

## Commentary

# Frontiers in antimicrobial stewardship: antimicrobial use during end-of-life care

Seohyuk Lee BSc<sup>1</sup>  and Rupak Datta MD, PhD<sup>1,2</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA and <sup>2</sup>Veterans Affairs Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven, CT, USA

Improving the use of antimicrobials across healthcare settings is a national priority. While considerable literature has accumulated regarding antimicrobial stewardship across the continuum of care, new frontiers for implementation remain.<sup>1</sup> Antimicrobial stewardship during end-of-life care is unique because its principles are employed in the context of palliative care. Understanding how antimicrobials facilitate palliative care – with its focus on management of symptoms, psychosocial support, and assistance with decision-making – offers new opportunities to optimize the reach and effectiveness of antimicrobial stewardship.<sup>2</sup> Nevertheless, many aspects of this area warrant increased scrutiny by stakeholders.

### End-of-life care

There is no standardized definition of end-of-life.<sup>3</sup> In general, end-of-life refers to the final days to weeks of a life-limiting illness. However, alternative definitions encompass the entire time interval of a life-limiting illness, such as advanced cancer or advanced dementia, when death would not be unexpected.<sup>4</sup> Palliative care can complement curative therapies during the end-of-life period and may be delivered by diverse clinicians (eg, physicians and advanced practice providers) and healthcare settings (eg, acute care, long-term care, and home-based care).<sup>5</sup> Such heterogeneity in the provision of end-of-life care can complicate the implementation of effective antimicrobial stewardship.

### Estimates of antimicrobial use

Patients near the end-of-life are prone to infection due to the prevalence of immunosuppression, multimorbidity, cognitive impairment, and device utilization.<sup>6–9</sup> Consequently, exposure to antimicrobials is common during palliative care. Among hospitalized patients experiencing cancer-related death, 87% received antimicrobials during hospitalization, and over one-third of these patients received antimicrobial therapy following transition to comfort care.<sup>10,11</sup> Among nursing home residents with advanced dementia, more than 40% received antimicrobials in the 2 weeks prior to death.<sup>12</sup> Nationally, 27% of hospice patients received ≥ 1 antimicrobial during the last week of life, and over 1 in 5 patients discharged to hospice were continued on antimicrobials.<sup>13,14</sup> In one

recent meta-analysis, based on data from 72 studies in which the definition of end-of-life ranged from the day of death to 6 months prior to death, over 50% of patients near the end-of-life receive antimicrobials across healthcare settings.<sup>15</sup> Importantly, evidence to support the presence of bacterial infection was insufficient in most studies, suggesting that many antimicrobial prescriptions are potentially inappropriate.<sup>16,17</sup> These data indicate that exposure to antimicrobial therapy is substantial during end-of-life care and establish ripe targets for future research and quality improvement.

### Aligning antimicrobial therapy with goals of care

Goals of care often vary from survival to comfort near the end-of-life. Yet, to date, no study has rigorously evaluated the impact of antimicrobial therapy on mortality or relief of symptoms in an end-of-life population. Two systematic reviews provided limited data to support the use of antimicrobial therapy to achieve relief of symptoms among patients receiving palliative care.<sup>15,18</sup> It remains unknown what specific symptoms associated with infection are most likely to benefit from antimicrobial therapy during this period. Limited evidence suggests that genitourinary symptoms related to urinary tract infection may improve with antimicrobial therapy, whereas those associated with oral cavity, skin and soft tissue, and bloodstream infections may be less responsive.<sup>19,20</sup> With respect to respiratory symptoms, there are conflicting data. In one American study of patients with advanced dementia and suspected pneumonia, antimicrobial therapy was associated with decreased comfort but improved survival.<sup>21</sup> In contrast, in two Dutch studies, antimicrobial therapy was associated with lower symptomatic burden among patients with dementia who developed pneumonia.<sup>22,23</sup> These data suggest that the use of antimicrobial therapy for the symptomatic management of infection may lack benefit in long-term care and hospice settings. In acute care settings, withholding antimicrobials should be considered when survival is not a primary goal given the high potential for harm and limited data on efficacy related to relief of symptoms.<sup>24</sup> Ultimately, antimicrobial therapy should be deemed aggressive care during the end-of-life period and be administered orally whenever possible based on good practice recommendations.<sup>24</sup>

