for some $l \in L(A)$ and $m \in M(A')$ where A' is the ring obtained after adjoining an identity element to A and $M(A')$ is the multiplication ring of A' . Now if we pick any finite subset $T = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_s\}$ of (L, a) then, since $na \in L(A)$, the subring generated by $nT = \{nt_1, nt_2, \dots, nt_s\}$ is nilpotent, say of index k . Thus, if we consider any product $t = t_{i_1} t_{i_2} \dots t_{i_k}$ of elements $t_{i_j} \in T$ (regardless of the association) it follows that $n^k t = 0$. But since A is n -torsion free this means that $t = t_{i_1} t_{i_2} \dots t_{i_k} = 0$. Hence, the subring generated by T is nilpotent of index k and (L, a) is locally nilpotent. Thus, $(L, a) \subseteq L(A)$ so that $a \in L(A)$ and $\bar{a} = 0$. We have shown that \bar{A} is n -torsion free.

In the following L_i will denote $L(A_{ii})$ for $i = 0, 1$.

THEOREM 1. *If A is a semiprime 3-torsion free alternative ring with an idempotent element e and K is a locally nilpotent ideal of A_{ii} then $\langle K \rangle$ is a locally nilpotent ideal of A .*

PROOF. We shall prove the theorem for the case $i = 1$. The case $i = 0$ is obtained by interchanging the indices 0 and 1 throughout the proof. Since A is semiprime and 3-torsion free we may apply Corollary 7.7 of [9] to obtain that either

- (i) $\langle K \rangle \cap Z(A) \neq 0$ or
- (ii) $\langle K \rangle \subseteq N(A)$.

If (i) holds then there is a non-zero element $k = k_1 + k_{10} + k_{01} + k_0 \in \langle K \rangle \cap Z(A)$. Since $ek = ke$ it follows that $k_{10} = k_{01} = 0$ so that $k = k_1 + k_0$. But then $k_1 \in Z(A_{11})$ and since k_1 is nilpotent, $k_1 A_{11}$ is a non-zero nilpotent ideal of A_{11} . But then by [5] $\langle k_1 A_{11} \rangle$, the ideal of A generated by $k_1 A_{11}$, is a non-zero nilpotent ideal of A contradicting the fact that A is semiprime.

Assume then that $\langle K \rangle = K + KR_{10} + R_{01}K + R_{01}KR_{10} \subseteq N(A)$. Then by (1) and (3) $(KR_{10})^2 = (KR_{10}K)R_{10} = 0$. Similarly $(R_{01}K)^2 = 0$. Consider an arbitrary finite set S of elements of the Peirce spaces of $\langle K \rangle$. Then

$$S = \{k_1^{(1)}, k_1^{(2)}, \dots, k_1^{(p)}, k_0^{(1)}, k_0^{(2)}, \dots, k_0^{(q)}, k_1^{(p+1)}r_{10}^{(1)}, \dots, k_1^{(p+m)}r_{10}^{(m)}, r_{01}^{(1)}k_1^{(p+m+1)}, r_{01}^{(2)}k_1^{(p+m+2)}, \dots, r_{01}^{(s)}l_1^{(p+m+s)}\}$$

with $k_1^{(d)} \in K$, $r_{ij}^{(d)} \in A_{ij}$, and $k_0^{(d)} \in R_{01}KR_{10}$. Thus by Lemma 1 $k_0^{(d)} \in L_0$ for all d .

We wish to show that the subring $[S]$ of A generated by S is nilpotent. To see this first look at the set

$$E = \{k_1^{(1)}, k_1^{(2)}, \dots, k_1^{(p+m+s)}, k_0^{(1)}, k_0^{(2)}, \dots, k_0^{(q)}\}$$

of elements of $K \cup L_0$. Since $KL_0 = L_0K = 0$, $K + L_0$ is locally nilpotent. Therefore, the subring $[E]$ generated by E is nilpotent, say of index n . Next consider the set C where

