A VARIANT ON THE NOTION OF A DIOPHANTINE s-TUPLE

WILLIAM D. BANKS[†]

Department of Mathematics University of Missouri Columbia, MO 65211 USA e-mail: bbanks@math.missouri.edu

FLORIAN LUCA

Instituto de Matemáticas Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México C.P. 58089, Morelia, Michoacán, México e-mail: fluca@matmor.unam.mx

and LÁSZLÓ SZALAY

Institute of Mathematics and Statistics University of West Hungary H-9400 Sopron, Erzsébet u. 9, Hungary e-mail: laszalay@ktk.nyme.hu

(Received 26 December 2007; accepted 15 July 2008)

Abstract. We show that there is an infinite set S of natural numbers with the property that $1 + \prod_{n \in \mathbb{R}} n$ is square-free for every finite subset $\mathcal{R} \subseteq S$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. MSC Numbers: 11B99, 11N25.

1. Introduction.

1.1. Diophantine *s***-tuples.** In the third century, Diophantus of Alexandria studied sets S of positive rational numbers with the property that 1 + mn is the square of a rational number for all $m, n \in S, m \neq n$. One example he found was the set

$$\mathcal{S}_d = \left\{ \frac{1}{16}, \frac{33}{16}, \frac{17}{4}, \frac{105}{16} \right\}.$$

In the 17th century, Fermat considered Diophantus' problem, but he was mainly interested in sets that contain only natural numbers. A set of this type is called a *Diophantine s-tuple* if it has *s* elements. Fermat found the first Diophantine quadruple:

$$S_f = \{1, 3, 8, 120\}.$$

Euler showed that Fermat's set can be extended to a larger set of *rational numbers* with Diophantus' property, namely,

$$\mathcal{S}_e = \left\{ 1, 3, 8, 120, \frac{777480}{8288641} \right\}.$$

On the other hand, Baker and Davenport [1] showed that Fermat's set S_f cannot be extended to include a fifth *natural number*. Dujella [3] has shown that there are no

[†]Corresponding author

84 WILLIAM D. BANKS, FLORIAN LUCA AND LÁSZLÓ SZALAY

Diophantine sextuples and that there are at most finitely many Diophantine quintuples; it is unknown whether any such quintuples exist.

1.2. Generalizations. The notion of a Diophantine *s*-tuple is easily generalized by replacing the set of square numbers with an arbitrary set of natural numbers.

DEFINITION 1. For a given set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we say that $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is *A*-Diophantine if $1 + mn \in A$ for all $m, n \in S, m \neq n$.

With this terminology, a Diophantine *s*-tuple is simply an \mathbb{N}^2 -Diophantine set with *s* elements, where \mathbb{N}^2 is the set of square numbers. The result of Dujella asserts that $\#S \leq 5$ for every \mathbb{N}^2 -Diophantine set S and #S = 5 holds for at most finitely many such sets S.

One can also consider the following stronger condition on a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$:

DEFINITION 2. Given $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we say that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is *strongly* \mathcal{A} -*Diophantine* if $1 + \prod_{n \in \mathcal{R}} n \in \mathcal{A}$ for every finite subset $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$.

It is easy to check that the set

$$S_p = \{2, 3, 6, 26, 90, 336, 476, 3926\}$$

has the property that 1 + mn is a prime number for all $m, n \in S_p, m \neq n$. In other words, S_p is \mathcal{P} -Diophantine, where \mathcal{P} is the set of prime numbers. The set S_p is not strongly \mathcal{P} -Diophantine, but such sets do exist and are easily found by computer (e.g., $S_p^* = \{1, 2, 6, 96\}$). It is natural to ask whether there exists a \mathcal{P} -Diophantine set with infinitely many elements, and we conjecture that this is the case. In Section 3, we show that a well-known and widely believed conjecture of Dickson implies the existence of a strongly \mathcal{P} -Diophantine set of infinite cardinality.

1.3. Statement of the main result. In this note, we focus on a variant of Diophantus' problem with square-free numbers rather than square numbers. Our aim is to prove the existence of a strongly A-Diophantine set of infinite cardinality, where A is the set of square-free natural numbers.

THEOREM 1. There is an infinite set $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with the property that $1 + \prod_{n \in \mathbb{R}} n$ is square-free for every finite subset $\mathcal{R} \subseteq S$. Moreover, for $x \ge 3$, we have

$$\#\{n \leqslant x : n \in \mathcal{S}\} \gg \sqrt{\log \log x}.$$
 (1)

2. Construction. In what follows, the letter p always denotes a prime number. For a positive integer, $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of n. For positive functions f and g, the notation $f \ll g$ means that the inequality $f \leq cg$ holds with some absolute constant c > 0.