### Behavioral and decision-making aspects

It is likely that behavioral and decision-making aspects are key barriers to the implementation of antimicrobial stewardship

**Corresponding author:** Rupak Datta; Email: [rupak.datta@yale.edu](mailto:rupak.datta@yale.edu)

**Cite this article:** Lee S, Datta R. Frontiers in antimicrobial stewardship: antimicrobial use during end-of-life care. *Antimicrob Steward Healthc Epidemiol* 2023. doi: [10.1017/ash.2023.207](https://doi.org/10.1017/ash.2023.207)

during end-of-life care. Despite the substantial harms associated with antimicrobial therapy, such as adverse drug events, *Clostridioides difficile* infection, and antimicrobial resistance, the pressures to prescribe are powerful and often multifactorial. For example, among 283 surveyed physicians affiliated with an academic medical center, 86% and 75% continued antimicrobial therapy during end-of-life care to honor the request of patients and family members, respectively.<sup>25</sup> These providers often cited a desire to avoid the perception that they were giving up on the patient.<sup>25</sup> Among patients discharged to hospice, nearly 20% of prescriptions were linked to the specific desire of patients and/or their family members to receive antimicrobial treatment.<sup>26</sup> Additionally, the decision to withhold or withdraw antimicrobial therapy may be sensitive to social dynamics within interdisciplinary care teams, including hierarchy, professional power, and shared accountability.<sup>27–29</sup> These factors, along with many others (eg, fear of negative patient satisfaction scores, perceived burden of treatment, institutional culture, and ethical aspects of end-of-life care) lie in the backdrop of prognostic uncertainty.<sup>25,30,31</sup> Given that predicting death is inevitably imprecise, physicians may favor continuing antimicrobials among patients receiving end-of-life care.

### Future directions

There are many potential pathways to promote antimicrobial stewardship during end-of-life care. Good practice recommendations emphasize shared decision-making about future care and agreement regarding goals of treatment as part of advance care planning.<sup>32</sup> These recommendations, combined with recent survey data, underscore a role for educational programs (eg, training modules and communication simulation exercises) to increase the integration of antimicrobial use into advance care planning at the time of enrollment in long-term care or hospice programs.<sup>33,34</sup> At the facility level, multifaceted interventions supported by information technology including antimicrobial restrictions, clinical decision support tools, and/or comfort care order sets may be designed and evaluated specifically for patients receiving end-of-life care in acute care settings. The benefits and harms of antimicrobial use during end-of-life care using valid and reliable metrics involving patient and caregiver relevant outcomes also require investigation across racially and ethnically diverse populations. Additionally, there is a need to integrate best practices related to antimicrobial stewardship, such as the “Four Moments of Antibiotic Decision Making,” into palliative care settings; this may be achieved using methods of implementation science.<sup>35,36</sup> Finally, there are no national or international guidelines to facilitate decision-making related to antimicrobial use during end-of-life care. Future studies may consider addressing this gap in knowledge using methods that combine expert opinion and evidence in a systematic manner.<sup>37</sup>

In conclusion, antimicrobial use is prevalent during end-of-life care. As antimicrobial stewardship programs strive to optimize antimicrobial prescribing across the continuum of care, end-of-life care represents a challenging new frontier for antimicrobial stewards to improve clinical outcomes and reduce antimicrobial-associated harms.

**Acknowledgments.** This work was supported with resources from and the use of facilities at the Hospital Epidemiology and Infection Prevention Program at the Veterans Affairs Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven, Connecticut.