$$\begin{aligned} C = E \cup & \{r_{01}^{(j)} k_1^{(i_1)} k_1^{(i_2)} \dots k_1^{(i_w)} r_{10}^{(j)} \mid \\ & 1 < j < s, 1 < i < m, 1 < i_l < p + m + s, 0 < w < n - 1\} \\ \cup & \{r_{10}^{(j)} k_0^{(i_1)} k_0^{(i_2)} \dots k_0^{(i_w)} r_{01}^{(j)} \mid \\ & 1 < j < s, 1 < i < m, 1 < i_l < p + m + s, 0 < w < n - 1\} \\ \cup & \{k_1^{(h)} r_{10}^{(j)} r_{01}^{(j)} \mid 1 < h < p + m + s, 1 < i < m, 1 < j < s\} \\ \cup & \{k_0^{(g)} r_{01}^{(j)} r_{10}^{(j)} \mid 1 < g < n - 1, 1 < j < s, 1 < i < m\}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 1, C is a subset of $K + L_0$. Thus, $[C]$, the subring generated by C is nilpotent of index t for some $t > n$.

We now claim that $[S]$ is nilpotent of index $< 2nt$. For suppose that u was a non-zero monomial of $[S]$ of degree $2nt$ in the generators of $[S]$. Then since $S \subseteq N(A)$, u must take one of the forms:

- (i) $u = k_1^{(j)} M$,
- (ii) $u = s_{10} M$,
- (iii) $u = k_0^{(j)} M$,
- (iv) $u = s_{01} M$

for some $s_{ij} \in S_{ij} = S \cap A_{ij}$ and $M \in [S]$. Suppose that u is of the form (i). Then, by (3), since $s_{ij}^2 = 0$ for $i \neq j$, u can be decomposed into a product of: First a product of elements of S_{11} , then an element of S_{10} , then a product of a number (possibly none) of elements of S_{00} , followed by an element of S_{01} , etc. Since $[E]$ is nilpotent of index n there is no sequence of n consecutive elements of E in u . Thus,

$$u \in S_{11}^{d_1} S_{10} S_{00}^{d_2} S_{01} S_{11}^{d_3} S_{10} \dots$$

for $1 \leq d_1 \leq n - 1, 0 < d_i \leq n - 1$ for $i > 1$. Since u is of degree $2nt$ in S and $d_i \leq n - 1$ we are guaranteed that u begins with at least $2t$ blocks of the form $s_{ii}^{d_i} S_{ij}$, that is,

$$u \in S_{11}^{d_1} S_{10} S_{00}^{d_2} S_{01} S_{11}^{d_3} \dots S_{10} S_{00}^{d_r} S_{01} N$$

with $N \in [S]$. Thus,

$$u \in S_{11}^{d_1} (S_{10} S_{00}^{d_2} S_{01}) S_{11}^{d_3} (S_{10} S_{00}^{d_4} S_{01}) \dots (S_{10} S_{00}^{d_r} S_{01}) N.$$

If $d_{2i} > 1$ for each i then u contains at least t consecutive factors from C . Thus, $u = 0$ —a contradiction. Assume then that $d_{2i} = 0$ for some i . Then $S_{10}S_{00}^{d_2}S_{01} = S_{10}S_{01}$. Now a term of $S_{10}S_{01}$ is of the form $k_1^{(h)}r_{10}^{(i)}r_{01}^{(j)}k_1^{(l)}$. Since the elements $k_1^{()}$ and $k_1^{()}r_{10}^{()}r_{01}^{()}$ are all elements of C , it is clear that elements of $S_{10}S_{01}$ are also generated by element of C . Therefore, here also u contains at least t consecutive factors of C . Thus, $u = 0$.

Suppose then that u is of the form (ii). Then $u \in S_{10}S_{00}^{d_1}S_{01}S_{11}^{d_2}S_{10}S_{00}^{d_3}S_{01} \dots$ for $0 < d_i < n - 1$. Since u is of degree $2nt$ in S it follows that

$$u \in S_{10}S_{00}^{d_1}S_{01}S_{11}^{d_2} \dots S_{00}^{d_{n-1}}S_{01}S_{11}^{d_n}N$$

for some $N \in [S]$. Thus,

$$u \in (S_{10}S_{00}^{d_1}S_{01})S_{11}^{d_2}(S_{10}S_{00}^{d_3}S_{01}) \dots (S_{10}S_{00}^{d_{n-1}}S_{01})S_{11}^{d_n}N.$$

Then, as in case (i) u must contain at least t consecutive factors from C . Thus, $u = 0$. The cases (iii) and (iv) are treated similarly. Thus, any monomial of $[S]$ of degree $2nt$ reduces to zero so that $[S]$ is nilpotent of index $< 2nt$. We have thus shown that if $K \neq 0$ then $\langle K \rangle$ is a locally nilpotent ideal of A .