Our principal tool is the following technical lemma, which is a consequence of the more general result (Lemma 2) of Luca and Shparlinski [4]:

LEMMA 1. For any real number $y \ge 2$, let $K = \prod_{p \le y} p$. Let $\{A_1, \ldots, A_s\}$ be a set of positive integers with the property that the products

$$P_{\mathcal{T}} = \prod_{j \in \mathcal{T}} A_j \qquad (\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{U} = \{1, \dots, s\})$$

are pairwise distinct and put

$$F(X) = \prod_{\mathcal{T} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}} (P_{\mathcal{T}} K X + 1) \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

Finally, let Δ be the product of the distinct primes p > y that divide the product

$$\prod_{\substack{\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2 \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}\\ \mathcal{T}_1 \neq \mathcal{T}_2}} |P_{\mathcal{T}_1} - P_{\mathcal{T}_2}|.$$
(2)

Then,

$$\#\{n \leq x : F(n) \text{ is square-free}\} \ge x \left(1 - \frac{2^s}{y}\right)^{\omega(\Delta)} - 2^{s\omega(\Delta)} - \frac{2^s x}{y} - 2^s \sqrt{Mx},$$

where $M = 1 + P_{\mathcal{U}}K$.

Proof of Theorem 1. For every real number *t*, we write $\exp_2(t) = \exp(e^t)$, and we put

$$f(t) = \exp_2(16t^2)$$
 and $g(t) = \log f(t+1/4) = e^{16t^2+8t+1}$. (3)

To prove the theorem, we construct an infinite sequence A_1, A_2, A_3, \ldots of distinct positive integers such that for every integer $s \ge 1$ the following properties hold:

(*i*) the products $P_{\mathcal{T}} = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{T}} A_i$ with $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, s\}$ are pairwise distinct;

(*ii*) the bound $A_i \leq f(j)$ holds for each $j = 1, \ldots, s$;

(*iii*) the number $1 + P_T$ is square-free for every subset $T \subseteq \{1, \ldots, s\}$.

Assuming this has been done, we put $S = \{A_j : j \ge 1\}$. Then, for every finite subset $\mathcal{R} \subseteq S$, we have $1 + \prod_{n \in \mathcal{R}} n = 1 + P_T$, where $\mathcal{T} = \{j : A_j \in \mathcal{R}\}$; hence, this number is square-free. As the construction in the following text produces a set S with $A_1 = 2$, it suffices to establish the lower bound (1) for all sufficiently large values of x. For such x, we let s be determined by the inequalities

$$f(s) = \exp_2(16s^2) < x \le \exp_2(16(s+1)^2).$$

Then,

$$#\{n \leq x : n \in \mathcal{S}\} \ge #\{A_1, \dots, A_s\} = s \gg \sqrt{\log \log x}$$

as required.

Turning now to our construction, let $A_1 = 2$, and note that (i) - (iii) hold with s = 1. Proceeding by induction, we suppose that A_1, \ldots, A_s have been defined and satisfy (i) - (iii) for some integer $s \ge 1$. We find a new integer $A_{s+1} \ne A_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, s$ such that the longer sequence A_1, \ldots, A_{s+1} satisfies:

- (*iv*) the products $P_{\mathcal{T}'} = \prod_{j \in \mathcal{T}'} A_j$ with $\mathcal{T}' \subseteq \{1, \dots, s+1\}$ are pairwise distinct;
- (v) the bound $A_j \leq f(j)$ holds for each j = 1, ..., s + 1;
- (vi) the number $1 + P_{T'}$ is square-free for every subset $T' \subseteq \{1, \ldots, s+1\}$.

To this end, we now define

$$y = g(s)$$
 and $K = \prod_{p \leq y} p$.

Using the upper bound $K \leq e^{2y}$ (see [5, Chapter I.1.2, Theorem 4]), we have

$$K \leqslant e^{2g(s)}.\tag{4}$$

From (ii), we derive the bound

$$P_{\mathcal{S}} = \prod_{j=1}^{s} A_j \leqslant f(s)^s.$$

Put $M = 1 + P_S K$. Using the previous bound together with (4), we see that

$$M \leqslant 2P_{\mathcal{S}}K \leqslant 2f(s)^s e^{2g(s)}.$$
(5)

Now let Δ be the product of the distinct primes p > y that divide the product (2). Since

$$\left|P_{\mathcal{T}_1} - P_{\mathcal{T}_2}\right| < P_{\mathcal{S}} \leqslant f(s)^s$$

for each factor in (2), we have the crude bound

$$\Delta \leqslant P_{\mathcal{S}}^{2^{s+1}} \leqslant f(s)^{s2^{s+1}}$$

As Δ is composed of primes exceeding y, it follows that

$$\omega(\Delta) \leqslant \frac{\log \Delta}{\log y} \leqslant \frac{s2^{s+1}\log f(s)}{\log g(s)}.$$
(6)