**Financial support.** Dr Datta was supported by a career development award from the National Institute of Aging (NIA) of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) under Award Number U54AG063546, which funds the NIA Imbedded Pragmatic Alzheimer’s Disease and AD-Related Dementias Clinical Trials Collaboratory (NIA IMPACT Collaboratory). This publication was made possible by CTSA Grant Award UL1 TR001863 from the National Center for Advancing Translational Science (NCATS), a component of the NIH, the Operations Core of the Claude D. Pepper Older Americans Independence Center at Yale School of Medicine (P30AG021342), the Yale Physician-Scientist Development Award, and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America Epidemiology Competition Award. The funders had no role in the writing of this report or in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

**Competing interests.** The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the Department of Veterans Affairs. All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

### References

1. Antibiotic Use in the United States. 2022 Update: Progress and Opportunities. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <https://www.cdc.gov/antibiotic-use/stewardship-report/current.html>. Published 2022. Accessed February 2, 2023.
2. Rome RB, Luminais HH, Bourgeois DA, Blais CM. The role of palliative care at the end of life. *Ochsner J* 2011;11:348–352.
3. Bennett MI, Davies EA, Higginson IJ. Delivering research in end-of-life care: problems, pitfalls and future priorities. *Palliat Med* 2010;24:456–461.
4. Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care. National consensus statement: essential elements for safe and high-quality end-of-life care. <https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/publications-and-resources/resource-library/national-consensus-statement-essential-elements-safe-and-high-quality-end-life-care>. Published 2015. Accessed February 2, 2023.
5. Buss MK, Rock LK, McCarthy EP. Understanding palliative care and hospice: a review for primary care providers. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2017;92:280–286.
6. Groeger JS, Lucas AB, Thaler HT, et al. Infectious morbidity associated with long-term use of venous access devices in patients with cancer. *Ann Intern Med* 1993;119:1168–1174.
7. Rolston KV. Infections in cancer patients with solid tumors: a review. *Infect Dis Ther* 2017;6:69–83.
8. Homsy J, Walsh D, Panta R, Lagman R, Nelson KA, Longworth DL. Infectious complications of advanced cancer. *Support Care Cancer* 2000;8:487–492.
9. Mitchell SL, Teno JM, Kiely DK, et al. The clinical course of advanced dementia. *N Engl J Med* 2009;361:1529–1538.
10. Thompson AJ, Silveira MJ, Vitale CA, Malani PN. Antimicrobial use at the end of life among hospitalized patients with advanced cancer. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* 2012;29:599–603.
11. Oh DY, Kim JH, Kim DW, et al. Antibiotic use during the last days of life in cancer patients. *Eur J Cancer Care* 2006;15:74–79.
12. D’Agata E, Mitchell SL. Patterns of antimicrobial use among nursing home residents with advanced dementia. *Arch Intern Med* 2008;168:357–362.
13. Albrecht JS, McGregor JC, Fromme EK, Bearden DT, Furuno JP. A nationwide analysis of antibiotic use in hospice care in the final week of life. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2013;46:483–490.
14. Furuno JP, Noble BN, Horne KN, et al. Frequency of outpatient antibiotic prescription on discharge to hospice care. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2014;58:5473–5477.
15. Marra AR, Puig-Asensio M, Balkenende E, Livorsi DJ, Goto M, Perencevich EN. Antibiotic use during end-of-life care: a systematic literature review and meta-analysis. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2021;42:523–529.
16. Clark MD, Halford Z, Herndon C, Middendorf E. Evaluation of antibiotic initiation tools in end-of-life care. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* 2022;39:274–281.
17. Mitchell SL, Shaffer ML, Loeb MB, et al. Infection management and multidrug-resistant organisms in nursing home residents with advanced dementia. *JAMA Intern Med* 2014;174:1660–1667.