Since the whole point of our conditions that A be semiprime and 3-torsion free is to insure that $\langle K \rangle \subseteq N(A)$ we have immediately:

COROLLARY 1. *If R is an associative ring with idempotent e and K is a locally nilpotent ideal of R_{ii} then $\langle K \rangle$ is a locally nilpotent ideal of R .*

COROLLARY 2. *If A is a 3-torsion free alternative ring with an idempotent element and L is its Levitzki radical, then $L(A_{ii}) = A_{ii} \cap L(A)$.*

PROOF. To begin, note that $A_{ii} \cap L(A)$ is a locally nilpotent ideal of A_{ii} . Therefore $A_{ii} \cap L(A) \subseteq L(A_{ii})$. For the reverse inclusion, assume first that A is Levitzki semisimple. Now if A_{ii} is not Levitzki semisimple, $L_i \neq 0$. But then, by Theorem 1, $\langle L_i \rangle$ is a locally nilpotent ideal A for a contradiction. Therefore, A Levitzki semisimple implies that A_{ii} is Levitzki semisimple.

In the general case when $L(A) \neq 0$ let $\bar{A} = A/L(A)$ so that \bar{A} is Levitzki semisimple. Also \bar{A} is 3-torsion free by Lemma 2. Therefore $L((\bar{A})_{ii}) = 0$. Now it is an easy exercise to see that $(\bar{A})_{ii} \cong A_{ii}/(A_{ii} \cap L(A))$. Therefore, $L(A_{ii}/A_{ii} \cap L(A)) = 0$ and $L(A_{ii}) \subseteq A_{ii} \cap L(A)$ to complete the proof.

Finally, we note that Corollary 1 provides a direct proof to the result of Anderson [2] that for associative rings R , $L(R_{ii}) = R_{ii} \cap L(R)$.

3. The Levitzki radical and homotopy

It is known that if $A^{(x)}$ is an isotope of A then $J(A^{(x)}) = J(A)$ [6] and $P(A^{(x)}) = P(A)$ [3]. In this section we derive the same result for the Levitzki radical by first analyzing the more general case of homotopy.

In the following, R_b denotes the usual right multiplication operator, that is, $aR_b = ab$. Similarly $aR_b^{(x)} = (ax)b$ for all $a, b \in A$.

LEMMA 3. *If $a, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, x$ are arbitrary elements of A , then*

$$aR_{i_1}^{(x)}R_{i_2}^{(x)} \dots R_{i_n}^{(x)} = \begin{cases} aR_{x i_1 x} R_{i_2} R_{x i_3 x} \dots R_{x i_{n-1} x} R_{i_n} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ (ax)R_{i_1} R_{x i_2 x} R_{i_3} \dots R_{x i_{n-1} x} R_{i_n} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. The proof is by induction on n . The case $n = 1$ is obvious from the definition of $R_i^{(x)}$. In the case $n = 2$ we have $aR_{i_1}^{(x)}R_{i_2}^{(x)} = ((ax)i_1)x i_2 = [a(x i_1 x)]i_2$ by (6). Therefore $aR_{i_1}^{(x)}R_{i_2}^{(x)} = aR_{x i_1 x} R_{i_2}$. Assume then that the result is true for $k < n$ and let $u = aR_{i_1}^{(x)}R_{i_2}^{(x)} \dots R_{i_n}^{(x)}$. If $w = aR_{i_1}^{(x)}R_{i_2}^{(x)} \dots R_{i_{n-2}}^{(x)}$ then $u = wR_{i_{n-1}}^{(x)}R_{i_n}^{(x)} = ((wx)i_{n-1})x i_n = [w(x i_{n-1} x)]i_n$. By the induction hypothesis w has the desired form. Since n and $n - 2$ have the same parity it follows that u has the desired form. Thus, the lemma is true.