Let

$$F(X) = \prod_{\mathcal{T} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\}} (P_{\mathcal{T}} K X + 1) \in \mathbb{Z}[X].$$

Using Lemma 1 with $x = f(s + 1/4)^4 = e^{4g(s)}$ together with the bounds (5) and (6), we deduce that

$$\#\{n \leqslant e^{4g(s)} : F(n) \text{ is square-free}\} \ge L_1 - L_2 - L_3 - L_4, \tag{7}$$

where

$$L_1 = e^{4g(s)} \left(1 - \frac{2^s}{g(s)} \right)^{s2^{s+1} \log f(s)/\log g(s)};$$

$$L_2 = 2^{s^2 2^{s+1} \log f(s)/\log g(s)};$$

$$L_{3} = \frac{2^{s} e^{4g(s)}}{g(s)};$$
$$L_{4} = 2^{s} \sqrt{2f(s)^{s} e^{6g(s)}}.$$

Since $\log(1-t) \ge -2t$, if $0 \le t \le 1/2$, and $g(s) = e^{16s^2+8s+1} \ge 2^{s+1}$, we have

$$\log\left(\frac{L_1}{e^{4g(s)}}\right) = \frac{s2^{s+1}\log f(s)}{\log g(s)}\log\left(1-\frac{2^s}{g(s)}\right) \ge -\frac{s2^{2s+2}\log f(s)}{g(s)\log g(s)}.$$
(8)

In view of the definitions (3), it follows that

$$\frac{s2^{2s+2}\log f(s)}{g(s)\log g(s)} = \frac{s2^{2s+2}}{(16s^2+8s+1)e^{8s+1}} \leqslant 10^{-4}.$$

Combining this bound with (8), we deduce that

$$L_1 \ge 0.8 \, e^{4g(s)}.\tag{9}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\log L_2 \leqslant \frac{s^2 2^{s+1} \log f(s)}{\log g(s)} \leqslant 10^{-4} \leqslant 4g(s) - \log 5$$

and therefore

$$L_2 \leqslant 0.2 \, e^{4g(s)}.\tag{10}$$

Since $g(s) \ge 5 \cdot 2^s$, we also have

$$L_3 \leqslant 0.2 \, e^{4g(s)}.\tag{11}$$

Finally, by the definitions (3), we see that

$$\log L_4 \leq s + 1 + 0.5s \log f(s) + 3g(s) \leq 4g(s) - \log 5$$

since

$$e^{16s^2+8s+1} \ge s+1+0.5se^{16s^2}+\log 5;$$

therefore,

$$L_4 \leqslant 0.2 \, e^{4g(s)}.\tag{12}$$

Now, inserting the estimates (9)-(12) into (17), it follows that

$$\#\{n \le e^{4g(s)} : F(n) \text{ is square-free}\} \ge 0.2 e^{4g(s)} \ge 2^{2s} + 1.$$

Hence, there is a positive integer $n \leq e^{4g(s)}$ such that F(n) is square-free, and

$$nK \neq \frac{P_{\mathcal{T}_1}}{P_{\mathcal{T}_2}}$$
 for all subsets $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$ of $\{1, \dots, s\}$. (13)

Put $A_{s+1} = nK$ for any such *n* and note that

$$A_{s+1} \neq A_j = \frac{P_{\{j\}}}{P_{\varnothing}} \qquad (j = 1, \dots, s).$$

It remains to show that the sequence A_1, \ldots, A_{s+1} satisfies (iv) - (vi). Since the products $P_{\mathcal{T}'} = \prod_{j \in \mathcal{T}'} A_j$ with $\mathcal{T}' \subseteq \{1, \ldots, s+1\}$ all have the form $P_{\mathcal{T}}$ or $P_{\mathcal{T}} A_{s+1}$ for a subset $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, s\}$, namely, $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}' \setminus \{s+1\}$, the property (iv) is an immediate consequence of (i) and (13). Taking (ii) into account, property (v) is a consequence of the following bound:

$$A_{s+1} = nK \leqslant e^{4g(s)}e^{2g(s)} = \exp\left(6\,e^{16s^2 + 8s + 1}\right) \leqslant \exp\left(e^{16s^2 + 32s + 16}\right) = f(s+1).$$

Finally, property (*vi*) follows from (*iii*) and the fact that for every subset $T \subseteq \{1, ..., s\}$, the number $1 + P_T A_{s+1} = 1 + P_T K n$ is square-free since it divides the square-free number F(n).

3. Remarks. Let \mathcal{A} be the set of square-free natural numbers and let \mathcal{S} be strongly \mathcal{A} -Diophantine as in Theorem 1. It would be interesting either to improve the lower bound (1) on $\#(\mathcal{S} \cap [1, x])$ or to find a construction of such a set that yields a somewhat comparable upper bound for this quantity.