18. Rosenberg JH, Albrecht JS, Fromme EK, *et al.* Antimicrobial use for symptom management in patients receiving hospice and palliative care: a systematic review. *J Palliat Med* 2013;16:1568–1574.
19. Reinbolt RE, Shenk AM, White PH, Navari RM. Symptomatic treatment of infections in patients with advanced cancer receiving hospice care. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2005;30:175–182.
20. Clayton J, Fardell B, Hutton-Potts J, Webb D, Chye R. Parenteral antibiotics in a palliative care unit: prospective analysis of current practice. *Palliat Med* 2003;17:44–48.
21. Givens JL, Jones RN, Shaffer ML, Kiely DK, Mitchell SL. Survival and comfort after treatment of pneumonia in advanced dementia. *Arch Intern Med* 2010;170:1102–1107.
22. van der Steen JT, Ooms ME, van der Wal G, Ribbe MW. Pneumonia: the demented patient's best friend? Discomfort after starting or withholding antibiotic treatment. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2002;50:1681–1688.
23. Van Der Steen JT, Pasman HR, Ribbe MW, Van Der Wal G, Onwuteaka-Philipsen BD. Discomfort in dementia patients dying from pneumonia and its relief by antibiotics. *Scand J Infect Dis* 2009;41:143–151.
24. Barlam TF, Cosgrove SE, Abbo LM, *et al.* Implementing an antibiotic stewardship program: guidelines by the infectious diseases society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016;62:e51–e77.
25. Gaw CE, Hamilton KW, Gerber JS, Szymczak JE. Physician perceptions regarding antimicrobial use in end-of-life care. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2018;39:383–390.
26. Servid SA, Noble BN, Fromme EK, Furuno JP. Clinical intentions of antibiotics prescribed upon discharge to hospice care. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2018;66:565–569.
27. Stiel S, Krumm N, Pestinger M, *et al.* Antibiotics in palliative medicine—results from a prospective epidemiological investigation from the HOPE survey. *Support Care Cancer* 2012;20:325–333.
28. Papoutsis C, Mattick K, Pearson M, Brennan N, Briscoe S, Wong G. Social and professional influences on antimicrobial prescribing for doctors-in-training: a realist review. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2017;72:2418–2430.
29. Charani E, Castro-Sanchez E, Sevdalis N, *et al.* Understanding the determinants of antimicrobial prescribing within hospitals: the role of “prescribing etiquette.” *Clin Infect Dis* 2013;57:188–196.
30. Broom A, Kirby E, Gibson AF, Post JJ, Broom J. Myth, manners, and medical ritual: defensive medicine and the fetish of antibiotics. *Qual Health Res* 2017;27:1994–2005.
31. Teixeira Rodrigues A, Roque F, Falcão A, Figueiras A, Herdeiro MT. Understanding physician antibiotic prescribing behaviour: a systematic review of qualitative studies. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2013;41:203–212.
32. Seaton RA, Cooper L, Fairweather J, *et al.* Antibiotic use towards the end of life: development of good practice recommendations. [published online ahead of print January 19, 2021]. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* doi: [10.1136/bmjspcare-2020-002732](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjspcare-2020-002732).
33. Datta R, Topal J, McManus D, *et al.* Education needed to improve antimicrobial use during end-of-life care of older adults with advanced cancer: a cross-sectional survey. *Palliat Med* 2021;35:236–241.
34. Datta R, Topal J, McManus D, Dembry LM, Quagliarello V, Juthani-Mehta M. Perspectives on antimicrobial use at the end of life among antibiotic stewardship programs: a survey of the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America Research Network. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2019;40:1074–1076.
35. Four Moments of Antibiotic Decision Making. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD. <https://www.ahrq.gov/antibiotic-use/acute-care/four-moments/index.html>. Published 2019. Accessed February 2, 2023.
36. Livorsi DJ, Drainoni ML, Reisinger HS, *et al.* Leveraging implementation science to advance antibiotic stewardship practice and research. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2022;43:139–146.
37. Hohmann E, Brand JC, Rossi MJ, Lubowitz JH. Expert opinion is necessary: Delphi panel methodology facilitates a scientific approach to consensus. *Arthroscopy* 2018;34:349–351.