LEMMA 4. *If $a, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, x$ are arbitrary elements of A and $u = aR_{i_1}^{(x)}R_{i_2}^{(x)} \dots R_{i_n}^{(x)}$ then $xux = (xax)R_{i_1 x} \dots R_{i_n x}$.*

PROOF. The result again follows by induction on n . In case $n = 1$, $xux = x[(ax)i_1]x = (xax)(i_1 x)$ by (7). Assume that the result holds for $n - 1$ and $u = aR_{i_1}^{(x)}R_{i_2}^{(x)} \dots R_{i_n}^{(x)}$. Let $w = aR_{i_1}^{(x)} \dots R_{i_{n-1}}^{(x)}$. Then $xux = x(wR_{i_n}^{(x)})x = x((wx)i_n)x = (xwx)(i_n x)$. By the induction hypothesis $xwx = (xax)R_{i_1 x} \dots R_{i_{n-1} x}$. Therefore $xux = (xwx)(i_n x) = (xax)R_{i_1 x} \dots R_{i_{n-1} x} R_{i_n x}$ to complete the proof.

LEMMA 5. *If $a, i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, x$ are arbitrary elements of A and $u = a^{(x)}R_{i_1} R_{i_2}^{(x)} \dots R_{i_n}^{(x)}$ then*

$$ux = \begin{cases} (ax)R_{i_1} R_{x i_2 x} R_{i_3} \dots R_{i_{n-1}} R_{x i_n x} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ aR_{x i_1 x} R_{i_2} R_{x i_3 x} \dots R_{i_{n-1}} R_{x i_n x} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. We once again proceed by induction on n . If $n = 1$ then $ux = (aR_{i_1}^{(x)})x = ((ax)i_1)x = a(xi_1x) = aR_{xi_1x}$ by (6). Similarly if $n = 2$ then $ux = [aR_{i_1}^{(x)}R_{i_2}^{(x)}]x = [[[(ax)i_1]x]i_2]x = [(ax)i_1](xi_2x)$ by (6) again. Therefore $ux = (ax)R_{i_1}R_{xi_1x}$ as required. Assume that the result holds for $k < n$ and that $u = R_{i_1}^{(x)}R_{i_2}^{(x)} \cdots R_{i_n}^{(x)}$. As in Lemma 3 let $w = aR_{i_1}^{(x)}R_{i_2}^{(x)} \cdots R_{i_{n-2}}^{(x)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ux &= (wR_{i_{n-1}}^{(x)}R_{i_n}^{(x)})x = [[[(wx)i_{n-1}]x]i_n]x \\ &= [(wx)i_{n-1}](xi_nx) = (wx)R_{i_{n-1}}R_{xi_nx}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the induction hypothesis wx has the desired form. Since n and $n - 2$ have the same parity it follows that ux has the desired form. Thus, the lemma holds by mathematical induction.

In the following we shall denote by e the identity element of $B^{(x)}$ if it has one, and, if not, e will denote the identity element of $(B^{(x)})'$ where $(B^{(x)})'$ is the ring obtained after imbedding $B^{(x)}$ into a ring with identity in the usual way.

THEOREM 2. *If x is an arbitrary element of the alternative ring A and I is a locally nilpotent ideal of A , then $I^{(x)}$ is a locally nilpotent ideal of the homotope $A^{(x)}$.*

PROOF. Let $B^{(x)}$ be a finitely generated subring of $I^{(x)}$ generated by the elements i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n . Then, since I is a locally nilpotent ideal the set $D = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, i_1x, i_2x, \dots, i_nx, xi_1x, xi_2x, \dots, xi_nx\}$ generates a nilpotent subring $[D]$ of A . Assume that $[D]^k = 0$. Now, by [7, Proposition 3] every element b of $B^{(x)}$ is a linear combination of second degree monomials, so that without loss of generality we may in the course of the proof assume that each element b of $B^{(x)}$ is a second degree monomial in i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n (that is, $b = eR_{c_1}^{(x)}R_{c_2}^{(x)} \cdots R_{c_m}^{(x)}$, where $c_t = eR_{i_{t(1)}}^{(x)}R_{i_{t(2)}}^{(x)} \cdots R_{i_{t(s)}}^{(x)}$ for some positive integers m, s , and $t(s)$ and where $1 \leq t(j) \leq n$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$. Since $b = c_1R_{c_2}^{(x)} \cdots R_{c_m}^{(x)}$, by Lemma 3 either