Suppose that $A_1 < \cdots < A_s$ are the first *s* elements in a strongly \mathcal{A} -Diophantine set \mathcal{S} . For a fixed subset $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, s\}$, the expectation that a random integer *n* has the property that $n \prod_{j \in \mathcal{R}} A_j + 1$ is square-free is $c_{\mathcal{R}} \cdot 6/\pi^2 \ge 6/\pi^2$, where $c_{\mathcal{R}} =$ $\prod_{p \mid \prod_{j \in \mathcal{R}} A_j} (1 - p^{-2})^{-2}$. If we assume that these events are independent as \mathcal{R} varies, then the probability that these numbers are simultaneously square-free for all subsets $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, s\}$ exceeds $(6/\pi^2)^{2^s}$. Therefore, writing $x = (s+1)(\pi^2/6)^{2^s}$, it is reasonable to expect that the interval [1, x] contains at least s + 1 numbers *n* with this property if *s* is large; in particular, at least one of them is not in the set $\{A_1, \ldots, A_s\}$. Since $s \sim c \log \log x$, where $c = 1/\log 2$, this heuristic argument suggests that there exists a strongly \mathcal{A} -Diophantine set \mathcal{S} for which $\#(\mathcal{S} \cap [1, x]) \simeq \log \log x$ as $x \to \infty$.

Here we give some numerical examples. The finite set

 $S = \{1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 21, 42, 101, 330, 5738, 71190, 206083605\}$

is strongly A-Diophantine. Based on the heuristic argument, we expect that the next integer that can be added to this set, assuming it exists, must be quite large (if $A_1 < A_2 < \cdots$ are the elements of S, then the number of digits in the decimal representation of A_j should grow as an exponential function of j). The set

 $S = \{1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 14, 18, 21, 30, 33, 42, 45, 50, 64, 65, 77, 81, 82, 92, 100\}$

is A-Diophantine (but not strongly so). This set was produced by using a greedy algorithm and can be extended to include 1, 229 numbers below 10^8 .

Let \mathcal{B} be the set of natural numbers that are *not* square-free. Terr [6] has shown that for any integer k, there exists an infinite set \mathcal{S} such that $k + mn \in \mathcal{B}$ for all $m, n \in \mathcal{S}$, $m \neq n$. In particular, there exists a \mathcal{B} -Diophantine set with infinitely many elements.

Since the set \mathcal{P} of prime numbers is contained in the set \mathcal{A} of square-free numbers, in view of Theorem 1 it is natural to ask whether there exists a \mathcal{P} -Diophantine set with infinitely many elements. We expect that the answer to this question is yes, but we do

88

not know how to approach it. If the first *s* elements $A_1 < \cdots < A_s$ in S have already been constructed, then the collection of linear polynomials

$$f_{\mathcal{R}}(X) = X \prod_{j \in \mathcal{R}} A_j + 1 \qquad (\emptyset \neq \mathcal{R} \subseteq \{1, \dots, s\})$$

satisfies the hypothesis of Dickson's generalized twin prime conjecture (see [2]); that is, for every prime p there is an integer n such that $p \nmid f_{\mathcal{R}}(n)$ for every \mathcal{R} (indeed, one can take any n that is divisible by p). Then, Dickson's conjecture asserts that there is an integer $A_{s+1} > A_s$ such that $f_{\mathcal{R}}(A_{s+1})$ is prime for every \mathcal{R} and this integer can be incorporated into the set \mathcal{S} .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We thank the referee for useful comments on the original manuscript. This work began during a mutual visit by the authors to the University of West Georgia for the INTEGERS Conference 2007 and continued during a subsequent visit by the third author to the University of Missouri; the support and kind hospitality of these institutions are gratefully acknowledged. During the preparation of this paper, F.L. was supported in part by Grants SEP-CONACyT 46755 and PAPIIT IN100508 and L.S. was supported in part by a János Bolyai scholarship of HAS and the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research grant nos. T 048945 MAT and K 61800 FT2.

REFERENCES

1. A. Baker and H. Davenport, The equations $3x^2 - 2 = y^2$ and $8x^2 - 7 = z^2$, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* **20**(2) (1969), 129–137.

2. L. E. Dickson, A new extension of Dirichlet's theorem on prime numbers, *Messenger Math.* **33** (1904), 155–161.

3. A. Dujella, There are only finitely many Diophantine quintuples, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **566** (2004), 183–214.

4. F. Luca and I. E. Shparlinski, Approximating positive reals by ratios of kernels of consecutive integers, in *Diophantine analysis and related fields, Sem. Math. Sci.* **35** (Keio Univ., Yokohama, 2006). 141–148.

5. G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **46** (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995).

6. D. Terr, Solution of problem H-520, Fibonacci Quart. 36 (1998), 94-95.