- (i) $b = c_1R_{xc_2x}R_{c_3} \cdots R_{xc_{m-1}x}R_{c_m}$ or
- (ii) $b = (c_1x)R_{c_2}R_{xc_3x}R_{c_4} \cdots R_{xc_{m-1}x}R_{xc_{m-1}x}R_{c_m}$ depending on the parity of m .

Similarly, since $ct = i_{t(1)}R_{i_{t(2)}}^{(x)} \cdots R_{i_{t(s)}}^{(x)}$ we have that either

$$c_t = i_{t(1)}R_{xi_{t(2)}x}R_{i_{t(3)}} \cdots R_{xi_{t(s-1)}x}R_{i_{t(s)}}$$

or

$$c_t = (i_{t(1)}x)R_{i_{t(2)}}R_{xi_{t(3)}x} \cdots R_{xi_{t(s-1)}x}R_{i_{t(s)}}.$$

In either case, c_t is a monomial of I of degree s in the generators from D .

Since $c_t = i_{i_{t(1)}}R_{i_{t(2)}}^{(x)} \cdots R_{i_{t(s)}}^{(x)}$ it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$xc_tx = (xi_{i_{t(1)}}x)R_{i_{t(2)}x}R_{i_{t(3)}x} \cdots R_{i_{t(s)}x}.$$

Therefore $xc_i x$ is a monomial of I of degree s in the generators $xi_1 x, i_2 x, \dots, i_{i(s)} x$ of D . Similarly, by Lemma 5, $c_i x$ is a monomial of I of degree s in generators of D . Thus, in both cases (i) and (ii) if b is of degree u in the generators $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}$ as an element of $I^{(x)}$ then b is of degree u in the generators $D = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, i_1 x, \dots, i_n x, xi_1 x, \dots, xi_n x\}$ as an element of I . Since $[D]^k = 0$ it follows that any element of $B^{(x)}$ of degree k in i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n reduces to zero. Thus, $B^{(x)}$ is nilpotent of degree $\leq k$ and $I^{(x)}$ is locally nilpotent.

Since A is a homotope of $A^{(x)}$ when x is an invertible element of A we have:

COROLLARY. *If $A^{(x)}$ is an isotope of A then $L(A^{(x)}) = L(A)$.*

Note. The crux of the proof of the theorem is that $B^{(x)} \subseteq [D]$. Thus, if A is an algebra over a field F and $[D]$ is finite dimensional then it follows that $B^{(x)}$ is finite dimensional. Therefore, if I is locally finite we conclude in the same way that $I^{(x)}$ is locally finite.

References

- [1] A. A. Albert, (1952), 'On simple alternative rings', *Canad. J. Math.* **4**, 129–135.
- [2] T. Anderson, (1974), 'A note on strong radicals', *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **25**, 5–6.
- [3] T. S. Erickson, 'The Jordan prime radical in alternative algebras', unpublished.
- [4] I. N. Herstein, (1968), 'Noncommutative rings' (The Math. Assoc. of Amer.).
- [5] I. Kaplansky, (1951), 'Semi-simple alternative rings', *Portugal. Math.* **10**, 37–50.
- [6] K. McCrimmon, (1971), 'A characterization of the Jacobson-Smaley Radical', *J. Algebra* **18**, 565–573.
- [7] K. McCrimmon, (1973), 'Alternative algebras satisfying polynomial identities', *J. Algebra* **24**, 283–292.
- [8] M. Rich, (1980), 'Nilpotent ideals in alternative rings', *Bull. Canad. Math. Soc.* **23**, 299–303.
- [9] M. Slater, (1968), 'Ideals in semiprime alternative rings', *J. Algebra* **8**, 60–76.

Department of Mathematics
 Temple University
 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19122
 U.S.A.